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ABSTRACT

The addition of allylmetals to aldehydes, particularly in an asymmetric fashion, is of prime importance in the synthetic chemist’s arsenal of C–C bond-forming methodologies, as the secondary homoallylic alcohol products are primed for further transformations. So far, reagent-controlled approaches with chiral metal-based Lewis acids have been the most effective means for controlling the enantioselectivity of this reaction. However, this approach cannot be used when acetals are employed as the latent electrophiles. Since Brønsted acids also mediate the Hosomi-Sakurai reaction of acetals, we hypothesised that a chiral Brønsted acid HY* has the potential to introduce stereoselectivity into this bond-forming process via the formation of a chiral contact ion pair in which the chiral conjugate base controls the nucleophilic addition to the achiral oxacarbenium ion with which it will be associated. For the investigation, three types of Brønsted acids with different acidity, all based on a binaphthyl core, have been synthesised. 3,3’-Substituted phosphoric acids and phosphoramides were employed in a brief study of the reaction of acyclic dialkyl acetals with allyltrimethylsilane. However, since the rate of reaction was very slow, we turned our attention to more reactive acetal substrates. A more detailed study was carried out with racemic cyclic acetals: 1-alkoxyisochromans were reacted with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of different phosphoramidate catalysts. Non-polar solvents gave the best e.r. values. The optimisation procedure also revealed the new 10-Br-9-anthracenyl phosphoramidate (R)-45g as the catalyst of choice for this particular acetal substrate. An interesting leaving group effect on the...
enantioselectivity of the 1-allylisochroman product was also observed. A range of 1-ethoxyisochromans were synthesised to probe the scope and the limitations of the method. The products were obtained in moderate to good yields and moderate to very good enantioselectivities. The synthetic utility of the developed method was also demonstrated by two applications, one of these being a drug synthesis. To shed light on the origins of the enantioselectivity, several experiments were carried out. These confirmed that the process is a dynamic kinetic resolution. Finally, all results and observations were used to formulate a transition state which rationalises the observed stereochemical outcome. In summary, the first enantioselective asymmetric organocatalytic allylation of acetals is presented.
Dedicated to my PARENTS

For their endless love, support and encouragement
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr Liam R. Cox for his support and guidance, and especially for his confidence in me over the last four years.

I also would like to thank Justyna and Alex who helped me through a very difficult time during my PhD.

In particular I would like to thank Michael who walked a long way with me during my PhD.

Many thanks to
the TRIO: Alice, Pete and Matt
Ariel for nice coffee breaks and after-work-pints
current and past members of the Cox group, especially Himanshu
the Davies group
the Snaith group, especially Zara for a nice trip to Spain

A big thank you to the analytical staff including Neil, Peter, Nick, Lianne and in particular Graham!

Thank you, Eva, for our Birmingham/Oxford weekends and thank you, Susi, for long long chats on the phone!

Finally, I would like to thank my family, my Mum and Dad and my brother Wolfi, for their constant support. Special thanks to my aunt and Grandma for their letters and emails!
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS.................................................................................................................. v

1 INTRODUCTION................................................................................................................ 1

1.1 The Hosomi-Sakurai reaction – an important allylation process ......................... 1

1.2 Asymmetric Allylation ................................................................................................. 2

1.2.1 Stereoselective synthesis ....................................................................................... 2

1.2.2 Asymmetric allylation of aldehydes..................................................................... 3

1.3 Allylation of acetals ..................................................................................................... 11

1.3.1 Racemic allylation of acetals............................................................................... 11

1.3.2 Hypothesis for an asymmetric allylation of acetals............................................. 12

1.4 Organocatalysis ......................................................................................................... 16

1.4.1 Chiral BINOL-based Brønsted acids ................................................................ 16

1.4.2 Organocatalytic activation of carbonyl compounds ........................................... 22

1.4.3 Organocatalytic activation via reactive intermediates ........................................ 34

1.4.4 Organocatalytic allylations ............................................................................... 40

2 PROJEKT AIMS AND OBJECTIVES.............................................................................. 44

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ......................................................................................... 46

3.1 Synthesis of chiral BINOL-based phosphoric acids and phosphoramides............. 46

3.2 Investigation of the asymmetric allylation of acetals.............................................. 60
3.2.1 Acyclic acetals derived from different benzaldehyde derivatives ...................... 60
  3.2.1.1 Investigation of phosphoric acids............................................................ 60
  3.2.1.2 Investigation of phosphoramides ............................................................ 63
3.2.2 Racemic cyclic acetals derived from an isochroman framework....................... 71
  3.2.2.1 Solvent screen ......................................................................................... 72
  3.2.2.2 Screening of different catalysts ............................................................... 73
  3.2.2.3 Further optimisation ................................................................................ 76
  3.2.2.4 Effect of the leaving group...................................................................... 77
  3.2.2.5 Employing different allylsilanes ............................................................. 81
  3.2.2.6 Determination of the absolute stereochemistry ........................................ 82
  3.2.2.7 Scope and Limitations ............................................................................. 83
     3.2.2.7.1 Synthesis of substrates ............................................................. 84
     3.2.2.7.2 Asymmetric allylation .............................................................. 90
  3.2.2.8 Applications of the method ..................................................................... 95
  3.2.2.9 Understanding the origins of enantioselectivity...................................... 97
     3.2.2.9.1 Additives and catalyst preparation ........................................... 97
     3.2.2.9.2 Thiophosphoramide (R)-108 .................................................. 101
     3.2.2.9.3 Proof of a dynamic kinetic resolution .................................... 102
  3.2.2.10 Proposed transition state...................................................................... 105
3.3 Synthesis of sulfonic acids ......................................................................................... 107

4  CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK..................................................................... 113
  4.1 Summary ............................................................................................................. 113
  4.2 Further Work ........................................................................................................ 117

5  EXPERIMENTAL SECTION ......................................................................................... 118
  5.1 Instrumentation..................................................................................................... 118
  5.2 Chemicals and Reagents...................................................................................... 119
  5.3 Reactions .............................................................................................................. 120
  5.4 Characterisation................................................................................................... 120

REFERENCES................................................................................................................. 289
# ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACDC</td>
<td>asymmetric counteranion-directed catalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO</td>
<td>atomic orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>aryl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BINOL</td>
<td>1,1’-bi(2-naphthol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BINSA</td>
<td>1,1’-binaphthalene disulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp</td>
<td>cyclopentadienyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDQ</td>
<td>2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEAD</td>
<td>diethyl azodicarboxylate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBALH</td>
<td>diisobutylaluminium hydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>N,N-diisopropylethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>density functional theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAP</td>
<td>4-(dimethylamino)pyridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DME</td>
<td>1,2-dimethoxyethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>N,N-dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethylsulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.r.</td>
<td>enantiomeric ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>electron impact/ionisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDMA</td>
<td>formaldehyde dimethyl acetal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGI</td>
<td>functional group interconversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>gas chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_8$-BINOL</td>
<td>2,2’-dihydroxy-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMDS</td>
<td>hexamethyldisilazane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>high performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRMS</td>
<td>high resolution mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>infra-red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MsCl</td>
<td>methanesulfonyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBE</td>
<td>methyl t-butyl ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTf$_2$</td>
<td>triflimidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTf</td>
<td>triflate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTSA</td>
<td>p-toluenesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_f$</td>
<td>relative front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.T.</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRIP</td>
<td>6,6’-bis(2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)-1,1’-spirobiandan-7,7’-diyl hydrogen phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TADDOL</td>
<td>2,2-dimethyl-$a,a,a’,a’$-tetraaryl-1,3-dioxolane-4,5-dimethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAF</td>
<td>tetra-$n$-butylammonium fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>$t$-butyldimethylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEBAC</td>
<td>triethylbenzylammonium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tf</td>
<td>triflyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPS</td>
<td>triisopropylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>thin layer chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>$N,N,N’,N’$-tetramethylethylenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>trimethylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMSOTf</td>
<td>trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF-ES</td>
<td>time of flight-electron spray</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

1.1 The Hosomi-Sakurai reaction – an important allylation process

The Hosomi-Sakurai reaction of a carbon electrophile (such as aldehydes, ketones, Michael acceptors, imines, acetals, epoxides and acid chlorides) with an allylsilane is a particularly widely used method for forming C–C bonds.\textsuperscript{[1-3]} Allylsilanes are very popular reagents because they are thermally stable and relatively inert to water and oxygen. In contrast to other allylating reagents, they are therefore isolable and storable without having to take special precautions. Allyl metals have been classified by Denmark\textsuperscript{[4]} into three types according to the way in which they react with aldehydes. Allyltrialkysilanes are so-called Type II allylating agents. This type of allyl nucleophile reacts with aldehydes through an open acyclic transition state in which there is no interaction between the silicon group in the nucleophile and the carbonyl oxygen (Scheme 1).

\textbf{Scheme 1.} Mechanism of the allylation of aldehydes by a Type II allylating agent.
Allylsilanes are not particularly nucleophilic so an external activator (e.g. Lewis acid) is generally required to effect reaction. The allylation reaction is a stepwise process, and proceeds \textit{via} a cationic intermediate 1 in which the positive charge is stabilised by the \(\beta\)-effect of silicon. In this stabilising interaction, the unfilled pAO on the carbocationic carbon is in hyperconjugation with the filled \(\sigma\)(C–Si) bond. Maximum hyperconjugation, and therefore stabilisation, is obtained when the \(\sigma\)(C–Si) bond is aligned parallel to the adjacent p orbital (Figure 1).\(^5\) In the second step, cleavage of the silyl group then provides the homoallylic alcohol product 2. This type of allylation reaction is not a stereospecific process, however, it can be highly stereoselective.

![Figure 1. Stabilisation of a positive charge by the \(\beta\)-effect of silicon.](image)

1.2 Asymmetric allylation

1.2.1 Stereoselective synthesis

Controlling the stereochemical outcome of a reaction is one of the most challenging aspects of synthetic organic chemistry. Traditionally, substrate-controlled approaches have been employed, and indeed, are still widely used, particularly in the synthesis of complex molecular architectures.\(^6\) When chiral information is not present in the substrate, however, it needs to be introduced. Modifying the substrate through covalent attachment of a chiral auxiliary has proven to be an immensely useful strategy; however in recent decades,
attention has increasingly focused on developing reagent-controlled processes, which offer a more general and atom-economical approach to asymmetric synthesis.

1.2.2 Asymmetric allylation of aldehydes

The reaction of allylsilanes with aldehydes is of prime importance in the synthetic chemist’s arsenal of C–C bond-forming methodologies, as the secondary homoallylic alcohol product is particularly suitable for further transformations. Controlling the stereochemical outcome of this type of allylation reaction, in both an absolute (enantioselectivity) and relative (diastereoselectivity) sense, is integral for maximising its synthetic utility.

An example of a substrate-controlled allylation is that used by Trost et al. in the total syntheses of furaquinocin A and B (Scheme 2).[6] The side-chain core was introduced by reaction of allyltrimethylsilane with aldehyde 3 mediated by TiCl₄ and proceeded with high diastereoselectivity (d.r. 9:1). The desired homoallylic alcohol 4 could be obtained in pure form after purification by column chromatography (67% from 5).
Scheme 2. Substrate-controlled allylation in the total syntheses of furaquinocin A and B.

The observed diastereoselectivity can be explained by the polar model proposed by Evans (Figure 2). The Newman projection depicts the conformation that minimises the dipole moment in the molecule. The bottom face of the aldehyde is blocked toward the attack of the nucleophile by the $\beta$-methyl substituent.

Figure 2. Evans’ polar model explains the observed diastereoselectivity.

Williams used a chelation-controlled allylation in the synthesis of one of the building blocks 6 required for the total synthesis of (+)-4,5-deoxyneodolabelline (Scheme 3). Aldehyde 7 was
reacted with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of SnCl₄ to afford a single diastereoisomer 8 in 40% yield.

Scheme 3. Chelation-controlled allylation in the total synthesis of (+)-4,5-deoxyneodolabelline.

The chelation model explains the observed diastereoselectivity in this reaction (Figure 3). In the favoured conformation, chelation to tin holds the oxygen from the carbonyl group and the oxygen on the α-position close in space and the nucleophile attacks from the less hindered side.

Figure 3. The chelation model explains the observed diastereoselectivity.

Another substrate-controlled allylation was utilised in the same publication when coupling the two building blocks 6 and 9 (Scheme 4). The reaction, activated this time by BF₃·OEt₂, proceeded with complete diastereoselectivity in 87% yield.
Scheme 4. Substrate-controlled allylation in the total synthesis of (+)-4,5-deoxyneodolabelline.

An intensive study revealed that both anomers of the starting acetal were equally reaction-competent via a common oxacarbenium ion or rapid acetal isomerisation. Two conformers of the oxacarbenium intermediate were recognised and distinguished by the pseudoequatorial substituent in 10 and the pseudoaxial substituent in 11 (Figure 4). The stereoelectronically controlled axial attack of the allylsilane 9 on the more stable conformer 10 dominates the reaction coordinate leading to the observed product 12 via a developing chair-like transition state 13.

Another way of controlling the stereochemistry of an allylation using allyltrialkylsilanes is to use a chiral auxiliary. Indeed this strategy was exploited in Rychnovsky’s approach to the asymmetric allylation of aldehydes. The group investigated the diastereoselective
addition of allyltrimethylsilane to oxacarbenium ion 14 which was generated in situ from a variety of aldehydes 15 and TMS-protected phenylsilylcarbinol 16 using Markó’s protocol (cat. TMSOTf)\(^{[12]}\) (Scheme 5).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R} & \quad \text{H} \\
\text{15} & \quad \text{O} \\
+ & \quad \text{OTMS} \\
\text{Ph} & \quad \text{TMS} \\
\text{16} & \quad \text{cat. TMSOTf} \\
\rightarrow & \quad \text{TMS} \\
\text{Ph} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{R} & \quad \text{H} \\
\text{14} & \quad \text{up to d.r. 97:3} \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 5.** Asymmetric allylation of aldehydes using chiral auxiliary 16.

The excellent diastereoselectivities observed in these reactions could be rationalised by Linderman’s model,\(^{[13-16]}\) which predicts (\(E\))-oxacarbenium ion (\(E\)-14) as an intermediate in a well-defined conformation as the result of a stereoelectronic preference analogous to the \(\beta\)-silyl effect (Figure 5). This preferred conformation provides maximum overlap of the \(\sigma(C-Si)\) and \(\pi^*(C=O)\) orbitals of the oxacarbenium ion. The nucleophile then adds to the face opposite to the bulky silyl group, resulting in the observed diastereoselectivities.

![Figure 5. Linderman’s model of (E)-oxacarbenium ion (E)-14 adopting a well-defined conformation rationalises the observed diastereoselectivities.](image)

Reagent-controlled approaches provide the most powerful means for controlling the stereochemical outcome of a reaction. Since allylation reactions with allyltrimethylsilane
generally require an external source of activation, chiral metal-based Lewis acids have been the most effective way for controlling the enantioselectivity of this transformation. The metal-BINOL Lewis acids developed by Keck and Carreira provide important examples in this area. Keck developed the allylation of aldehydes 17 with allyltributylstannane using a \((R)\)-BINOL/Ti(Oi-Pr)\(_4\) complex as the catalyst (Scheme 7).\(^{17,18}\) The products 18 were obtained in high yields and enantioselectivities.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RCHO} + \text{SnBu}_3 & \xrightarrow{(R)\text{-BINOL (20 mol\%) Ti(Oi-Pr)}_4 (10 mol\%)} \text{OH} \\
17 & \text{ Ti(Oi-Pr)}_4 \text{ (10 mol\%)} \\
\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 0 \, ^\circ\text{C} & \text{ 4 Å MS} \\
\text{OH} & \text{18} \\
\text{up to 98\% yield} & \text{up to e.r. 98:2}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 7. Reagent-controlled asymmetric allylation of aldehydes by Keck.

Since the BINOL/Ti(IV) catalysts are relatively weak Lewis acids, they found little use for promoting reactions with the less nucleophilic (and less toxic) allylsilanes. An elegant solution to this problem was developed by Carreira, who found that enhanced reactivity could be secured by using TiF\(_4\) instead of Ti(Oi-Pr)\(_4\) (Scheme 8).\(^{19,20}\) The allylation of aldehydes 19 with allyltrimethylsilane employing (S)-BINOL/TiF\(_4\) complex as the catalyst now led to products 20 in moderate to high yields with moderate to high enantioselectivities.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RCHO} + \text{SiMe}_3 & \xrightarrow{1) (S)\text{-BINOL (20 mol\%) TiF}_4 (10 mol\%)} \text{OH} \\
19 & \text{ TiF}_4 \text{ (10 mol\%)} \\
\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeCN}, 0 \, ^\circ\text{C} & \text{Bu}_4\text{NF/THF, 23 \, ^\circ\text{C}} \\
\text{OH} & \text{20} \\
69-93\% \text{ yield} & \text{e.r. 80:20-97:3}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 8. Reagent-controlled asymmetric allylation of aldehydes by Carreira.
Carreira attributed the high reactivity of the catalyst to two important factors: i) the strong Lewis acidity of TiF$_4$-derived complexes and ii) the greater strength of the Ti–F bond compared to the Si–F bond which assists in catalyst turnover. In addition, Duthaler proposed a ternary transition structure in which the electrophilic titanium centre activates the aldehyde and a nucleophilic fluoride bridge to silicon increases the reactivity of the allylsilane (Scheme 9).\textsuperscript{[21]} A reaction that proceeds through this transition structure could give the silylated adduct directly with concomitant regeneration of the catalyst.

![Scheme 9. Duthaler’s proposal of a ternary transition structure.](image)

Carreira’s method has found application in the total synthesis of the anti-*Helicobacter pylori* agent (+)-spirolaxine methyl ether 21 (Scheme 10).\textsuperscript{[22]} The (3$R$)-stereochemistry in phthalide-aldehyde 22 was set up via asymmetric allylation of 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde 23, providing (R)-homoallylic alcohol 24 in 78% yield with 93:7 enantioselectivity.

This short overview gave examples for substrate-controlled allylations, which find particular application in the synthesis of complex molecules, as well as an example of a chiral auxiliary approach. The most common, and indeed most desirable, way for achieving an asymmetric allylation is to exploit reagent-control with chiral metal-based Lewis acids. Among the methods developed for simple allylation with Type II reagents, the addition of allyltributylstannane catalysed by the BINOL/Ti(IV) complexes has the broadest substrate scope and is therefore particularly useful in target-oriented synthesis. The use of
allyltrimethylsilane is also possible if stronger Lewis acidic BINOL/Ti(IV) systems are employed.

1.3 Allylation of acetals

1.3.1 Racemic allylation of acetals

Acetals are useful intermediates in organic synthesis and undergo coupling reactions with different nucleophiles via C–C bond formation.\textsuperscript{[24]} Successfully used nucleophiles include silyl enol ethers,\textsuperscript{[25–31]} allyl transfer reagents,\textsuperscript{[32]} vinyl ethers,\textsuperscript{[33]} as well as simple olefins\textsuperscript{[34–36]} and cyanide sources.\textsuperscript{[37–44]} A particularly valuable transformation is the already mentioned Hosomi–Sakurai reaction of acetals with allyltrimethylsilane,\textsuperscript{[45,46]} which furnishes homoallylic ethers. Such protected homoallylic alcohols can simplify the synthetic planning in target-oriented synthesis. Catalysts, which have been employed for the racemic version of this transformation in sub-stoichiometric quantities, include Lewis acids such as ReBr(CO)\textsubscript{5},\textsuperscript{[47]} NbCl\textsubscript{5}/AgClO\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{[48]} AlBr\textsubscript{3}/CuBr,\textsuperscript{[49]} FeCl\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{[50]} TMSOTf,\textsuperscript{[51–53]} Bi(OTf)\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{[54]} Sc(OTf)\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{[55]} BiBr\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{[56]} TMSN\textsubscript{2}I\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{[57]} TMSN(SO\textsubscript{2}F)\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{[58]} TiCp\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{3}SO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{[59]} montmorillonite,\textsuperscript{[60]} trityl perchlorate,\textsuperscript{[61]} diphenylboryl triflate,\textsuperscript{[61]} and TMSI.\textsuperscript{[62]} Stoichiometric Lewis acidic activators, including TiCl\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{[63]} AlCl\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{[64]} BF\textsubscript{3}·Et\textsubscript{2}O,\textsuperscript{[64–66]} liquid SO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{[67]} and CuBr/microwave, have also been used.\textsuperscript{[68]} Several of these methods suffer from drawbacks such as the involvement of compounds that are corrosive, difficult to handle, expensive, or toxic. Others require strictly anhydrous conditions or less practical reaction temperatures. Brønsted acids offer a useful alternative to these metal-based activators, and have great potential as easily tunable, economic, and environmentally acceptable catalysts. Surprisingly, the use of Brønsted acids in this important allylation has only been reported in a few recent publications.\textsuperscript{[69–71]}
1.3.2 Hypothesis for an asymmetric allylation of acetals

Chiral metal-based Lewis acids have to-date been the most effective means for controlling the enantioselectivity of the asymmetric allylation of carbonyl compounds. However, my supervisor and me hypothesised that they are not applicable to the asymmetric allylation of acetals, since the activator is not a component of the oxacarbenium ion, which is the active electrophile in this important variant of the allylation reaction (Scheme 11). Since Brønsted acids also mediate this type of allylation reaction, I hypothesised that a chiral Brønsted acid HY* has the potential to impart stereoselectivity on this bond-forming process (Scheme 11). So far, no examples exist of chiral Brønsted acids catalysing the intermolecular allylation of acetals. Analogously to Lewis acid activation, protonation of the acetal 25 and displacement of R1OH through anchimeric assistance from the adjacent alkoxy group provides the active oxacarbenium ion electrophile 26.\textsuperscript{[72-74]} In the case of Lewis acid activation, the chiral information is carried away in the alkoxy leaving group; however, this is not necessarily the case when a chiral Brønsted acid is employed since the chirality is now embedded in the conjugate base, Y*, which will be associated with the positively charged oxacarbenium ion electrophile.
Scheme 11. Whilst chiral Lewis acids do not effect the asymmetric allylation of acetals, a chiral Brønsted acid potentially can.

Of course, the nature of this ion pair 26 will depend critically on the reaction environment. There are three different types of ion pairs. \[^{75,76}\] In a contact ion pair (or tight ion pair) the two ions of opposite charge are in contact, with no solvent molecules between them. In a solvent-separated ion pair (or loose ion pair) the two ions are more separated from each other, with a small number of solvent molecules between them; however they are still held together by coulombic attraction. The ions are considered to be completely dissociated if the solvent radius is large enough so as not to allow any coulombic attraction between the two ions. A contact ion pair will maximise the opportunity for the chirality embedded in the associated anion to differentiate the enantiotopic faces of the oxacarbenium ion; non-coordinating solvents of low dielectric constant, such as CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and even better, cyclohexane and toluene, which have been used for allylation reactions, should encourage this. Indeed this has been exploited in Rychnovsky’s chiral auxiliary approach to asymmetric allylation of acetals, where the highest selectivities were observed under conditions that produce tight ion pairs. \[^{10,11}\]
Another point which needs to be considered is catalyst turnover. My supervisor and me hypothesised that the alcohol leaving group will allow catalyst turnover and permit the use of sub-stoichiometric quantities of the catalyst (Scheme 12). Acetal 25 is protonated by the chiral Brønsted acid HY* and forms an intermediate chiral ion pair 26, involving the oxacarbenium ion and the conjugate base, after displacement of alcohol R\textsuperscript{1}OH. Allyltrimethylsilane attacks the electrophile in the chiral environment to release the enantioenriched homoallylic ether 27. The silylated conjugate base reacts with alcohol R\textsuperscript{1}OH to give silyleneether Me\textsubscript{3}SiOR\textsuperscript{1} and the regenerated chiral Brønsted acid HY*. A strong driving force of this reaction is the thermodynamic strength of a Si–O bond because on pK\textsubscript{a} grounds the equilibrium lies on the side of R\textsuperscript{1}OH and Y*– instead of R\textsuperscript{1}O– and HY*. Indeed, this catalytic cycle has been described already for the use of achiral Brønsted acids by List.\cite{69}

Scheme 12. Catalytic cycle for employing a chiral Brønsted acid in sub-stoichiometric quantities.

To the best of my knowledge there is no literature example of chiral Brønsted acids catalysing the enantioselective intermolecular allylation of acetalss and only one example where a chiral Lewis acid catalyst has successfully controlled the enantioselectivity of an allylation with
allyltrimethylsilane involving a planar, achiral carbenium ion.\(^{77}\) Braun discovered the asymmetric allylation of silyl ether \textit{rac-}28 catalysed by the chiral titanium complex 29 (Scheme 13). The product \((S)-30\) was obtained in 96\% yield with 99.45:0.55 enantioselectivity.

The essentially complete conversion of the racemic substrate \textit{rac-}28 into the allylation product \((S)-30\) was rationalised as a dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (Scheme 14): First, it is assumed that the chiral Lewis acid 29 and both enantiomers of the silyl ether 28 form two diastereomeric contact ion pairs, \((R)-28\text{-}29\) and \((S)-28\text{-}29\). They rapidly equilibrate \textit{via} the planar, achiral carbenium ion 31. In the subsequent reaction with allyltrimethylsilane, one of the ion pairs, \((S)-28\text{-}29\), is postulated to react faster than its diastereomer \((R)-28\text{-}29\). Presumably, the allyl residue attacks the indanyl cation from the face that is not occupied by the titanium residue.
Scheme 14. Braun’s allylation is a dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation.

1.4 Organocatalysis

1.4.1 Chiral BINOL-based Brønsted acids

The area of chiral Brønsted acids has grown strongly within organocatalysis and is now able to deliver extremely active catalysts.\textsuperscript{[78-84]} Chiral Brønsted acids can be classified into three categories (Figure 6):

i) neutral Brønsted acids, such as thiourea (pK\textsubscript{a} \sim 21)\textsuperscript{[82]} and TADDOL (pK\textsubscript{a} \sim 20)\textsuperscript{[85]} derivatives. The characteristic mode of activation of this class of acid involves a single or double hydrogen bond (H-bond) to the substrate; in these cases, the proton is still bound to the catalyst.
ii) stronger Brønsted acids, which include phosphoric acids (pK$_a$ $\sim$ 1.4)$^{[86]}$ and phosphoramides (pK$_a$ < 1.4)$^{[87,88]}$ derived from BINOL. The characteristic mode of activation for this class of acid likely involves a more complete transfer of a proton to the substrate potentially leading to an ion pair in the case of the more acidic phosphoramides. Depending on the reaction, phosphoric acids are able to transfer their proton completely or still form a weak O--H bond.

iii) neutral Brønsted acids, such as BINOL derivatives (pK$_a$ $\sim$ 10).$^1$ Since these acids are weakly acidic, they can be classified somewhere between H-bond catalysis and stronger Brønsted acid catalysis.

---

$^1$ derived from pK$_a$(phenol)$\sim$10
In early 2004, Akiyama\textsuperscript{89} and Terada\textsuperscript{90} independently introduced the chiral phosphoric acids 32 (Figure 7).

![Figure 7. Chiral phosphoric acids 32.](image)

32a: \(\text{Ar} = 4-\text{NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\)
32b: \(\text{Ar} = 4-\beta\)-naphthyl-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)
32c: \(\text{Ar} = 2,4,6-(i-\text{Pr})_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\)
32d: \(\text{Ar} = 1\)-naphthyl
32e: \(\text{Ar} = t\)-BuC\(_6\)H\(_4\)
32f: \(\text{Ar} = \text{Ph}\)

Only the enantiomerically pure 3,3'-unsubstituted compound 33 was known at that time. Apart from employing 33 as a chiral resolving agent,\textsuperscript{91} Inanaga et al. had used its lanthanide salt as a catalyst for hetero Diels-Alder reactions.\textsuperscript{92} Phosphoric acids 32 attracted the attention of synthetic organic chemists for several reasons (Figure 8):  
i) they are bifunctional catalysts bearing both a Brønsted-acidic site (O–H) and a Lewis-basic site (P=O).  
ii) the 3,3'-substituents can play a crucial role in realising high enantioselectivity and can be varied depending on the respective substrate/reaction.

![Figure 8. Functional chiral phosphoric acids.](image)
Akiyama and co-workers presented the Mannich-Type reaction of ketene silyl acetals 34 with aldimines 35 in the presence of various chiral phosphoric acids 32 (Scheme 15). While 3,3’-unsubstituted 33 gave a racemic mixture, 32a bearing 4-nitrophenyl groups at the 3,3’-positions led to 96% yield and 93.5:6.5 e.r.

![Scheme 15. Akiyama’s asymmetric Mannich-Type reaction of silyl ketene acetal 34 with aldimine 35 catalysed by chiral 32a.](image)

On the basis of experimental results (the $\alpha$-hydroxy group in the aldimines is essential to realise excellent enantioselectivity) and DFT calculations, the reaction proceeds via the nine-membered zwitterionic cyclic transition-state 36 (Figure 9). There is a dicoordination between the aldimine and the phosphoric acid due to the bifunctionality of the catalyst. The nine-membered cyclic structure and the aromatic $\pi$-stacking interaction between the 4-nitrophenyl group and the $N$-aryl group fix the geometry of the aldimine in the transition state. The 3,3’-aryl substituents lead to a sterically hindered $si$-face ensuring nucleophilic attack proceeds on the $re$-face.

![Figure 9. Proposed nine-membered zwitterionic cyclic transition-state 36 between phosphoric acid and aldimine substrate.](image)
Terada and Uraguchi also reported a Mannich-type reaction.\textsuperscript{[90]} Aldimines 37 react with 2,4-pentandione 38 in the presence of chiral catalyst 32b providing β-aminoketones 39 in excellent yields and enantioselectivities (Scheme 16).
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\text{up to 96\% yield}
\text{up to 99:1 e.r.}

Scheme 16. Terada’s asymmetric Mannich-Type reaction of
2,4-pentandione 38 with aldimines 37 catalysed by chiral 32b.

They used computational and NMR analyses to rationalise the observed enantioselectivities.\textsuperscript{[94]} Preliminary computational results show that the exothermic formation of associates between the chiral phosphoric acid and the imine substrate is sterically controlled by the bulky substituents of the catalyst. The activation of the substrate happens \textit{via} a H-bond between the N-atom of the imine and the acidic H-atom of the catalyst which allows the substrate to accommodate itself in only two different ways using either of the two otherwise equivalent oxygen atoms (Figure 10).

\text{Figure 10. The H-bond between the imine and the catalyst allows two lowest conformations.}

Furthermore, the isomerisation of the more stable \textit{E}-aldimine into its \textit{Z}-isomer (which could be the one reacting faster in the transition state as shown by Jacobsen)\textsuperscript{[95]} needs to be considered. A detailed analysis of the four different conformations of the H-bond associates
revealed that only the one presented in Figure 11 makes the imine susceptible to the enantioselective nucleophilic attack.

![Figure 11. Conformation which makes the imine susceptible to the enantioselective nucleophilic attack.](image)

The sterically bulky t-Bu group in the imine acts like an anchor preventing the free rotation around the H-bond, thus ensuring the high order of the enantioselection as shown by the drop of e.r. with smaller protecting groups.

In a very recent set of publication, Goodman has developed a model, based on DFT calculations, which predicts the correct enantioselectivity of imine reactions catalysed by BINOL-based phosphoric acids.\textsuperscript{[96]} He rationalised the different factors on which the enantioselectivity depends, focusing on the $E$- or $Z$-preference of the transition structures and the orientation of the catalyst with respect to the electrophile (Type I and Type II reaction). Application of the model to the above reaction would predict a (Type I, $E$)-transition state which indeed accounts for the observed enantioselectivity as shown in Figure 12.
A great number of publications employing various chiral phosphoric acids 32 has followed these pioneering studies.\cite{82,97-99} In the beginning, a common feature of the reactions catalysed by these catalysts has been an imine as the substrate. More recently, synthetic organic chemists have started to investigate the activation of carbonyl compounds which will be described in the next Chapter.

1.4.2 Organocatalytic activation of carbonyl compounds

Carbonyl compounds play a central role in a diverse array of organic reactions. In particular, the activation of aldehydes for further reaction represents one of the most important strategies for employing this functional group in synthesis, and in particular enantioselective synthesis.\cite{100} The following summary mainly contains carbonyl compounds as electrophiles which are activated by either ester- or trifluoromethyl substituents. A phosphoric acid as catalyst is acidic enough to induce reaction with these more reactive electrophiles. For non-activated carbonyl compounds more acidic Brønsted acids like phosphoramides or thiophosphoramides are usually necessary.
The activation of carbonyl compounds by using a chiral Brønsted acid was first reported by Rawal and co-workers, who performed a hetero Diels-Alder reaction in the presence of a sub-stoichiometric amount of \((R,R)\)-1-naphthyl TADDOL \((R,R)\)-40 (Scheme 17).\(^{[101]}\) 1-Amino-3-siloxy diene 41 was reacted with a range of aldehydes 42 and the resulting cycloadducts 43 were converted into dihydropyrones 44 in good overall yields and high enantioselectivities (e.r. >99:1).

![Scheme 17. Rawal's hetero Diels-Alder reaction catalysed by TADDOL \((R,R)\)-40.](image)

BINOL-derived phosphoric acids and phosphoramides have been scarcely explored in the activation of carbonyl compounds. In 2006, Yamamoto introduced the highly reactive and acidic chiral \(N\)-triflyl phosphoramides Brønsted acid catalysts 45 (Figure 13).
Figure 13. Chiral N-triflyl phosphoramides 45.

He employed the 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzene-substituted derivative (S)-45a in an asymmetric Diels-Alder reaction of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ethyl vinyl ketone 46 with silyloxydienes 47 (Scheme 18).\(^{[87]} \) In most cases, the endo Diels-Alder adducts 48 were obtained quantitatively with high enantioselectivities (up to 96:4 e.r.).

Scheme 18. Yamamoto’s Diels–Alder reaction of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ethyl vinyl ketone 46 with silyloxydienes 47 catalysed by (S)-45a.

The activation of carbonyl compounds was subsequently expanded by Rueping et al. who reported the asymmetric Nazarov cyclisation of dienones 49 catalysed by \( N \)-triflyl phosphoramde (R)-45b (Scheme 19).\(^{[102]} \) This method provides the corresponding
cyclopentenones 50 in good yields and with excellent enantioselectivities for the *cis* as well as the *trans* product.

![Scheme 19](image.png)

Scheme 19. Rueping’s Nazarov cyclisation of dienones 49 catalysed by (R)-45b.

One year later, the same group published an asymmetric Friedel-Crafts alkylation of indoles, also catalysed by *N*-triflyl phosphoramidate (R)-45b.\[^{103}\] In the reaction of *N*-methylindole 51 with *α*-keto ester 52, a variety of *N*-triflyl phosphoramides gave mainly bisindole 53 with the highest atropisomeric ratio being 81:19 (Scheme 20). Two molecules of indole added to the *α*-keto group, the first in a 1,2-addition to the enone contained in *α*-keto ester 52, and the second in an overall nucleophilic substitution of the resulting hydroxyl group.

![Scheme 20](image.png)

Scheme 20. A variety of *N*-triflyl phosphoramides (R)-45b gave bisindole 53 in the Friedel-Crafts alkylation of *N*-methylindole 51.
Interestingly, employing catalyst (R)-54 led to the predominant formation of the 3-substituted indole product 55 resulting from a highly enantioselective 1,4-addition to 56 (Scheme 21). The authors reasoned this may be attributed to the steric properties of the catalyst. The 3,3’-C–Si bond is longer than the corresponding C–C bond in catalysts (R)-45, and the spherically arranged phenyl groups on the silicon atoms increase the steric demand at the catalytically active centre, resulting in better shielding of the carbonyl groups in the activation process, which gives rise to the regioselective 1,4-addition of indole.

![Scheme 21](image)

Scheme 21. (R)-54 led to the 3-substituted indole product 55 resulting from a 1,4-addition to 56.

This publication was followed by three other examples on the Friedel-Crafts reaction of indoles. Activation of carbonyl compounds by chiral Brønsted acids afforded a range of 2- and 3-substituted indoles.

The work of You and co-workers opened the door to enantiopure 2-substituted indole derivatives, which hitherto had been difficult to access by catalytic asymmetric synthesis. They developed the Friedel-Crafts alkylation of 4,7-dihydroindole 57 with β,γ-unsaturated α-keto esters 58. The reaction was catalysed by N-triflyl phosphoramidate (S)-45a and proceeded
in a highly enantioselective manner (up to 99:1 e.r.) (Scheme 22). Subsequent oxidation of the products with \( p \)-benzoquinone led to 2-alkylated indole derivatives 59 with perfect retention of the stereochemistry.
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\end{array}
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**Scheme 22.** You’s route to enantiopure 2-substituted indole derivatives 59.
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Ma *et al.* reported the asymmetric synthesis of trifluoromethyl-substituted tertiary alcohols 60 by the reaction of indole derivatives 61 with aryl trifluoromethyl ketones 62 (Scheme 23).\(^{[105]}\) The CF\(_3\) group, with its electron-withdrawing ability, improved the reactivity of substrates and allowed the less acidic phosphoric acid (\( S \))-32c to be the catalyst of choice.
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**Scheme 23.** Ma’s synthesis of trifluoromethyl-substituted tertiary alcohols 60.
In contrast to Rueping’s publication\textsuperscript{[103]} (see above), the reaction stops at the tertiary alcohol. A plausible explanation for this is given by DFT calculations showing that Brønsted acid-catalysed OH activation would be difficult due to an apparent shortening of the C–O bond in comparison to its non-fluorinated analogue (CF\textsubscript{3} = CH\textsubscript{3}). The consequence is a suppression of further arylation of the alcohol products by another indole molecule.

In another publication from You \textit{et al.}, the chiral phosphoric acid (S)-\textsuperscript{32d} catalysed the tandem double Friedel-Crafts reaction of indoles \textbf{63} with 2-formylbiphenyl compounds \textbf{64}, affording fluorene derivatives \textbf{65} with high enantioselectivity (up to 98:2 e.r.) (Scheme 24).\textsuperscript{[106]}
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\textbf{Scheme 24.} You published the tandem double Friedel-Crafts reaction of indoles \textbf{63} with 2-formylbiphenyl compounds \textbf{64} catalysed by (S)-\textsuperscript{32d}.

The use of more reactive aldehydes allows the less acidic phosphoric acid (S)-\textsuperscript{32d} to promote the first Friedel-Crafts reaction (Scheme 25). The secondary alcohol \textbf{66} is unstable under the reaction conditions and undergoes loss of H\textsubscript{2}O to form a contact ion pair \textbf{67}, in which the chiral phosphate counteranion creates a chiral environment to differentiate the enantiotopic
faces of the 3-benzylidene-3\textit{H}-indolium cation in the second Friedel-Crafts reaction.

Rearomatisation gives fluorene product 65 and regenerates (S)-32d.

\[ \text{Scheme 25. The reaction proceeds via contact ion pair 67.} \]

Only a few months after their work on 3-substituted indoles, Rueping \textit{et al.} published a highly enantioselective organocatalytic carbonyl-ene reaction (Scheme 26).\cite{107} Similar to the allylation of aldehydes, this reaction is an important C–C bond-forming process for the preparation of synthetically valuable homoallylic alcohols. A broad range of styrene derivatives 68 were reacted with trifluoropyruvate 69 to afford \( \alpha \)-hydroxyesters 70 in good yields (55-96\%) and with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 98.5:1.5 e.r.). Only 1 mol\% of phosphoramidate (\( R \))-H\textsubscript{3}-45c was necessary to mediate the reaction of the highly reactive carbonyl starting material 69. The structure of the chiral Brønsted acids was also described for the first time in this publication and demonstrated that the catalyst which was put into the
reaction is the protonated $N$-triflyl phosphoramidate and not the corresponding salt (a detailed discussion of the nature of the catalyst is described in Chapter 3.1).

$$\begin{align*}
&\text{Ar} = \ \text{F}_3\text{C} = \text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\
&\text{F}_3\text{C} = \text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\
&\text{Ar} = \ \text{F}_3\text{C} = \text{CO}_2\text{Et}
\end{align*}$$

Scheme 26. Rueping’s enantioselective carbonyl-ene reaction.

The first example of the activation of carbonyl compounds by chiral phosphoric acids was described by Terada et al. in early 2008.\[108\] They reported the activation of glyoxylate 71 for an aza-ene-type reaction with ene-carbamates 72 which proceeded in a highly enantio- and diastereoselective manner (Scheme 27).

$$\begin{align*}
&\text{EtO}_2\text{C} = \text{H} \\
&\text{R}^1\text{MeMe}_2\text{N} - \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\
&\text{EtO}_2\text{C} = \text{H}
\end{align*}$$

Scheme 27. Terada’s aza-ene-type reaction of glyoxylate 71 with enecarbamates 72 catalysed by (R)-32e.

DFT computational analysis of the complexation modes demonstrated that a double hydrogen bonding interaction between the phosphoric acid and the glyoxylate is crucial in providing the high enantioselectivity (Figure 14). The hydrogen bond between the formyl hydrogen atom and the phosphoryl oxygen atom forces a coplanar orientation of the formyl group and the
phosphoric acid sub-unit, which results in one enantiotopic face of the aldehyde being effectively shielded by one of the phenyl groups. In contrast, the other face is fully accessible to attack by the enecarbamate.

![Figure 14. DFT computational analysis demonstrates the importance of double hydrogen bonding interaction between the phosphoric acid and the glyoxylate.](image)

One year later, the same group published the highly enantio- and anti-selective hetero Diels-Alder reaction between glyoxylate 71 and siloxy- or methoxydienes 73 catalysed by chiral phosphoric acid (R)-32f (Scheme 28).[109] The anti-dihydropyran products 74 (d.r. >99:1) were obtained in good yields (51-95%) and with excellent enantioselectivity (all examples greater than 97.5:2.5 e.r.).

![Scheme 28. Terada’s hetero Diels-Alder reaction between glyoxylate 71 and dienes 73 catalysed by (R)-32f.](image)
It is noteworthy that for this type of reaction, only chiral Lewis acids (e.g. enantiomerically pure BINOL complexed with Ti(Oi-Pr)_4 and tridentate Schiff base ligands complexed with Ti(Oi-Pr)_4)\textsuperscript{110} had been used previously to provide high levels of stereoselectivity, albeit with a syn relationship between the vicinal substituents of the dihydropyran. When BF_3·OEt_2 was employed in this Diels-Alder reaction, the diastereoselectivity dropped to 1:1. This result indicates that i) the secondary orbital interactions are weak in this hetero-Diels-Alder reaction and ii) the steric demand of the catalyst seems to be the dominant factor in increasing the syn selectivity. The last consideration was supported by the fact that incorporating bulkier groups than the phenyl substituent, such as 2,4,6-(i-Pr)_3C_6H_2-substituents on the 3,3’-positions of the catalyst, led to a significant reduction in selectivity in favour of the syn diastereoisomer. Two plausible transition-state structures were reported where the endo orientation is not favourable because of the steric repulsion between the diene substituents and the glyoxylate (Figure 15).

\[ \text{favoured TS } \quad | \quad \text{disfavoured TS} \]

\textbf{Figure 15.} In the transition state the \textit{exo} orientation is favoured over the \textit{endo} one.

The following two examples describe the first aldol reactions where chiral phosphoric acids and N-triflyl phosphoramides are used to catalyse this powerful C–C bond-forming process. Blanchet and coworkers developed the direct aldol reaction between ethyl glyoxylate 71 and different ketone nucleophiles 75 in the presence of the H_8-BINOL-derived phosphoric acid
catalyst (R)-76 (Scheme 29).\textsuperscript{[111]} The β-hydroxy ketone products 77 were obtained in moderate to excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivities (up to 95:5 d.r. and 95:5 e.r.). Notably, this syn-enantioselective aldol reaction is complementary to enamine (proline) catalysis, which usually favours the anti diastereoisomer.

\[ (\text{R})-76 \text{(5 mol\%)} \to \text{OH} \]

\[ \text{X} = \text{CH}_2, \text{O}, \text{S} \]

\[ 75 \quad 71 \]

\[ \text{toluene, 0 °C-R.T.} \]

\[ \text{X} = \text{C, O, S} \]

\[ 77 \]

\[ 42-86\% \text{ yield} \]

\[ \text{up to 95:5 syn:anti} \]

\[ \text{up to 95:5 e.r.} \]

\[ \text{Scheme 29. Blanchet’s direct aldol reaction between ethyl glyoxylate 71} \]

\[ \text{and ketones 75 catalysed by (R)-76.} \]

Yamamoto used the more acidic N-triflyl thiophosphoramidate (S)-78 to catalyse an asymmetric Mukaiyama aldol reaction between various aldehydes 79 and silyl enol ethers 80 (Scheme 30).\textsuperscript{[112]} The aldol products 81 showed good to excellent enantioselectivities (up to 96:4 e.r.).
Mechanistic studies revealed that the silylated Brønsted acid may be an actual catalyst at R.T. (Lewis acid pathway), whereas at low temperature, the Brønsted acid itself may be the actual catalyst (Brønsted acid pathway).

1.4.3 Organocatalytic activation via reactive intermediates

Another challenge within the growing field of chiral Brønsted acid catalysis is the investigation of reactions where a positively charged reactive intermediate (carbocation, oxacarbenium ion) is associated with the negatively charged conjugate base in a contact ion pair. The intermediate might be stabilised through intermolecular π-π stacking interactions as well as electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonding. These interactions determine the relative orientation of the cationic intermediate in the chiral environment created by the
associated anion. The underlying principle, namely Asymmetric Counteranion-Directed Catalysis (ACDC), was first introduced by List[113] and forms the basis for our hypothesis on the asymmetric alkylation of acetals. So far, there have been only four examples in the literature where this challenge has been tackled using organocatalysts. Noteworthy is the work of Jacobsen and co-workers who have applied the principle of H-bond donor catalysis by anion binding of urea and thiourea derivatives to the enantioselective transformation of oxacarbenium ions[114] and carbocations.[115] The above mentioned nucleophilic additions of indole to carbonyl groups (Chapter 1.4.2) also follow the concept of ACDC because in each case a mesomeric stabilised cation is formed.

Yamamoto again employed the more acidic N-triflyl thiophosphoramde (S)-82 as a catalyst for the enantioselective protonation of prochiral enol derivatives to prepare enantiomerically enriched α-substituted carbonyl compounds.[116] This work provides the first metal-free Brønsted acid-catalysed asymmetric protonation of silyl enol ethers 83 using a chiral Brønsted acid catalyst in the presence of achiral Brønsted acid media (Scheme 31). The ketone products 84 were obtained quantitatively with excellent enantioselectivity (up to 95:5 e.r.).

Scheme 31. Yamamoto published the enantioselective protonation of prochiral enol derivatives.
Scheme 32 outlines the proposed mechanism of this interesting reaction: Initially, the protonation of silyl enol ether \( \text{83} \) takes place enantioselectively from the chiral Brønsted acid \( \text{HY}^-\text{S} \text{82}\) or achiral oxonium ion pair \([\text{PhOH}_2]^+[\text{Y}^-] \text{85}\), generated by rapid proton transfer between \((S)\text{-82}\) and the achiral proton source PhOH. An intermediate chiral ion pair \([83H]^+[\text{Y}^-] \text{86}\) of the oxacarbenium ion and the conjugate base is formed. This is followed by desilylation with PhOH to form the corresponding ketone \(\text{84}\), the silylated achiral proton source PhOTMS with concomitant regeneration of \(\text{HY}^-\text{S} \text{82}\).

---

Terada et al. demonstrated the direct aldol-type reaction of azlactones \(\text{87}\) via protonation of vinyl ethers \(\text{88}\) by chiral Brønsted acid \((R)\text{-32c}\) (Scheme 33). The \(\beta\)-hydroxy-\(\alpha\)-amino acid products \(\text{89}\) possessing a quaternary stereogenic centre at the \(\alpha\)-carbon atom were afforded in good to excellent yields in a highly enantio- and \textit{syn}-diastereoselective manner.
Scheme 33. Terada’s direct aldol-type reaction of azlactones 87 via protonation of vinyl ethers 88 catalysed by (R)-32c.

The proposed mechanism suggests proton transfer from the chiral Brønsted acid (R)-32c to vinyl ether 88 to generate an oxacarbenium ion, which forms an intermediate chiral ion pair \([88H]^+ \cdot [Y^*]^-\) 90 with the negatively charged conjugate base (Scheme 34). Asymmetric induction occurs via stereochemical communication, namely via hydrogen bonding, between the cationic intermediate and the chiral counteranion. This ion pair then reacts with the azlactone 87 via its oxazole tautomer 91 to form the corresponding product 89 and the regenerated (R)-32c.

Scheme 34. The proposed mechanism suggests an oxacarbenium ion as intermediate, which forms a chiral ion pair 90 with the conjugate base.
List et al. described the highly enantioselective kinetic resolution of alcohols tethered to an acetal moiety via a transacetalisation reaction. Both secondary and tertiary acetal homoaldols (γ-hydroxyacetals) rac-92 were exposed to the newly designed spirocyclic phosphoric acid STRIP (S)-93 [6,6’-bis(2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)-1,1’-spirobiindan-7,7’-diyl hydrogen phosphate] to lead to enantioenriched (up to 99:1 e.r.) cyclic acetals 94 as cis diastereoisomers (up to >50:1 d.r.) and enantioenriched acetal homoaldols 95 (up to 98.5:1.5 e.r.) (Scheme 35).

![Scheme 35](image)

**Scheme 35.** List’s kinetic resolution of alcohols rac-92 via a transacetalisation reaction.

The method represents a very atom-economical kinetic resolution because it does not require any stoichiometric reagents and forms EtOH as the only by-product. Furthermore, the acetal group in cyclic acetals 94 can easily be modified, giving access to enantioenriched tetrahydrofurans and γ-butyrolactones. Although the authors did not comment on the actual mechanism, one possibility is that the reaction proceeds via contact ion pair 96 where the cationic oxacarbenium ion comes from the one homoaldol enantiomer that undergoes cyclisation (Figure 16).
In the fourth example, Rueping and coworkers described the asymmetric intramolecular allylic substitution of allylic alcohols 97 to 2H-chromenes 98 (Scheme 36).\textsuperscript{[119]} The reaction is catalysed by chiral N-triflyl phosphoramido (R)-99 and proceeds with good to excellent yields (61-95\%) and in a highly enantioselective manner (up to 98:2 e.r.).

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 36.} Rueping’s intramolecular allylic substitution of allylic alcohols 97 to 2H-chromenes 98 catalysed by (R)-99.
\end{center}

On the basis of different experiments described in the article, they propose that the Brønsted acid (R)-99 protonates the allylic alcohol 97. Subsequent dehydration gives a carbocation which is associated with the phosphoramido anion in a chiral contact ion pair 100 (Figure 17). Their model favours a carbocation with an \textit{anti,anti} configuration, which is stabilised through intramolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions as well as an intermolecular electrostatic interaction.
with the counteranion. The two ions of the ion pair orientate in such a way that the positive charge of the cation is compensated by the negative charge delocalised on the OPNTf system. The HO phenolic group is subsequently deprotonated by the catalyst, enabling intramolecular attack of the oxygen nucleophile and regeneration of the effective catalyst. Cation-π interactions between the substrate and the catalyst are most likely responsible for the excellent enantioselection observed in this reaction.

![Proposed contact ion pair](image)

**Figure 17.** Proposed contact ion pair 100 in the allylic substitution.

### 1.4.4 Organocatalytic allylations

To the best of my knowledge, no allylation reaction involving one of those reactive intermediates mentioned in Chapter 1.4.3 has been reported in the literature. There are only two examples of an allylation process mediated by chiral phosphoric acids. In 2010, Antilla reported the chiral phosphoric acid-catalysed allylboration of aldehydes 101 (Scheme 37). The homoallylic alcohol products 102 were obtained in high yields (up to 99%) and with high enantioselectivities (up to 99.5:0.5 e.r.).
They propose that activation via protonation of the boronate oxygen would provide a reasonable explanation for the reactivity (Figure 18). Work by Hall\cite{121,122} and Schaus\cite{123} support this hypothesis.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure18.png}
\caption{Antilla proposes protonation of the boronate oxygen as activation mode.}
\end{figure}

Very recently Terada developed the highly enantio- (up to 99:1 e.r.) and syn diastereoselective (up to 93:7 d.r.) Hosomi-Sakurai reaction of imines 103 with allyl- and crotyltrimethylsilane 104 (Scheme 38) employing 100 mol\% of chiral Brønsted acid (R)-105.\cite{124}
A combined Brønsted acid system employing chiral phosphoric acid (R)-105 (20 mol%) and achiral Brønsted acid 106 (80 mol%) allowed for an enantioselective catalytic process. In this system, regeneration of the chiral Brønsted acid HY* is possible by proton transfer from the additional achiral Brønsted acid HY to chiral silylated phosphoric acid SiY* (Figure 19).

**Figure 19.** A combined Brønsted acid system allows an enantioselective catalytic process.
This section on organocatalysis has introduced into the concept of chiral Brønsted acids, in particular BINOL-based phosphoric acids and phosphoramides (Chapter 1.4.1). The first two examples of chiral phosphoric acid-mediated processes were described in 2004 and all involve an imine as the substrate. In the following years, the number of publications describing enantioselective additions to imines has increased rapidly, enabling Goodman to introduce his model for imine reactions catalysed by BINOL-based phosphoric acids in 2011. Turning the focus to the activation of carbonyl compounds (Chapter 1.4.2), the research community found a clear trend: Reactive carbonyl compounds (with ester- or trifluoromethyl substituents) can be activated by phosphoric acids whilst “normal” carbonyl groups require more acidic phosphoramides and thiophosphoramides. To the best of our knowledge only a few reactions have been described, which involve a positively charged reactive intermediate (carbocation, oxacarbenium ion) following the concept of ACDC (Chapter 1.4.3) and so far, no allylation reaction involving one of those reactive intermediates has been reported (Chapter 1.4.4). There are only two examples of an allylation process mediated by a chiral phosphoric acid. One involves the allyliboration of aldehydes reported by Antilla in 2010, which proceeds via a six-membered transition state. In the second example, Terada achieved the Hosomi-Sakurai reaction using the less nucleophilic allyltrimethylsilane with imines in early 2011. An obvious next stage in the development of this field would be to investigate the Hosomi-Sakurai reaction of allyltrimethylsilane with a latent electrophile like an acetal. This is the aim of our project, which will be described in detail in the next Chapter.
2 Project Aims and Objectives

Based on the hypothesis described in Chapter 1.3.2, our group wished to investigate the use of different chiral Brønsted acids derived from BINOL in an asymmetric alkylation of acetals. To this end we have the following objectives:

i) To synthesise a range of enantiomerically pure phosphoric acids based on a BINOL core, some of which have already been successfully employed in other Brønsted acid-mediated processes (Figure 20).

![Figure 20. Chiral phosphoric acids based on a BINOL core.](image)

ii) To synthesise a range of enantiomerically pure phosphoramides based on a BINOL core, which are more acidic than the phosphoric acids and which have also already been successfully employed in other Brønsted acid-mediated processes (Figure 21).
iii) To synthesise a range of enantiomerically pure sulfonic acids, which represent a new class of even stronger chiral Brønsted acids (Figure 22).

iv) To investigate the use of these chiral Brønsted acids, which differ in their acidity, in a catalytic asymmetric allylation of acetals (Scheme 39).

**Figure 21.** Chiral phosphoramides based on a BINOL core.

**Figure 22.** Chiral sulfonic acids based on a binaphthyl core.

**Scheme 39.** Asymmetric allylation of acetals.
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Synthesis of chiral BINOL-based phosphoric acids and phosphoramides

As described in Chapter 1.4.1, various 3,3’-disubstituted phosphoric acids and phosphoramides based on a BINOL core, have already proven their worth as catalysts in a range of asymmetric processes.\cite{97-99} Models which are used to rationalise the observed stereoselectivity in these reactions commonly refer to well-defined molecular conformations associated with non-covalent $\pi-\pi$ interactions (face-face and edge-face) between the aromatic substrate/intermediate and the aromatic 3,3’-substituents in the accompanying BINOL catalyst. There is no single 3,3’-substituent which is universally successful for all transformations. We therefore synthesised a range of BINOL-based phosphoric acids and phosphoramides bearing different substituents on the 3,3’-positions (Table 1). Our synthetic strategy is already well established in the literature.
Table 1. Synthesised BINOL-based phosphoric acids and phosphoramides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(S)-45d and (R)-45d</td>
<td>p-tolyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-45e</td>
<td>3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-45a</td>
<td>2,4,6-(i-Pr)₃C₆H₂</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-45f</td>
<td>9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-45h</td>
<td>10-p-tolyl-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-45i</td>
<td>4-Br-1-naphthyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-H₈-45j</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-107</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHC₆F₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-108</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-32g</td>
<td>p-tolyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-32h</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-32i</td>
<td>10-F-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>OH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparatively useful syntheses generally begin with BINOL rac-109, which was prepared from 2-naphthol 110 and FeCl₃ in an oxidative radical coupling reaction in 77% yield. Subsequent resolution of this racemic material with N-benzylcinchoninium chloride 111 gave (R)-BINOL (R)-109 in 99.5:0.5 e.r., and (S)-BINOL (S)-109 in 99.55:0.45 e.r., as determined by chiral HPLC, with 95% recovery of the starting material (Scheme 40).
Aryl groups on the 3,3’-positions of the BINOL scaffold are usually introduced by a cross-coupling reaction of a boronic acid with an aryl halide.\cite{128} To obtain these precursors, the alcohol functionality in enantiomerically pure BINOL 109 was first protected by reaction with MeI in the presence of K$_2$CO$_3$ to afford dimethoxy-ether 112 in 97% yield (85% yield after recrystallisation) (Scheme 41).\cite{128,129} In the next step, directed ortholithiation, trapping the resulting dilithio intermediate with B(OEt)$_3$, and subsequent hydrolysis, provided bis-boronic acid 113 in 55% yield after recrystallisation.\cite{128} Bis-iodo-ether (R)-114 was also synthesised by ortholithiation of (R)-112 and subsequent trapping with I$_2$.\cite{130} Recrystallisation of the crude product afforded the enantiomerically pure product in 67% yield.

Reduction of the BINOL core in (R)-109 was achieved quantitively with Adams’ catalyst under H$_2$ atmosphere.\cite{131} Beller has developed an elegant synthetic sequence for the direct
Suzuki coupling of unprotected H₈-BINOLs which would allow us to avoid the use of a protecting group. Following this lead, we brominated diol (R)-H₈-115 at –30 °C to obtain bis-bromo-diol (R)-H₈-116 in 82% yield. The other precursors for the Suzuki coupling on route to catalyst (R)-H₈-45j were obtained by protection of diol (R)-H₈-115 with MeI in the presence of K₂CO₃ to afford dimethoxy-ether (R)-H₈-117 in 85% yield after recrystallisation. Subsequent bromination or ortholithiation-iodination gave bis-bromo-ether (R)-H₈-118 quantitatively, and bis-iodo-ether (R)-H₈-119 in 71% yield, respectively.
Bis-bromo-diol \((R)-\text{H}_8-116\) and bis-bromo-ether \((R)-\text{H}_8-118\) were employed in a Suzuki coupling with 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid 120 following a literature procedure for coupling the structurally similar 9-anthracene boronic acid 121 with 3,3’-diiodo-2,2’-dimethoxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl \((R)-114\) \cite{134}; however both substrates were not sufficiently

Scheme 41. Synthesis of cross-coupling precursors.
reactive to give the desired bis-coupled product under the coupling conditions employed. Although I could have investigated more reactive catalysts, I instead decided to turn to the more reactive bis-iodo-ether (R)-H₈-119 as the aryl halide component in the Suzuki coupling. Now the desired bis-coupled product was formed (Scheme 42). After work-up, the crude product was demethylated with BBr₃ and diol (R)-H₈-122 was isolated in 17% yield after column chromatography. In comparison to the high-yielding Suzuki coupling of (R)-bis-iodo-ether (R)-114 with boronic acid 120 (vide infra), the low yield in this reaction can be explained by the fact that more electron-rich aryl halides are less reactive substrates in this type of coupling reaction.

![Scheme 42. Suzuki coupling and demethylation to obtain diol (R)-H₈-122.](image)

Bis-boronic acid 113 was used as a precursor en route to catalysts (S)- and (R)-45d and (S)-45e (Scheme 43). Suzuki coupling with 4-bromotoluene provided the desired bis-coupled product 123 in 61% yield, together with a small amount of the mono-coupled product 124 in 18% yield, which was readily separable from bis-tolyl 123 by flash column chromatography. Subsequent deprotection of bis-tolyl product 123 with BBr₃ gave diol 125 in 97% yield. The same sequence was followed for the preparation of diol (S)-126: Suzuki coupling of bis-boronic acid (S)-113 with 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)iodobenzene led to bis- and mono-coupled
product (S)-127 and (S)-128 in 49% and 10% yield, respectively. Demethylation of bis-ether (S)-127 afforded diol (S)-126 in 97% yield.\textsuperscript{[135]}

\begin{scheme}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{scheme43.png}

\textbf{Conditions:} (a) 4-bromotoluene, Ba(OH)$_2$, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, dioxane/H$_2$O (3:1), reflux, bis-ether 123: 61%, mono-ether 124: 18%. (b) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to R.T., 97%. (c) 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)iodozenen e, Na$_2$CO$_3$, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, DME/EtOH/H$_2$O, reflux, bis-ether (S)-127: 49%, mono-ether (S)-128: 10%. (d) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to R.T., 97%.

\textbf{Scheme 43.} Suzuki coupling and demethylation to obtain diols (R)- and (S)-125 and (S)-126.
A Negishi coupling was employed in the synthesis of catalyst $(R)$-45a (Scheme 44). Sterically hindered 1-bromo-2,4,6-triisopropylbenzene was converted into the corresponding organozinc reagent, which was bis-coupled to bis-iodo-ether $(R)$-114 in the presence of 1 mol% of a highly reactive bis-(tri-tert-butylphosphine)palladium catalyst. After work-up, the crude product was demethylated to provide diol $(R)$-129 in 53% yield.\textsuperscript{[136]}

![Scheme 44. Negishi coupling and demethylation to obtain diol $(R)$-129.](image)

For the synthesis of the diol-precursor $(R)$-130, 9-anthracene boronic acid 121 was prepared by reaction of 9-lithioanthracene (generated by the action of $n$-BuLi on 9-bromoanthracene) with B(OMe)$_3$ and subsequent hydrolysis (Scheme 45).\textsuperscript{[137]} The crude product was used in a Suzuki coupling with bis-iodo-ether $(R)$-114 to afford diol $(R)$-130 in 93% yield after deprotection with BBr$_3$.\textsuperscript{[134]} The same conditions were used in the Suzuki coupling of bis-iodo-ether $(R)$-114 with commercially available 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid 120 to
provide bis-ether (R)-131 in 80% yield. This compound was exposed to another cross-coupling\textsuperscript{[138]} with 4-tolylboronic acid 132\textsuperscript{[139]} which was prepared from 4-bromotoluene by lithiation and treatment with B(OMe)\textsubscript{3}, followed by hydrolysis to the boronic acid. After demethylation with BBr\textsubscript{3}, diol (R)-133 was isolated in 96% yield.\textsuperscript{[128]} When preparing diol (R)-134, bis-ether (R)-131 was not isolated; instead the crude product was treated with BBr\textsubscript{3} to provide the diol (R)-134 in 75% yield, alongside mono-bromo-diol (R)-135 in 19% yield.\textsuperscript{[128]} The Suzuki coupling–deprotection sequence was also applied to the synthesis of diol (R)-136 in 73% overall yield.
Conditions: (a) (i) 9-anthracene boronic acid 121, Na$_2$CO$_3$, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, toluene/EtOH/H$_2$O (2:1:1), reflux; (ii) work-up; (iii) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to R.T., 93%. (b) (i) 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid 120, Na$_2$CO$_3$, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, toluene/EtOH/H$_2$O (2:1:1), reflux; (ii) work-up; (iii) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to R.T., bis-diol (R)-134: 75%, mono-diol (R)-135: 19%. (c) 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid 120, Na$_2$CO$_3$, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, toluene/EtOH/H$_2$O (2:1:1), reflux, 80%. (d) (i) 4-tolyboronic acid 132, K$_2$CO$_3$, Pd(OAc)$_2$, tri(3-tolyl)-phosphine, toluene/DME/H$_2$O (2:2:1), reflux; (ii) work-up; (iii) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to R.T., 96%. (e) (i) 4-bromonaphthyl-1-boronic acid, Na$_2$CO$_3$, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, toluene/EtOH/H$_2$O (2:1:1), reflux; (ii) work-up; (iii) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to R.T., 73%.

Scheme 45. Suzuki coupling–deprotection sequences to obtain diol precursors.
For the synthesis of the \(N\)-triflyl phosphoramidate catalysts 45, a general procedure was followed which was published by Yamamoto when he first introduced this class of chiral Brønsted acids in 2006.\[87]\ The diol precursors 137 were first reacted with phosphorus oxychloride to generate the corresponding phosphoryl chloride intermediates 138 which were not isolated (Table 2). Instead, the reflux temperature of the reaction mixture was increased by adding EtCN and then trifluoromethanesulfonamide was added to convert the phosphoryl chlorides into the corresponding \(N\)-triflyl phosphoramides 45. All catalysts were prepared uneventfully in this manner and the yields of this final step are summarised in Table 2 (entries 1-8). The \(N\)-pentafluorophenylsulfonyl phosphoramidate 107, which is a more acidic Brønsted acid, was synthesised by addition of pentafluorophenylsulfonamide instead of trifluoromethanesulfonamide (entry 9).

\(N\)-Triflyl thiophosphoramide 108 represents a class of more acidic chiral Brønsted acids and was obtained by the use of phosphorus thiochloride in place of phosphorus oxychloride (entry 10). Yamamoto introduced this type of catalyst in 2008.\[116]\ In general, acidity increases on descending a group of the Periodic Table due to the larger atoms better stabilising the negatively charged conjugate base. For example, the \(pK_a\) values of PhOH, PhSH, and PhSeH in DMSO are 18.0, 10.3, and 7.1, respectively.\[140]\ Yamamoto showed by X-ray crystallography that their synthesised \(N\)-triflyl thiophosphoramide has a \(P=S\) double bond rather than a \(P=N\) double bond, which implies the proton is located on the nitrogen and not the sulfur atom.

It is important to note that an acid wash with 4 M hydrochloric acid (twice) was necessary with all phosphoramides after purification of the crude product by column chromatography to convert the obtained phosphoramidate salt into the acid. After removing the solvent (usually \(Et_2O\)) in vacuo, with each product, a change in consistency and colour in comparison to the
salt was observed. This fits with Rueping’s observations. He examined the X-ray crystal structure of a N-triflyl phosphoramide PA isolated after column chromatography and showed that this was not the free acid, but the corresponding calcium salt Ca(PA)$_2$. Since no calcium ions are present during the synthesis or work-up procedures, it is likely that the PA sequestered calcium cations from the silica gel used for the final column chromatography. After the extraction with hydrochloric acid no calcium was detected in a sample analysed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy.

![Chemical structures](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(S)-45d and (R)-45d</td>
<td>p-tolyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(S)-45e</td>
<td>3,5-(CF$_3$)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(R)-45a</td>
<td>2,4,6-(i-Pr)$_3$C$_6$H$_2$</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(R)-45f</td>
<td>9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(R)-45h</td>
<td>10-p-tolyl-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(R)-45i</td>
<td>4-Br-1-naphthyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(R)-H$_8$-45j</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(R)-107</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>NHC$_6$F$_5$</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(R)-108</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(S)-32g</td>
<td>p-tolyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(R)-32h</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(R)-32i</td>
<td>10-F-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Synthesised N-triflyl phosphoramide and phosphoric acid catalysts.

---

2 commercially available silica gel contains traces of calcium
Phosphoric acids 32 were prepared following a general procedure. Again, the diol precursors 137 were reacted with phosphorus oxychloride, this time in pyridine, to generate the corresponding phosphoryl chloride intermediates 138, which were not isolated (Scheme 46), but hydrolysed directly to provide the corresponding phosphoric acids 32. Since BINOL-derived phosphoric acids are also known to bind calcium upon purification on silica, an acid wash was carried out to release the cation and ensure the phosphoric acid was isolated. Phosphoric acids 32g-32i were prepared in this manner and the yields of this final step are summarised in Table 2 (entries 11-13).

![Scheme 46. Synthesis of phosphoric acid catalysts.](image)

Diol precursor (R)-139 was synthesised from diol (R)-134 in three additional steps (Scheme 47). The TMS-protection was achieved with HMDS and a sub-stoichiometric amount I₂ to afford TMS-protected bis-bromo-diol (R)-140 in 96% yield. In the next step, a metal-halogen exchange with t-BuLi afforded the bis-lithiated species, which was quenched by the addition of N-fluorodibenzenesulfonimide to provide TMS-protected bis-fluoro-diol (R)-141 in 77% yield. Three long columns with the mixed fractions were needed to fully separate TMS-protected bis-fluoro-diol (R)-141 from TMS-protected mono-fluoro-diol (R)-142 and bis-anthracenyl-diol (R)-130. TBAF was used for the deprotection to afford diol precursor (R)-139 in 55% yield.
Originally, it was planned to convert diol \((R)-139\) into the corresponding \(N\)-triflyl phosphoramidate (see Chapter 3.2.2.2). Following the general procedure,\(^\text{[87]}\) the diol was readily transformed into the phosphoryl chloride intermediate; however I was surprised to discover that the subsequent phosphoramidation step failed. I had expected the bis-fluoro-phosphoryl chloride intermediate to be even more reactive than the corresponding bis-bromo-phosphoryl chloride; however for unknown reasons the reaction failed. Therefore, we hydrolysed the
phosphoryl chloride to provide phosphoric acid \((R)-32i\) in 91% yield after column chromatography and a final acid wash (entry 13).

In summary a range of phosphoric acids and phosphoramides were synthesised and then used to investigate the asymmetric allylation of acetals as described in the next section.

3.2 Investigation of the asymmetric allylation of acetals

We first chose to focus on acetals derived from aryl aldehydes, since the proposed oxacarbenium ions, generated from these types of substrate, may form favourable non-covalent \(\pi-\pi\) interactions with the binaphthalene scaffold and a well defined conformation in which the enantiotopic faces of the electrophile are differentiated. All reported e.r. values were measured twice by chiral HPLC which usually led to the same value or to a maximum in error of 1%. In the later case the reported e.r. is the higher value.

3.2.1 Acyclic acetals derived from different benzaldehyde derivatives

3.2.1.1 Investigation of phosphoric acids

In order to investigate whether phosphoric acids based on a BINOL core \((pK_a \sim 1.4)\)[86] are sufficiently acidic to activate acyclic acetals, we investigated the reaction between commercially available benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal as the latent electrophile and allyltrimethylsilane under different reaction conditions. Since the racemic phosphoric acid rac-143 is commercially available we started our study with this 3,3’-unsubstituted
compound. Table 3 summarises the results from these reactions and includes information on the catalyst solubility.

\[ \text{OMe} \quad \text{OMe} \quad + \quad \text{rac-143} \quad \text{solvent, temp, 24 h} \quad \text{OMe} \quad \text{OMe} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>SiMe(_3) [equiv]</th>
<th>rac-143 [mol%]</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>temp [°C]</th>
<th>solubility of acid</th>
<th>% yield(^a) of allylation product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH(_2)Cl(_2)</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>R.T.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Et(_2)O</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>R.T.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH(_3)CN</td>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>not fully</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH(_2)Cl(_2)</td>
<td>R.T.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH(_3)CN</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>R.T.</td>
<td>not fully</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH(_2)Cl(_2)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>&lt; 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) determined by GC

Table 3. Allylation reactions carried out using phosphoric acid rac-143 as the activator.

Carrying out the reaction with 1 equivalent of phosphoric acid rac-143 in different solvents (toluene, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), Et\(_2\)O and CH\(_3\)CN) failed to afford any allylation product as indicated by TLC (entry 1). A significant problem was the low solubility of the acid activator in all four solvents tested. Reducing the amount of Brønsted acid rac-143 to 10 mol\% (entry 2) proved to be the right choice: the catalyst was now completely soluble in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and CH\(_3\)CN but not in our favoured solvent, toluene, which is an aromatic solvent with low dielectric constant.
The allylation product was only observed in the reaction with CH₂Cl₂ in < 5% yield. Employing 5 equivalents of allyltrimethylsilane in toluene provided only trace quantities of the allylation product (entry 3). Increasing the reaction temperature in toluene and CH₂Cl₂ also failed to improve the yield of the desired product (entry 4).

In order to overcome the solubility problems of catalyst rac-143 in toluene, we decided to synthesise the 3,3’-substituted phosphoric acid (S)-32g. This acid catalyst was then used in the allylation reaction with benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal. Table 4 summarises the results from these reactions and includes information about the catalyst solubility.

![Chemical structure](image)

Table 4. Summary of allylation study using phosphoric acid (S)-32g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>SiMe₃ [equiv]</th>
<th>(S)-32g [mol%]</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>temp. [°C]</th>
<th>acid soluble</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>producta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>R.T.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>R.T.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHCl₃</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicated by TLC

Gratifyingly, the Brønsted acid catalyst (S)-32g was now soluble in toluene at R.T. but still no allylation product was observed after 24 h as evidenced by TLC, neither in toluene nor in
CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃ (entry 1). Increasing the reaction temperature failed to improve matters (entry 2).

The conclusions which could be drawn from these experiments with catalysts *rac*-143 and (S)-32g were:

i) These phosphoric acids are not sufficiently acidic to induce the allylation reaction of benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal with allyltrimethylsilane.

ii) We would need to be aware of the potential solubility issues when moving to more acidic catalysts based on a BINOL core.

### 3.2.1.2 Investigation of phosphoramides

Since the Brønsted acidity of the employed phosphoric acids proved too low to activate the dimethyl acetal, we turned towards more acidic BINOL-based phosphoramides (pKₐ ~ –3 to –4),[141] although in light of List’s work (Chapter 1.4.3) and our own observations with the 10-F-9-anthracenyl phosphoric acid (R)-32i (*vide infra*), it may have been worthwhile investigating a wider range of phosphoric acids.

All the following reactions were conducted with 10 mol% of the phosphoramide (S)-45d in different solvents and at ambient temperature (19 to 25 ºC), unless otherwise stated. Since we did not want to waste any precious material, all reactions were carried out on a very small scale (0.300 mmol) although this led to a few problems:

i) Trace amounts of H₂O led to competing hydrolysis of the acyclic acetals to their corresponding aldehydes. We planned to overcome this issue, if it were still a problem on scale up, by using molecular sieves or other drying agents as an additive.

ii) Material is lost during work-up and purification by column chromatography and for this reason, isolated yields for these reactions are not representative of the efficiency of the reaction and therefore are not reported.
At this stage of the investigation I wanted to focus on the possible chirality transfer from the Brønsted acid activator, and I was therefore mainly interested in isolating sufficient pure material to allow determination of the enantiomeric ratio (e.r.) by HPLC. In order to be able to determine the e.r. of our allylation products, the retention times of both enantiomers were identified by first analysing racemic samples. For this purpose, all products were prepared by carrying out a reaction of the acyclic acetals with allytrimethylsilane in the presence of the achiral acid, methanesulfonic acid.\textsuperscript{[69]} The starting acyclic acetals were prepared uneventfully by reacting the corresponding aldehyde with trimethylorthoformate in MeOH in the presence of \textit{p}-TSA.\textsuperscript{[144]} In all cases, the crude product was sufficiently pure to be used directly in the next allylation step. Table 5 summarises the acyclic acetals 144 and allylation products 145 which were prepared.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>acyclic acetal</th>
<th>yield [%]</th>
<th>racemic allylation product</th>
<th>yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure of acyclic acetal 146" /></td>
<td>commercially available</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure of racemic allylation product 153" /></td>
<td>47(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure of acyclic acetal 147" /></td>
<td>97</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure of racemic allylation product 154" /></td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Structure of acyclic acetal 148" /></td>
<td>98</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Structure of racemic allylation product 155" /></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Structure of acyclic acetal 149" /></td>
<td>100</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Structure of racemic allylation product 156" /></td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Structure of acyclic acetal 150" /></td>
<td>100</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Structure of racemic allylation product 157" /></td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Structure of acyclic acetal 151" /></td>
<td>91</td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Structure of racemic allylation product 158" /></td>
<td>36(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Structure of acyclic acetal 152" /></td>
<td>91</td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Structure of racemic allylation product 159" /></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) reduced yield owing to high volatility of the product

Table 5. Acyclic acetals and their corresponding racemic allylation products.

The isolated yields of the racemic allylation products correlate with the reactivity of the starting acetals under Brønsted acid activation as indicated by TLC. Whilst the electron-poor 4-nitro-acetal 148 was not very reactive (after 17 h stirring at R.T. only 25% allylation product was isolated) the electron-rich 4-methoxy-acetal 150 was consumed within 20 min.
stirring at 0 °C. In this particular case, in addition to the mono-allylated product 157, the bis-allylated product 160 was also isolated, albeit in 1% yield (Scheme 48).

![Scheme 48. Mechanism of formation of bis-allylated product 160.](image)

Next, phosphoramidite \( (S)-45d \) was employed in a series of asymmetric allylation reactions with acetals 144 under different reaction conditions as summarised in Table 6. Since we were unable to obtain baseline separation of the enantiomeric methyl ether products 153, 154, and 156 we were unable to determine accurately the enantioselectivity of these reactions and in these cases, simply state whether or not any enantioselectivity was observed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>acetal</th>
<th>45d</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>conditions</th>
<th>e.r.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>acetal 146</td>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>24 h, R.T.</td>
<td>yes⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-naphthyl-acetal 147</td>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>24 h, R.T.</td>
<td>yes⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-nitro-acetal 148</td>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>48 h, R.T.</td>
<td>almost no product formed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3-methoxy-acetal 149</td>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>7 h, R.T.</td>
<td>yes⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>7 h, R.T.</td>
<td>yes⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4-methoxy-acetal 150</td>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>7 h, 0 °C</td>
<td>54:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>–78 to –25 °C, then 4 h –25 °C</td>
<td>54:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4-fluoro-acetal 151</td>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>24 h, R.T.</td>
<td>62:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4-chloro-acetal 152</td>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>CH₃CN</td>
<td>24 h, R.T.</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MTBE</td>
<td></td>
<td>54:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hexane/toluene (2 :1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>55:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>55:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td>57:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m-xylene</td>
<td></td>
<td>61:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td></td>
<td>63:37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHCl₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>64:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td></td>
<td>65:35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td></td>
<td>66:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td></td>
<td>67:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4-chloro-acetal 152</td>
<td>(R)</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>24 h, R.T.</td>
<td>37:63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*the chromatogram does not allow the accurate determination of e.r.*

Table 6. Asymmetric allylation reactions employing phosphoramid 45d.
The reactions of acetals 146 and 147 (entries 1 and 2) supported the postulate of the catalytic cycle described in Chapter 1.3.2. In both reactions with CH₂Cl₂, the starting material was consumed completely as indicated by TLC, and despite the loss of material during work-up and column chromatography, the isolated yield of the allylation product was in both cases higher than 10% (this was the amount of Brønsted acid employed). In general, the reactions in CH₂Cl₂ or solvents with higher polarity showed better conversion than those carried out in toluene or solvents with a similarly low polarity (Table 7). This is to be expected because the rate-determining step in the allylation reaction of acetals (Scheme 11) is the formation of the oxacarbenium ion and a more polar solvent better stabilises both the charged intermediate, and the transition state leading up to it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>dielectric constant $\epsilon_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CN</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCl₃</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBE</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$-xylene</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-xylene</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexane</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Solvents employed in the asymmetric allylation and their dielectric constant at 20 °C.¹⁴⁵

The influence of the substituents on the aromatic ring can be observed nicely by comparing entries 3 and 4 in Table 6. In the case of the electron-deficient 4-nitro-acetal 148, almost no product was formed even after 48 h. In contrast, reaction with the more electron-rich 3-
methoxy-acetal 149 was complete within 7 h. This was also the case with the 4-methoxy-acetal 150 even though the reactions were performed at lower temperatures (entry 5). Interestingly, both reactions (at 0 °C and –25 °C) gave the same e.r. Originally, I had postulated a slightly higher value might be observed in the reaction with 4-fluoro-acetal 151 in comparison to 4-chloro-acetal 152 reasoning that the more electron-withdrawing fluoro-substituent might lead to a tighter ion pair between the oxacarbenium ion and the chiral anion and therefore to a better differentiation of the enantiotopic faces. The reaction with 4-fluoro-acetal 151 was performed in toluene and surprisingly, gave a slightly lower e.r. than the reaction with 4-chloro-acetal 152 under the same conditions (entry 6 and 7). Since the reaction with 4-methoxy-acetal 150 did not give a good e.r. in toluene we chose to screen different solvents in the reaction with the less reactive 4-chloro-acetal 152 because the allylation products from this substrate showed baseline separation by chiral HPLC analysis (entry 7). The fact that cyclohexane and p-xylene gave the best e.r. values was in line with our expectations; both non-polar solvents (Table 7) should maintain a contact ion pair in the reactive intermediate which we postulated would be critical for effective enantiocontrol. Unfortunately, when cyclohexane was employed as the solvent, the catalyst precipitated out of solution after 1.5 h; this was not a problem using p-xylene as solvent. I also synthesised the (R)-enantiomer of the phosphoramidate (R)-45d to check that this would provide the opposite enantiomer. Indeed, employing this acid in the reaction of 4-chloro-acetal 152 in toluene pleasingly led to the same e.r. value for the product although now favouring the opposite enantiomer (entry 8).

In order to investigate the influence of different 3,3′-substituents on the e.r., we synthesised catalysts (S)-45e, (R)-45a and (R)-45g and employed these in the allylation reaction with 4-chlorobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 152 in different solvents. Table 8 summarises the results from these reactions.
With each catalyst the highest selectivity was obtained again with non-polar solvents (entries 1, 2, 5, 8) in comparison to CHCl$_3$ (entries 3, 6, 9). Catalysts (S)-45e and (R)-45g are more acidic due to their electron-withdrawing groups and, as indicated by TLC, showed better activity than catalysts 45d and (R)-45a. Sterically demanding i-Pr-(R)-45a catalyst which has proved to be the catalyst of choice in many asymmetric Brønsted acid-catalysed reactions,$^{[81]}$ did not show a significantly higher selectivity in our case. Unfortunately, 10-Br-9-anthracenyl-(R)-45g catalyst with a flat anthracene moiety, which maybe predisposed to forming non-covalent π-π interactions with the substrate/intermediate, gave the lowest selectivities. Overall, the tolyl-(S)-45d catalyst was the best match for the dimethyl acetal system in terms of enantioselectivity affording allylated methyl ether 159 in 67:33 e.r under the best conditions.

Table 8. Catalyst and solvent study of the asymmetric allylation of 4-chloro-acetal 152.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>e.r.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(S)-45e</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>41:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(S)-45e</td>
<td>cyclohexane/toluene (2:1)</td>
<td>41:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(S)-45e</td>
<td>CHCl$_3$</td>
<td>48:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(R)-45a</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>58:42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(R)-45a</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>60:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(R)-45a</td>
<td>CHCl$_3$</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>54:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>57:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>CHCl$_3$</td>
<td>52:48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finally, I looked at other dialkyl acetals and found that 4-chlorobenzaldehyde diethyl acetal gave poor results with the tolyl-(S)-45d catalyst in terms of conversion and enantioselectivity (48:52). The corresponding di-n-propyl and dibenzyl acetals were also investigated but we were unable to obtain baseline separation of the enantiomers to allow an accurate determination of the enantioselectivity with these substrates.

The conclusions which can be drawn from this set of experiments with different phosphoramidate catalysts 45 and the different starting acetals 144 were:

i) A chiral Brønsted acid can impart enantioselectivity on the allylation of dimethyl acetals.

ii) Reactions carried out in solvents with a low polarity like toluene, p-xylene and cyclohexane (note: solubility issues) were more enantioselective than those carried out in more polar solvents like CH$_3$CN and CH$_2$Cl$_2$. This can be explained by a contact ion pair as an intermediate, which is better maintained in a less polar solvent.

iii) However, whilst a non-polar solvent is necessary to obtain noticeable e.r. values, conversion of the starting acetal is significantly reduced by carrying out the reaction in such solvents. Decreasing the reaction temperature might improve the e.r. but it will also lead to a further reduction in the rate of the reaction.

iv) Catalyst (S)-45d gave the highest enantioselectivities for the dimethyl acetal system.

### 3.2.2 Racemic cyclic acetals derived from an isochroman framework

The outline study had shown that a chiral Brønsted acid could be used to catalyse an enantioselective Hosomi Sakurai allylation of a simple acetal; however the very slow rate of reaction suggested that this was not going to be a particularly efficient process. Whilst I could have investigated more acidic activators (vide infra), we instead turned our primary attention
to a more reactive acetal substrate, namely 1-methoxyisochroman 161, which proved more suitable for a detailed study. Acetals contained within an isochroman framework are particularly attractive substrates since this structural motif is present in a number of drugs (medicines, agrochemicals), and drug candidates, as well as in a range of natural products.\[146\]

1-Methoxyisochroman 161 was prepared in one step by DDQ oxidation of isochroman in the presence of MeOH (\textit{vide infra}). A test reaction with racemic catalyst 162 revealed that this new class of acetal reacts more readily under \textit{N}-triflyl phosphoramidate catalysis than did the dimethyl acetals we had investigated in our outline study (Scheme 49). The 1-allylisochroman product 163 was isolated in 76% yield. Racemic \textit{N}-triflyl phosphoramidate 162 was prepared from 4-\textit{sec}-butylphenol in 48% yield according to the general procedure.\[87\]

![Scheme 49. Allylation of 1-methoxyisochroman 161 using racemic \textit{N}-triflyl phosphoramidate 162 as catalyst.](image)

3.2.2.1 Solvent screen

A small solvent screen, employing tolyl-(S)-45d as the catalyst, once again highlighted the importance of this variable on enantioselectivity (Table 9).
CH$_3$CN and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ functioned as good solvents in terms of reactivity but were poor choices for enantioselectivity (entries 1 and 2). Using toluene as the solvent, a product e.r. of 60:40 was obtained (entry 3); the use of $p$-xylene led to a further small improvement (e.r. 62:38) (entry 4). Thus once again, reactions carried out in non-polar solvents led to better e.r. values.

### 3.2.2.2 Screening of different catalysts

In order to identify the optimal catalyst for our system, a selection of chiral Brønsted acids was screened with 1-alkoxyisochromans 164 (Table 10). Their synthesis was described in Chapter 3.1.

**Table 9.** Solvent screen with 1-methoxyisochroman 161 employing tolyl-(S)-45d as catalyst.
![Chemical structure](image)

Table 10. Catalyst screening of asymmetric allylation of 1-alkoxyisochromans 164 in xylenes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>e.r.</th>
<th>e.r.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R = Me</td>
<td>R = Et</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(S)-45d</td>
<td>p-tolyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>62:38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(S)-45e</td>
<td>3,5-(CF$_3$)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>50:50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(R)-45a</td>
<td>2,4,6-(i-Pr)$_3$C$_6$H$_2$</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>50:50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(R)-45f</td>
<td>9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>73:27</td>
<td>84:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>82:18</td>
<td>90:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>82:18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(R)-45g</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>m-xylene</td>
<td>80:20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(R)-45h</td>
<td>10-p-tolyl-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>63:37</td>
<td>67:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(R)-45i</td>
<td>4-Br-1-naphthyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>56:44</td>
<td>65:35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(R)-H$_8$-45j</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>NHTf</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>69:31</td>
<td>72:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(R)-107</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>NHSO$_2$C$_6$F$_5$</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>50:50</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(R)-32h</td>
<td>10-Br-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>no conversion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(R)-32i</td>
<td>10-F-9-anthracenyl</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>50:50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analogues possessing the commonly used 3,5-bis-trifluoromethylphenyl and 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl substituents at the 3,3’-positions of the binaphthyl scaffold, catalysed the reaction, but afforded racemic product (entries 2 and 3). It was only when we introduced anthracenyl substituents at the 3,3’-positions that we began to observe more significant levels of enantioselectivity (entry 4). Incorporating a bromo substituent into the 10-position of the anthracene unit led to a further improvement from 73:27 to 82:12 in enantiomeric ratio (entry 5). The 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid is commercially available and therefore as readily accessible as its unsubstituted analogue, and from a practical viewpoint, phosphoramide (R)-45g is a more soluble catalyst compared with unsubstituted anthracenyl derivative (R)-45f. Employing p- and m-xylene as solvents with this catalyst, gave the same level of enantioselectivity than in o-xylene (entries 6 and 7). In a brief structure-activity study, the significance of the anthracenyl framework was demonstrated by the poor enantioselectivity observed with the 4-bromo-naphthyl derivative (R)-45i (entry 9). Partially reducing the binaphthyl core to afford an acid with a tetrahydronaphthyl core ((R)-H₈-45j) also failed to improve matters (entry 10). When we replaced the bromo substituent in (R)-45g with a larger 4-tolyl substituent ((R)-45h), this change also led to a reduction in enantioselectivity and also in reactivity (entry 8). Racemic product was obtained when the triflyl group in (R)-45g was substituted for a pentafluorophenylsulfonyl group ((R)-107), which makes the catalyst more acidic (entry 11). In order to probe the influence of the bromo substituent on the 10-anthracenyl position, I planned to synthesise the other 10-halo-9-anthracenyl phosphoramides. My first target was the 10-fluoro-9-anthracenyl phosphoramide, which turned out not to be accessable as described in Chapter 3.1. However, whilst we were unable to access the phosphoramide, we did synthesise the phosphoric acid. The fluoro substituents appeared to have a significant effect on the acidity of this phosphoric acid. While the 10-bromo-9-anthracenyl phosphoric acid (R)-32h was not acidic enough to induce allylation (entry 12), 10-fluoro-9-anthracenyl phosphoric acid (R)-32i was now able to catalyse the
allylation, although with no enantioselectivity (entry 13), which might suggest a different reaction mechanism. With this result and the synthetic problems in mind, we decided not to pursue the preparation of other 10-halo-9-anthracenyl phosphoramides.

In summary, catalyst (R)-45g, bearing a 10-Br-9-anthracenyl substituent on the 3,3’-positions of the binaphthyl scaffold provided the highest enantioselectivities. This catalyst has not been reported in the literature before and might find applications in other Brønsted acid-catalysed reactions.

3.2.2.3 Further optimisation

With the optimal catalyst in hand, we next investigated the effect of different catalyst loadings and reaction concentrations on the enantioselectivity of the product. Employing 30 mol% of (R)-45g increased the reaction rate, as indicated by TLC, but led to the same level of enantioselectivity (e.r. 80:20) than when 10 mol% catalyst was used (e.r. 82:18). Similar observations were made by running the reaction at 0.1 M (e.r. 76:24) and 2 M (e.r. 80:20) in comparison to 0.5 M (e.r. 82:18). While the more concentrated reaction gave almost the same e.r. and an increase of the rate, more dilute reaction concentrations exhibited a slight decrease in both selectivity and rate.

We were pleased to find out that catalyst (R)-45g could be recovered and re-used without observing any decrease in enantioselectivity or activity. To achieve this, the catalyst was eluted from the column by increasing the polarity of the eluents after the allylated product had been collected. When a significant amount of the used catalyst from several reactions had been collected, the material was columned again with the usual eluents (see Experimental Section). After the HCl wash the catalyst was ready to be used again.
3.2.2.4 Effect of the leaving group

Before moving on to investigate the scope of the reaction with various substituted isochroman acetals, I chose to study one last variable in the substrate, namely the nature of the alkoxy leaving group. Whilst the generally accepted mechanism of reaction of acetals involves an S<sub>N</sub>1-like process in which expulsion of the leaving group precedes nucleophilic addition, detailed mechanistic studies have shown that S<sub>N</sub>2-like pathways or at least ‘exploded transition states’ in which the leaving group is still associated with the substrate as the new bond is being formed, can also operate.\textsuperscript{[71,147,148]} We therefore investigated the allylation of different 1-alkoxyisochromans 164, which were synthesised by DDQ oxidation of isochroman 165 in the presence of the corresponding alcohol ROH.\textsuperscript{[114]} Table 11 summarises the isolated yields after column chromatography.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Table 11. } & \text{Summary of yields of 1-alkoxyisochromans 164 prepared by DDQ oxidation of isochroman 165 in the presence of the corresponding alcohol.} \\
\hline
R & \text{yield [%]} & R & \text{yield [%]} \\
\hline
\text{Me} & 74 & \text{i-Pr} & 52 \\
\text{Et} & 58 & \text{t-Bu} & 22 \\
\text{n-Pr} & 49 & \text{CH}_2\text{CF}_3 & 52 \\
\hline
\end{align*}
\]

These alkyl acetals were employed in the allylation using our optimal phosphoramid catalyst \((R)\text{-}45\text{g}\). The observed e.r. values of the 1-allylisochroman product 163 are summarised in Table 12.
In the allylation of ethyl acetal 166 we observed a change, and more specifically, an increase in enantioselectivity (e.r. 90:10) (entry 3) when the reaction was performed under otherwise identical reaction conditions as with methyl acetal 161. Increasing the size of the leaving group further, however, had a deleterious effect; thus the \( n \)-propoxy acetal 167 gave the allylation product in 76:24 e.r. (entry 4), and with \( i \)-propoxy acetal 168, a further reduction to 70:30 e.r. was observed (entry 5). The \( t \)-butyl acetal 169 was not only a comparatively poor substrate in terms of reactivity, but also afforded essentially racemic product (entry 6). These results follow a trend, namely that the more sterically hindered the leaving group in the starting material, the lower is the e.r. of the allylated product. Only the methyl acetal 161 did not follow this trend, giving a lower e.r. (82:12) than might have been expected (entry 2).

Analysis of the reaction mixture revealed this particular starting material reacted rapidly...
(within minutes) with adventitious water, to provide the lactol 170, which under the reaction conditions, led to the dimer 171. We prepared this bis-acetal independently by DDQ oxidation of isochroman 165 in the presence of H$_2$O in 78% yield as single diastereoisomer\textsuperscript{[149]} (stereochemistry was not determined), and showed that not only was this a substrate for the reaction, but it also provided the allylation product in much lower enantioselectivity (e.r. 59:41) (entry 7) (Scheme 50).

\[\text{Scheme 50. Competing allylation between 1-methoxyisochroman 161 and dimer 171.}\]

The same result was obtained by employing lactol 170 as the starting material (entry 1), which was prepared quantitatively by DIBALH-reduction of isochroman-1-one 172.\textsuperscript{[150]} Since the methyl acetal is more susceptible to hydrolysis than ethyl acetal 166 (as indicated by TLC), this racemic background reaction serves to erode the enantioselectivity in the former reaction and goes some way to accounting for the reduced enantioselectivity observed with methyl acetal 161. In an effort to prevent this racemic background reaction, we added 3 Å molecular sieves to the reaction mixture, hoping that these would scavenge any adventitious
H₂O from the reaction mixture. However, we now observed a significant decrease in product enantioselectivity when employing methyl acetal 161 (e.r. 63:37) as well as ethyl acetal 166 (65:35) in distilled o-xylene. The drop in enantioselectivity may arise from an interaction of the molecular sieves with the catalyst since no reaction was observed when stirring ethyl acetal 166 with allyltrimethylsilane in distilled o-xylene in the presence of molecular sieves.

In synthesising phenyl acetal 173, we hoped the flat leaving group might lead to an increase in enantioselectivity; however, this substrate afforded essentially racemic allylation product (e.r. 53:47) (entry 8). A similar result was observed in the allylation of 1-(2’,2’,2’-trifluoroethoxy)isochroman 174 (e.r. 51:49) (entry 9). This result was particularly disappointing considering the structural similarity to 1-ethoxyisochroman 166.

The leaving group effect described above was also observed when ethyl acetal 166 was employed in the allylation with different phosphoramidate catalysts (Table 10). In each case, the product enantioselectivity was higher than when using methyl acetal 161 as the starting material. Again, the 10-Br-9-anthracenyl phosphoramidate (R)-45g gave the best selectivity, and in the case of ethyl acetal 166, a pleasing 90:10 e.r. In another attempt to improve this enantioselectivity we reduced the reaction temperature to 5 °C; however this had no effect on the enantioselectivity but, as indicated by TLC, the reaction rate was significantly lower. The e.r. value dropped to 81:19 when the reaction temperature was increased to 40 °C although as expected, the rate of the reaction was slightly higher.

In summary, I have shown that the alkoxy leaving group in the starting material plays a significant role in the product enantiomeric ratio. Generally, the smaller the alkoxy leaving group, the higher is the e.r. of the product. Methyl acetal 161 is an apparent exception to this rule, although in this particular case, reaction of the starting acetal with adventitious water provides dimer 171 which reacts in an almost racemic allylation, leading to a reduced overall enantioselectivity. Ethyl acetal 166 together with catalyst (R)-45g gave the highest product
enantioselectivity (90:10) which provides the basis for the investigation of the scope and limitations of the developed method in Chapter 3.2.2.7.

3.2.2.5 Employing different allylsilanes

In employing different allylsilanes, we investigated a last variable in the optimisation of our developed process. Table 13 gives an overview of the allylsilanes which were examined in the reaction with different isochroman acetals 164 together with the observed e.r. values of the corresponding allylated product.

![Chemical Structure](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>allylsilane</th>
<th>c.r. R = Me</th>
<th>c.r. R = Et</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SiMe₃</td>
<td>82:12</td>
<td>90:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SiEt₃</td>
<td>67:33</td>
<td>75:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Si-Pr₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>single diastereoisomer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SiMe₃</td>
<td>60:40</td>
<td>59:41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13. Summary of e.r. values obtained from the asymmetric allylation of 1-alkoxyisochromans 164 employing different allylsilanes.
In comparison to allyltrimethylsilane (entry 1), the use of allyltriethylsilane (entry 2) led to a reduction in enantioselectivity with methyl acetal 161 (e.r. 67:33) as well as ethyl acetal 166 (e.r. 75:25) as starting material. Again, the latter gave the higher e.r. value. Allyltriisopropylsilane did not provide the desired allylation product (entry 3); instead, compound 175 was isolated in 26% yield as a single diastereoisomer amongst a range of unidentified by-products. The stereochemistry in 175 was not determined. 2-Methallyltrimethylsilane afforded the corresponding allylation product 176 with low enantioselectivity with both starting materials (e.r. 60:40 and 59:41, respectively) (entry 4).

In conclusion, allyltrimethylsilane is the allylsilane of choice and was used as the nucleophile when investigating the scope and limitations of the reaction (Chapter 3.2.2.7).

3.2.2.6 Determination of the absolute stereochemistry

The absolute stereochemistry of 1-allylisochroman 163, which was prepared by employing phosphoramides 45g with (R)-configuration, was determined by comparison of the observed \([\alpha]_D\) value with the literature \([\alpha]_D\) value of enantiomerically enriched alcohol 177. 177 was prepared by a one-pot oxidative cleavage–reduction sequence in 77% yield (the intermediate aldehyde 178 was not isolated) (Scheme 51).\(^{[151]}\) For a sample of 163 with 82:18 e.r. we measured an \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +102.2\) (c 1.08, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) and compared it with the literature \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +43.5\) (c 0.20, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) for a sample with 80:20 e.r.\(^{[152]}\) This leads to a (R)-(+) -configuration which has been established in a previous publication by a crystal structure of a derivative of alcohol 177.\(^{[153]}\)
The absolute stereochemistry of other allylation products from different substrates was assigned by analogy.

### 3.2.2.7 Scope and Limitations

In order to probe the scope and limitations of our developed method, we next synthesised a range of differently substituted ethyl acetal substrates 179, which were reacted with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of phosphoramide (R)-45g. Figure 23 outlines the numbering of atoms for isochroman systems.

**Figure 23.** Substituted ethyl acets 179 and numbering of the isochroman system.
3.2.2.7.1 Synthesis of substrates

The majority of substrates were prepared following the steps in the Scheme in Table 14. It summarises these substrates together with the corresponding yields for each step.

![Reaction Scheme]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substituent</th>
<th>181 yield [%]</th>
<th>182 yield [%]</th>
<th>183 yield [%]</th>
<th>179 yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5-Me a</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6-Me b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7-Me c</td>
<td>quant.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8-Me d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5-Br e</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5-CF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; f</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7-OMe g</td>
<td>quant.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7-F h</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3,3-dimethyl i</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,4-cyclopropyl j</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> 182b : 182d = 2.6 : 1.0; <sup>b</sup> over two steps; <sup>c</sup> over three steps;<sup>d</sup> prepared from 182c by DDQ oxidation in the presence of EtOH

Table 14. Summary of yields towards the synthesis of 1-ethoxyisochromans 179.
Apart from 1-phenyl-1-(hydroxymethyl)cyclopropane 180j, which was prepared quantitatively by reduction of 1-phenyl-1-cyclopropane-carboxylic acid,[154] all alcohols 180 were commercially available. MOM-protection with FDMA in the presence of 5 mol% of Sc(OTf)$_3$ gave MOM ethers 181 in excellent yields.[155] These underwent a Friedel Crafts cyclisation induced by TiCl$_4$[156] or 10 mol% of TMSOTf[114] to afford isochromans 182 in moderate to good yields. MOM ether 181b/d gave a mixture of inseparable isochromans 182b and 182d in a 2.6 : 1.0 ratio (entries 2 and 4). The ratio reflects the steric hindrance in the Friedel Crafts cyclisation; thus the electrophilic substitution preferably occurs at the para position leading to isochroman 182b, than at the ortho position, leading to isochroman 182d.

Oxidation to lactones 183 was achieved with KMnO$_4$ in the presence of a phase-transfer catalyst TEBAC.[157] Pleasingly, isochroman-1-ones 183b and 183d were now separable by column chromatography (entries 2 and 4). A smooth DIBALH-reduction led to lactols 184, which were isolated but not characterised.[150] The crude products were instead stirred in EtOH with acidic Amberlite IR 120 beads to provide ethyl acetics 179 in good to excellent yields. Ethyl acetal 179c was prepared by DDQ oxidation of isochroman 182c in the presence of EtOH. In the same fashion, 1-ethoxyisobenzofuran 179k was synthesised from commercially available phthalan 185 in a pleasing 89% yield (Scheme 52).

![Scheme 52. DDQ oxidation of phthalan 185 to obtain 1-ethoxyisobenzofuran 179k.](image)

Whilst the DDQ oxidation approach to isochroman acetals 179 is potentially more efficient in terms of number of steps, I did not favour this route for three reasons: first, the work-up on larger scale was very time-consuming; second, it was difficult to remove traces of unreacted
isochroman from the desired 1-ethoxy product by column chromatography since both compounds have similar polarity; third, the reaction is not particularly high yielding with sterically more hindered alcohols than MeOH. Therefore, we decided to use this route only where necessary.

We thought we might be able to employ a DDQ oxidation to prepare 1-ethoxy-3,4,5-trihydro-2-benzoxepin 179l from benzoxepin 186 (Scheme 53). To this end, MOM ether 181l was prepared from commercially available alcohol 180l in 98% yield according to the general procedure. Unfortunately, intramolecular cyclisation of MOM ether 181l with either TiCl₄ or TMSOTf failed and the product mixture contained unreacted starting material together with acetal 187 and only trace amounts of the desired product (Figure 24).

![Scheme 53. Retrosynthesis of 1-ethoxy-3,4,5-trihydro-2-benzoxepin 179l.](image)

![Figure 24. Formaldeyde diphenpropyl acetal 187.](image)

Considering an alternative route to 1-ethoxybenzoxepin 179l, we postulated that aldehyde 188 should undergo cyclisation and acetalisation when stirring in EtOH in the presence of an acid catalyst. For the preparation of 188, we were able to find a literature procedure starting with 1-tetralone 189, which underwent a reduction–dehydration sequence to provide 1,2-dihydronaphthalene 190 in 87% overall yield (Scheme 54). Ozonolysis and subsequent
reduction of the intermediate ozonide led to 2-(3'-hydroxypropyl)benzyl alcohol 191 in 76% yield.\textsuperscript{[159]} Activated MnO$_2$ (85%) chemoselectively oxidised the benzylic alcohol in 191 to afford 2-(3'-hydroxypropyl)benzaldehyde 188 in 86% yield.\textsuperscript{[159]} Finally, hydroxyl aldehyde 188, which exists in CDCl$_3$ 100% in the open form, was stirred in EtOH with Amberlite IR 120 beads to provide a mixture of the desired 1-ethoxy-3,4,5-trihydro-2-benzoxepin 179l (56%) and 2-(3'-hydroxypropyl)benzaldehyde diethyl acetal 192 (12%) as a by-product, along with unreacted starting material (12%).
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\textbf{Scheme 54.} Synthetic route to 1-ethoxy-3,4,5-trihydro-2-benzoxepin 179l starting from 1-tetralone 189.

To avoid a DDQ oxidation, we planned to prepare 1-ethoxy-3$H$-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran 179m from 1$H,3$H-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran-1-one 193 (Scheme 55). In a first attempt, 1,8-naphthalic anhydride 194 was reduced with NaBH$_4$ and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ to provide 1$H,3$H-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran 195 in 74% yield. Its partial oxidation to pyran-1-one 193 using KMnO$_4$ in the presence of a phase-transfer catalyst TEBAC failed and led instead to anhydride 194. Fortunately, a literature procedure reported 193 as a “by-product” in the LiAlH$_4$ reduction of 1,8-naphthalic anhydride 194.$^{[160]}$ Using these conditions provided 1,8-dihydroxymethylnaphthalene 196 in 24% and desired pyran-1-one 193 in 41% yield.

87
Subsequent DIBALH reduction and conversion of the lactol to the ethyl acetal as before afforded 1-ethoxy-3\textit{H}-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran 179m in 84\% yield.

Scheme 55. Synthetic route to 1-ethoxy-3\textit{H}-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran 179m starting from 1,8-naphthalic anhydride 194.

I also wanted to synthesise 1-ethoxy-1-methylisochroman 179n by DDQ oxidation of 1-methylisochroman 197, expecting oxidation of this electron-rich starting material would give the desired acetal in a good yield. A literature procedure suggested the cyclisation of 2-phenylethyl trimethylsilyl ether 198, which was prepared by TMS protection of 2-phenylethyl alcohol in 98\% yield, with TMSI-masked acetaldehyde would provide the isochroman 197 (Scheme 56). Unfortunately, the desired product was obtained in impure form in only 32\% yield after difficult column chromatography because several other products with similar polarity were formed during the reaction.
Therefore, I decided to carry out a nucleophilic addition of MeLi on to lactone 172 (Scheme 57), which was synthesised by oxidation of isochroman with fuming nitric acid in 99% yield. The resulting lactol 199 was isolated, but not characterised, and stirred in EtOH with acidic Amberlite beads to afford 1-ethoxy-1-methylisochroman 179n in 60% yield. The material was not stored but freshly prepared when employed for the asymmetric allylation since it proved to be unstable and rapidly formed the corresponding dimer with adventitious H₂O.

Scheme 57. Preparation of 1-ethoxy-1-methylisochroman 179n.

Finally, 1-ethoxy-1-phenylisochroman 179o was prepared in a similar fashion to its 1-methyl analogue, by addition of PhLi to lactone 172 (Scheme 58). Two products were obtained after column chromatography, namely benzophenones 200 and 201. Stirring both compounds in
EtOH with acidic Amberlite beads provided 1-ethoxy-1-phenylisochroman 179o (40% yield in case of 200 as the starting material).

Scheme 58. Preparation of 1-ethoxy-1-phenylisochroman 179o.

3.2.2.7.2 Asymmetric allylation

In order to be able to determine the e.r. of our allylation products, the retention times of both enantiomers were identified by first analysing racemic samples by chiral HPLC. For this purpose, all racemic products were prepared by carrying out a reaction of the 1-ethoxyisochromans 179 with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of the achiral acid, methanesulfonic acid. In the case of 5-ring 202k, a baseline separation of the two enantiomers was not possible. Therefore, an oxidative cleavage–reduction sequence according to Scheme 51 was carried out. It was possible to separate the two enantiomers of alcohol 203 by chiral HPLC. Table 15 summarises the isolated yields of the obtained 1-allylisochromans 202.
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centre and increasing the steric hindrance for the nucleophilic attack. 5-Br \textit{179e} was also less reactive due to the electron-withdrawing bromo substituent, and provided the allylation product in only 62% (entry 6). In 1-Me \textit{179n}, the reactive centre is a quaternary carbon which also increases the steric hindrance and might explain the low product yield of 41% (entry 10).

A second product \textit{204} whose structure was not determined was also isolated in 38% as a mixture of diastereoisomers. 1-Ph \textit{202o} was obtained in only 44% yield probably for the same reason as 1-Me \textit{202n} (entry 11). Changing the six-membered ring in the isochroman system to a seven-membered ring had a negative influence on the reactivity of the ethyl acetal. 1-Allylbenzoxepin \textit{202l} was isolated in only 26% together with by-product \textit{205} in 15% yield.

Scheme 59 outlines a possible mechanism for the formation of \textit{205}.

1-Ethoxybenzoxepin \textit{179l} gets protonated on the ring oxygen and forms cation \textit{206}. This ring opens through anchimeric assistance from the adjacent ethoxy group. The resulting planar oxacarbenium ion \textit{207} gets attacked by allytrimethylsilane to give the cationic intermediate \textit{208} in which the positive charge is stabilised by the $\beta$-effect of silicon. Cleavage of the silyl electrophile then provides alcohol \textit{209} which attacks oxacarbenium \textit{210} to lead to by-product \textit{205} after deprotonation.
To investigate the scope and limitations of our asymmetric allylation method we reacted each substrate 179 with allyltrimethylsilane under the optimised reaction conditions. To ensure reproducibility, each reaction was repeated at least twice. Table 16 summarises the specific conditions regarding reaction time, concentration and catalyst loading, as well as the yields and e.r. values of the 1-allylisochroman products 202.

![Reaction Scheme]

Table 16. Overview of the asymmetric allylation of 1-ethoxyisochromans 179.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>time [h]</th>
<th>concentration [mol/l]</th>
<th>catalyst loading [mol%]</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield [%]</th>
<th>e.r.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>unsub. 166</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>74(^a)</td>
<td>90:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5-Me 179a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>202a</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>92:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6-Me 179b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202b</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>87:13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7-Me 179c</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202c</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>87:13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8-Me 179d</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>202d</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>79:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5-Br 179e</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>202e</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>83:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5-CF(_3) 179f</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>202f</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>88:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7-MeO 179g</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202g</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>79:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7-F 179h</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202h</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>80:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1-Me 179n</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202n</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63:37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1-Ph 179o</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202o</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>51:49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5-ring 179k</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202k</td>
<td>61(^a)</td>
<td>74:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>7-ring 179l</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>202l</td>
<td>traces</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3,3-dimethyl 179i</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>202i</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4,4-cyclopropyl 179j</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>202j</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>57:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>naphthopyran 179m</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202m</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>69:31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) reduced yield owing to volatility of the product
As already mentioned, 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 led to a pleasing 90:10 enantiomeric ratio and the product 163 was isolated in 74% yield after 24 h. The volatility of the 1-allylisochroman led to a reduction in yield (entry 1). Introducing an electron-donating methyl group on to the aromatic ring led to an increase in the reaction rate in the case of 179a, 179b, and 179c, and a slower rate in the case of 179d, which can be explained by the Me group increasing the steric hindrancel close to the reacting centre (entries 2-5). A higher catalyst loading was necessary to achieve an acceptable yield with this substrate in a reasonable reaction time. The same explanation accounts for the decrease in yield going from 5-Me 202a to 8-Me 202d. The enantioselectivity also decreases in this order which is most likely due to the latter substrates not effectively fitting in the “chiral pocket” of the chiral counterion. It is noteworthy, that the highest enantioselectivity (e.r. 92:8) was obtained with 5-Me 179a, 179e, and 179f with an electron-withdrawing bromo- and trifluoromethyl group on the 5-position also led to good e.r. values (entries 6 and 7), which shows that substituents on the 5-position are well tolerated. However, in these two cases the low reactivity of both substrates warranted the use of a high catalyst loading and a longer reaction time for the products to be isolated in acceptable yields. The methoxy group on the 7-position gave 65% yield (entry 8), and the enantioselectivity (e.r. 79:21) was comparable with that obtained with the 7-F substrate 179h (e.r. 80:20), which provided the product in 41% yield after 48 h (entry 9). These results suggest that sterics and not electronics might be key in controlling the enantioselectivity of these allylations. Having a quaternary carbon as the reactive centre led to a moderate enantioselectivity of 63:37 e.r. in the case of 1-Me 179n and to no selectivity in the case of 1-Ph 179o (entries 10 and 11). Both corresponding products were also isolated in low yields (33% and 16%, respectively). A change from the six-membered ring in the isochroman system to a five-membered ring also led to a significant drop in product enantioselectivity (e.r. 74:26) for 5-ring 202k (entry 12). The yield of 61% was reduced due to the volatility of the product and is therefore not representative of the efficiency of this reaction. I did not determine the e.r. of the allylation.
product derived from 7-ring 179l because only traces of product were obtained even with a higher catalyst loading (entry 13). Introducing substituents on the 3- and 4-positions of the isochroman system was not tolerated: both substrates, 3,3-dimethyl 179i and 4,4-cyclopropyl 179j, reacted with very low enantioselectivity of 57:43 e.r. (entries 14 and 15), and with a high catalyst loading, yields of 67% and 44%, respectively, were achieved. Changing the whole structure of the starting material from an isochroman system to naphthopyran 179m led to a product in moderate e.r. of 69:31 and a yield of 42% (entry 16).

In summary, the majority of the 1-ethoxyisochromans 179 gave moderate to good yields of the allylation product. Those substrates which led to low product yields can be explained by electronic and steric factors. In terms of enantioselectivity, substituents on the 5-position of the isochroman system were well tolerated while with substituents on the 7- and 8-positions a slight drop in selectivity was observed. This is most likely due to the substrate not effectively fitting in the “chiral pocket” of the chiral counterion meaning both enantiotopic faces are available for reaction. Converting the reactive centre into a quaternary carbon also led to a significant drop in the corresponding product e.r. values. Introducing substituents on to the 3- and 4-positions was also not tolerated very well, whilst a change of the whole isochroman structure resulted in moderate enantioselectivities at best.

3.2.2.8 Applications of the method

In order to demonstrate the synthetic utility of our developed allylation method, we employed the procedure in two applications. First, enantiomerically enriched 1-allyl-5-bromoisochoroman 202e underwent a Suzuki coupling with 4-tolylboronic acid to provide 1-allyl-5-tolylisochroman 211 in a pleasing 90% yield (Scheme 60).
Scheme 60. Suzuki coupling of enantiomerically enriched 1-allyl-5-bromoisochroman \textbf{202e} to provide 1-allyl-5-tolylisochroman \textbf{211}.

The e.r. of the starting material (83:17) used in this reaction was maintained in the product as revealed by chiral HPLC analysis. This reaction suggests enantiomerically enriched halogenated 1-allylisochromans can be used in cross-coupling reactions which opens the door to a broad range of transformations after the asymmetric allylation step.

In the second application, drug (\(R\))-(+)-U-101387 \textbf{212} which is used for the treatment of psychosis especially schizophrenia, was synthesised from enantiomerically enriched alcohol \textbf{177} (Scheme 61).\textsuperscript{153}

Scheme 61. Synthesis of drug (\(R\))-(+)-U-101387 \textbf{212}.  

\textbf{202e} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{211} \hspace{1cm} e.r. 83:17 \hspace{1cm} 90\% \text{ yield} \hspace{1cm} e.r. 83:17

\textbf{177} \hspace{1cm} e.r. 90:10 \hspace{1cm} \textbf{177} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{212} \hspace{1cm} \text{69\% yield} \hspace{1cm} (\(R\))-(+)-U-101387
177 was prepared from 1-allylisochroman 163 (e.r. 90:10) in an oxidative cleavage–reduction sequence as outlined in Scheme 51. The alcohol functionality in 177 was next converted into a good leaving group with MsCl. The resulting mesylate was not isolated but treated with piperazine 213 in a nucleophilic substitution. Drug 212 was isolated in 69% yield after column chromatography and the $[\alpha]_D^{21} = +41.1$ (c 0.36, DMF) was a good match with the literature value of $[\alpha]_D = +48$ (c 0.88, DMF) for an enantiomerically pure sample.\textsuperscript{153}

3.2.2.9 Understanding the origins of enantioselectivity

3.2.2.9.1 Additives and catalyst preparation

To shed some light on the origins of the observed enantioselectivity, a few test reactions and experiments were carried out. We decided to investigate the effect of different catalyst preparations and additives on the e.r. of the product. To do so, we reacted 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 was reacted with allyltrimethylsilane under conditions which vary from the optimised ones, changing one variable at a time. Table 17 summarises the employed conditions together with the corresponding e.r. value of product 163. All of the reactions were carried out with the same batch of catalyst which gave 88:12 e.r. for the allylation product in a control experiment under optimised conditions.
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{entry} & \text{Conditions} & \text{e.r. of 163} \\
1 & \text{catalyst treated with toluene} & 66:34 \\
2 & \text{catalyst treated with MgSO}_4 \text{ and toluene} & 69:31 \\
3 & \text{catalyst treated with 3 Å MS and toluene} & 69:31 \\
4 & \text{catalyst HCl wash in Pr}_2\text{O} & 81:19 \\
5 & \text{catalyst HCl wash in THF} & 84:16 \\
6 & \text{catalyst HCl wash in THF} & 83:17 \\
7 & \text{catalyst HCl wash in dioxane} & 80:20 \\
8 & \text{o-xylene + H}_2\text{O (1 drop)} & 76:24 \\
9 & \text{o-xylene + EtOH (1 drop)} & 87:13 \\
10 & \text{o-xylene + (1 eq)} & 88:12 \\
11 & \text{o-xylene + (1 eq)} & 87:13 \\
12 & \text{(solvent)} & 87:13 \\
13 & \text{(solvent)} & 86:14 \\
14 & \text{anisol (solvent)} & 72:28 \\
15 & \text{benzyl methyl ether (solvent)} & 66:34 \\
16 & \text{Et}_2\text{O}^a \text{(solvent)} & 71:29 \\
17 & \text{DME (solvent)} & 55:45 \\
\end{array}
\]

\(^a\) light yellow precipitate observed after stirring for 1 h

Table 17. Summary of the employed conditions together with the corresponding e.r. value of allylation product 163.
First, I wanted to look at the preparation of the catalyst. The usual treatment includes washing with hydrochloric acid after column chromatography to ensure the Ca salt is protonated and enters the Et₂O phase. After drying the organic phase over MgSO₄ and removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the protonated phosphoramide (R)-45g was obtained as its ether solvate as analysed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy and this was used in the asymmetric allylation reactions. Because the ether solvate was isolated, we questioned whether different methods of catalyst preparation might influence the enantioselectivity of the reaction. I started my investigation by treating a portion of the catalyst with dry toluene in order to try to remove the ether. To this end, the catalyst was dissolved in toluene and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. This procedure was repeated three times and after drying under reduced pressure, the catalyst was used in the asymmetric allylation under otherwise optimised conditions. ¹H-NMR spectroscopy analysis proved that the Et₂O solvate molecules had been removed. Interestingly, a significant drop in product enantioselectivity (e.r. 66:34) was observed (entry 1) which is not obvious why this happens. Similar results were obtained by adding MgSO₄ or 3 Å MS to a solution of catalyst in dry toluene. After filtration, removal of the solvent and drying under reduced pressure, the phosphoramide was employed in the asymmetric allylation. In both cases, the product e.r. was 69:31 (entries 2 and 3). Clearly, the way in which the catalyst is isolated, plays a crucial role in determining the enantioselectivity of the reaction. A possible explanation is not immediately forthcoming at this stage and further experiments are necessary to draw conclusions from these results. Because the catalyst is used as its Et₂O solvate, we were also interested in investigating if and how other ethers would influence the product e.r. Therefore, we carried out the HCl (aq) wash in different ethers, namely Pr₂O, THF, THP and dioxane. The ¹H-NMR spectra revealed that in all four cases the catalyst was isolated as its corresponding ether solvate. In comparison to the optimised conditions using the Et₂O solvate (e.r. 88:12), a slight decrease in e.r. was observed
when employing each ether solvate in the allylation reaction (entries 4-7), again indicating that the preparation of the catalyst affects the e.r. of the allylation product.

I wanted to go a step further by investigating whether or not additives affected the enantioselectivity of the reaction. Adding one drop of H₂O to the reaction mixture led to a drop in the product e.r. (entry 8), which can be explained by the formation of dimer 171 from the starting material and additional H₂O as described in Chapter 3.2.2.4. Dimer 171 itself reacts in an essentially non-enantioselective fashion, and accounts for the overall reduction in enantioselectivity (Scheme 50). Adding one drop of EtOH had little effect on the product enantioselectivity giving a product with 87:13 e.r. (entry 9). To investigate the influence of a chiral additive (if there are interactions with the chiral catalyst in a match–mismatch fashion), in separate reactions, I added one equivalent of (S)-1-methoxyethylbenzene 214 (entry 10) and (R)-214 (entry 11) to the reaction mixture; however this had no effect on the enantioselectivity. Employing both ether enantiomers as solvents did not show any significant change in enantioselectivity (entries 12 and 13), showing that at least these chiral solvent molecules did not influence the chiral catalyst and resulting contact ion pair to any great extent. Because of the structural similarity to 214, I also decided to test anisol (entry 14) and benzyl methyl ether (entry 15) as solvents. Both led to a drop in enantioselectivity to 72:28 and 66:34, respectively, which is probably due to the difference in polarity in comparison to 214. Anisol and benzyl methyl ether show more of an “ether polarity” where the oxygen atom splits the molecule in two halves while in 214 the methoxy group behaves more like a substituent on the ethylbenzene core. This explanation is strengthened by the fact that employing Et₂O and DME as solvents, both having an even higher polarity, also led to a decrease in product enantioselectivity (71:29 and 55:45, respectively) (entries 16 and 17).
This short study shows that the pre-treatment of the catalyst can have an effect on the enantioselectivity of the product. Different ether solvates led to slight changes in the e.r., whilst treatment of the catalyst with toluene and drying agents led to a larger drop in e.r. Chiral additives did not affect enantioselectivity, neither did their use as solvents.

### 3.2.2.9.2 Thiophosphoramide (R)-108

In order to probe the role of the oxygen in the P=O bond in the optimal catalyst, I synthesised its sulfur analogue (R)-108 as described in Chapter 3.1 (Figure 25).

![Thiophosphoramide (R)-108](image)

*Figure 25. Thiophosphoramide (R)-108.*

When employing (R)-108 in the asymmetric allylation of 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 I observed two important changes in comparison to its oxygen analogue: i) The rate of the reaction was significantly higher, which fits with the fact that thiophosphoramides are more acidic than phosphoramides;\textsuperscript{[116]} ii) the 1-allylisochroman product 163 was obtained as almost a racemic mixture. This drop in enantioselectivity from 90:10 with the phosphoramide catalyst (R)-45g to 55:45 with the thiophosphoramide catalyst (R)-108 suggests that the oxygen in the P=O
bond plays an important role in the stereodetermining step. Most likely, a hydrogen bond is formed between the substrate/intermediate to the oxygen of the phosphoramidate catalyst in the transition state which will be discussed further in Chapter 3.2.2.10. A hydrogen bond to sulfur is much weaker than to oxygen and therefore longer which leads to a looser association of catalyst and substrate and concomitant reduction in enantioselectivity.

3.2.2.9.3 Proof of a dynamic kinetic resolution

In order to probe the mechanism of our Brønsted acid-catalysed asymmetric allylation, we separated both enantiomers of 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 by chiral semi-preparative HPLC. Each enantiomer was exposed to 10 mol% of phosphoramidate catalyst (R)-45g in o-xylene, aliquots were taken and the product e.r. was analysed by chiral HPLC. Aliquots were taken after 30 s, 1 min, 2 min, 5 min, 10 min, 20 min, 30 min, 40 min, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 24 h and quenched with a few drops of NEt₃. A yellow precipitate was observed which most likely is the triethylammonium salt of the catalyst, and residual NEt₃ was evaporated under reduced pressure (~ 10 mmHg). A few drops of hexane were added and the resulting solution, which contained the product, was analysed by chiral HPLC. We also quenched three aliquots with a mixture of hexane and aqueous NaOH solution to demonstrate that the method of reaction sampling was not affecting the results. Thus, these three times, two aliquots were taken at the same time and quenched with the different methods. In each case, the measured e.r. values were the same. Investigation of the first enantiomer (tₖ,first = 8.6 min) revealed a rapid epimerisation within 30 s after addition of the chiral catalyst. Interestingly, each aliquot gave an e.r. value around 53:47 in favour of the first enantiomer instead of a 50:50 mixture. When analysing the second enantiomer (tₖ,second = 10.5 min), again rapid epimerisation was
observed, this time with e.r. values around 48:52 in favour of the second enantiomer. Scheme 62 outlines a possible mechanism for the epimerisation of the starting acetal.

Scheme 62. A possible mechanism for the epimerisation of the starting acetal.

Protonation of 166 on the ring-oxygen forms cation 215, which ring opens through anchimeric assistance from the adjacent ethoxy group. The resulting planar oxacarbenium ion 216 can then be attacked by the free alcohol group from the si- or re-face. In the Scheme above, attack from the re-face provides cation 217, which, after deprotonation by the conjugate base Y−, gives enantiomer 166 with the opposite stereochemistry to the starting one. Another possible mechanism is shown in Scheme 63.

Scheme 63. A second possible mechanism for the epimerisation of the starting acetal.
Protonation of enantiomer 166 may alternatively occur on the ethoxy oxygen to form cation 218, which expels EtOH to leave oxacarbenium ion 219. This can be attacked by the free EtOH from the si- or re-face. In the Scheme, attack from the re-face provides cation 220, which after deprotonation by the conjugate base Y⁻, gives enantiomer 166 with the opposite stereochemistry to the starting acetal.

In another experiment, the e.r. value of the product was followed throughout the reaction. Racemic 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 was exposed to allylsilane and 10 mol% of catalyst (R)-45g, and aliquots were taken over 48 h and in each case the e.r. of the product was analysed by chiral HPLC. Aliquots were taken after the times listed in Table 18 and quenched and analysed as described above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>e.r. of product</th>
<th>time</th>
<th>e.r. of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>88:12</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>86:14</td>
<td>2.5 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>89:11</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>89:11</td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>89:11</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>89:11</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>88:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 min</td>
<td>90:10</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 h</td>
<td>89:11</td>
<td>after work-up and column</td>
<td>89:11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18. Following the e.r. value throughout the reaction.

Considering, the error in the HPLC measurement is 1.5%, there is no significant change in the e.r. value of the product over the course of the reaction. These experiments suggest that the reaction may be a dynamic kinetic resolution (Scheme 64).
Scheme 64. Dynamic kinetic resolution.

It is therefore assumed, that the chiral Brønsted acid (R)-45g and both enantiomers of the ethyl acetal form two diastereomeric contact ion pairs. In the subsequent reaction with allyltrimehlylsilane, one of the ion pairs reacts distinctly faster than its diastereomer. That is how complete conversion of the racemic substrate 166 into the allylation product 163 with high enantiomeric excess can be rationalised.

3.2.2.10 Proposed transition state

With all our results in hand, I am now able to propose a transition state for each enantiomer of the starting material (Figure 26) with TS A being lower in energy than TS B and accounting for the observed sense of induction.
Brønsted acid (R)-45g protonates the alkoxy group of the acetal. Due to the observed leaving group effect, we reason that the alcohol leaving group is still “attached” or at least in close proximity to the oxacarbenium ion which is associated with the phosphoramidate anion in a chiral contact ion pair. This is stabilised through intermolecular $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking interactions as well as intermolecular electrostatic interactions. The two ions in the ion pair orientate in such a way that the positive charge of the oxacarbenium ion is compensated by the negative charge delocalised on the OPNTf system. I also invoke a hydrogen bond between the Lewis basic oxygen of the counterion and the hydrogen of the alcohol leaving group which is supported by the result with thiophosphoramidate catalyst (R)-108. A sulfur atom forms a much weaker and therefore longer hydrogen bond than oxygen which results in a looser association of the substrate with the conjugate base. This explains the drop in enantioselectivity on exchanging the oxygen for a sulfur atom. Attack of allyltrimethylsilane occurs from the side opposite to the alcohol leaving group. Transition state TS A is favoured over TS B since the nucleophilic attack is sterically hindered by one of the 10-Br-anthracenyl-substituents in the latter one. This means the energy difference between TS A and TS B determines the enantioselectivity of the allylation step.
3.3 Synthesis of sulfonic acids

The outline study described in Chapter 3.2.1 had shown that a chiral Brønsted acid could be used to catalyse an enantioselective Hosomi Sakurai allylation of a simple acetal; however the very slow rate of reaction suggested that this was not going to be a particularly efficient process. At that time I decided to turn my attention to more reactive acetal systems, namely 1-alkoxyisochromans. After carrying out a detailed study on these substrates (Chapter 3.2.2), we again turned towards simple acyclic acetals and decided to investigate more acidic activators. Since sulfonic acids are effective activators for the allylation of acyclic acetals with allyltrimethylsilane,\textsuperscript{[69]} we were interested in synthesising a range of enantiomerically pure chiral sulfonic acids. Whilst a few chiral sulfonic acids have been reported,\textsuperscript{[163,164]} these approaches do not allow sufficient flexibility to generate the structural diversity that we desired, and which is invariably required for optimisation studies in asymmetric synthesis methodology. We therefore wanted to synthesise a new class of sulfonic acids based on the binaphthale framework (Figure 27). When we started this project, sulfonic acids based on a binaphthalen framework were not known in the literature and we designed our own strategy towards their synthesis.

![Figure 27. A new class of sulfonic acids.](image)

In all cases, the sulfonic acid would be introduced at the 2-position of the binaphthale framework; structural diversity would be introduced by functionalising the 2'-position. We
would also use the 3-position (and 3’-position for simplifying the synthesis) as a second site for introducing structural diversity. The retrosynthesis of these acids is summarised in Scheme 65: Substituents would be introduced to the 3,3’-positions by cross-coupling reactions. Then, the 2’-position would be derivatised. This can be achieved in a variety of ways. Simple alkyl ethers can be introduced by standard Williamson ether synthesis\textsuperscript{165} or with Mitsunobu chemistry.\textsuperscript{166} O-Arylation could be achieved by Ullmann\textsuperscript{167} or Buchwald-Hartwig-type O-arylations,\textsuperscript{168} whilst aryl substituents can be introduced by Suzuki coupling of the monotriflate with different boronic acid coupling partners.\textsuperscript{169} Finally, manipulation of the remaining hydroxyl group at the 2-position would allow introduction of the sulfonic acid moiety.

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 65.} Retrosynthesis of 3,3’-substituted chiral sulfonic acids.
\end{center}

A literature search identified an excellent procedure for carrying out the final part of the sequence. In 1997, Woodward developed a route to a 2-thiobinaphthol\textsuperscript{170} which we proposed should be easily converted into a sulfonic acid by oxidation of the thiol moiety. This
transformation can be achieved with a variety of oxidants,\textsuperscript{[171,172]} although most commonly with H$_2$O$_2$.\textsuperscript{[164,173,174]} Since the route allows access to 5-10 g quantities of the product with excellent yields for all intermediates, and also delivers an enantiomerically pure end-product, we decided to follow this approach to our sulfonic acids.

The synthesis of our first target is outlined in Scheme 66. For a first attempt we decided to convert the alcohol functionality at the 2’-position into a simple methoxy functionality. This would allow a simpler interpretation of NMR spectra since the chemical shift of a methoxy group is remote from the aromatic region where the signals for all the other protons and carbons in the molecule appear.

Scheme 66. Synthesis of sulfonic acid (R)-226 starting from BINOL (R)-109.
Beginning with enantiomerically pure BINOL \((R)\)- or \((S)\)-109, I introduced the methoxy group into the 2'‐position via Mitsunobu chemistry,\(^{[166]}\) which gave the monomethyl ether \((R)\)- or \((S)\)-221 in 83% yield. Flash column chromatography in 100% toluene allowed separation of the product from small amounts of bis‐methyl ether and starting material. Reaction of the remaining hydroxyl group in \((R)\)- or \((S)\)-221 with \(N,N\)-dimethylcarbamoyl chloride provided the corresponding thiocarbamate \((R)\)- or \((S)\)-222 in 88% yield.\(^{[170]}\) Noteworthy, \(N,N\)-dimethylcarbamoyl chloride was used as delivered by the supplier while Woodward recrystallised the reagent, and may explain why in our case the reaction time was twice as long and also required higher reaction temperature. Recrystallisation of the product from ethanol ensured the enantiomeric purity of \((R)\)-222 and \((S)\)-222. Thermolysis of \((R)\)- or \((S)\)-222 effected Newmann‐Kwart rearrangement and provided carbamate \((R)\)- or \((S)\)-223 in 83% yield.\(^{[166]}\) Remarkably, despite the forcing conditions required to effect this transformation \((250 \degree C, 3.5 \text{ h})\), the rearrangement proceeded with only slight erosion in enantiopurity; \((R)\)-223 and \((S)\)-223 were isolated in 97.5:2.5 e.r. and 98.7:1.3 e.r., respectively, as measured by chiral HPLC. Unfortunately, it was not possible to find suitable recrystallisation conditions. Since it is only my first attempt towards the sulfonic acids I decided not to improve the e.r. and instead choose to move on to the base‐mediated hydrolysis of \((R)\)-223, which provided thiol \((R)\)-224 in 73% yield.\(^{[170]}\) Owing to the propensity for the thiol to undergo air oxidation, the disulfide \((R,R)\)-225 was also isolated in 11% yield. The last step in our synthesis involved the oxidation of thiol \((R)\)-224 to the sulfonic acid \((R)\)-226. The most common oxidant for effecting this conversion is \(H_2O_2\) in acetic acid at high temperature.\(^{[164,175]}\) Following this approach, complete conversion of starting material occurred within 2 h. Unfortunately, purification of the crude product turned out to be a problem and could not be solved before the end of the laboratory work. The following strategies will help to find a way of successfully introducing the \(SO_3H\) moiety in my class of sulfonic acids. Recently, research groups have started to use 3,3’‐disubstituted BINSA \(\overset{227}{27}\)^{[176]}}
and its disulfonimide derivative 228\textsuperscript{[181,182]} as strong chiral Brønsted acids in asymmetric organocatalysis (Figure 28).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure28.png}
\caption{3,3'-Disubstituted BINSA 227 and its disulfonimide derivative 228.}
\end{figure}

The first class was introduced independently by List and Ishihara who use different approaches for the introduction of the disulfonic acid motif. While List chose the direct oxidation of thiocarbamate 229 with performic acid to obtain BINSA 227, Ishihara converted thiocarbamate 230 into bis-thiol 231 before oxidation to BINSA 232 under O\textsubscript{2} pressure in HMPA (Scheme 67).

\begin{scheme}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{scheme67.png}
\caption{Different approaches for the introduction of the disulfonic acid motif.}
\end{scheme}
4 Conclusions and Further Work

4.1 Summary

To confirm our hypothesis that a chiral Brønsted acid is able to impart stereoselectivity on the Hosomi-Sakurai reaction of acetals, I investigated the reaction of both acyclic dialkyl acetals as well as racemic cyclic acetals with allyltrimethylsilane, which was catalysed by different chiral Brønsted acids based on a binaphthyl core.

In the first section of the Results and Discussion (Chapter 3.1), the synthesis of a range of phosphoric acids and phosphoramides based on a BINOL core was described. I prepared some catalysts which were already known in the literature but also a selection of new chiral Brønsted acids. In particular, the previously unreported 10-Br-9-anthracenyl phosphoramidate \((R)-45g\) turned out to be the catalyst of choice for our isochroman actal investigation and may find application in other Brønsted acid-catalysed reactions.

In the second section of the Results and Discussion (Chapter 3.2), we employed our synthesised Brønsted acids as catalysts in the Hosomi-Sakurai reaction of acetals with allyltrimethylsilane. First, we started with acyclic acetals derived from benzaldehyde derivatives (Chapter 3.2.1). We tested 3,3’-unsubstituted racemic phosphoric acid \(rac-143\) and 3,3’-bis-tolyl-substituted phosphoric acid \((S)-32g\) in the reaction of benzaldehyde
dimethyl acetal with allyltrimethylsilane under various reaction conditions; however no allylation product was observed. Since the employed phosphoric acids were not sufficiently acidic to induce the allylation reaction, I decided to turn my attention to more acidic phosphoramides. By employing tolyl-(S)-45d, allylation product was now formed and more pleasingly, with moderate enantioselectivity as analysed by chiral HPLC. A solvent screen, using tolyl-(S)-45d, was carried out with 4-chloro-acetal 159 as starting material. Cyclohexane and p-xylene, both solvents with a relatively low polarity, gave the best e.r. values, while acetonitrile led to a racemic mixture. This observation fits with the proposal that maintaining the contact ion pair in the reactive intermediate is critical for enantioselectivity. A selection of different dimethyl acetals was synthesised in excellent yields and investigated in the asymmetric allylation. We also examined other 3,3’-substituted phosphoramides and other dialkyl acetals. In this study, the best e.r. values were obtained by employing the tolyl-(S)-45d catalyst with the dimethyl acetal system. Whilst a low-polarity solvent had proven necessary to obtain noticeable enantioselectivity, conversion of the starting acetal was poor in these solvents. Decreasing the reaction temperature might have improved the enantioselectivity but it would likely also have led to a further reduction in the rate of the reaction. Therefore, we concluded that it would be necessary to investigate a more reactive acetal system and/or more acidic Brønsted acids.

I turned my primary attention to a more reactive acetal system, namely 1-alkoxyisochromans 164, which proved more suitable for a detailed study of the asymmetric allylation (Chapter 3.2.2). A solvent screen with 1-methoxyisochroman 161 as starting material and tolyl-(S)-45d as catalyst, once again showed the best enantioselectivity was obtained with solvents of low polarity (p-xylene). In order to identify the optimal catalyst for our system, a selection of chiral Brønsted acids was screened. I varied the 3,3’-substituents, reduced the BINOL core to a H8-BINOL system and replaced the NHTf group by a more acidic NHSO2C6F5 group.
Overall, the 10-Br-9-anthracenyl phosphoramide \((R)-45g\) turned out to give the highest enantioselectivities.

Further optimisation studies revealed that different catalyst loadings and reaction concentrations did not affect the enantioselectivity of the reaction but could be used to increase the reaction rate. Changing the temperature also affected the reaction rate but also the selectivity: increasing the temperature above R.T., a drop in enantioselectivity was observed, while a decrease in temperature did not lead to any improvement in selectivity and just served to reduce the rate. Employing other allylsilanes also failed to improve the enantioselectivity. Pleasingly, catalyst \((R)-45g\) could be recovered and re-used without observing any decrease in enantioselectivity or activity. We also investigated the nature of the alkoxy leaving group. To this end, different 1-alkoxyisochromans 164 were exposed to the usual reaction conditions. To our surprise, the leaving group in the starting material was found to play a significant role in the product selectivity. Generally, the smaller the alkoxy leaving group, the higher was the e.r. of the product. 1-Methoxyisochroman 161 was an apparent exception to this rule; however in this particular case, reaction with adventitious water provides dimer 171, which also reacts in a poorly enantioselective allylation and goes some way to accounting for the erosion in enantioselectivity in this case. 1-Ethoxyisochroman 166 together with catalyst \((R)-45g\) gave the highest product enantioselectivity and provided the basis for investigating the scope and limitations of the developed method. To this end, differently substituted ethyl acetal substrates 179 were synthesised and reacted with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of phosphoramidate \((R)-45g\). The majority of these substrates gave moderate to good product yields. In terms of enantioselectivity, substituents on the 5-position of the isochroman system were well tolerated whilst with substituents at the 7- and 8-positions, a slight drop in selectivity was observed. This is most likely due to the substrate not effectively fitting in the “chiral pocket” of the chiral counterion meaning both enantiotopic faces are available for reaction.
reactive centre into a quaternary carbon also led to a significant drop in enantioselectivity. Introducing substituents into the 3- and 4-positions was not tolerated very well either, and changing the whole isochroman structure gave moderate enantioselectivities.

The absolute stereochemistry of 1-allylisochroman 163 was determined and the other reaction products were assigned by analogy. In order to demonstrate the synthetic utility of our developed method, we employed the procedure in two applications: the Suzuki coupling of enantiomerically enriched 5-brominated 1-allylisochroman 202e and the synthesis of drug (R)-(+-)U-101387 212.

To shed light on the origins of the observed enantioselectivity, we decided to carry out some test reactions with different additives, although unfortunately these turned out not be particularly helpful. We also paid special attention to the catalyst preparation, which seems to play a significant role in the enantioselectivity. In another experiment, we employed the sulfur analogue of phosphoramide (R)-45g in the allylation reaction and a big drop in the e.r. value was observed. Therefore, we reasoned that the oxygen in the P=O bond plays an important role in the stereodetermining step, most likely forming a hydrogen bond to the substrate/intermediate in the transition state. Our Brønsted acid-catalysed asymmetric allylation may be a dynamic kinetic resolution. This is suggested by the rapid racemisation of both single enantiomers of 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 under the optimised reaction conditions and by following the e.r. value during the asymmetric allylation, which remained constant over the course of the reaction. Finally, all results and observations were brought together to generate a transition state model to account for the observed enantioselectivity (Chapter 3.2.2.10).
In the final section of the Results and Discussion (Chapter 3.3), we focused on chiral sulfonic acids. A possible pathway for the synthesis of two new classes of chiral sulfonic acids based on a binaphthyl core was outlined. The synthesis of our first target molecule was also described.

4.2 Further Work

After finishing the synthesis of the 3,3’-unsubstituted sulfonic acid (R)-226 and its investigation in the asymmetric allylation of dimethyl acetals, a range of 3,3’-substituted sulfonic acids could be prepared (based on the knowledge transfer from the 3,3’-substituted phosphoramides) and their enantioselective catalytic potential investigated.

Other mechanistic studies of the isochroman based acetal system could be carried out:

- a cross-over experiment to investigate the mechanism of the acetal epimerisation;
- D-labelling on the 1-position of 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 and measurement of secondary KIEs to shed light on the nature of the reactive electrophile (sp$^3$ → S$_N$2-like or sp$^2$ → oxacarbenium ion)

Computational modelling of the isochroman-based acetal system with a simplified phosphoramidate would also be interesting.

Similar electrophiles to 1-alkoxyisochromans could be investigated (Figure 29).

![Figure 29. Possible electrophiles for investigation.](image-url)
5 Experimental Section

5.1 Instrumentation

Elemental analyses were recorded on a Carlo Erba EA1110 simultaneous CHNS analyser and performed by the University of Birmingham microanalytical services. Infra red spectra were recorded either neat as thin films or as a CHCl₃ solution between NaCl discs, on a Perkin Elmer FT-IR PARAGON 1000 spectrometer. The intensity of each band is described as s (strong), m (medium), or w (weak) and with the prefix v (very) and suffix br (broad) where appropriate. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ (unless stated otherwise) at ambient temperature on a Bruker AC-300 (300 MHz), Bruker AMX 400 (400 MHz) or Bruker DRX 500 (500 MHz) spectrometer, and are reported as follows: chemical shift δ(ppm), (number of protons, multiplicity, coupling constant J (Hz), assignment). Connectivities were deduced from COSY90, HSQC and HMBC experiments. The term “stack” is used to describe a region where resonances arising from non-equivalent nuclei are coincident, or, in the case of mixtures of compounds (e.g. diastereoisomers), to refer to the coincidence of an unresolved resonance proton(s) in both diastereoisomers. “Multiplet”, m, is used to describe a region where resonances arising from a single nucleus (or equivalent nuclei) are coincident but coupling constants cannot be readily assigned. Fractional integrations are used when describing mixtures of diastereoisomers, when the resonance for the same proton in the two diastereoisomers does not coincide. Residual protic solvent CHCl₃ (δ_H = 7.26 ppm) was used
as an internal reference. $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl$_3$ (unless stated otherwise) at ambient temperature on a Bruker AC-300 (75 MHz), Bruker AV-300 (75 MHz), Bruker AMX 400 (100 MHz) or Bruker DRX 500 (125 MHz) spectrometer, and are reported as follows: chemical shift $\delta$ (ppm), (multiplicity, assignment). The central resonance of CDCl$_3$ ($\delta_C = 77.0$ ppm) was used as an internal reference. $^{31}$P-NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl$_3$ (unless stated otherwise) at ambient temperature on a Bruker AV-300 (121 MHz) spectrometer, and are reported as follows: chemical shift $\delta$ (ppm), (multiplicity, assignment). $^{19}$F-NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl$_3$ (unless stated otherwise) at ambient temperature on Bruker AV-300 (282 MHz) spectrometer, and are reported as follows: chemical shift $\delta$ (ppm), (multiplicity, assignment). EI (electron impact) and GC-MS mass spectra were recorded on a VG Prospec mass spectrometer, and TOF-ES$^+$ mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass LCT spectrometer, and are reported as (m/z (%)). HRMS were recorded on a Micromass LCT spectrometer, using a lock mass incorporated into the mobile phase. Melting points were determined using open capillaries on a Gallenkamp MDP350 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured in chloroform (unless stated otherwise) using an Optical Activity PolAAr2001 automatic polarimeter. Analytical chiral HPLC was performed using a Daicel Chiral Pak AD DAIC19025 250 mm x 4.6 mm chiral column with a flow rate of 1 mL/min.

5.2 Chemicals and Reagents

All reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification unless stated otherwise. THF and Et$_2$O were freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Toluene was distilled from Na. MeOH was distilled from Mg + I$_2$ and stored under N$_2$ at room temperature over activated 4 Å molecular sieves (activated by heating under a
vacuum for 15 min with a Bunsen flame immediately before use). NEt₃ was distilled from CaH₂ and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. All solutions are aqueous and saturated unless stated otherwise.

5.3 Reactions

All reactions were conducted in oven-dried (140 °C) or flame-dried glassware under a argon atmosphere, and at ambient temperature (19 to 25 °C) unless stated otherwise, with magnetic stirring. Volumes of 1 mL or less were measured and dispensed with Hamilton gastight syringes. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using pre-coated glass-backed silica-rapid plates (60A F₂₅₄) and visualised by UV detection (at 254 nm) and with ammonium molybdate(IV)-cerium(IV) sulfate or potassium manganate(VII) staining dip. Column chromatography was performed on Fluka 60 (40-60 µm mesh) silica gel. Evaporation and concentration under reduced pressure were performed at 50-500 mbar. Residual solvent was removed under high vacuum (< 1 mbar).

5.4 Characterisation

General procedure for the formation of dimethyl acetals derived from arylaldehydes

The dimethyl acetals 147-152 were prepared following a previously reported procedure for the preparation of 2-naphthaldehyde dimethyl acetal 147.¹⁴⁴

Trimethylorthoformate (0.82 mL, 7.50 mmol) and pTSA (5 mg, 0.028 mmol) were added to a solution of the aldehyde (5.00 mmol) in dry MeOH (1.5 mL). After stirring at R. T. for the specified time, NaHCO₃ solution (25 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) were added, and the layers
were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine (25 mL) and dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Unless otherwise stated, the isolated crude product was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use.

2-Naphthaldehyde dimethyl acetal 147

![Chemical Structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C$_{13}$H$_{14}$O$_2$

Exact Mass: 202.0994

Molecular Weight: 202.2491

Elemental Analysis: C, 77.20; H, 6.98; O, 15.82

Acetal 147 was prepared from 2-naphthaldehyde (781 mg, 5.00 mmol), trimethylorthoformate (0.82 mL, 7.50 mmol) and pTSA (5 mg, 0.028 mmol) in MeOH (1.5 mL) according to the general procedure. After 2 h, work-up afforded acetal 147 as a yellowish liquid (630 mg, 97%): $\delta$(300 MHz) 3.38 (6H, s, CH(OCH$_3$)$_2$), 5.57 (1H, s, CH(OCH$_3$)$_2$), 7.44-7.59 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.81-7.96 (4H, stack, ArH); $\delta$(75 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 52.1 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 103.0 (CH, CH(OCH$_3$)$_2$), 125.1 (CH, Ar), 126.36 (CH, Ar), 126.40 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (CH, 2 $\times$ Ar, resonance overlap), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 133.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 202 ([M]$^+$, 16%), 171 (100, [M – OCH$_3$]$^+$), 155 (22), 127 (28), 75 (13).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[144]}$
4-Nitrobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 148

Acetal 148 was prepared from 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (500 mg, 3.31 mmol), trimethylorthoformate (545 µL, 4.96 mmol) and pTSA (3 mg, 0.019 mmol) in MeOH (1.0 mL) according to the general procedure. After 3 h, work-up afforded acetal 148 as a yellow liquid (642 mg, 98%): $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 3.33 (6H, s, CH(OCH$_3$)$_2$), 5.47 (1H, s, CH(OCH$_3$)$_2$), 7.64 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH), 8.23 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz) 52.6 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 101.5 (CH, CH(OCH$_3$)$_2$), 123.3 (CH, Ar), 127.7 (CH, Ar), 145.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 147.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 196 ([M – H]$^+$, 13%), 166 (100, [M – OCH$_3$]$^+$), 120 (27), 75 (15).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[183]}$

3-Methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 149

Acetal 149 was prepared from 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (560 mg, 4.11 mmol), trimethylorthoformate (675 µL, 6.17 mmol) and pTSA (4 mg, 0.023 mmol) in MeOH (1.2 mL) according to the general procedure. After 2 h, work-up afforded acetal 149 as a pale orange liquid (749 mg, 100%): $\delta_H$(300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 3.14 (6H, s, CH(OCH$_3$)$_2$), 3.32 (3H, s,
Acetal 150 was prepared from 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (5.60 g, 41.1 mmol), trimethylorthoformate (6.75 mL, 61.7 mmol) and pTSA (44 mg, 0.230 mmol) in MeOH (12 mL) according to the general procedure. After 2 h, work-up with 2 M NaOH solution (100 mL) [instead of NaHCO₃ solution] afforded acetal 150 as a colourless liquid (7.49 g, 100%): δ_H (300 MHz, C₆D₆) 3.16 (6H, s, CH(OCH₃)₂), 3.29 (3H, s, ArOCH₃), 5.37 (1H, s, CH(OCH₃)₂), 6.81 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH), 7.50 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz, C₆D₆) 51.9 (CH₃, CH(OCH₃)₂), 54.8 (CH₃, ArOCH₃), 102.9 (CH, CH(OCH₃)₂), 113.8 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 131.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 160.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 182 ([M]⁺, 7%), 151 (100, [M – OCH₃]⁺), 135 (12), 136 (12), 108 (9), 75 (14).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[184]
4-Fluorobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 151

Acetal 151 was prepared from 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (588 mg, 4.74 mmol), trimethylorthoformate (775 µL, 7.11 mmol) and pTSA (5 mg, 0.028 mmol) in MeOH (1.5 mL) according to the general procedure. After 8 h, work-up afforded acetal 151 as a colourless liquid (734 mg, 91%): δ_H (300 MHz) 3.31 (6H, s, CH(OC\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 5.37 (1H, s, CH(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 7.00-7.10 (2H, m, ArH), 7.39-7.46 (2H, m, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz) 52.0 (CH\textsubscript{3}, CH(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 101.9 (CH, CH(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 114.4 (CH, d, J\textsubscript{C-F} 21.4, Ar), 127.8 (CH, d, J\textsubscript{C-F} 8.3, Ar), 133.4 (quat. C, d, J\textsubscript{C-F} 3.1, ipso Ar), 162.1 (quat. C, d, J\textsubscript{C-F} 245.0, ipso Ar); δ_F (282 MHz) -114.2 (F, s, ArF); m/z (El) 170 ([M]^+, 4%), 139 (100, [M - OCH\textsubscript{3}]^+), 123 (47), 109 (43), 95 (43), 91 (10), 75 (30).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{185}

4-Chlorobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 152

Acetal 152 was prepared from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (15.0 g, 107 mmol), trimethylorthoformate (17.5 mL, 160 mmol) and pTSA (45 mg, 0.237 mmol) in MeOH (30
mL) according to the general procedure. After 8 h, work-up and purification by vacuum distillation (bp 90 °C/10 mmHg) afforded acetal 152 as a colourless liquid (18.2 g, 91%): δ\textsubscript{H}(300 MHz) 3.31 (6H, s, CH(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 5.37 (1H, s, CH(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 7.31-7.42 (4H, stack, ArH); δ\textsubscript{C}(75 MHz) 52.5 (CH\textsubscript{3}, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 102.2 (CH, CH(OCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 134.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 186 ([M – H]+, 6%), 155 (100, [M – OCH\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{+}), 139 (20), 111 (14), 91 (32), 75 (22).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[184]

**General procedure for the formation of homoallylic methylethers 153-159**

**Method A: Racemic allylation**

MeSO\textsubscript{3}H (215 µL, 3.29 mmol) was added to a solution of the dimethyl acetal (3.29 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (575 µL, 3.61 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (16 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at R.T. for the specified time, NaHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (50 mL) and CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (90 mL) were added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (15 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (50 mL) and dried (Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.

**Method B: Asymmetric allylation**

Phosphoric acid (S)-32g or phosphoramid (R)- or (S)-45d, respectively (0.033 mmol) was added to a solution of the dimethyl acetal (0.332 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (65 µL, 0.399 mmol) in a specified solvent (0.75 mL) and stirred at R.T. After the specified time, NaHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (10 mL) and CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (10 mL) were added, and the layers were separated. The
aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL) and dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.

4-Methoxy-4-phenyl-but-1-ene 153

![Chemical Structure](image)

**Chemical Formula:** C$_{11}$H$_{14}$O  
**Exact Mass:** 162.1045  
**Molecular Weight:** 162.2283  
**Elemental Analysis:** C, 81.44; H, 8.70; O, 9.86

Racemic ether 153 was prepared from benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 146 (495 µL, 3.29 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (575 µL, 3.61 mmol) and MeSO$_3$H (215 µL, 3.29 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (16 mL) according to Method A. After 5 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (95% hexane, 5% Et$_2$O) afforded methyl ether 153 as a colourless liquid (251 mg, 47% (reduced yield owing to volatility of the product)): $R_f$ = 0.36 (95% hexane, 5% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$: 3076s (CH=CH$_2$), 3029m, 2980s (CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 2936s (CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 2854m, 2821s (OCH$_3$), 1951w, 1876w, 1829w, 1641s, 1602m (C=C aromatic), 1547w, 1494s (C=C aromatic), 1454s, 1440w, 1357m, 1339w, 1307m, 1288w, 1238m, 1198m, 1155w, 1101s, 1073w, 1042w, 1027w, 999m, 975m, 915s, 856m, 824w, 758s, 701s, 654m, 608m; $\delta$H(300 MHz) 2.36-2.47 (1H, m, CH$_2$H$_3$CH=CH$_2$), 2.52-2.64 (1H, m, CH$_2$H$_3$CH=CH$_2$), 3.23 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 4.18 (1H, dd, $J$ 7.2, 6.1, CH(OCH$_3$)), 5.00-5.11 (2H, stack, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 5.70-5.86 (1H, m, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 7.24-7.40 (5H, stack, PhH); $\delta$C(75 MHz) 42.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 56.6 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 83.6 (CH, CH(OCH$_3$)), 116.8 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 126.7 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 134.8 (CH, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$),
141.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 121 ([M – C₃H₅⁺, 100%], 91 (21), 84 (14), 77 (36), 49 (19), 44 (54).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[50]

4-Methoxy-4-(2'-naphthyl)-but-1-ene 154

Racemic ether 154 was prepared from 2-naphthaldehyde dimethyl acetal 147 (300 mg, 1.48 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (260 µL, 1.63 mmol) and MeSO₃H (95 µL, 1.48 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) according to Method A. After 15 min at 0 °C, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded methyl ether 154 as a colourless liquid (225 mg, 72%): Rf = 0.25 (95% hexane, 5% Et₂O); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 3057s (CH=CH₂), 2980s (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2934s (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2903m, 2853m, 2820s (OCH₃), 1922w, 1830w, 1641m, 1602m (C=C aromatic), 1508m, 1464m, 1444m, 1371m, 1322m, 1271m, 1236w, 1190m, 1170w, 1154w, 1098s, 1042w, 1019w, 998m, 982m, 950w, 916s, 857s, 820s, 747s, 717w, 665m, 620w; δH(300 MHz) 2.45-2.57 (1H, m, CHaHbCH=CH₂), 2.61-2.73 (1H, m, CHaHbCH=CH₂), 3.27 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.35 (1H, app. t, J 6.8, CH(OCH₃)), 5.00-5.12 (2H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.72-5.87 (1H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 7.43-7.54 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.73 (1H, s, ArH), 7.80-7.89 (3H, stack, ArH); δC(75 MHz) 42.4 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 56.7 (CH₃, OCH₃), 83.7 (CH, CH(OCH₃)), 117.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 124.4 (CH, Ar), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 127.7 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 133.07
(quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.14 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.6 (CH, CH₂CH=CH₂), 139.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 425.1 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS: C₁₅H₁₆ONa calc 235.1099 ([M + Na]⁺), obsd 235.1105.

4-Methoxy-4-(4’-nitrophenyl)-but-1-ene 155

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Chemical Formula: } & C_{11}H_{13}NO_3 \\
\text{Exact Mass: } & 207.0895 \\
\text{Molecular Weight: } & 207.2258 \\
\text{Elemental Analysis: } & C, 63.76; H, 6.32; N, 6.76; O, 23.16
\end{align*}
\]

Racemic ether 155 was prepared from 4-nitrobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 148 (300 mg, 1.52 mmol), allyltrichlysilane (265 µL, 1.67 mmol) and MeSO₃H (100 µL, 1.52 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) according to Method A. After 17 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O) afforded methyl ether 155 as a yellow-orange oil (78 mg, 25%): \( R_f = 0.19 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\max}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 3078m (CH=CH₂), 2983m (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2934s (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2825m (OCH₃), 2361w, 1641m, 1607s (C=C aromatic), 1522s (NO₂), 1491w, 1443w, 1416w, 1346s (NO₂), 1317w, 1290m, 1239w, 1198m, 1156w, 1106s, 1039w, 1014m, 999w, 982w, 920s, 855s, 842w, 777w, 754m, 722w, 701s, 666m; \( \delta_H(300 \text{ MHz}) \) 2.34-2.46 (1H, m, CH₄H₅CH=CH₂), 2.48-2.60 (1H, m, CH₄H₆CH=CH₂), 3.25 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.29 (1H, app. t, \( J 6.4, CH(OCH₃) \)), 4.97-5.07 (2H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.64-5.80 (1H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 7.45 (2H, d, \( J 8.6, ArH \)), 8.21 (2H, d, \( J 8.6, ArH \)); \( \delta_C(75 \text{ MHz}) \) 42.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 57.1 (CH₃, OCH₃), 82.7 (CH, CH(OCH₃)), 117.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 123.6 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 133.4 (CH, CH₂CH=CH₂), 147.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 149.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 166 ([M – C₃H₅]⁺,
100%), 136 (10), 120 (34), 108 (16), 91 (12), 77 (11), 51 (10); HRMS: C₈H₈NO₃ calcd 166.0504 ([M – C₃H₅]+), obsd 166.0499.

**4-Methoxy-4-(3’-methoxyphenyl)-but-1-ene 156**

![Chemical Structure](image)

Racemic ether 156 was prepared from 3-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 149 (200 mg, 1.10 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (190 µL, 1.21 mmol) and MeSO₃H (70 µL, 1.10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) according to Method A. After 30 min stirring at 0 °C, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded methyl ether 156 as a colourless liquid (129 mg, 61%): Rₑ = 0.14 (95% hexane, 5% Et₂O); νₑₓₘₓ(film)/cm⁻¹ 3075m (CH=CH₂), 2979s (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2937s (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2835s, 2822s (OCH₃), 1839w, 1641m, 1601s (C=C aromatic), 1587s, 1499s, 1455m, 1435m, 1351m, 1286s, 1258s, 1191m, 1155m, 1102s, 1050s, 996m, 983m, 917m, 876m, 826w, 784m, 755w, 735w, 702s, 666m; δₜ(300 MHz) 2.34-2.45 (1H, m, CH₃H₃CH=CH₂), 4.14 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 5.7, CH(OCH₃)), 4.98-5.11 (2H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.69-5.84 (1H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 6.77-6.90 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.20-7.30 (1H, m, ArH); δₑ(75 MHz) 42.4 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 55.1 (CH₃, CH(OCH₃) or ArOCH₃), 56.6 (CH₃, CH(OCH₃) or ArOCH₃), 83.5 (CH, CH(OCH₃)), 111.9 (CH, Ar), 112.9 (CH, Ar), 116.7 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 119.1 (CH, Ar), 129.2 (CH, Ar), 134.7 (CH, CH₂CH=CH₂), 143.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 159.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (El) 151 ([M – C₃H₅]+, 100%), 136 (9); HRMS: C₉H₁₄O₂ calcd 151.0759 ([M – C₃H₅]+), obsd 151.0753.
4-Methoxy-4-(4’-methoxyphenyl)-but-1-ene 157 and 4-(4’-methoxyphenyl)-hepta-1,6-diene 160

![Chemical Structure](image)

**157**

Chemical Formula: **C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{16}O\textsubscript{2}**

Exact Mass: 192.115

Molecular Weight: 192.2542

Elemental Analysis: C, 74.97; H, 8.39; O, 16.64

**160**

Chemical Formula: **C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{18}O**

Exact Mass: 202.1358

Molecular Weight: 202.2921

Elemental Analysis: C, 83.12; H, 8.97; O, 7.91

Racemic ether 157 was prepared from 4-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 150 (200 mg, 1.10 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (190 µL, 1.21 mmol) and MeSO\textsubscript{3}H (70 µL, 1.10 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (5 mL) according to Method A. After 17 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (95% hexane, 5% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded, in order of elution, diene 160 as a colourless liquid (3 mg, 1%): \(R_f = 0.43\) (95% hexane, 5% Et\textsubscript{2}O); \(\delta_t(300\text{ MHz}) 2.24-2.46\) (4H, m, \(CH_2\textsubscript{2}CH=CH_2\)), \(2.69-2.73\) (1H, m, \(CH(CH_2CH=CH_2)_2\)), \(3.79\) (3H, s, ArOC\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{3}), \(4.89-5.02\) (4H, m, \(CH_2\textsubscript{2}CH=CH_2\)), \(5.58-5.74\) (2H, stack, \(CH_2CH=CH_2\)), \(6.83\) (2H, d, \(J 8.5\), Ar\textit{H}), \(7.07\) (2H, d, \(J 8.5\), Ar\textit{H}); \(\delta_c(75\text{ MHz}) 40.5\) (\(CH_2\), \(CH_2CH=CH_2\)), \(44.7\) (\(CH, CH(CH_2CH=CH_2)_2\)), \(55.2\) (\(CH_3\), ArOCH\textsubscript{3}), \(113.6\) (CH, Ar), \(116.0\) (CH\textsubscript{2}, \(CH_2CH=CH_2\)), \(128.6\) (CH, Ar), \(136.7\) (quat. C, ipso Ar), \(136.9\) (CH, \(CH_2CH=CH_2\)), \(157.8\) (quat. C, ipso Ar); \(m/z\) (El) 202 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}, 4%), 161 (100, [M – C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{+}), 146 (10), 91 (13); and then methyl ether 157 as a colourless liquid (150 mg, 71%): \(R_f = 0.14\) (95% hexane, 5% Et\textsubscript{2}O); \(\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} 3075\text{m} (\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2), 2979\text{s} (\text{CH}_2\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2), 2935\text{s} (\text{CH}_2\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2), 2904\text{s}, 2836\text{s}, 2820\text{s} (\text{OCH}_3), 2061\text{w}, 1885\text{w}, 129
1641m, 1612s (C=C aromatic), 1586m, 1513s (C=C aromatic), 1464m, 1442m, 1355m, 1303s, 1248s, 1173s, 1096s, 1036s, 999m, 976m, 916s, 862m, 832s, 812m, 771m, 740w, 632w; δ_H (300 MHz) 2.33-2.44 (1H, m, CH_H a CH=CH_2), 2.51-2.62 (1H, m, CH_H CH=CH_2), 3.19 (3H, s, CH(OCH_3)), 3.81 (3H, s, ArOC_H_3), 4.12 (1H, t, J 7.7, CH_H (OCH_3)), 4.98-5.10 (2H, stack, CH_H CH=CH_2), 5.67-5.83 (1H, m, CH_H CH=CH_2), 6.89 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH), 7.21 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz) 42.4 (CH_H CH=CH_2), 55.1 (CH_3, CH(OCH_3) or ArOC_H_3), 56.3 (CH_3, CH(OCH_3) or ArOC_H_3), 83.1 (CH, CH(OCH_3)), 113.6 (CH, Ar), 116.7 (CH_H, CH_H CH=CH_2), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 133.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.8 (CH, CH_H CH=CH_2), 159.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 151 ([M – C_3H_5]^+, 100%), 136 (9); HRMS: C_9H_11O_2 calcd 151.0759 ([M – C_3H_5]^+), obsd 151.0753.

Data for both compounds were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\[50,186\]

**4-(4’-Fluorophenyl)-4-methoxy-but-1-ene 158**

![Chemical structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C_{11}H_{13}FO
Exact Mass: 180.095
Molecular Weight: 180.2187
Elemental Analysis: C, 73.31; H, 7.27; F, 10.54; O, 8.88

Racemic ether 158 was prepared from 4-fluorobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 151 (200 mg, 1.18 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (205 µL, 1.29 mmol) and MeSO_3H (75 µL, 1.18 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) according to Method A. After 3 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (95% hexane, 5% Et_2O) afforded methyl ether 158 as a colourless liquid (75 mg, 36% (reduced yield owing to volatility of the product)): R_f = 0.20 (95% hexane, 5% Et_2O); \_ν_max(film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3077m (CH=CH_2), 2982s (CH_2CH=CH_2), 2934s (CH_2CH=CH_2),
2905 s, 2854 m, 2822 s (OCH₃), 1894 w, 1642 m, 1605 s (C=C aromatic), 1509 s (C=C aromatic), 1466 w, 1443 w, 1417 m, 1347 m, 1296 s (ArC–F), 1156 s, 1102 s, 1042 w, 999 m, 978 m, 918 s, 864 m, 836 s, 783 w, 740 w, 722 w, 649 w, 631 w, 568 s; δ(H) (300 MHz) 2.32–2.44 (1H, m, CH₃H₆CH=CH₂), 2.49–2.61 (1H, m, CH₃H₆CH=CH₂), 3.20 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.15 (1H, app. t, J 6.6, CH(OCH₃)), 4.99–5.09 (2H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.65–5.81 (1H, m, CH₂CH=CH₂), 6.99–7.08 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.21–7.29 (2H, stack, ArH); δ(C) (75 MHz) 42.5 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 56.6 (CH₃, OCH₃), 82.9 (CH, CH(OCH₃)), 115.2 (CH, d, ²JCF 21.1, Ar), 117.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 128.3 (CH, d, ³JCF 8.3, Ar), 134.4 (CH, CH₂CH=CH₂), 137.3 (quat. C, d, ⁴JCF 3.1, ipso Ar), 162.2 (quat. C, d, ¹JCF 243.9, ipso Ar); δ(F) (282 MHz) –115.5 (F, s, ArF); m/z (EI) 139 ([M – C₃H₅]⁺, 100%), 109 (17); HRMS: C₈H₈FO calcd 139.0559 ([M – C₃H₅]⁺), obsd 139.0556.

4-(4’-Chlorophenyl)-4-methoxy-but-1-ene 159

Racemic ether 159 was prepared from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal 152 (200 mg, 1.07 mmol), allytrimethylsilane (185 µL, 1.18 mmol) and MeSO₃H (70 µL, 1.07 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) according to Method A. After 3 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded methyl ether 159 as a colourless liquid (116 mg, 55%): Rₚ = 0.31 (95% hexane, 5% Et₂O); (Found: C, 67.27; H, 6.73. C₁₁H₁₃ClO requires C, 67.18; H, 6.66%); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 3077 m (CH=CH₂), 2981 s (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2933 s (CH₂CH=CH₂), 2904 m, 2851 m, 2822 s (OCH₃), 1906 w, 1642 m, 1598 m (C=C
aromatic), 1490s (C=C aromatic), 1464w, 1442w, 1409m, 1346m, 1277w, 1236w, 1187m, 1105s, 1090s, 1041w, 1014s, 999w, 979m, 917s, 856m, 827s (ArC–Cl), 786m, 743w, 666w; \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz) 2.31-2.44 (1H, m, CH\(_2\)H\(_3\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 2.48-2.60 (1H, m, CH\(_2\)H\(_3\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 3.21 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 4.15 (1H, app. t, J 6.6, CH(OCH\(_3\))), 4.96-5.09 (2H, stack, CH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 5.65-5.82 (1H, m, CH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 7.20-7.28 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.29-7.36 (2H, stack, ArH); \(\delta_C\) (75 MHz) 42.4 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 56.7 (CH\(_3\), OCH\(_3\)), 82.9 (CH, CH(OCH\(_3\))), 117.2 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 133.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.2 (CH, CH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 140.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (El) 155 ([M – C\(_3\)H\(_5\)]\(^+\), 100%); HRMS: C\(_8\)H\(_8\)ClO calcd 155.0264 ([M – C\(_3\)H\(_5\)]\(^+\)), obsd 155.0259.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\(^{[53]}\)

**Allylmagnesium bromide in Et\(_2\)O\(^{[187]}\)**

![Chemical Structure](brmg)

- Chemical Formula: C\(_3\)H\(_5\)BrMg
- Exact Mass: 143.9425
- Molecular Weight: 145.2808
- Elemental Analysis: C, 24.80; H, 3.47; Br, 55.00; Mg, 16.73

A 250 mL three-neck round-bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser and a dropping funnel, was charged with Mg turnings (7.00 g, 288 mmol). Sufficient Et\(_2\)O (~ 15 mL) was added to cover the Mg turnings under fast stirring. A few I\(_2\) crystals were added and the brown colour disappeared within 1 min indicating the activation of the Mg. A solution of allyl bromide (9.95 mL, 115 mmol) in Et\(_2\)O (90 mL) was then added dropwise (1 drop every 3 seconds) until the reaction started and then the addition rate was increased to maintain a gentle reflux (1-2 drops every second). After the addition was finished, the suspension was
stirred for an additional 30 min and then left to settle. The ethereal solution was filtered and transferred via cannula (fitted with an oven-dried Whatman 1 filter paper) into a flask equipped with a septum, and then stored at 4 °C.

**Allyltriethylsilane**

![Chemical Structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C\(_9\)H\(_{20}\)Si

Exact Mass: 156.1334

Molecular Weight: 156.3406

Elemental Analysis: C, 69.14; H, 12.89; Si, 17.96

Chlorotriethylsilane (1.54 mL, 9.20 mmol) was added dropwise over 1 min to a vigorously stirred solution of allylmagnesium bromide in Et\(_2\)O (0.92 M, 20 mL, 18.4 mmol). After heating at reflux overnight, the pale yellow suspension was cooled to 0 °C and a mixture of aqueous NH\(_4\)Cl/NH\(_3\) solution (1:1, 25 mL) was added over a period of 3 min. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et\(_2\)O (20 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO\(_4\)). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product.

Purification by silica plug (100% pentane) afforded allyltriethylsilane as a colourless liquid (753 mg, 52%): \( R_f = 0.80 \) (100% pentane); \( \delta_H \) (300 MHz) 0.52 (6H, q, \( J \) 7.7, Si(CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 0.93 (9H, t, \( J \) 7.7, Si(CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 1.51-1.57 (2H, m, SiCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 4.76-4.89 (2H, stack, SiCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 5.71-5.88 (1H, m, SiCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)); \( \delta_C \) (75 MHz) 3.1 (CH\(_2\), Si(CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 7.3 (CH\(_3\), Si(CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 19.5 (CH\(_2\), SiCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 112.4 (CH\(_2\), SiCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)), 135.4 (CH, SiCH\(_2\)CH=CH\(_2\)); m/z (EI) 156 (11, [M]+), 115 (100, [M – C\(_3\)H\(_3\)]+), 99 (11), 87 (50).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\(^{[188]}\)
Allyltriisopropylsilane

\[ \text{Chemical Formula: } \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{26}\text{Si} \]

Exact Mass: 198.1804
Molecular Weight: 198.4203
Elemental Analysis: C, 72.64; H, 13.21; Si, 14.15

Chlorotriisopropylsilane (1.00 mL, 4.67 mmol) was added dropwise over 1 min to a vigorously stirred solution of allylmagnesium bromide in Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.92 M, 7.60 mL, 7.01 mmol). After heating at reflux overnight, the pale yellow suspension was cooled to 0 °C and a mixture of aqueous NH₄Cl/NH₃ solution (1:1, 10 mL) was added over a period of 3 min. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (20 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by silica plug (100% hexane) afforded allyltriisopropylsilane as a colourless liquid (390 mg, 42%): \( R_f = 0.85 \) (100% hexane); \( \delta^H(300 \text{ MHz}) 0.90-1.12 \) (21H, stack, Si(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.58-1.67 (2H, m, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.75-4.95 (2H, stack, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.78-5.96 (1H, m, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>); \( \delta^C(75 \text{ MHz}) 11.0 \) (CH, Si(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)), 17.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 18.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>, Si(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)), 112.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 136.2 (CH, SiCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>); m/z (EI) 198 (3, [M]+), 157 (100, [M – C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>]+), 115 (50), 99 (13), 87 (39), 85 (43), 73 (42), 59 (77), 43 (30).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\(^{[188]}\)
1-(2’-Methoxy-3’-triisopropylsilyl-propyl)isochroman 175

Racemic phosphoramide 162 (9 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of 1-methoxyisochroman (30 mg, 0.183 mmol) and allyltriisopropylsilane (65 µL, 0.274 mmol) in p-xylene (360 µL). After stirring at R.T. for 24 h, NaHCO₃ solution (15 mL) and Et₂O (15 mL) were added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (15 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography afforded isochroman 175 as a colourless liquid (17 mg, 26%; single diastereoisomer; stereochemistry not determined) amongst a range of unidentified by-products: $R_f = 0.31$ (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm⁻¹ 2942m, 2864m, 1497w, 1455m, 1382m, 1231w, 1104s, 1066m, 987m, 944m, 873m; $\delta_{\text{H}}$(500 MHz) 1.03-1.12 (23H, stack, CH₂Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃), 1.79 (1H, ddd, J 13.6, 9.0, 3.0, CH₃H₆), 2.32 (1H, ddd, J 13.6, 10.3, 3.4, CH,H₆), 2.71 (1H, app. dt, J 16.2, 3.9, CH₃H₆CH₂O), 2.99 (1H, ddd, J 15.7, 9.4, 5.3, CH₃H₆CH₂O), 3.27 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.64-3.71 (1H, m, CHOCH₃), 3.75 (1H, ddd, J 11.3, 9.4, 3.9, CH₂CH₃H₂O), 4.12 (1H, ddd, J 11.3, 5.3, 4.1, CH₂CH₃H₂O), 4.81 (1H, app. d, J 9.0, CHO), 7.07-7.21 (4H, stack, ArH); $\delta_{\text{C}}$(125 MHz) 11.5 (CH, Si(CH(CH₃)₂)₃), 15.4 (CH₂, SiCH₂), 18.8 (CH₃, Si(CH(CH₃)₃)₃(CH₃)₃b), 18.9 (CH₃, Si(CH(CH₃)₃)₃(CH₃)₃b), 29.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 42.3 (CH₂, CH₂), 55.6 (CH₃, OCH₃), 63.0 (CH₂, CH₂O), 73.6 (CH, CHO), 75.9 (CH, CHOCH₃), 124.6 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 133.7
(quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 138.6 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 385.3 ([M + Na]^+, 100%); HRMS: C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{38}O\textsubscript{2}SiNa calc 385.2539 ([M + Na]^+), obsd 385.2531.

1-(2′-Methallyl)isochroman 176

Chemical Formula: C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{16}O  
Exact Mass: 188.1201  
Molecular Weight: 188.2655  
Elemental Analysis: C, 82.94; H, 8.57; O, 8.50

**Racemic allylation:**

MeSO\textsubscript{3}H (50 µL, 0.74 mmol) was added to a solution of 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 (120 mg, 0.673 mmol) and 2-methallyltrimethylsilane (177 µL, 1.01 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (1.35 mL) at 0 °C. A colour change from colourless to orange was observed. After stirring at R.T. for 20 min, NaHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (15 mL) and Et\textsubscript{2}O (15 mL) were added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et\textsubscript{2}O (15 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (15 mL) and then dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded racemic isochroman 176 as a colourless liquid (56 mg, 44%): R\textsubscript{f} = 0.30 (90% hexane, 10% Et\textsubscript{2}O); \textit{v}_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} 2965\text{m}, 2922\text{m}, 1649\text{w}, 1491\text{m}, 1452\text{m}, 1374\text{w}, 1279\text{w}, 1107\text{s}, 1067\text{m}, 1040\text{m}, 886\text{s}, 743\text{s}; \delta_{\text{f}}(300 \text{ MHz}) 1.85 (3H, s, C(CH\textsubscript{3})=CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.46-2.78 (3H, stack, CH\textsubscript{2}C(CH\textsubscript{3})=CH\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 2.98 (1H, ddd, J 15.8, 9.3, 5.5, CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 3.78 (1H, ddd, J 11.4, 9.3, 4.1, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}O), 4.15 (1H, app. td, J 11.4, 4.4, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}O), 4.81-4.97 (3H, stack, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}C(CH\textsubscript{3})=CH\textsubscript{2}), 7.06-7.20 (4H, stack, ArH); \delta_{\text{c}}(100 \text{ MHz}) 22.5 (CH\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}), 29.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 44.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}C(CH\textsubscript{3})=CH\textsubscript{2}), 62.9 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 74.1 (CH,
CHO), 112.8 (CH₂, CH₂C(CH₃)=CH₂), 124.9 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), [133.9, 138.3, 142.8 (quat. C, CH₂C(CH₃)=CH₂) and 2 × (quat. C, ipso Ar)]; m/z (EI) 188 ([M]⁺, 4%), 170 (56), 133 (100, [M – C₄H₇]⁺), 129 (30), 116 (20), 104 (31), 90 (12); HRMS: C₁₃H₁₆O calcd 188.1201 ([M]⁺), obsd 188.1203.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

The phosphoramide catalyst (R)-45g (18 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added to a solution of the 1-alkoxyisochroman (0.183 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (50 µL, 0.27 mmol) in o-xylene (360 µL). After stirring for 24 h at R.T., NaHCO₃ solution (15 mL) and Et₂O (15 mL) were added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (15 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude methallylisochroman product. After purification by flash column chromatography the e.r. was determined by chiral HPLC: Chiralpak AD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, λ = 210 nm, t_R,minor = 9.5 min, t_R,major = 12.9 min; e.r. (using 1-methoxyisochroman as starting material) = 40:60; e.r. (using 1-ethoxyisochroman as starting material) = 41:59.

**Benzyl methyl ether**

![Benzyl methyl ether structure](image)

Benzyl alcohol (1.50 g, 13.9 mmol) was added to a suspension of NaH (666 mg of a 60 wt % suspension in mineral oil, 16.6 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2
h at R.T. and then cooled to 0 °C. MeI (1.10 mL, 18.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was warmed to R.T. overnight. NH₄Cl solution (50 mL) and Et₂O (50 mL) were added and the layers were separated. The organic phase was washed sequentially with NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL), H₂O (30 mL) and brine (30 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% pentane, 1% Et₂O → 95% pentane, 5% Et₂O) afforded benzyl methyl ether as a colourless liquid (1.02 g, 60%, reduced yield owing to product volatility): \( R_f = 0.34 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \delta_1(300 \text{ MHz}) \) 3.41 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.48 (2H, s, CH₂O), 7.27-7.41 (5H, stack, ArH); \( \delta_C(100 \text{ MHz}) \) 58.0 (CH₃, OCH₃), 74.7 (CH₂, CH₂O), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 127.7 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 138.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 122 ([M]⁺, 75%), 121 (65, [M – H]⁺), 91 (100, ([M – OCH₃]⁺), 77 (31, [C₆H₅]⁺).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\(^{[189]}\)

\((R)-\) and \((S)-1\)-Methoxyethylbenzene \((R)-\) and \((S)-214\)

\[\begin{align*}
\text{(R)-} & \quad \text{Chemical Formula: } C₉H₁₂O \\
\text{Exact Mass: } & \quad 136.0888 \\
\text{Molecular Weight: } & \quad 136.191 \\
\text{Elemental Analysis: } & \quad \text{C, 79.37; H, 8.88; O, 11.75}
\end{align*}\]

\((S)-2\)-Phenyl ethanol (1.50 g, 12.3 mmol) was added to a suspension of NaH (540 mg of a 60 wt % suspension in mineral oil, 13.5 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at R.T. and then cooled to 0 °C. MeI (920 \( \mu \text{L}, 14.7 \text{ mmol}) was added and the mixture was warmed to R.T. overnight. NH₄Cl solution (50 mL) and Et₂O (50 mL) were added and
the layers were separated. The organic phase was washed sequentially with NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL), H₂O (30 mL) and brine (30 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% pentane, 1% Et₂O → 95% pentane, 5% Et₂O) afforded (S)-ether 214 as a colourless liquid (1.04 g, 62%, reduced yield owing to product volatility): R_f = 0.38 (90% pentane, 10% Et₂O); [α]_D⁰ = −118.3 (neat) (lit.[190] [α]_D²⁶ = −114.0 (neat); δ_H (300 MHz) 1.44 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃), 3.23 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.30 (1H, q, J 6.5, ArCHO), 7.24-7.39 (5H, stack, ArH); δ_C (100 MHz) 23.9 (CH₃, CH₃), 56.4 (CH₃, OCH₃), 79.6 (CH, CHO), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 143.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 121 ([M – CH₃]⁺, 100%).

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomer: [α]_D²⁰ = +117.6 (neat) (lit.[191] [α]_D²⁵ = +120.0 (neat)).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[192]

General procedure for the MOM-protection of different phenethyl alcohols

The MOM-protected phenethyl alcohols 181 were prepared following a previously reported procedure for the preparation of [2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl]benzene.[155]

Sc(OTf)₃ (191 mg, 0.389 mmol) was added to a solution of the alcohol (7.78 mmol) in FDMA (27 mL, 389 mmol) and CHCl₃ (27 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 75 °C. After the specified time, deionised H₂O (20 mL) was added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 10 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). [Removal of the H₂O from the aqueous
phase allows recovery of the Sc(OTf)$_3$, which can be reused]. The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Unless otherwise stated, the isolated crude product was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use.

1-[2-(Methoxymethoxy)ethyl]-2-methylbenzene 181a

Chemical Formula: C$_{11}$H$_{16}$O$_2$

Exact Mass: 180.115

Molecular Weight: 180.2435

Elemental Analysis: C, 73.30; H, 8.95; O, 17.75

Acetal 181a was prepared from 2-methylphenethyl alcohol (1.06 g, 7.78 mmol), FDMA (27 mL, 389 mmol) and Sc(OTf)$_3$ (191 mg, 0.389 mmol) in CHCl$_3$ (27 mL) according to the general procedure. After 24 h, work-up afforded MOM ether 181a as a colourless liquid (1.40 g, 97%): $R_f = 0.23$ (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\delta_H$(300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 2.13 (3H, s, ArCH$_3$), 2.79 (2H, t, $J$ 7.2, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 3.09 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.60 (2H, t, $J$ 7.2, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 4.44 (2H, s, OCH$_2$OCH$_3$), 6.98-7.11 (4H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 19.4 (CH$_3$, ArCH$_3$), 33.9 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 54.8 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 67.9 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 96.5 (CH$_2$, OCH$_2$OCH$_3$), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (CH, Ar), 129.9 (CH, Ar), 130.4 (CH, Ar), 136.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 148 ([M – H – OCH$_3$]$^+$, 13%), 119 (43, [M – OCH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$), 118 (100, [M – H – OCH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$), 105 (63, [M – CH$_2$OCH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$), 91 (12, [M – H – CH$_2$CH$_2$OCH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$), 77 (10, [C$_6$H$_5$]$^+$).
1-[2-(Methoxymethoxy)ethyl]-4-methylbenzene 181c

Acetal 181c was prepared from 4-methylphenethyl alcohol (1.76 g, 12.9 mmol), FDMA (45 mL, 645 mmol) and Sc(OTf)₃ (317 mg, 0.645 mmol) in CHCl₃ (45 mL) according to the general procedure. After 24 h, work-up afforded MOM ether 181c as a pale brown liquid (2.46 g, quant): Rᵢ = 0.25 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); δᵣ(300 MHz, C₆D₆) 2.13 (3H, s, ArCH₃), 2.78 (2H, t, J 6.9, CH₂CH₂O), 3.10 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.63 (2H, t, J 6.9, CH₂CH₂O), 4.45 (2H, s, OCH₂OCH₃), 6.98 (2H, d, J 8.0, ArH), 7.05 (2H, d, J 8.0, ArH); δₓ(100 MHz, C₆D₆) 21.1 (CH₃, ArCH₃), 36.4 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 54.9 (CH₃, OCH₃), 68.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 96.6 (CH₂, OCH₂OCH₃), 129.2 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (CH, Ar), 135.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 119 ([M – OCH₂OCH₃]⁺, 39%), 118 (90, [M – H – OCH₂OCH₃]⁺), 105 (100, [M – CH₂OCH₂OCH₃]⁺).

[3-(Methoxymethoxy)propyl]benzene 181l

Acetal 181l was prepared from phenpropyl alcohol (2.00 mL, 14.8 mmol), FDMA (65 mL, 740 mmol) and Sc(OTf)₃ (364 mg, 0.740 mmol) in CHCl₃ (65 mL) according to the general procedure. After 24 h, work-up afforded MOM ether 181l as a colourless liquid (2.62 g,
NaBH₄ (620 mg, 16.5 mmol) was added to a solution of 1-phenyl-1-cyclopropane-carboxylic acid (2.00 g, 12.3 mmol) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C (caution: gas formation!). A solution of BF₃·OEt₂ (2.70 mL, 21.8 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added over 3 min at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at R.T. A mixture of THF/H₂O (1:1, 30 mL) was then added carefully (caution: gas formation), followed by the addition of more H₂O (20 mL). The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (30 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude alcohol 180j as a colourless liquid (1.83 g, quantitative), which was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use: \( R_f = 0.45 \) (70% hexane, 30% EtOAc); \( \delta_\text{H}(300 \text{ MHz}) \) 0.81-0.94 (4H, stack, \( \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2 \)), 1.72 (1H, br s, \( \text{OH} \)), 3.65 (2H, s, \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} \)), 7.19-7.38 (5H, stack, \( \text{ArH} \)); \( \delta_\text{C}(100 \text{ MHz}) \) 31.4 (CH, \( \text{ArCCH}_2 \) or \( \text{ArCH}_2\text{CH}_2 \)), 32.4 (CH, \( \text{ArCH}_2 \) or \( \text{ArCH}_2\text{CH}_2 \)), 55.1 (CH₃, OCH₃), 67.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 96.4 (CH₂, OCH₂OCH₃), 125.8 (CH, \( p-\text{Ar} \)), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 141.8 (quat. C, \( \text{ipso Ar} \)); m/z (EI) 148 ([M – H – OCH₃]⁺, 18%), 118 (34, [M – H – OCH₂OCH₃]⁺), 117 (31), 105 (29, [M – CH₂OCH₂OCH₃]⁺), 92 (25), 91 (100, [M – H – CH₂CH₂OCH₂OCH₃]⁺).
7.19-7.41 (5H, stack, ArH); δ(100 MHz) 11.3 (CH₂, cyclopropyl CH₂), 28.0 (quat. C, cyclopropyl C), 70.6 (CH₂, CH₂OH), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 142.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 148 ([M]+, 40%), 133 (19), 130 (35), 117 (100, [M − CH₂OH]+), 105 (23), 91 (92), 77 (19, [C₆H₅]+), 65 (16), 51 (20).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[193]

1-Phenyl-1-(methoxymethoxy)methylcyclopropane 181j

Acetal 181j was prepared from 1-phenyl-1-(hydroxymethyl)cyclopropane 180j (2.00 mL, 14.8 mmol), FDMA (65 mL, 740 mmol) and Sc(OTf)₃ (364 mg, 0.740 mmol) in CHCl₃ (65 mL) according to the general procedure. After 24 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded MOM ether 181j as a colourless liquid (2.50 g, 85%): Rf = 0.19 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 2884m, 1603w, 1497w, 1446w, 1384w, 1213w, 1149m, 1103m, 1046s, 1017s, 974m, 917m, 857w, 758m, 697s; δH(300 MHz) 0.90-1.00 (4H, stack, cyclopropylCH₂), 3.29 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.70 (2H, s, CH₂O), 4.62 (2H, s, OCH₂OCH₃), 7.20-7.44 (5H, stack, ArH); δC(75 MHz) 11.9 (CH₂, cyclopropylCH₂), 25.1 (quat. C, cyclopropylC), 55.0 (CH₃, OCH₃), 74.9 (CH₂, CH₂OCH₂OCH₃), 96.0 (CH₂, CH₂OCH₃), 126.1 (CH, p-Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 143.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 192 ([M]+, 7%), 132 (44), 131 (55, [M − OCH₂OCH₃]+), 130 (96, [M − H − OCH₂OCH₃]+), 129 (58), 117 (100), 115 (57, [M −
CH$_2$OCH$_2$OCH$_3^+$), 104 (33), 103 (34), 91 (50), 77 (18, [C$_6$H$_5$]$^+$), 45 (39, [CH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$); HRMS: C$_{12}$H$_{16}$O$_2$ calcd 192.1150 ([M]$^+$), obsd 192.1155.

1-Bromo-2-[2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl]benzene 181e

Acetal 181e was prepared from 2-bromophenethyl alcohol (5.04 mL, 25.1 mmol), FDMA (88 mL, 1.25 mol) and Sc(OTf)$_3$ (617 mg, 1.25 mmol) in CHCl$_3$ (88 mL) according to the general procedure. After 48 h, work-up afforded MOM ether 181e as a colourless liquid (6.06 g, 99%): $R_f$ = 0.28 (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\delta$$_H$(300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 2.95 (2H, t, $J$ 6.9, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 3.08 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.64 (2H, t, $J$ 6.9, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 4.41 (2H, s, OCH$_2$OCH$_3$), 6.68 (1H, app. t, $J$ 7.6, ArH), 6.89 (1H, app. t, $J$ 7.5, ArH), 7.01 (1H, dd, $J$ 7.6, 1.7, ArH), 7.36 (1H, dd, $J$ 8.0, 1.2, ArH); $\delta$$_C$(75 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 36.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 54.5 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 66.4 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 96.0 (CH$_2$, OCH$_2$OCH$_3$), 124.7 (quat. C, ipso ArBr), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 131.1 (CH, Ar), 132.6 (CH, Ar), 138.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 246 ([M]$^+$, 1%), 244 ([M]$^+$, 1%), 216 (12), 214 (18), 212 (12), 186 (12), 185 (43), 184 (71), 183 (47), 182 (69), 171 (28), 169 (29), 158 (16), 156 (16), 135 (100), 105 (22), 104 (43), 103 (37), 91 (11), 90 (16), 89 (16), 77 (26, [C$_6$H$_5$]$^+$), 45 (58, [CH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$); HRMS: C$_{16}$H$_{13}$O$_2^{79}$Br calcd 244.0099 ([M]$^+$), obsd 244.0094.
1-Methoxy-4-[2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl]benzene 181g

Acetal 181g was prepared from 4-methoxyphenethyl alcohol (2.00 g, 13.1 mmol), FDMA (46 mL, 657 mmol) and Sc(OTf)₃ (323 mg, 0.657 mmol) in CHCl₃ (46 mL) according to the general procedure. After 15 h, work-up afforded MOM ether 181g as a colourless oil (2.58 g, quant): $R_f = 0.20$ (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); $\delta$ (300 MHz, C₆D₆) 2.76 (2H, t, $J$ 7.0, $CH₂CH₂O$), 3.11 (3H, s, $CH₂OCH₃$), 3.32 (3H, s, ArOC₃H₃), 3.62 (2H, t, $J$ 7.0, $CH₂CH₂O$), 4.47 (2H, s, $OCH₂OCH₃$), 6.74-6.83 (2H, m, ArH), 6.99-7.07 (2H, t, ArH); $\delta$ (100 MHz, C₆D₆) 35.9 ($CH₂$, $CH₂CH₂O$), 54.8 ($CH₃$, $CH₂OCH₃$ or ArOCH₃), 54.9 ($CH₃$, $CH₂OCH₃$ or ArOCH₃), 69.0 ($CH₂$, $CH₂CH₂O$), 96.5 ($CH₂$, OCH₂OCH₃), 114.1 (CH, Ar), 130.2 (CH, Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 158.8 (quat. C, ipso ArOme); m/z (EI) 196 ([M]+, 7%), 134 (50, [M – H – OCH₂OCH₃]+), 121 (100, [M – CH₂OCH₂OCH₃]+), 45 (36, [CH₂OCH₃]+).

1-Fluoro-4-[2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl]benzene 181h

Acetal 181h was prepared from 4-fluorophenethyl alcohol (2.00 g, 14.3 mmol), FDMA (50 mL, 714 mmol) and Sc(OTf)₃ (351 mg, 0.714 mmol) in CHCl₃ (50 mL) according to the general procedure. After 48 h, work-up afforded MOM ether 181h as a colourless liquid (2.61
g, 99%): $R_f = 0.19$ (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\delta^H$(300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 2.60 (2H, t, $J$ 6.8, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 3.06 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.49 (2H, t, $J$ 6.8, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 4.41 (2H, s, OCH$_2$OCH$_3$), 6.74-6.89 (4H, stack, ArH); $\delta^C$(100 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 35.8 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 54.8 (CH$_3$, CH$_2$OCH$_3$), 68.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 96.5 (CH$_2$, OCH$_2$OCH$_3$), 115.2 (CH, d, $^2J_{C,F}$ 21.0, Ar), 130.7 (CH, d, $^3J_{C,F}$ 7.6, Ar), 135.3 (quat. C, d, $^4J_{C,F}$ 2.4, ipso Ar), 162.0 (quat. C, d, $^1J_{C,F}$ 241.9, ipso Ar); $\delta^F$(282 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) –117.3– –117.1 (m, ArF); m/z (EI) 122 (100, [M – H – OCH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$), 109 (61, [M – CH$_2$OCH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$), 45 (10, [CH$_2$OCH$_3$]$^+$).

**General procedure for the formation of isochromans 182 via Friedel Crafts cyclisation of MOM-protected phenethyl alcohols 181**

Isochromans 182 were prepared by modification of previously reported procedures for the preparation of different substituted isochromans via cyclisation of MOM- or MEM-protected phenethyl alcohols:

**Method A**$^{[114]}$

TMSOTf (0.694 or 1.39 mmol (see specific experimental details)) was added to a solution of MOM-protected phenethyl alcohol (6.94 mmol) in MeCN (35 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at R.T. for 15 h, NaHCO$_3$ solution (10 mL) was added and the MeCN was removed under reduced pressure. Et$_2$O (20 mL) and more NaHCO$_3$ solution (10 mL) were added to the residue and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et$_2$O (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (25 mL) and dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.
Method B

A solution of TiCl$_4$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 M, 2.40 mL, 2.40 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min to a solution of MOM-protected phenethyl alcohol (2.00 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (20 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at R.T. for 15 h, MeOH (1 mL) was added and the brown colouration disappeared. Hydrochloric acid (1 M, 25 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 10 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (25 mL) and dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.

5-Methylisochroman 182a

Isochroman 182a was prepared from MOM ether 181a (1.36 g, 7.55 mmol) and TMSOTf (273 µL, 1.51 mmol) in MeCN (35 mL) according to Method A. After 15 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O → 95% hexane, 5% Et$_2$O) afforded isochroman 182a as a colourless liquid (0.96 g, 86%): $R_f = 0.25$ (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\delta_{\text{H}}$(300 MHz) 2.29 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 2.76 (2H, t, J 5.8, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 4.07 (2H, t, J 5.8, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 4.82 (2H, s, ArCH$_2$O), 6.89 (1H, d, J 7.2, ArH), 7.06-7.18 (2H, stack, ArH); $\delta_{\text{C}}$(75 MHz) 18.7 (CH$_3$, CH$_3$), 26.0 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 65.4 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O or ArCH$_2$O), 68.2 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O or ArCH$_2$O), 121.9 (CH, Ar), 125.5 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH,
Ar), 131.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 148 ([M]+, 100%), 133 (37, [M – CH₃]+), 118 (67, [M – OCH₂]+), 91 (12).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[114]

7-Methylisochroman 182c[114]

Isochroman 182c was prepared from MOM ether 181c (2.38 g, 13.2 mmol) and TMSOTf (239 µL, 1.32 mmol) in MeCN (67 mL) according to Method A. After 15 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded isochroman 182c as a colourless oil (1.57 g, 80%): \( R_f = 0.30 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \delta_H (300 \text{ MHz}) \): 2.32 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.83 (2H, t, \( J = 5.7 \)), \( CH_2CH_2O \)), 3.98 (2H, t, \( J = 5.7 \)), \( CH_2CH_2O \)), 4.76 (2H, s, ArCH₂O), 6.81 (1H, s, ArH), 6.96-7.15 (2H, stack, ArH); \( \delta_C (100 \text{ MHz}) \): 21.0 (CH₃, CH₃), 28.0 (CH₂, \( CH_2CH_2O \) or ArCH₂O), 65.5 (CH₂, \( CH_2CH_2O \) or ArCH₂O), 67.9 (CH₂, \( CH_2CH_2O \) or ArCH₂O), 124.8 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 130.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 148 ([M]+, 71%), 133 (24, [M – CH₃]+), 118 (100, [M – OCH₂]+), 103 (14, [M – CH₃ – OCH₂]+), 91 (35), 77 (12, [C₆H₅]+).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[114]
6-Methylisochroman 182b and 8-methylisochroman 182d

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OH} & \xrightarrow{\text{MOM}} \text{OMOM} & \xrightarrow{\text{cyclisation}} \text{O} \\
\end{align*}
\]

2.6 : 1.0

Chemical Formula: C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{12}O
Exact Mass: 148.0888
Molecular Weight: 148.2017
Elemental Analysis:
C, 81.04; H, 8.16; O, 10.80

Sc(OTf)\textsubscript{3} (191 mg, 0.389 mmol) was added to a solution of 3-methylphenethyl alcohol (3.00 g, 22.0 mmol) in FDMA (85 mL, 1.10 mol) and CHCl\textsubscript{3} (85 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 75 °C to generate the corresponding MOM ethers 181b and 181d. After 48 h, the solvents were evaporated at 75 °C, which effected cyclisation to isochromans 182b and 182d.

The dark-brown oil was cooled to R.T. CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (40 mL) and deionised H\textsubscript{2}O (40 mL) were added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (50 mL) and dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et\textsubscript{2}O → 95% hexane, 5% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded a mixture of isochromans 182b and 182d as a colourless oil (2.22 g, 68% from 3-methylphenethyl alcohol, 182b : 182d = 2.6 : 1.0): \(R_f = 0.30\) (90% hexane, 10% Et\textsubscript{2}O); \(\delta_t(300 \text{ MHz})\) [2.19 (3H, s, CH\textsubscript{3}, minor), 2.36 (3H, s, CH\textsubscript{3}, major)], 2.83-2.94 (2H, stack, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O, both isomers), 3.96-4.05 (2H, stack, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O, both isomers), [4.77 (2H, s, ArCH\textsubscript{2}O, minor), 4.80 (2H, s, ArCH\textsubscript{2}O, major)], 6.88-7.18 (3H, stack, ArH, both isomers); \(\delta_e(75 \text{ MHz})\) 17.7 (CH\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}, minor), 21.0 (CH\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}, major), 28.2 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O, major), 28.6 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O, minor), 64.8 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O or ArCH\textsubscript{2}O, minor), 65.3 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O or ArCH\textsubscript{2}O, major), 66.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O or
ArCH₂O, minor), 67.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O or ArCH₂O, major), 124.2 (CH, Ar, major), 126.0 (CH, Ar, minor), 126.4 (CH, Ar, minor), 126.7 (CH, Ar, major), 127.4 (CH, Ar, minor), 129.3 (CH, Ar, major), 131.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar, major), 132.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar, major), 133.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar, minor), 133.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar, minor), 133.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar, minor), 135.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar, major); m/z GC-MS (EI) \( t_R,\text{major} = 5.85 \) min: 148 ([M]⁺, 100%), 133 (94, [M – CH3]⁺), 118 (80, [M – OCH₂]⁺), 103 (22, [M – CH₃ – OCH₂]⁺), 91 (36), 77 (21, [C₆H₅]⁺); \( t_R,\text{minor} = 5.97 \) min: 148 ([M]⁺, 100%), 133 (100, [M – CH₃]⁺), 118 (92, [M – OCH₂]⁺), 103 (25, [M – CH₃ – OCH₂]⁺), 91 (61), 77 (22, [C₆H₅]⁺).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\[^{114}\]

**5-Bromoisochroman 182e\[^{195}\]**

![Chemical Structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C₉H₉BrO

Exact Mass: 211.9837

Molecular Weight: 213.0712

Elemental Analysis: C, 50.73; H, 4.26; Br, 37.50; O, 7.51

Isochroman 182e was prepared from MOM ether 181e (5.07 g, 20.7 mmol) and a solution of TiCl₄ in CH₂Cl₂ (24.8 mL, 24.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL) according to Method B. After 15 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded isochroman 182e as a white crystalline solid (3.91 g, 89%): mp 152-154 °C; \( R_f = 0.30 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \delta_{\text{H}}(300 \text{ MHz}) = 2.81 \) (2H, t, J 5.8, CH₂CH₂O), 3.99 (2H, t, J 5.8, CH₂CH₂O), 4.73 (2H, s, ArCH₂O), 6.94 (1H, d, J 7.5, ArH), 7.04 (1H, app. t, J 7.7, ArH), 7.43 (1H, d, J 7.7, ArH); \( \delta_{\text{C}}(100 \text{ MHz, C₆D₆}) = 29.5 \) (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 65.2 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O or ArCH₂O), 67.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O or ArCH₂O), 121.9
151

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature. [195]

5-Trifluoromethylisochroman 182f

MOM ether 181f was prepared from 2-trifluoromethylphenethyl alcohol (2.00 g, 10.5 mmol), FDMA (37 mL, 526 mmol) and Sc(OTf)3 (259 mg, 0.526 mmol) in CHCl3 (37 mL) according to the general procedure for the MOM-protection of different phenethyl alcohols. After 48 h, work-up afforded the MOM ether as a colourless liquid (2.44 g, 10.4 mmol) which was used directly and without purification in the preparation of isochroman 182f from a solution of TiCl4 in CH2Cl2 (12.5 mL, 12.5 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (100 mL) according to Method B. After 15 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et2O → 95% hexane, 5% Et2O) afforded isochroman 182f as a colourless liquid (934 mg, 44% over two steps): $R_f = 0.25$ (90% hexane, 10% Et2O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2944w, 2894w, 1497w (C=C aromatic), 1322s, 1307s, 1263w, 1200w, 1186m, 1157s, 1102s, 1075s, 1063s,
1011m, 973m, 957m, 925m, 845w, 794s, 727m, 690m, 653m; $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 3.01 (2H, t, $J$ 5.7, $CH_2CH_2O$), 3.99 (2H, t, $J$ 5.7, $CH_2CH_2O$), 4.82 (2H, s, Ar$CH_2O$), 7.15 (1H, d, $J$ 7.7, Ar$H$), 7.21-7.30 (1H, m, Ar$H$), 7.51 (1H, d, $J$ 7.5, Ar$H$); $\delta_C$(100 MHz) 25.3 (CH$_2$, $C$H$_2$CH$_2$O), 64.7 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O or ArCH$_2$O), 68.0 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O or ArCH$_2$O), 124.13 (CH, q, $^3J_{C-F}$ 5.6, Ar), 124.4 (quat. C, q, $^1J_{C-F}$ 272.4, ArCF$_3$), 125.8 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (quat. C, q, $^2J_{C-F}$ 28.4, ipso Ar), 132.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); $\delta_F$(282 MHz) – 61.5 (s, ArC$_F$$_3$); m/z (EI) 202 ([M]$^+$, 26%), 172 (100, [M – OCH$_2$]$^+$), 151 (18), 133 (32, [M – CF$_3$]$^+$), 103 (14); HRMS: C$_{10}$H$_9$OF$_3$ calcd 202.0605 ([M]$^+$), obsd 202.0600.

7-Fluoroisochroman 182h

Isochroman 182h was prepared from MOM ether 181h (2.51 g, 13.6 mmol) and a solution of TiCl$_4$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (16.4 mL, 16.4 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (135 mL) according to Method B. After 15 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O → 95% hexane, 5% Et$_2$O) afforded isochroman 182h as a pale yellow liquid (1.59 g, 77%): $R_f$ = 0.26 (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{max}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2935w, 2857w, 282w, 1617m, 1400s (C=C aromatic), 1430m, 1259m, 1248m, 1223s, 1202m, 1114m, 1094m, 1069m, 1005w, 993m, 949m, 931s, 856s, 809s 747m, 736s; $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 2.81 (2H, t, $J$ 5.7, $CH_2CH_2O$), 3.95 (2H, t, $J$ 5.7, $CH_2CH_2O$), 4.73 (2H, s, Ar$CH_2O$), 6.68 (1H, dd, $^3J_{H-F}$ 9.1, $^4J_{H-H}$ 2.6, Ar$H$), 6.86 (1H, app. td, $^3J_{H-H}$ and $^3J_{H-F}$ 8.5, $^4J_{H-H}$ 2.6, Ar$H$), 7.07 (1H, dd, $^3J_{H-H}$ 8.5, $^4J_{H-F}$ 5.6, Ar$H$); $\delta_C$(75 MHz) 27.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 65.3 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O or Ar$CH_2$O), 67.6
(CH₂, CH₂CH₂O or ArCH₂O), 110.8 (CH, d, J_C,F 21.4, Ar), 113.4 (CH, d, J_C,F 21.3, Ar),
128.62 (quat. C, d, J_C,F 2.2, ipso Ar), 130.2 (CH, d, J_C,F 7.6, Ar), 136.6 (quat. C, d, J_C,F 6.5,
ipso Ar), 161.0 (quat. C, d, J_C,F 242.9, ipso Ar); δ_F(282 MHz) –116.6– –116.4 (m, Ar
F); m/z (EI) 152 ([M]^+, 17%), 128 (21), 122 (100, [M – OCH₂]^+), 96 (11); HRMS: C₉H₉OF calcd
152.0637 ([M]^+), obsd 152.0636.

**General procedure for the formation of isochroman-1-ones 183**

Substituted isochroman-1-ones 183 were prepared following two previously reported
procedures for the preparation of isochroman-1-one 172:

**Method A**[162]

A solution of fuming nitric acid (100%) (2.97 mL, 71.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added
dropwise via dropping funnel over 10 min to a cooled (0 °C) solution of the isochroman (23.9
mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). A colour change of the colourless solution via green to orange was
observed. After the addition was complete, the homogeneous reaction mixture was warmed to
R.T. and stirring was continued for 1 h. The solution was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL)
and NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL) was added via pipette (caution: rapid gas formation!). The
layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed with NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL) and
brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate
concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product, which was purified by a
silica plug or flash column chromatography as appropriate.
Method B\textsuperscript{[157]}

KMnO\textsubscript{4} (4.74 g, 30.0 mmol) was added to a solution of the isochroman (10.0 mmol) and TEBAC (342 mg, 1.50 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (100 mL). The heterogeneous purple solution was stirred for 24 h at R.T. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was loaded on to a column with a minimum amount of CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography.

Isochroman-1-one 172\textsuperscript{[130162]}

Isochroman-1-one 172 was prepared from isochroman (3.20 g, 23.9 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (5 mL) and fuming nitric acid (100\%) (2.97 mL, 71.6 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (5 mL) according to Method A. Work-up and purification by silica plug (60% hexane, 40% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 172 as a pale yellow viscous oil (3.50 g, 99\%): $R_f = 0.25$ (60\% hexane, 40\% EtOAc); $\delta^H(300$ MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) 2.03-2.24 (2H, stack, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 3.64-3.83 (2H, stack, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 6.63 (1H, d, J 7.0 ArH), 6.86-7.08 (2H, stack, ArH), 8.19 (1H, d, J 7.5, ArH); $\delta^C(100$ MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) 27.6 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 66.8 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 126.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 130.4 (CH, Ar), 133.2 (CH, Ar), 140.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 164.4 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 148 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}, 59\%), 118 (100, [M – OCH\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{+}), 90 (69, [M – CO – OCH\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}), 63 (14).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{[196]}
5-Methylisochroman-1-one 183a

Isochroman-1-one 183a was prepared from isochroman 182a (962 mg, 6.49 mmol), KMnO₄ (3.08 g, 19.5 mmol) and TEBAC (222 mg, 0.974 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (65 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc → 80% hexane, 20% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 183a as a white crystalline solid (801 mg, 76%): mp 67-68 °C; Rₚ = 0.30 (70% hexane, 30% EtOAc); (Found: C, 74.01; H, 5.92. C₁₀H₁₀O₂ requires C, 74.06; H, 6.21%); νₘₐₓ(film)/cm⁻¹ 2994w, 2963w, 2910w, 1700s (C=O), 1477m (C=C aromatic), 1396m, 1302m, 1279s, 1258m, 1170m, 1123s, 1084m, 1071m, 1045s, 1037s, 1011m, 951m, 824m, 768s, 760s, 706m; δₜₐₐₙ(300 MHz) 2.31 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.95 (2H, t, J 6.1, CH₂CH₂O), 4.50 (2H, t, J 6.2, CH₂CH₂O), 7.26 (1H, dd, J 7.7, 7.2, ArH), 7.38 (1H, d, J 7.2, ArH), 7.94 (1H, d, J 7.7, ArH); δ(100 MHz) 18.8 (CH₃, CH₃), 24.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 66.6 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 125.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.0 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 134.9 (CH, Ar), 135.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 165.4 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 162 ([M⁺⁺⁺, 93%]), 132 (100, [M – OCH₂]⁺), 104 (58, [M – CO – OCH₂]⁺).

6-Methylisochroman-1-one 183b and 8-methylisochroman-1-one 183d

6-Methylisochroman-1-one 183b and 8-methylisochroman-1-one 183d
Isochroman-1-ones 183b and 183d were prepared from the mixture of isochromans 182b and 182d (1.98 g, 13.4 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2.8 mL) and fuming nitric acid (100%) (1.66 mL, 40.1 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2.8 mL) according to Method A. Work-up and purification by careful$^3$ column chromatography (gradient: 95% hexane, 5% EtOAc → 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc) afforded, in order of elution, 8-methylisochroman-1-one 183d as a white solid (598 mg, 28%): mp 64-65 °C; $R_f$ = 0.24 (80% hexane, 20% EtOAc); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2963w, 2925m, 1710s (C=O), 1595m, 1470m (C=C aromatic), 1419m, 1386m, 1379m, 1277s, 1232s, 1187m, 1115s, 1078m, 1057s, 1046m, 1028s, 978m, 923m, 787m, 768s, 697s, 654m; $\delta$H(300 MHz) 2.60 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 2.95 (2H, t, $J$ 5.9, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 4.37 (2H, t, $J$ 5.9, CH$_2$C=O), 7.04 (1H, d, $J$ 7.6, ArH), 7.13 (1H, d, $J$ 7.6, ArH); $\delta$C(75 MHz) 21.9 (CH$_3$, CH$_3$), 28.7 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 66.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 123.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.8 (CH, Ar), 130.8 (CH, Ar), 132.3 (CH, Ar), 140.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 142.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 164.2 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 162 ([M$^+$], 89%), 147 (20, [M – CH$_3$]$^+$), 132 (100, [M – OCH$_2$]$^+$), 119 (24), 115 (15), 104 (45, [M – CO – OCH$_3$]$^+$), 91 (10), 78 (14), 77 (15); HRMS: C$_{10}$H$_{10}$O$_2$ calcd 162.0681 ([M$^+$],obsd 162.0678; and then 6-methylisochroman-1-one 183b as a white solid (1.40 g, 65%): mp 52-53 °C; $R_f$ = 0.18 (80% hexane, 20% EtOAc); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2991m, 2904w, 1708s (C=O), 1614s, 1470m (C=C aromatic), 1419m, 1397m, 1288m, 1243s, 1200w, 1161m, 1127m, 1090s, 1060m, 1030s, 958m, 908m, 894w, 837s, 772s, 730w, 710s, 678m; $\delta$(300 MHz) 2.34 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 2.95 (2H, t, $J$ 6.0, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 4.44 (2H, t, $J$ 6.0, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 7.02 (1H, s, ArH), 7.13 (1H, d, $J$ 8.0, ArH), 7.90 (1H, d, $J$ 8.0, ArH); $\delta$(100

$^3$ in total three columns were carried out to fully separate the two compounds
MHz) 21.5 (CH₃, CH₃), 27.6 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 67.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 122.3 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 130.1 (CH, Ar), 139.4 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 144.4 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 165.0 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 162 ([M]+, 81%), 132 (100, [M – OCH₂]+), 104 (49, [M – CO – OCH₂]+), 78 (13), 77 (12); HRMS: C₁₀H₁₀O₂ calcd 162.0681 ([M]+), obsd 162.0683.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{[197]}

\textbf{Spiro[isochroman-1-one-4,1’-cyclopropane] 183j}

Isochroman 182j was prepared from MOM ether 181j (2.47 g, 12.8 mmol) and TMSOTf (233 µL, 1.28 mmol) in MeCN (65 mL) according to Method A for the formation of different isochromans via cyclisation of MOM-protected phenethyl alcohols. After 15 h, work-up and purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 90% hexane, 10% Et₂O) afforded crude isochroman 182j as a colourless liquid, which was used without further purification for the preparation of isochroman-1-one 183j from KMnO₄ (2.14 g, 13.6 mmol) and TEBAC (154 mg, 0.678 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (45 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc → 85%
hexane, 15% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 183j as a colourless oil (524 mg, 24% over two steps): $R_f = 0.20$ (80% hexane, 20% EtOAc); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3004w, 2886w, 1712s (C=O), 1605m, 1487m, 1460m, 1427w, 1394m, 1270s, 1220m, 1165w, 1108s, 1074m, 1037m, 1008m, 941m, 828w, 801w, 755s, 719m, 695s, 656m; $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 0.97-1.13 (4H, stack, cyclopropylCH$_2$), 4.19 (2H, s, CH$_2$O), 6.83 (1H, d, $J$ 7.8, ArH), 7.25 (1H, app. t, $J$ 7.8, ArH), 7.45 (1H, app. t, $J$ 7.8, ArH), 8.02 (1H, d, $J$ 7.8, ArH); $\delta_C$(100 MHz) 13.2 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$), 18.1 (quat. C, CCH$_2$O), 73.8 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 120.8 (CH, Ar), 125.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 130.2 (CH, Ar), 133.8 (CH, Ar), 143.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 165.1 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 174 ([M]$^+$, 48%), 146 (70, [M – CH$_2$CH$_2$]$^+$), 145 (100), 131 (11), 118 (66, [M – CO – CH$_2$CH$_2$]$^+$), 115 (61), 90 (22), 69 (11), 63 (11); HRMS: C$_{11}$H$_{10}$O$_2$ calcd 174.0681 ([M]$^+$), obsd 174.0677.

3,3-Dimethylisochroman-1-one 183i

![Chemical structure of 3,3-Dimethylisochroman-1-one 183i](image)

Chemical Formula: C$_{11}$H$_{12}$O$_2$
Exact Mass: 176.0837
Molecular Weight: 176.2118
Elemental Analysis:
C, 74.98; H, 6.86; O, 18.16

Sc(OTf)$_3$ (191 mg, 0.389 mmol) was added to a solution of 3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-propanol (2.21 g, 14.7 mmol) in FDMA (65 mL, 736 mmol) and CHCl$_3$ (65 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 75 °C to generate the corresponding MOM ether 181i. After 48 h, the solvent was evaporated at 75 °C, which effected cyclisation to isochroman 182i. The resulting dark-
brown oil was cooled to R.T. CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (30 mL) and deionised H$_2$O (30 mL) were added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (40 mL) and dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O → 90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O) afforded crude isochroman 182i as a colourless oil which was used without further purification for the preparation of isochroman-1-one 183i from KMnO$_4$ (3.62 g, 22.9 mmol) and TEBAC (261 mg, 1.15 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (75 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% EtOAc → 80% hexane, 20% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 183i as a colourless oil (983 mg, 38% from 3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-propanol): $R_f = 0.25$ (80% hexane, 20% EtOAc); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2921m, 1708s (C=O), 1607m, 1459m, 1388m, 1373m, 1290s, 1260m, 1211m, 1199m, 1180m, 1107s, 1079s, 1031m, 943m, 907w, 800m, 740s, 717s, 691m; $\delta_{\text{H}}$(300 MHz) 1.38-1.48 (6H, stack, CH$_3$), 2.99 (2H, s, CH$_2$), 7.19 (1H, d, J 7.5, ArH), 7.29-7.39 (1H, m, ArH), 7.46-7.55 (1H, m, ArH), 8.05 (1H, dd, J 7.7, 1.2, ArH); $\delta_{\text{C}}$(100 MHz) 27.4 (CH$_3$, CH$_3$), 39.3 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$), 80.6 (quat. C, C(CH$_3$)$_2$), 124.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 127.9 (CH, Ar), 129.8 (CH, Ar), 133.7 (CH, Ar), 138.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 165.0 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 176 ([M]$^+$, 32%), 161 (37, [M – CH$_3$]$^+$), 133 (46, [M – CO – CH$_3$]$^+$), 118 (100, [M – CO – 2 × CH$_3$]$^+$), 115 (21), 105 (13), 90 (73), 63 (13); HRMS: C$_{11}$H$_{12}$O$_2$ calcd 176.0837 ([M]$^+$), obsd 176.0842.

5-Bromoisochroman-1-one 183e

![Chemical Structure](image-url)

**Chemical Formula:** C$_9$H$_7$BrO$_2$

**Exact Mass:** 225.9629

**Molecular Weight:** 227.0547

**Elemental Analysis:** C, 47.61; H, 3.11; Br, 35.19; O, 14.09
Isochroman-1-one 183e was prepared from isochroman 182e (1.00 g, 4.69 mmol), KMnO₄ (2.23 g, 14.1 mmol) and TEBAC (160 mg, 0.704 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (47 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc → 80% hexane, 20% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 183e as a white crystalline solid (864 mg, 82%): mp 83-84 °C; Rƒ = 0.23 (80% hexane, 20% EtOAc); (Found: C, 47.88; H, 3.29. C₉H₇O₂Br requires C, 47.61; H, 3.11%); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 2969w, 1716s (C=O), 1446m, 1397m, 1295m, 1274s, 1240s, 1210m, 1179m, 1141m, 1095s, 1059s, 1030s, 954m, 811m, 775m, 741s, 696m; δH(300 MHz) 3.13 (2H, t, J 6.1, CH₂CH₂O), 4.54 (2H, t, J 6.1, CH₂CH₂O), 7.28 (1H, app. t, J 7.9, ArH), 7.77 (1H, dd, J 7.9, 1.2, ArH), 8.07 (1H, dd, J 7.9, 1.2, ArH); δC(75 MHz) 28.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 66.5 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 122.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 129.6 (CH, Ar), 137.3 (CH, Ar), 139.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 164.1 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 228 ([M]⁺, 54%), 226 ([M⁺, 57%), 198 (100, [M – OCH₃]⁺), 196 (100, [M – OCH₃]⁺), 170 (54, [M – CO – OCH₃]⁺), 168 (52, [M – CO – OCH₃]⁺), 89 (47), 63 (20); HRMS: C₉H₇O₂⁷⁹Br calcd 225.9626 ([M⁺], obsd 225.9623.

5-Trifluoromethylisochroman-1-one 183f

Isochroman-1-one 183f was prepared from isochroman 182f (914 mg, 4.52 mmol), KMnO₄ (2.14 g, 13.6 mmol) and TEBAC (154 mg, 0.678 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (47 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc → 80% hexane, 20% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 183f as a white crystalline solid (864 mg, 82%): mp 54-55 °C; Rƒ = 0.23 (80% hexane, 20% EtOAc); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 3016w,
2916w, 1726s (C=O), 1475m, 1397m, 1325m, 1307m, 1287m, 1246m, 1224m, 1160s, 1133s, 1091s, 1074s, 1064s, 1041m, 959m, 831m, 757s, 711m; δ(300 MHz) 3.19 (2H, t, J 6.0, CH₂CH₂O), 4.52 (2H, t, J 6.0, CH₂CH₂O), 7.48 (1H, app. t, J 7.8, ArH), 7.82 (1H, d, J 7.8, ArH), 8.26 (1H, d, J 7.8, ArH); δ(C) 24.9 (CH₂CH₂O), 66.4 (CH₂CH₂O), 123.6 (quat. C, q, 1JCF 272.2, ArCF₃), 125.8 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.4 (quat. C, q, 2JCF 46.4, ipso Ar), 130.6 (CH, q, 1JCF 5.1, Ar), 134.0 (CH, Ar), 138.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 163.8 (quat. C, C=O); δ(F) (282 MHz) –60.9 (s, ArCF₃); m/z (EI) 216 ([M]+, 52%), 186 (100, [M – OCH₃]+), 158 (79, [M – CO – OCH₃]+), 138 (16); HRMS: C₁₀H₁₀O₂F₃ calcd 216.0398 ([M]+), obsd 216.0402.

7-Methoxyisochroman-1-one 183g

![Chemical Structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C₁₀H₁₀O₃
Exact Mass: 178.063
Molecular Weight: 178.1846
Elemental Analysis: C, 67.41; H, 5.66; O, 26.94

Isochroman-1-one 183g was prepared from isochroman 182g (565 mg, 3.44 mmol), KMnO₄ (1.63 g, 10.3 mmol) and TEBAC (118 mg, 0.516 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (35 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc → 75% hexane, 25% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 183g as a white solid (260 mg, 42%): mp 53-54 °C; Rf = 0.20 (70% hexane, 30% EtOAc); νmax (film)/cm⁻¹ 2994w, 2913w, 1706s (C=O), 1500m, 1426m, 1324m, 1283m, 1268m, 1242s, 1220m, 1190m, 1177m, 1131m, 1084s, 1024s, 875m, 820s, 784s, 747m, 715m; δ(300 MHz) 2.98 (2H, t, J 6.0, CH₂CH₂O), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.50 (2H, t, J 6.0, CH₂CH₂O), 7.05-7.22 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.57 (1H, s, ArH); δ(C) 26.9 (CH₂CH₂O), 55.6 (CH₃, OCH₃), 67.6 (CH₂,
CH\_2\_CH\_O), 112.9 (CH, Ar), 121.6 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 131.8 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 158.9 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar); m/z (EI) 178 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}, 100%), 148 (73, [M – OCH\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}), 120 (86, [M – CO – OCH\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}), 105 (11), 91 (21), 77 (16); HRMS: C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{10}O\textsubscript{3} calcd 178.0630 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}), obsd 178.0628.

\textbf{7-Fluoroiso chroman-1-one 183h}

 Isochroman-1-one 183h was prepared from isochroman 182h (1.57 g, 10.3 mmol), KMnO\textsubscript{4} (4.89 g, 31.0 mmol) and TEBAC (353 mg, 1.55 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (100 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc \rightarrow 70% hexane, 30% EtOAc) afforded isochroman-1-one 183h as a white crystalline solid (1.28 g, 75%); mp 50-51 °C; \textit{Rf} = 0.21 (70% hexane, 30% EtOAc); (Found: C, 64.80; H, 4.25. C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{7}O\textsubscript{2}F requires C, 65.06; H, 4.25%); \textit{\nu}\textsubscript{max}(film)/cm\textsuperscript{-1} 3069w, 2926w, 1713s (C=O), 1493s, 1435s, 1307m, 1273s, 1261s, 1237s, 1124s, 1083s, 1061m, 1028m, 927m, 886s, 852m, 841m, 779s, 745m, 716m; \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{H}(300 MHz) 3.02 (2H, t, \textit{J} 6.0, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 4.52 (2H, t, \textit{J} 6.0, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 7.18-7.29 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.72 (1H, dd, \textit{J} 8.3, 1.9, ArH); \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{C}(75 MHz) 27.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 67.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 116.5 (CH, d, \textit{J}_{C-F} 23.0, Ar), 121.0 (CH, d, \textit{J}_{C-F} 21.8, Ar), 126.8 (quat. C, d, \textit{J}_{C-F} 7.4, \textit{ipso} Ar), 129.1 (CH, d, \textit{J}_{C-F} 7.3, Ar), 135.2 (quat. C, d, \textit{J}_{C-F} 2.5, \textit{ipso} Ar), 161.7 (quat. C, d, \textit{J}_{C-F} 245.5, \textit{ipso} Ar), 164.0 (quat. C, C=O); \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{F}(282 MHz) –113.3 - –113.1 (m, ArF); m/z (EI) 166 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}, 62%), 136 (76, [M – OCH\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}), 108 (100, [M – CO – OCH\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}); HRMS: C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{7}O\textsubscript{2}F calcd 166.0430 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}), obsd 166.0425.
General procedure for the formation of 1-alkoxyisochromans 164

1-Alkoxyisochromans 164 were prepared by a modification of previously reported procedures for the preparation of different 1-substituted isochromans:

Method A for 1-ethoxyisochromans[150]

DIBALH (1.5 M solution in toluene, 20.2 mL, 30.3 mmol) was added over 10 min to a solution of the isochroman-1-one (10.1 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) at –78 °C. After stirring at –78 °C for 2 h, a saturated solution of Rochelle’s salt (25 mL) was added and the mixture was warmed up to R.T. overnight. The mixture was transferred to a separating funnel, and without shaking, the two layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 15 mL), and the combined organic fractions were washed with NH₄Cl solution (25 mL) and brine (25 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude 1-hydroxyisochroman product, which was of sufficient purity to be used directly in the next step. The 1-hydroxyisochroman, obtained from the previous step, was dissolved in EtOH (20 mL) and stirred with Amberlite (IR 120 beads, teaspoon) at R.T. for 2 h. The beads were filtered off and washed with Et₂O (15 mL). NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL) was added and the Et₂O and EtOH were evaporated. The residue was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude acetal product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.

---

4 with this method, no problems with Al salts did arise
Method B for 1-alkoxyisochromans\textsuperscript{[114,149]}

The isochroman (7.95 mmol) and anhydrous alcohol (9.54 mmol) were added to a yellow suspension of DDQ (2.17 g, 9.54 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (50 mL). While vigorously stirring at R.T. for 24 h, the colour of the mixture changed from bright red to pale red-brown. More alcohol (9.54 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at R.T. for another 24 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of NaHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (60 mL) (caution: gas formation!) and then CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (50 mL) was added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (2 × 30 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with NaHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (50 mL) and with brine (50 mL), and then dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude acetal product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.

1,1-Oxodiisochroman 171

![Chemical Structure of 1,1-Oxodiisochroman 171]

Chemical Formula: C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{18}O\textsubscript{3}
Exact Mass: 282.1256
Molecular Weight: 282.3337
Elemental Analysis: C, 76.57; H, 6.43; O, 17.00

1,1-Oxodiisochroman 171 was prepared according to a modification of Method B: Isochroman (0.50 mL, 3.98 mmol) and H\textsubscript{2}O (1.00 mL, 55.5 mmol) were added to a yellow suspension of DDQ (1.13 g, 4.97 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (20 mL). After stirring for 24 h at R.T., the reaction was quenched by addition of NaHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (30 mL) (caution: gas formation!) and then CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (25 mL) was added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (2 × 15 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with
NaHCO₃ solution (25 mL) and with brine (25 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude acetal product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 90% hexane, 10% Et₂O) afforded oxodiisochroman 171 as a white crystalline solid (436 mg, 78%; single diastereoisomer; stereochemistry not determined): mp 138-141 ºC (lit.[198] mp 135-137 ºC); Rᶠ = 0.18 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); νₘₐₓ(neat)/cm⁻¹ 2962m, 2925m, 2881m, 2814w, 1489m, 1469w, 1455m, 1421m, 1381m, 1355m, 1320m, 1273m, 1201m, 1118m, 1088s, 1068s, 1032m, 997s, 973w, 955s, 911w, 881w, 865m, 792m, 779w, 740s; δₜₚ(300 MHz) 2.66 (2H, app. dd, J 16.5, 2.5, CH₆H₆CH₂O), 3.13 (2H, ddd, J 17.0, 12.4, 6.1, CH₆H₆CH₂O), 4.09 (2H, ddd, J 11.1, 6.1, 1.2, CH₂CH₆H₆O), 4.36 (2H, app. td, J 11.6, 3.2, CH₂CH₆H₆O), 6.14 (2H, s, CH(O)O), 7.08-7.29 (8H, stack, ArH); δ¢(75 MHz) 27.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 58.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 92.7 (CH, CH(O)O), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 133.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 305 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS: C₁₈H₁₈O₃Na calcd 305.1154 ([M + Na]⁺), obsd 305.1145.

The two enantiomers of the single diastereoisomer were separated by chiral HPLC for a mechanistic study: Lux 5u Cellulose-2, 3 mL/min, 1% IPA in hexane, λ = 210 nm, tₑ,first = 30.1 min, tₑ,second = 39.7 min.

1-Hydroxyisochroman 170[150]
1-Hydroxyisochroman 170 was prepared according to a modification of Method A: DIBALH (1.5 M solution in toluene, 13.5 mL, 20.3 mmol) was added over 10 min to a solution of isochroman-1-one 172 (2.00 g, 13.5 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) at −78 °C. After stirring at −78 °C for 2 h, a saturated solution of Rochelle’s salt (30 mL) was added and the mixture was warmed up to R.T. overnight. The mixture was transferred to a separating funnel, and without shaking, the two layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 15 mL), and the combined organic fractions were washed with NH₄Cl solution (25 mL) and brine (25 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude hemiacetal 170 as a white solid (2.03, quantitative), which was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use: mp 72-75 °C (lit.[199] mp 73-74 °C); R_f = 0.15 (60% hexane, 40% Et₂O); ν_{max}(neat)/cm⁻¹ 3357s br (OH), 3029w, 2988m, 2883w, 1607m, 1494m, 1459m, 1423w, 1384m, 1289w, 1270m, 1116m, 1092w, 1078m, 1065s, 1007s, 982s, 949s, 936s, 883s, 868s, 825m, 784s, 738s, 718w; δ_{H}(300 MHz) 2.65 (1H, app. dt, J 16.5, 3.0, CH₂H₆CH₂O), 2.96 (1H, ddd, J 16.5, 11.0, 5.7, CH₆H₆CH₂O), 3.91 (1H, ddd, J 11.4, 5.7, 2.7, CH₂CH₆H₆O), 4.02 (1H, d, J 5.5, OH), 4.19 (1H, app. td, J 11.2, 3.6, CH₂CH₂H₆O), 5.92 (1H, d, J 5.5, CHO), 7.08-7.34 (4H, stack, ArH); δ_{C}(75 MHz) 28.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 58.3 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 91.4 (CH, CHO), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 127.2 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 150 ([M]⁺, 40%), 149 (78, [M – H]⁺), 132 (93, [M – H₂O]⁺), 119 (99), 104 (76), 91 (100), 77 (47), 65 (37), 51 (34).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[150]
1-Methoxyisochroman 161 was prepared from isochroman (1.60 g, 11.9 mmol), DDQ (3.25 g, 14.3 mmol) and anhydrous MeOH (2 × 482 µL, 2 × 11.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (75 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O) afforded acetal 161 as a colourless liquid (1.45 g, 74%): Rf = 0.19 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 2935w, 2881m, 2827w, 1492m, 1457m, 1382m, 1343m, 1275m, 1204m, 1186m, 1118w, 1093m, 1073s, 1047s, 1031s, 993m, 953s, 936w, 897m, 779m, 745s; δH(300 MHz, C₆H₆) 2.10 (1H, ddd, J 16.5, 3.3, 1.6, CH₁H₂CH₂O), 2.72 (1H, ddd, J 16.5, 12.0, 6.0, CH₂H₂CH₂O), 3.35 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.61 (1H, ddd, J 11.1, 6.0, 1.6, CH₂CH₂H₂O), 3.98 (1H, app. td with unresolved fine coupling, J 11.1, 3.3, CH₂CH₂H₂O), 5.39 (1H, d, CHO), 6.78-6.86 (1H, m, ArH), 6.98-7.06 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.12-7.21 (1H, m, ArH); δC(75 MHz, C₆H₆) 28.4 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 55.2 (CH₃, OCH₃), 57.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 98.3 (CH, CHO), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 134.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 164 ([M]⁺, 21%), 163 (39, [M – H]⁺), 133 (100, [M – OCH₃]⁺), 119 (13), 105 (40), 91 (25), 77 (28), 65 (12), 51 (16).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\[114\]
1-Ethoxyisochroman 166 was prepared from isochroman (1.00 mL, 7.95 mmol), DDQ (2.17 g, 9.54 mmol) and anhydrous EtOH (2 × 556 µL, 2 × 9.54 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O) afforded acetal 166 as a colourless liquid (827 mg, 58%): R_f = 0.28 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); ν_max(film)/cm⁻¹ 2973m, 2880m, 1493w, 1457m, 1382m, 1331m, 1274m, 1204m, 1094m, 1073s, 1049s, 991s, 956m, 919m, 876w, 775m, 744s; δ_H (300 MHz) 1.31 (3H, t, J 7.1, OCH₂C₂H₃), 2.63 (1H, ddd, J 17.1, 3.4, 1.6, CH₂H₂CH₂O), 3.03 (1H, ddd, J 17.1, 11.9, 6.1, CH₃H₂CH₂O), 3.72 (1H, dq, J 9.7, 7.1, OCH₂H₂CH₂), 3.91 (1H, ddd, J 11.4, 6.1, 1.6, CH₂CH₂H₂O), 3.95 (1H, dq, J 9.7, 7.1, OCH₂H₂CH₂), 4.17 (1H, app. td with unresolved fine coupling, J 11.4, 3.4, CH₂CH₂H₂O), 5.58 (1H, s, CHO), 7.09-7.16 (1H, m, ArH), 7.19-7.28 (3H, stack, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz) 15.3 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₂), 28.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 57.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 63.4 (CH₂, OCH₂CH₂), 96.5 (CH, CHO), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 178 ([M]⁺, 19%), 177 (26, [M − H]⁺), 149 (93, [M − CH₂CH₃]⁺), 133 (100, [M − OCH₂CH₃]⁺), 105 (29), 91 (17), 77 (22).

The two enantiomers were separated by chiral HPLC (5 mg per 0.5 mL run): Lux 5u Cellulose-2, 3 mL/min, 1% IPA in hexane, λ = 210 nm, t_R,first = 8.6 min, [α]_D²⁰ = +59.4 (c 0.99, CH₂Cl₂, e.r. 100:0); t_R,second = 10.5 min, [α]_D²⁰ = −54.9 (c 0.99, CH₂Cl₂, e.r. 0:100).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.¹²⁰
1-n-Propoxyisochroman 167

![Chemical Structure](https://example.com/structure.png)

**Chemical Formula:** $C_{12}H_{16}O_2$
**Exact Mass:** 192.115
**Molecular Weight:** 192.2542
**Elemental Analysis:** C, 74.97; H, 8.39; O, 16.64

1-n-Propoxyisochroman 167 was prepared from isochroman (0.50 mL, 3.98 mmol), DDQ (1.35 g, 5.96 mmol) and anhydrous $n$-PrOH (2 × 892 µL, 2 × 11.9 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (25 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane $\rightarrow$ 98% hexane, 2% Et$_2$O) afforded acetal 167 as a colourless liquid (373 mg, 49%): $R_f = 0.31$ (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2963m, 2877m, 1457m, 1381m, 1334m, 1274m, 1205m, 1093m, 1073s, 1050m, 1019s, 993s, 954m, 782m, 744s; $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 0.99 (3H, t, $J = 7.4$, OCH$_2$CH$_3$CH$_3$), 1.70 (2H, app. sextet, $J = 7.3$, OCH$_2$CH$_3$H$_6$CH$_3$), 2.63 (1H, ddd, $J = 16.6$, 3.2, 1.6, CH$_3$H$_6$CH$_2$O), 3.03 (1H, ddd, $J = 16.6$, 11.9, 6.0, CH$_3$H$_6$CH$_2$O), 3.61 (1H, app. dt, $J = 9.6$, 6.7, OCH$_3$CH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.84 (1H, app. dt, $J = 9.6$, 6.7, OCH$_3$CH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.90 (1H, ddd, $J = 11.4$, 6.0, 1.6, CH$_2$CH$_3$H$_6$O), 4.17 (1H, app. td with unresolved fine coupling, $J = 11.4$, 3.2, CH$_2$CH$_3$H$_6$O), 5.56 (1H, s, CHO), 7.09-7.16 (1H, m, ArH), 7.19-7.28 (3H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz) 10.8 (CH$_3$, OCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$), 23.0 (CH$_2$, OCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$), 28.0 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 57.8 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 69.9 (CH$_2$, OCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$), 96.8 (CH, CHO), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 134.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 192 ([M]$^+$, 11%), 191 (13, [M – H]$^+$), 149 (13, [M – CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$]$^+$), 133 (100, [M – OCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$]$^+$), 105 (15), 77 (10); HRMS: $C_{12}H_{16}O_2$ calcd 192.1150 ([M]$^+$), obsd 192.1140.
1-\textit{i}-Propoxyisochroman 168

![Chemical Structure]

Chemical Formula: C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{16}O\textsubscript{2}
Exact Mass: 192.115
Molecular Weight: 192.2542
Elemental Analysis: C, 74.97; H, 8.39; O, 16.64

1-\textit{i}-Propoxyisochroman 168 was prepared from isochroman (0.50 mL, 3.98 mmol), DDQ (1.08 g, 4.77 mmol) and anhydrous \textit{i}-PrOH (2 × 365 µL, 2 × 4.77 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (25 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 98% hexane, 2% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded acetal 168 as a colourless liquid (400 mg, 52%): \( R_f = 0.33 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et\textsubscript{2}O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (film)/cm\(^{-1} \): 2970, 2935, 2885, 1494, 1456, 1378, 1322, 1274, 1205, 1178, 1124, 1085, 1070, 1016, 990, 958, 945, 928, 870, 821, 769, 744; \( \delta \) (300 MHz): 1.25 (3H, d, \( J = 6.2 \), OCH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{a}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{b}), 1.27 (3H, d, \( J = 6.2 \), OCH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{a}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{b}), 2.62 (1H, ddd, \( J = 16.6, 3.1, 1.5 \), CH\textsubscript{a}H\textsubscript{b}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 3.02 (1H, ddd, \( J = 16.6, 12.0, 6.0 \), CH\textsubscript{a}H\textsubscript{b}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 3.89 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.3, 6.0, 1.5 \), CH\textsubscript{a}H\textsubscript{b}H\textsubscript{a}O), 4.08-4.25 (2H, stack, OCH(CH\textsubscript{3})	extsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{a}H\textsubscript{a}O), 5.65 (1H, s, CHO), 7.07-7.15 (1H, m, ArH), 7.16-7.25 (3H, stack, ArH); \( \delta \) (75 MHz): 21.9 (CH\textsubscript{3}, OCH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{a}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{b}), 23.6 (CH\textsubscript{3}, OCH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{a}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{b}), 28.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 57.6 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 69.4 (CH, OCH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{a}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{b}), 94.9 (CH, CHO), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 134.1 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 134.5 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar); m/z (El): 192 ([M]+, 6%), 191 (7, [M – H]+), 149 (14, [M – CH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}), 133 (100, [M – OCH(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+}), 105 (15), 77 (14); HRMS: C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{16}O\textsubscript{2} calcd 192.1150 ([M]+), obsd 192.1141.
1-\textit{t}-Butoxyisochroman 169

1-\textit{t}-Butoxyisochroman 169 was prepared from isochroman (0.50 mL, 3.98 mmol), DDQ (1.35 g, 5.96 mmol) and anhydrous \textit{t}-BuOH (2 × 760 µL, 2 × 7.96 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O) afforded acetal 169 as a colourless liquid (180 mg, 22%): $R_f = 0.35$ (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); (Found: C, 75.29; H, 8.65. C₁₃H₁₈O₂ requires C, 75.69; H, 8.80%); $\nu_{\max }$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2973m, 2932w, 1456m, 1367m, 1341m, 1273w, 1255w, 1192m, 1087m, 1072m, 1040m, 1009s, 989s, 959m, 918m, 864w, 833w, 789m, 742s; $\delta_H$(300 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.30 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 2.17 (1H, ddd, $J$ 16.1, 3.3, 1.5, CH₂H₆O), 2.77 (1H, ddd, $J$ 16.1, 12.1, 6.1, CH₂H₆O), 3.65 (1H, ddd, $J$ 11.1, 6.1, 1.5, CH₂CH₆O), 4.16 (1H, app. td with unresolved fine coupling, $J$ 11.8, 3.3, CH₂CH₆O) 5.86 (1H, s, CHO), 6.83-6.91 (1H, m, ArH), 7.01-7.21 (3H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz, C₆D₆) 28.5 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 29.3 (CH₃, OC(CH₃)₃), 57.6 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 74.4 (quat. C, OC(CH₃)₃), 91.9 (CH, CHO), [126.3, 127.6, 128.7 (CH, Ar), the fourth CH, Ar was not observed owing to overlap with the solvent signals], 134.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 133 ([M – OC(CH₃)₃]$^+$, 98%), 132 (98, [M – H – OC(CH₃)₃]$^+$), 118 (16), 115 (17), 104 (100), 90 (12), 77 (52), 63 (12), 51 (18), 45 (16); HRMS: C₉H₉O calcd 133.0653 ([M – OC(CH₃)₃]$^+$), obsd 133.0649.
1-(2’,2’,2’-Trifluoroethoxy)isochroman 174

Chemical Formula: C_{11}H_{11}F_{3}O_{2}  
Exact Mass: 232.0711  
Molecular Weight: 232.199  
Elemental Analysis: C, 56.90; H, 4.77; F, 24.55; O, 13.78

1-(2’,2’,2’-Trifluoroethoxy)isochroman 174 was prepared from isochroman (1.00 mL, 7.95 mmol), DDQ (2.17 g, 9.54 mmol) and anhydrous 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (2 × 695 µL, 2 × 9.54 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 98% hexane, 2% Et$_2$O) afforded acetal 174 as a yellow oil (970 mg, 52%): $R_f$ = 0.33 (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2956m, 2904m, 1434m, 1275m, 1214w, 1170s, 1117m, 1103m, 1076s, 1059s, 1031m, 991s, 969s, 954s, 904m, 870m, 851w, 827w, 784m, 744s, 727w, 670m; $\delta_{\text{f}}$(300 MHz) 2.03 (1H, app. d, $J_{CH}$ 16.5, 2.4, $CH_2H_2O$), 2.65 (1H, ddd, $J_{CH}$ 16.5, 12.3, 6.0, CH$_2$H$_2$O), 3.51 (1H, ddd, $J_{CH}$ 11.2, 6.0, 1.4, CH$_2$CH$_2$H$_2$O), 3.58 (1H, dq, $J_{CH}$ 12.4, 8.9, OCH$_2$H$_2$CF$_3$), 3.76 (1H, dq, $J_{CH}$ 12.4, 9.0, OCH$_2$H$_2$CF$_3$), 3.82 (1H, app. td, $J_{CH}$ 11.7, 3.2, CH$_2$CH$_2$H$_2$O), 5.34 (1H, s, CHO), 6.74-6.83 (1H, m, ArH), 6.95-7.06 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.10-7.15 (1H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\text{c}}$(100 MHz) 28.0 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 58.2 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 64.6 (CH$_2$, q, $^2J_{CF}$ 34.1, OCH$_2$CF$_3$), 97.5 (CH, CHO), 124.9 (quat. C, q, $^1J_{CF}$ 276.3, CF$_3$), 126.7 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 133.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); $\delta_{\text{f}}$(282 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) −73.9 (s, CF$_3$); m/z (EI) 232 ([M$^+$], 19%), 231 (26, [M − H]$^+$), 204 (16), 133 (100, [M − OCH$_2$CF$_3$]$^+$), 105 (31), 91 (16), 77 (13); HRMS: C$_{11}$H$_{11}$O$_2$F$_3$ calcd 232.0711 ([M$^+$]), obsd 232.0694.
1-Ethoxy-5-methylisochroman 179a

1-Ethoxyisochroman 179a was prepared by DIBALH reduction (3.10 mL, 4.63 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183a (500 mg, 3.09 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (6.2 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 90% hexane, 10% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179a as a colourless liquid (529 mg, 89% over two steps): \( R_f = 0.24 \) (80% hexane, 20% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 2974 m, 2881 m, 1471 w, 1332 w, 1105 m, 1074 s, 1046 s, 998 s, 932 m, 854 w, 779 s, 742 m, 711 w; \( \delta_H \) (300 MHz, C\(_{6}\)D\(_6\)) 1.19 (3H, t, \( J = 7.1 \), OCH\(_2\)C\(_{6}\)H\(_3\)), 1.91 (3H, s, ArCH\(_3\)), 2.03 (1H, app. d with unresolved fine coupling, \( J = 16.7 \), CH\(_2\)H\(_6\)CH\(_3\)), 2.48 (1H, ddd, \( J = 17.3, 11.9, 6.3 \), CH\(_2\)H\(_6\)CH\(_2\)O), 3.54 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.6, 7.1 \), OCH\(_3\)H\(_6\)CH\(_3\)), 3.72 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.2, 6.3, 1.5 \), CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)H\(_6\)O), 3.92 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.6, 7.1 \), OCH\(_3\)H\(_6\)CH\(_3\)), 4.10 (1H, app. td, \( J = 11.7, 7.1 \), CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)H\(_6\)O), 5.58 (1H, s, CHO), 6.95 (1H, d, \( J = 7.3 \), ArH), 7.04 (1H, app. t, \( J = 7.6 \), ArH), 7.13 (1H, d, \( J = 7.7 \), ArH); \( \delta_C \) (75 MHz, C\(_{6}\)D\(_6\)) 15.6 (CH\(_3\), OCH\(_2\)C\(_{6}\)H\(_3\)), 18.8 (CH\(_3\), ArCH\(_3\)), 26.0 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)O), 57.7 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O), 63.4 (CH\(_2\), OCH\(_2\)C\(_{6}\)H\(_3\)), 97.3 (CH, CHO), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (CH, Ar), 133.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \( m/z \) (EI) 192 ([M]+, 19%), 191 (21, [M – H]+), 163 (12, [M – CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)]+), 147 (100, [M – OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)]+), 133 (18, [M – CH\(_3\) – OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)]+), 117 (36), 115 (23), 105 (12), 103 (10), 91 (18); HRMS: C\(_{12}\)H\(_{16}\)O\(_2\) calcd 192.1150 ([M]+), obsd 192.1145.
1-Ethoxy-6-methylisochroman 179b

Chemical Formula: \( C_{12}H_{16}O_2 \)
Exact Mass: 192.115
Molecular Weight: 192.2542
Elemental Analysis: C, 74.97; H, 8.39; O, 16.64

1-Ethoxyisochroman 179b was prepared by DIBALH reduction (7.35 mL, 11.0 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183b (1.19 g, 7.35 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (15 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et\(_2\)O → 95% hexane, 5% Et\(_2\)O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179b as a colourless liquid (1.17 g, 83% over two steps): \( R_f = 0.23 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et\(_2\)O); (Found: C, 74.94; H, 8.14. \( C_{12}H_{16}O_2 \) requires C, 74.97; H, 8.39%); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (film)/cm\(^{-1} \) 2974\( m \), 2876m, 1619w, 1425w, 1381m, 1275m, 1203w, 1133m, 1090m, 1073s, 1046s, 1005s, 985m, 960m, 918m, 902w, 863m, 824m, 803m; \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (300 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\) ) 1.18 (3H, t, \( J = 7.1 \), OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 2.10 (3H, s, ArCH\(_3\)), 2.17 (1H, d with unresolved fine coupling, \( J = 16.7 \), CH\(_a\)H\(_b\)CH\(_2\)O), 2.78 (1H, ddd, \( J = 16.7, 12.0, 6.0 \), CH\(_a\)H\(_b\)CH\(_2\)O), 3.53 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.7, 7.1 \), OCH\(_a\)H\(_b\)CH\(_3\)), 3.69 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.0, 6.0, 1.7 \), CH\(_2\)CH\(_a\)H\(_b\)O), 3.91 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.7, 7.1 \), OCH\(_a\)H\(_b\)CH\(_3\)), 4.09 (1H, app. td, \( J = 11.5, 3.4 \), CH\(_2\)CH\(_a\)H\(_b\)O), 5.58 (1H, s, CHO), 6.67 (1H, s, ArH), 6.89 (1H, d, \( J = 7.7 \), ArH), 7.16 (1H, d, \( J = 7.7 \), ArH); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (75 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\) ) 15.6 (CH\(_3\), OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 21.2 (CH\(_3\), ArCH\(_3\)), 28.5 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O), 57.9 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O), 63.4 (CH\(_2\), OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 97.1 (CH, CHO), 127.2 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (CH, Ar), 132.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (El) 192 ([M]+, 14%), 191 (34, [M – H]+), 147 (100, [M – OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)]+); HRMS: \( C_{12}H_{16}O_2 \) calcd 192.1150 ([M]+), obsd 192.1149.
1-Ethoxy-7-methylisochroman 179c

[Chemical Structure Image]

Elemental Analysis: C, 74.97; H, 8.39; O, 16.64

1-Ethoxyisochroman 179c was prepared from isochroman 182c (1.56 g, 10.5 mmol), DDQ (2.87 g, 12.6 mmol) and EtOH (2 × 735 µL, 2 × 12.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (65 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179c as a yellow crystalline solid (833 mg, 41%): mp 39-40 °C; R_f = 0.36 (80% hexane, 20% Et₂O); ν_max(film)/cm⁻¹ 2973m, 2886m, 1504m, 1375m, 1332m, 1273w, 1155m, 1118w, 1090m, 1073s, 1075s, 1049s, 1004s, 990s, 958m, 936m, 902m, 883m, 851m, 811s, 737w; δ_H (300 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.19 (3H, t, J 7.1, OCH₂CH₃), 2.10 (3H, s, ArCH₃), 2.18 (1H, d with unresolved fine coupling, J 16.5, CH₂H₅CH₂O), 2.78 (1H, ddd, J 16.5, 12.1, 6.0, CH₃H₅CH₂O), 3.54 (1H, dq, J 9.6, 7.1, OCH₂H₅CH₃), 3.68 (1H, ddd, J 11.0, 6.0, 1.6, CH₂CH₂H₅O), 3.91 (1H, dq, J 9.6, 7.1, OCH₃H₅CH₃), 4.07 (1H, app. td with unresolved fine coupling, J 11.6, 3.3, CH₂CH₂H₅O), 5.55 (1H, s, CHO), 6.81 (1H, d, J 7.8, ArH), 6.90 (1H, dd, J 7.8, 1.5, ArH), 7.04 (1H, s, ArH); δ_C (100 MHz, C₆D₆) 15.6 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 21.1 (CH₃, ArCH₃), 28.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 58.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 63.4 (CH₂, OCH₂CH₃), 97.2 (CH, CHO), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 192 ([M]+, 29%), 191 (33, [M – H]+), 163 (17, [M – CH₂CH₂]+), 147 (100, [M – OCH₂CH₃]+), 133 (12, [M – CH₃ – OCH₂CH₃]+), 117 (23), 115 (12), 105 (13), 91 (13); HRMS: C₁₂H₁₆O₂ calcd 192.1150 ([M]+), obsd 192.1146.
1-Ethoxy-8-methylisochroman 179d

1-Ethoxyisochroman 179d was prepared by DIBALH reduction (3.30 mL, 4.94 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183d (533 mg, 3.29 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (6.6 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179d as a pale yellow solid (494 mg, 78% over two steps): mp 35-36 °C; \( R_f = 0.24 \) (80% hexane, 20% Et₂O); (Found: C, 75.31; H, 8.26. \( \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2 \) requires C, 74.97; H, 8.39%); \( \nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 2973m, 2879m, 1479m, 1471m, 1332m, 1206m, 1123m, 1101m, 1078m, 1052s, 1036m, 993s, 973m, 918m, 901w, 892w, 866w, 775s, 747w, 715m; \( \delta_{\text{H}}(300 \text{ MHz, } \text{C}_6\text{D}_6) \) 1.14 (3H, t, \( J = 7.1 \), OCH₂CH₃), 2.12-2.23 (4H, stack, CH₃H₂CH₂O and ArCH₃), 2.86 (1H, ddd, \( J = 16.6, 12.8, 6.4 \), CH₃H₂CH₂O), 3.44 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.5, 7.1 \), OCH₃H₂CH₃), 3.67 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.0, 6.4, 1.1 \), CH₂CH₃H₆O), 3.90 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.5, 7.1 \), OCH₃H₂CH₃), 4.14 (1H, dddd, \( J = 12.7, 11.0, 3.4, 0.5 \), CH₂CH₃H₆O), 5.53 (1H, s, CHO), 6.78 (1H, d, \( J = 7.5 \), ArH), 6.90 (1H, d, \( J = 7.4 \), ArH), 7.04 (1H, app. t, \( J = 7.5 \), ArH); \( \delta_{\text{C}}(75 \text{ MHz, } \text{C}_6\text{D}_6) \) 15.5 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 18.4 (CH₃, ArCH₃), 28.6 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 57.3 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 63.1 (CH₂, OCH₂CH₃), 95.7 (CH, CHO), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 127.9 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 133.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 192 ([M⁺], 11%), 147 (100, [M – OCH₂CH₃]+), 119 (17), 91 (11); HRMS: \( \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2 \) calcd 192.1150 ([M⁺]), obsd 192.1153.
Spiro[1-ethoxyisochroman-4,1’-cyclopropane] 179j

Chemical Formula: C_{13}H_{16}O_2
Exact Mass: 204.115
Molecular Weight: 204.2649
Elemental Analysis: C, 76.44; H, 7.90; O, 15.67

1-Ethoxyisochroman 179j was prepared by DIBALH reduction (2.95 mL, 4.40 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183j (510 mg, 2.93 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (6.5 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179j as a colourless oil (502 mg, 84% over two steps): R_f = 0.23 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); ν_max (film)/cm⁻¹ 2974m, 2870m, 1493w, 1465w, 1383w, 1330m, 1244m, 1206m, 1099m, 1083m, 1049s, 1003s, 963m, 929m, 855w, 827m, 753s, 721m, 674w; δ_H (300 MHz, C₆D₆) 0.36-0.46 (1H, m, cyclopropylCH), 0.59-0.68 (1H, m, cyclopropylCH), 0.77-0.94 (2H, stack, cyclopropylCH), 1.18 (3H, t, J 7.1, OCH₂CH₃), 2.91 (1H, d, J 11.3, CH₃H₆O), 3.53 (1H, dq, J 9.5, 7.0, OCH₂H₆CH₃), 3.92 (1H, dq, J 9.5, 7.0, OCH₂H₆CH₃), 4.46 (1H, d, J 11.3, CH₂H₆O), 5.66 (1H, s, CHO), 6.40-6.48 (1H, m, ArH), 6.97-7.06 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.14-7.22 (1H, m, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz, C₆D₆) 10.5 (CH₂, cyclopropylCH₂), 15.6 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 18.4 (quat. C, CCH₂O), 20.4 (CH₂, cyclopropyl CH₂), 63.4 (CH₂, CH₂O), 66.0 (CH₂, CH₂O), 98.1 (CH, CHO), 121.1 (CH, Ar), 125.4 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 135.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 204 ([M]^+, 13%), 176 (10, [M – CH₂CH₃]^+), 159 (48, [M – OCH₂CH₃]^+), 157 (76), 148 (23), 129 (100), 119 (16), 115 (58), 102 (39), 91 (31), 77 (15), 51 (11); HRMS: C_{13}H_{16}O₂ calcd 204.1150 ([M]^+), obsd 204.1152.
1-Ethoxyisochroman 179i was prepared by DIBALH reduction (4.00 mL, 5.96 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183i (700 mg, 3.97 mmol) followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (8 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179i as a colourless oil (590 mg, 72% over two steps): $R_f = 0.46$ (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); (Found: C, 75.42; H, 8.82. C₁₃H₁₈O₂ requires C, 75.69; H, 8.80%); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2973m, 2869w, 1456w, 1384m, 1370m, 1355m, 1257w, 1212m, 1183m, 1086m, 1048s, 1030s, 1006s, 947w, 927m, 874w, 804w, 759s, 734m, 723m; $\delta_H$(300 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.17 (3H, t, $J$ 7.1, OCH₂C₃H₃), 1.23 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.30 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.44-2.63 (2H, stack, CH₂), 3.52 (1H, dq, $J$ 9.5, 7.1, OCH₂H₃CH₃), 3.97 (1H, dq, $J$ 9.5, 7.1, OCH₂H₃CH₃), 5.67 (1H, s, CHO), 6.86-6.94 (1H, m, ArH), 7.06-7.14 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.30-7.38 (1H, m, ArH); $\delta_C$(100 MHz, C₆D₆) 15.6 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 27.7 (CH₃, C(CH₃)₆(CH₃)), 29.7 (CH₃, C(CH₃)₆(CH₃)), 40.5 (CH₂, CH₂CO), 63.1 (CH₂, OCH₂CH₃), 71.4 (quat. C, C(CH₃)₂), 97.2 (CH, CHO), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 206 ([M]$^+$, 32%), 205 (44, [M – H]$^+$), 161 (79, [M – OCH₂CH₃]$^+$), 159 (45), 148 (22), 145 (100), 142 (79), 133 (35), 128 (39), 119 (50), 115 (74), 104 (30), 91 (83), 77 (17), 65 (16); HRMS: C₁₃H₁₈O₂ calcd 206.1307 ([M]$^+$), obsd 206.1301.
1-Ethoxyisochroman 179e was prepared by DIBALH reduction (2.20 mL, 3.30 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183e (500 mg, 2.20 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (4.4 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179e as a white crystalline solid (555 mg, 98% over two steps): mp 27-28 °C; \( R_f = 0.34 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 2969m, 2891m, 1442m, 1381m, 1339m, 1329m, 1096m, 1077m, 1071m, 1044s, 1010s, 996s, 969m, 955m, 927m, 896m, 843m, 774s, 720m, 689m; \( \delta_{\text{H}}(300 \text{ MHz, C}_6\text{D}_6) \) 1.13 (3H, t, \( J = 7.1 \), OCH₂CH₃), 2.41 (1H, ddd, J 17.4, 3.8, 1.5, CH₃CH₂CH₂O), 2.61 (1H, ddd, J 17.4, 11.7, 6.2, CH₃H₂CH₂O), 3.43 (1H, dq, J 9.6, 7.1, OCH₃H₃CH₃), 3.57 (1H, ddd, J 11.3, 6.2, 1.5, CH₂CH₃H₃O), 3.80 (1H, dq, J 9.6, 7.1, OCH₃H₃CH₃), 3.90 (1H, app. td, J 11.5, 3.8, CH₂CH₃H₃O), 5.37 (1H, s, CHO), 6.69 (1H, app. t, J 7.8, ArH), 6.98 (1H, d, J 7.6, ArH), 7.30 (1H, d, J 7.9, ArH); \( \delta_{\text{C}}(100 \text{ MHz, C}_6\text{D}_6) \) 15.1 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 28.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 57.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 63.1 (CH₂, OCH₂CH₃), 96.0 (CH, CHO), 124.5 (quat. C, ipso ArBr), 126.8 (CH, Ar), 127.2 (CH, Ar), 131.5 (CH, Ar), 134.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \( m/z \) (EI) 258 ([M]⁺, 10%), 257 (11, [M – H]⁺), 256 (10, [M]⁺), 255 (11, [M – H]⁺), 213 (100, [M – OCH₂CH₃]⁺), 211 (100, [M – OCH₂CH₃]⁺), 184 (26), 182 (26), 132 (30), 103 (39), 77 (29); HRMS: C₁₁H₁₃O₂⁷⁹Br calcd 256.0099 ([M]⁺), obsd 256.0092.
5-Trifluoromethyl-1-ethoxyisochroman 179f

Chemical Formula: C_{12}H_{13}F_{3}O_{2}
Exact Mass: 246.0868
Molecular Weight: 246.2256
Elemental Analysis: C, 58.54; H, 5.32; F, 23.15; O, 13.00

1-Ethoxyisochroman 179f was prepared by DIBALH reduction (2.30 mL, 3.47 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183f (500 mg, 2.31 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (4.6 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O → 95% hexane, 5% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179f as a colourless liquid (503 mg, 88% over two steps): R_f = 0.31 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); ν_{max}(film)/cm⁻¹ 2976w, 2888w, 1315s, 1263w, 1199m, 1187m, 1156m, 1115s, 1103m, 1074s, 1047s, 1030m, 969m, 936m, 850m, 805m, 794m, 748w, 726m, 692m; δ_{H}(300 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.13 (3H, t, J 7.1, OCH₂C₃H₃), 2.61 (1H, d with unresolved fine coupling, J 17.4, CH₆H₅CH₂O), 2.84 (1H, ddd, J 17.4, 11.9, 6.1, CH₆H₅CH₂O), 3.43 (1H, dq, J 9.6, 7.1, OCH₆H₅CH₃), 3.52 (1H, ddd, J 11.3, 6.0, 1.2, CH₂CH₆H₅O), 3.74-3.93 (2H, stack, OCH₆H₅CH₃ and CH₂CH₆H₅O), 5.36 (1H, s, CHO), 6.80 (1H, app. t, J 7.7, ArH), 7.10 (1H, d, J 7.7, ArH), 7.33 (1H, d, J 7.7, ArH); δ_{C}(100 MHz, C₆D₆) 15.4 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 25.5 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 57.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 63.5 (CH₂, OCH₂CH₃), 96.5 (CH, CHO), 125.1 (quat. C, q, J_{C-F} 272.0, ArCF₃), 125.7 (CH, q, J_{C-F} 5.2, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (quat. C, q, J_{C-F} 28.4, ipso Ar), 132.0 (CH, Ar), 133.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); δ_{F}(282 MHz) -61.1 (s, ArCF₃); m/z (El) 246 ([M]^+, 4%), 245 (11, [M – H]^+), 201 (100, [M – OCH₂CH₃]^+), 172 (17), 151 (13), 133 (14); HRMS: C_{12}H_{13}O₂F₃ calcd 246.0868 ([M]^+), obsd 246.0863.
1-Ethoxyisochroman 179g was prepared by DIBALH reduction (1.40 mL, 2.10 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183g (250 mg, 1.40 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (3 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O → 90% hexane, 10% Et₂O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179g as a colourless liquid (217 mg, 74% over two steps): R_f = 0.23 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); ν_max(film)/cm⁻¹ 2973m, 2879m, 1615w, 1503m, 1330m, 1318m, 1273m, 1263m, 1237m, 1165w, 1092m, 1074m, 1049s, 1035s, 997s, 958m, 933w, 866w, 848m, 814m, 802m; δ_H (300 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.18 (3H, t, J 7.1, OCH₂C₃H₃), 2.17 (1H, ddd, J 16.1, 3.2, 1.6, CH₂H₆CH₂O), 2.76 (1H, ddd, J 16.1, 12.0, 5.9, CH₃H₆CH₂O), 3.31 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.52 (1H, dq, J 9.6, 7.1, OCH₃H₆CH₃), 3.69 (1H, ddd, J 11.0, 5.9, 1.6, CH₂CH₂H₆O), 3.91 (1H, dq, J 9.6, 7.1, OCH₃H₆CH₃), 4.07 (1H, app. td, J 11.5, 3.2, CH₂CH₂H₆O), 5.57 (1H, s, CHO), 6.74-6.84 (2H, stack, ArH), 6.89 (1H, s, ArH); δ_C (100 MHz, C₆D₆) 15.6 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 27.7 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 54.8 (CH₃, OCH₃), 58.3 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 63.4 (CH₂, OCH₂CH₃), 97.2 (CH, CHO), 112.6 (CH, Ar), 115.1 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.6 (CH, Ar), 136.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 158.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 208 ([M]⁺, 18%), 207 (8, [M – H]⁺), 180 (14), 163 (63, [M – OCH₂CH₃]⁺), 134 (100), 121 (20), 103 (12), 91 (23); HRMS: C₁₂H₁₆O₃ calcd 208.1099 ([M]⁺), obsd 208.1103.
7-Fluoro-1-ethoxyisochroman 179h

![Chemical Structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{13}FO\textsubscript{2}

Exact Mass: 196.09

Molecular Weight: 196.2181

Elemental Analysis: C, 67.33; H, 6.68; F, 9.68; O, 16.31

1-Ethoxyisochroman 179h was prepared by DIBALH reduction (4.20 mL, 6.32 mmol) of isochroman-1-one 183h (700 mg, 4.21 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (7.3 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et\textsubscript{2}O → 95% hexane, 5% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded 1-ethoxyisochroman 179h as a pale yellow oil (639 mg, 77% over two steps):

\[ R_f = 0.23 \text{ (90\% hexane, 10\% Et}_2\text{O)} \]

\[ \nu_{\text{max}} (\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} 2976w, 2882w, 1499m, 1326m, 1257m, 1234m, 1112w, 1189m, 1075s, 1047s, 1001s, 961m, 942m, 864m, 855m, 815m, 804m, 739m; \delta_H(300 MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) \]

1.11 (3H, t, J\textsubscript{7.1}, OCH\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3}), 2.02 (1H, app. d, J\textsubscript{16.2}, CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}O), 2.59 (1H, ddd, J\textsubscript{16.6}, 12.0, 5.9, CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}O), 3.42 (1H, dq, J\textsubscript{9.6}, 7.1, OCH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}), 3.59 (1H, ddd, J\textsubscript{11.1}, 5.9, 1.7, CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}O), 3.82 (1H, dq, J\textsubscript{9.6}, 7.1, OCH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}), 3.92 (1H, app. td, J\textsubscript{11.7}, 3.4, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}O), 5.37 (1H, s, CHO), 6.59 (1H, dd, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{H-H} 8.4, \textsuperscript{4}J\textsubscript{H-F} 5.7, ArH), 6.72 (1H, app. td, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{H-H} and \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{H-F} 8.4, \textsuperscript{4}J\textsubscript{H-H} 2.5, ArH), 6.92 (1H, dd, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{H-F} 9.1, \textsuperscript{4}J\textsubscript{H-H} 2.5, ArH); \delta_C(75 MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) 15.5 (CH\textsubscript{3}, OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 27.6 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 57.9 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O), 63.6 (CH\textsubscript{2}, OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 96.5 (CH, CHO), 114.6 (CH, d, \textsuperscript{2}J\textsubscript{C-F} 21.3, Ar), 115.3 (CH, d, \textsuperscript{2}J\textsubscript{C-F} 21.3, Ar), 130.1 (CH, d, \textsuperscript{3}J\textsubscript{C-F} 7.2, Ar), 137.1 (quat. C, d, \textsuperscript{4}J\textsubscript{C-F} 6.5, ipso Ar), 161.6 (quat. C, d, \textsuperscript{1}J\textsubscript{C-F} 237.1, ipso Ar), the third quat. C, ipso Ar was not observed; \delta_F(282 MHz) –116.6 - –116.3 (m, ArF); m/z (EI) 196 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}, 7%), 195 (8, [M – H]\textsuperscript{+}), 151 (100, [M – OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{+}), 122 (21), 109 (11), 103 (10); HRMS: C\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{13}O\textsubscript{2}F calcd 196.0900 ([M]\textsuperscript{+}), obsd 196.0901.
1-Ethoxyisobenzofuran \textsuperscript{179k}\textsuperscript{[201]}

1-Ethoxyisobenzofuran \textsuperscript{179k} was prepared from phthalan \textsuperscript{185} (0.50 mL, 4.57 mmol), DDQ (1.24 g, 5.48 mmol) and EtOH (2 × 320 µL, 2 × 5.48 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (29 mL) according to Method B. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane \(\rightarrow\) 98% hexane, 2% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded 1-ethoxyisobenzofuran \textsuperscript{179k} as a pale yellow liquid (669 mg, 89\%): \(R_f = 0.28\) (90\% hexane, 10\% Et\textsubscript{2}O); \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz) 1.29 (3H, t, \(J = 7.1\), OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.70 (1H, dq, \(J = 9.4, 7.1\), OCH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.82 (1H, dq, \(J = 7.1\), OCH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}CH\textsubscript{3}), 5.06 (1H, d, \(J = 12.7\), CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}O), 5.26 (1H, dd, \(J = 12.7, 1.9\), CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}O), 6.29 (1H, d, \(J = 1.9\), CHO), 7.25-7.47 (4H, stack, ArH); \(\delta_C\) (100 MHz) 15.4 (CH\textsubscript{3}, OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 62.9 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}O), 72.1 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}O), 106.7 (CH, CHO), 121.0 (CH, Ar), 122.9 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (CH, Ar), 137.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \(m/z\) (EI) 163 ([M – H]\textsuperscript{+}, 9\%), 135 (15, [M – OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{+}), 119 (100), 91 (34), 77 (16).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{[201]}

1,2-Dihydronaphthalene \textsuperscript{190}\textsuperscript{[158]}

1,2-Dihydronaphthalene \textsuperscript{190}
NaBH$_4$ (569 mg, 15.1 mmol) was added in small portions to a solution of 1-tetralone 189 (4.40 g, 30.1 mmol) in EtOH (75 mL). After heating under reflux for 30 min, the solution was cooled to 0 °C and H$_2$O (75 mL) was added. The EtOH was removed under reduced pressure and the aqueous phase was extracted with toluene (2 × 75 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (75 mL) and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated to a volume of 100 mL. pTSA (29 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to the resulting solution of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-ol in toluene and the mixture was heated under reflux with azeotropic removal of H$_2$O (Dean-Stark) for 15 h. After cooling to R.T., NaHCO$_3$ solution (30 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The organic phase was washed with NaHCO$_3$ solution (30 mL) and brine (40 mL) and dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by a silica plug (100% hexane) afforded 1,2-dihydronaphthalene 190 as a colourless liquid (3.40 g, 87%): $R_f = 0.50$ (100% hexane); $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 2.30-2.41 (2H, stack, CH=CHC$_2$H$_2$), 2.84 (2H, app. t, $J$ 8.2, ArCH$_2$), 6.02-6.11 (1H, m, CH=CHCH$_2$), 6.47-6.55 (1H, m, CH=CHCH$_2$), 7.02-7.24 (4H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(100 MHz) 23.2 (CH$_2$, ArCH$_2$CH$_2$ or ArCH$_2$CH$_2$), 27.5 (CH$_2$, ArCH$_2$CH$_2$ or ArCH$_2$CH$_2$), [125.9, 126.4, 126.8, 127.5, 127.8, 128.6 (CH, 4 × Ar, CH=CH)], 134.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 130 ([M]$^+$, 100%), 115 (43), 64 (14), 51 (13).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[158]}$
A solution of 1,2-dihydronaphthalene (3.27 g, 25.1 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH (1:1, 130 mL) was cooled to –78 °C. O$_3$ was bubbled through the solution until a blue colour developed. The excess O$_3$ was then removed by bubbling N$_2$ through the solution until the blue colour had dissipated. NaBH$_4$ (1.90 g, 50.2 mmol) was added slowly over 5 min and the mixture was warmed to R.T. After stirring for 1.5 h at R.T., the reaction was quenched by the addition of NH$_4$Cl solution (80 mL) (caution: gas formation!). CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL) was added and the two phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 25 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (50 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude diol 191 as a colourless viscous oil (3.17 g, 76%), which was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use: $R_f = 0.20$ (20% hexane, 80% EtOAc); $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 1.79-1.91 (2H, stack, CH$_2$CH$_2$OH), 2.77 (2H, t, $J$ 7.4, ArCH$_2$), 3.49 (2H, t, $J$ 5.9, CH$_2$CH$_2$OH), 3.75 (1H, br s, OH), 4.04 (1H, br s, OH), 4.61 (2H, s, CH$_2$OH), 7.13-7.31 (4H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz) 27.3 (CH$_2$, ArCH$_2$CH$_2$), 33.5 (CH$_2$, ArCH$_2$CH$_2$), [60.7, 63.0 (CH$_2$, ArCH$_2$OH, CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)], 126.0 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (CH, 2 × Ar, resonance overlap), 138.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 140.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 166 ([M]$^+$, 18%), 148 (40), 130 (100), 117 (99), 105 (53), 91 (74), 77 (28), 65 (12).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[159]}$

**2-(3’-Hydroxypropyl)benzaldehyde 188$^{[159]}$**

![Chemical Structure of 2-(3’-Hydroxypropyl)benzaldehyde](image)
Activated MnO₂ 85% (4.31 g, 42.1 mmol) was added to a solution of diol 191 (1.00 g, 6.02 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (13 mL). After stirring for 12 h at R.T., more MnO₂ (1.85 g, 18.1 mmol) was added and the stirring was continued for 2 h. Another portion of MnO₂ (1.85 g, 18.1 mmol) was added and the stirring was continued. After 1 h, the MnO₂ was removed by filtration over Celite, and the Celite was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude aldehyde 188 as a colourless viscous oil (851 mg, 86%), which was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use: \( R_f = 0.20 \) (40% hexane, 60% EtOAc); \( \delta_H \) (300 MHz) 1.82-1.94 (2H, stack, \( CH_2CH_2OH \)), 2.25 (1H, br s, OH), 3.13 (2H, t, \( J 7.6, ArCH_2 \)), 3.66 (2H, t, \( J 6.1, CH_2CH_2OH \)), 7.31 (1H, d, \( J 7.6, ArH \)), 7.38 (1H, app. td, \( J 7.5, 1.2, ArH \)), 7.51 (1H, app. td, \( J 7.5, 1.2, ArH \)), 7.81 (1H, dd, \( J 7.6, 1.5 ArH \)), 10.22 (1H, s, CHO); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz) [28.7, 34.6 (\( CH_2, ArCH_2CH_2 \))], 61.7 (\( CH_2, CH_2OH \)), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 131.2 (CH, Ar), 133.0 (CH, Ar), 133.8 (CH, Ar), 144.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 193.1 (CH, CHO), the second ipso Ar resonance was not observed; m/z (EI) 164 ([M]+, 14%), 146 (20), 131 (36), 118 (100, [M – CHO – OH]+), 105 (20), 91 (45), 77 (26), 65 (20), 55 (10), 51 (14).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\(^{[159]}\)

1-Ethoxy-3,4,5-trihydro-2-benzoxepin 179l and 2-(3’-hydroxypropyl)benzaldehyde

diethyl acetal 192

![Chemical Structure](image)
A solution of 2-(3’-hydroxypropyl)benzaldehyde 188 (610 mg, 3.72 mmol) in EtOH (8 mL) was stirred with Amberlite (IR 120 beads, spatula tip) at R.T. After 6 h, the beads were filtered off and washed with Et₂O (15 mL). NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL) was added and the Et₂O and EtOH were evaporated. The residue was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure.

Purification of the residue by column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et₂O → 50% hexane, 50% Et₂O) afforded, in order of elution, benzoxepin 179l as a colourless liquid (466 mg, 56%): \( R_f = 0.58 \) (50% hexane, 50% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 2933 m, 1455 m, 1373 w, 1346 m, 1288 w, 1196 w, 1142 m, 1085 s, 1069 s, 1050 s, 1019 s, 963 m, 947 m, 906 m, 876 m, 851 w, 770 m, 746 s; \( \delta_H \) (300 MHz, \( C_6D_6 \)) 1.18 (3H, t, \( J = 7.1 \), OCH₂C₃H₃), 1.41-1.62 (2H, stack, CH₂C₃H₂C₃H₂O), 2.62-2.84 (2H, stack, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.38 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.4, 7.1 \), OCH₃H₃), 3.58 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.8, 7.2, 4.1 \), CH₂CH₂H₃O), 3.91 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.4, 7.1 \), OCH₃H₃), 4.06 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.8, 6.0, 4.4 \), CH₂CH₂H₃O), 5.42 (1H, s, CHO), 6.92-6.99 (1H, m, ArH), 7.02-7.13 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.62-7.70 (1H, m, ArH); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz, \( C_6D_6 \)) 15.3 (CH₃, OCH₂C₃H₃), [29.9, 34.5 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), [63.6, 67.7 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂O and OCH₂CH₃)], 103.8 (CH, CHO), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 127.0 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 129.6 (CH, Ar), 140.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 141.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \( m/z \) (EI) 192 ([M]+, 3%), 191 (9, [M – H]+), 161 (10), 147 (100, [M – OCH₂CH₃]+), 133 (21), 129 (32), 117 (96), 115 (25), 105 (11), 91 (47), 77 (16), 65 (11); HRMS: C₁₂H₁₆O₂ calcd 192.1150 ([M]+), obsd 192.1154; and then diethyl acetal 192 as a colourless oil (124 mg, 12%): \( R_f = 0.35 \) (50% hexane, 50% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3421 m br (OH), 2974 m, 2877 m, 1452 w, 1374 m, 1353 m, 1285 w, 1216 m,
1H,3H-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran 195

NaBH₄ (239 mg, 6.31 mmol) was added to a solution of 1,8-naphthalic anhydride 194 (500 mg, 2.52 mmol) in THF (1 mL) at 0 °C (caution: gas formation!). A solution of BF₃·OEt₂ (935 mL, 7.57 mmol) in THF (0.8 mL) was added over 3 min at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred at R.T overnight. A mixture of THF/H₂O (1:1, 5 mL) was added carefully (caution: gas formation) followed by the addition of more H₂O (10 mL). The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (20 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 99%
hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded pyran 195 as a white crystalline solid (319 mg, 74%): mp 84-85 °C (lit.²⁰² mp 83-83.5 °C); Rᵢ = 0.25 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); (Found: C, 84.68; H, 5.98. C₁₂H₁₀O requires C, 84.68; H, 5.92%); δ₁H(300 MHz) 5.10 (4H, s, CH₂O), 7.19 (2H, d, J 6.9, ArH), 7.46 (2H, app. t, J 7.4, ArH), 7.76 (2H, d, J 8.3, ArH); δ₁C(100 MHz) 69.3 (CH₂, CH₂O), 119.9 (CH, Ar), 125.6 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 170 ([M]⁺, 97%), 152 (21), 141 (100), 115 (19).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.²⁰²

1H,3H-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran-1-one 193 and 1,8-dihydroxymethylnaphthalene 196¹⁶⁰

1,8-Naphthalic anhydride 194 (5.00 g, 25.2 mol) was added in 1 g portions to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (1.92 g, 50.5 mol) in THF (125 mL) over 1 h. After stirring overnight at R.T., the reaction was quenched by the cautious addition of a saturated solution of Rochelle’s salt (50 mL) (caution: gas formation!) at 0 °C. The THF was removed under reduced pressure and then CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and H₂SO₄ (1 M, 100 mL) were added. The two layers were separated
and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 $\times$ 30 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with NaOH solution (1 M, 50 mL) and brine (50 mL) and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography (residue pre-adsorbed on silica, gradient: 80% hexane, 10% EtOAc, 10% toluene $\rightarrow$ 70% hexane, 20% EtOAc, 10% toluene) afforded, in order of elution, pyran-1-one 193 as a white crystalline solid (1.88 g, 41%): mp 158-159 °C (lit.$^{[203]}$ mp 156-157 °C); $R_f$ = 0.28 (70% hexane, 20% EtOAc, 10% toluene); (Found: C, 78.28; H, 4.36. C$_{12}$H$_8$O$_2$ requires C, 78.25; H, 4.38%); $\delta_H$ (300 MHz) 5.80 (2H, s, CH$_2$O), 7.31-7.39 (1H, m, ArH), 7.53 (1H, dd, J 8.3, 7.1, ArH), 7.62 (1H, dd, J 8.3, 7.4, ArH), 7.83 (1H, dd, J 8.3, 0.7, ArH), 8.08 (1H, dd, J 8.3, 1.1, ArH), 8.36 (1H, dd, J 7.3, 1.1, ArH); $\delta_C$ (75 MHz) 70.0 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 120.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 121.4 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, 2 $\times$ Ar, resonance overlap), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.0 (CH, Ar), 131.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.4 (CH, Ar), 164.1 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 184 ([M]$^+$, 85%), 155 (100), 139 (11), 127 (82), 77 (10), 63 (13); and then (gradient: 50% hexane, 50% EtOAc $\rightarrow$ 10% hexane, 90% EtOAc) diol 196 as a white crystalline solid (1.14 g, 24%): mp 155-156 °C (lit.$^{[204]}$ mp 157-158 °C); $R_f$ = 0.15 (50% hexane, 50% EtOAc); $\delta_H$(300 MHz, CD$_3$OD) 4.90 (2H, s, OH), 5.23 (4H, s, CH$_2$O), 7.44 (2H, dd, J 8.1, 7.1, ArH), 7.61 (2H, dd, J 7.1, 1.3, ArH), 7.86 (2H, dd, J 8.1, 1.3, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz, CD$_3$OD) 66.3 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$OH), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 130.8 (CH, Ar), 131.1 (CH, Ar), 131.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 188 ([M]$^+$, 30%), 170 (100), 153 (39), 141 (83), 128 (32), 115 (39), 84 (32), 63 (10), 49 (39).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[197,205]}$
1-Ethoxy-3H-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran 179m

Naphthopyran 179m was prepared by DIBALH reduction (3.80 mL, 5.70 mmol) of pyran-1-one 193 (700 mg, 3.80 mmol), followed by acetalisation using Amberlite and EtOH (6.7 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 98% hexane, 2% Et2O → 95% hexane, 5% Et2O) afforded 1-ethoxynaphthopyran 179m as a colourless oil (684 mg, 84% over two steps): \( R_f = 0.25 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et2O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 2976w, 2894w, 1372m, 1331m, 1316w, 1176w, 1162w, 1128m, 1107w, 1076m, 1041s, 1013s, 985m, 933m, 902w, 833m, 817s, 797m, 771s, 735m; \( \delta_H \) (300 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) 1.17 (3H, t, \( J = 7.1 \)), OCH\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_3\)), 3.58 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.6, 7.1 \), OCH\(_a\)H\(_b\)CH\(_3\)), 3.96 (1H, dq, \( J = 9.6, 7.1 \), OCH\(_b\)H\(_a\)CH\(_3\)), 4.73 (1H, d, \( J = 14.4 \), CH\(_a\)H\(_b\)O), 5.26 (1H, d, \( J = 14.4 \), CH\(_b\)H\(_a\)O), 5.84 (1H, s, CHO), 6.80-6.86 (1H, m, ArH), 7.13-7.26 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.49-7.60 (2H, stack, ArH); \( \delta_C \) (75 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) 15.5 (CH\(_3\), OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 61.5 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)O), 63.6 (CH\(_2\), CH\(_2\)O), 98.5 (CH, CHO), 120.6 (CH, Ar), 123.3 (CH, Ar), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), [132.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), resonance overlap]; m/z (EI) 214 ([\( M^+ \), 15%]), 169 (79, [M – OCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)]^+), 152 (24), 141 (100), 139 (21), 115 (24); HRMS: C\(_{14}\)H\(_{14}\)O\(_2\) calcd 214.0994 ([\( M^+ \)], obsd 214.0993.

2-Phenylethyl trimethylsilyl ether 198\(^{143}\)
I₂ (508 mg, 2.00 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-phenylethyl alcohol (2.40 mL, 20.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL). A solution of HMDS (3.30 mL, 16.00 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added dropwise over 5 min to the dark purple solution. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of Na₂S₂O₃ solution (60 mL), and the mixture was stirred until the solution turned colourless. The phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with brine (40 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude silyl ether 198 as a pale yellow liquid (3.79 g, 98%), which was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use: δ_H(300 MHz) 0.10 (9H, s, Si(CH₃)₃), 2.87 (2H, t, J 7.3, ArCH₂), 3.81 (2H, t, J 7.3, CH₂OTMS), 7.19-7.36 (5H, stack, ArH).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[206]

1-Methylisochroman 197[161]

TMSI (1.00 mL, 7.07 mmol) was added to a solution of freshly distilled acetaldehyde (400 μL, 7.07 mmol) in CHCl₃ (1 mL) at R.T. After stirring for 5 min, silyl ether 198 (1.92 g, 9.90 mmol) was added and the mixture was warmed to 50 °C for 2 h. After cooling to R.T., Et₂O (20 mL) was added. The organic phase was washed with Na₂S₂O₃ solution (3 × 20 mL), H₂O (10 mL) and brine (10 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product.
Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded isochroman 197 as a colourless liquid (340 mg, 32%): \( R_f = 0.30 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \delta_h (300 \text{ MHz}) 1.58 \) (3H, d, \( J 6.5 \), CH₃), 2.72 (1H, app. dt, \( J 16.3, 3.3 \), CH₃H₆CH₂O), 3.07 (1H, ddd, \( J 15.9, 10.1, 5.6 \), CH₃H₆CH₂O), 3.84 (1H, ddd, \( J 11.3, 10.1, 3.3 \), CH₂CH₃H₆O), 4.20 (1H, ddd, \( J 11.3, 5.6, 3.3 \), CH₂CH₃H₆O), 4.90 (1H, q, \( J 6.5 \), CHCH₃), 7.08-7.27 (4H, stack, ArH); \( \delta_c (75 \text{ MHz}) 21.8 \) (CH₃, CH₃), 29.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 63.6 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 72.3 (CH, CHO), 124.7 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 133.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 148 ([M]⁺, 15%), 147 (15, [M – H]⁺), 133 (100, [M – CH₃]⁺), 117 (11), 115 (12), 105 (26), 91 (10), 77 (11).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[161]

**1-Ethoxy-1-methylisochroman 179n**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MeLi (1.6 M solution in Et₂O, 5.10 mL, 8.18 mmol) was added over 10 min to a solution of} \\
isochroman-1-one 172 (1.10 g, 7.43 mmol) in THF (37 mL) at \(-78 \text{ °C}. \text{ After stirring at \(-78 \text{ °C} \text{ for 2 h, AcOH (470 } \mu\text{L, 8.18 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 15 min.} \\
\text{The mixture was poured into ice-water (100 mL) and transferred to a separating funnel. The}
\end{align*}
\]
phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude hemiacetal product, which was of sufficient purity for it to be used directly in the next step: 1-Hydroxy-1-methylisochroman was dissolved in EtOH (15 mL) and stirred with Amberlite (IR 120 beads, tea spoon) at R.T. for 2 h. The beads were filtered off and washed with Et$_2$O (15 mL). NaHCO$_3$ solution (20 mL) was added and the Et$_2$O and EtOH were evaporated. The residue was extracted with Et$_2$O (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% NEt$_3$ → 95% hexane, 4% Et$_2$O, 1% NEt$_3$) afforded 1-ethoxy-1-methylisochroman 179n as a colourless oil (857 mg, 60% over two steps): $R_f = 0.28$ (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2974m, 2933m, 2880m, 1492w, 1451w, 1427w, 1388w, 1372m, 1304w, 1289m, 1267m, 1252m, 1160m, 1121s, 1096s, 1084s, 1069s, 1047s, 1029s, 973w, 941m, 907m, 872m, 840w, 789w, 758s, 732m; $\delta$H (300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 1.15 (3H, app. t, $J = 7.1$, OCH$_2$C$_3$H$_3$), 1.64 (3H, s, CCH$_3$), 2.24 (1H, app. dt, J 16.1, 3.2, CH$_a$H$_b$CH$_2$O), 2.70 (1H, ddd, J 16.1, 10.6, 5.4, CH$_a$H$_b$CH$_2$O), 3.55 (1H, app. q, J 7.1, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.66 (1H, ddd, J 11.0, 5.4, 3.0, CH$_2$CH$_a$H$_b$O), 3.91 (1H, app. td, J 10.9, 3.5, CH$_2$CH$_a$H$_b$O), 6.82-6.91 (1H, m, ArH), 7.00-7.12 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.33-7.41 (1H, m, ArH); $\delta$C (75 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) 16.0 (CH$_3$, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 26.1 (CH$_3$, CCH$_3$), 29.2 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 56.8 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 59.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 98.9 (quat. C, CCH$_3$O), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.2 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 134.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 215.1 ([M + Na]$^+$, 100%); HRMS: C$_{12}$H$_{16}$O$_2$Na calcd 215.1048 ([M + Na]$^+$), obsd 215.1041.
2-(2’-(1’’-Oxo-1’’-phenyl-isochroman)ethyl)benzophenone 201 and 2-(2’-
hydroxyethyl)benzophenone 200[207]

PhLi (1.8 M solution in Et₂O, 3.50 mL, 6.26 mmol) was added over 10 min to a solution of
isochroman-1-one 172 (842 mg, 5.69 mmol) in THF (28 mL) at –78 °C. After stirring at –78
°C for 1 h, the mixture was warmed up to 0 °C over 5 h. AcOH (360 µL, 6.26 mmol) was
added and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. The mixture was poured into ice-water (100
mL) and transferred to a separating funnel. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase
was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with
brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate
concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash column
chromatography (gradient: 95% hexane, 4% EtOAc, 1% NEt₃ → 92% hexane, 7% EtOAc,
1% NEt₃) afforded, in order of elution, 2-(2’-(1’’-oxo-1’’-phenyl-
isochroman)ethyl)benzophenone 201 as a pale yellow solid (593 mg, 48%): mp 109-110 °C;
\( R_f = 0.55 \) (70% hexane, 30% EtOAc); \( \nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 2883w, 1660s (C=O), 1447m, 1312m,
1285m, 1262m, 1196m, 1175m, 1075m, 1068m, 1048s, 1006m, 1000m, 986m, 939m, 925s,
769s, 752s, 732m, 703s, 660m; \( \delta_{\text{H}}(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6) \) 2.23 (1H, app. dt, \( J \) 16.2, 3.2,
\( \text{CH}_a\text{H}_b\text{CH}_2\text{O} \), 2.76 (1H, ddd, \( J \) 16.1, 10.7, 5.5, \( \text{CH}_a\text{H}_b\text{CH}_2\text{O} \), 3.11-3.31 (2H, stack,
OCH₂CH₂Ar), 3.70 (1H, ddd, J 11.0, 5.5, 3.0, CH₂CH₄H₆O), 3.75-3.96 (3H, stack, CH₂CH₄H₆O, OCH₂CH₂Ar), 6.75-7.31 (14H, stack, ArH), 7.58-7.65 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.71-7.78 (2H, stack, ArH); δC(100 MHz, C₆D₆) 28.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 59.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 64.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 101.1 (quat. C, CPh), [125.7 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 129.0 (CH, Ar), 130.1 (CH, Ar), 130.5 (CH, Ar), 131.5 (CH, Ar), 132.8 (CH, Ar), resonance overlap], 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 143.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 197.6 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (TOF ES+) 457 ([M + Na]+, 100%); HRMS: C₃₀H₂₆O₃Na calcd 457.1780 ([M + Na]+), obsd 457.1775; and then 2-(2’-hydroxyethyl)benzophenone 200 (gradient: 80% hexane, 19% EtOAc, 1% NEt₃ → 70% hexane, 29% EtOAc, 1% NEt₃) as a pale orange viscous oil (288 mg, 22%): Rf = 0.23 (70% hexane, 30% EtOAc); δH(300 MHz, C₆D₆) 2.79 (2H, t, J 6.4, CH₂CH₂OH), 3.71 (2H, t, J 6.4, CH₂CH₂OH), 6.75-7.22 (7H, stack, ArH), 7.67-7.81 (2H, stack, ArH); δC(100 MHz, C₆D₆) 36.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂OH), 63.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂OH), 125.6 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 129.2 (CH, Ar), 130.6 (CH, Ar), 130.7 (CH, Ar), 131.4 (CH, Ar), 133.1 (CH, Ar), 138.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 198.4 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (EI) 226 ([M]+, 10%), 208 (100), 195 (95, [M – CH₂OH]+), 179 (32), 165 (39), 152 (13), 131 (20), 119 (10), 105 (35), 91 (17), 77 (51), 51 (16).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[207]
1-Ethoxy-1-phenylisochroman 179o

Chemical Formula: C_{17}H_{18}O_{2}
Exact Mass: 254.1307
Molecular Weight: 254.3236
Elemental Analysis: C, 80.28; H, 7.13; O, 12.58

2-(2'-Hydroxyethyl)benzophenone 200 (288 mg, 1.25 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (2.5 mL) and stirred with Amberlite (IR 120 beads, spatula tip) at R.T. for 2 h. The beads were filtered off and washed with Et₂O (15 mL). NaHCO₃ solution (15 mL) was added and the Et₂O and EtOH were evaporated. The residue was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 15 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with brine (25 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% NEt₃ → 97% hexane, 2% Et₂O, 1% NEt₃) afforded 1-ethoxy-1-phenylisochroman 179o as a colourless oil (130 mg, 40%): R_f = 0.46 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); ν_max(film)/cm⁻¹ 2973m, 2935w, 2886w, 1489m, 1448m, 1259w, 1239m, 1201m, 1089m, 1080m, 1068s, 1048s, 1001s, 970m, 958m, 943m, 920m, 763s, 748s, 730m, 700s, 659m; δ_H (300 MHz, C₆D₆) 1.21 (3H, app. t, J 7.1, OCH₂C₆H₃), 2.32 (1H, app. dt, J 16.2, 3.2, CH₆H₄CH₂O), 2.83 (1H, ddd, J 16.2, 10.8, 5.6, CH₂H₆CH₂O), 3.49-3.70 (2H, stack, OCH₂CH₃), 3.66-3.78 (1H, ddd, J 11.0, 5.5, 2.9, CH₂CH₆H₄O), 4.02 (1H, app. td, J 10.9, 3.5, CH₂CH₆H₄O), 6.81-6.99 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.05-7.25 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.36-7.43 (1H, m, ArH), 7.72-7.79 (2H, stack, ArH); δ_C (100 MHz, C₆D₆) 15.8 (CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 29.0 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 58.3 (CH₂, CH₂O), 59.8 (CH₂, CH₂O), 101.2 (quat. C, CPhO), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 127.7 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (CH, Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 143.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 209 ([M – OCH₂CH₃]^+, 100%), 194 (18), 177 (29, [M – C₆H₄]^+), 165 (12), 149 (16), 77 (14); HRMS: C_{15}H_{13}O calcd 209.0966 ([M – OCH₂CH₃]^+), obsd 209.0960.
General procedure for the formation of 1-allylisochromans 202

**Method A: Racemic allylation**

MeSO$_3$H (32 µL, 0.49 mmol) was added to a solution of the 1-ethoxyisochroman (0.490 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (117 µL, 0.734 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 mL) at 0 °C. A colour change from colourless to a bright colour (depending on the 1-ethoxyisochroman) was observed. After stirring at R.T. for 30 min, NaHCO$_3$ solution (15 mL) and Et$_2$O (15 mL) were added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et$_2$O (10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (15 mL) and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude 1-allylisochroman product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.

**Method B: Asymmetric allylation**

The phosphoramide catalyst (R)-45g (0.049, 0.074 or 0.098 mmol (see specific experimental details)) was added to a solution of the 1-ethoxyisochroman (0.490 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (117 µL, 0.735 mmol) in o-xylene (327 or 490 µL (see specific experimental details)). After stirring at R.T. for the specified time, NaHCO$_3$ solution (15 mL) and Et$_2$O (15 mL) were added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et$_2$O (10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (15 mL) and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude 1-allylisochroman product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.
1-Allylisochroman 163

Chemical Formula: C_{12}H_{14}O
Exact Mass: 174.1045
Molecular Weight: 174.239
Elemental Analysis: C, 82.72; H, 8.10; O, 9.18

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 163 was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 (87 mg, 0.49 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (120 µL, 0.74 mmol) and MeSO_{3}H (32 µL, 0.49 mmol) in CH_{2}Cl_{2} (1 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et_{2}O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 163 as a colourless liquid (80 mg, 94%): \( R_{f} = 0.37 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et_{2}O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (film)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3077w, 3024w, 2965w, 2926m, 2852m, 1641w, 1492m, 1453m, 1428m, 1375w, 1340w, 1280m, 1105s, 1038m, 987m, 910s, 852w, 742s; \( \delta_{H} \) (300 MHz) 2.53-2.82 (3H, stack, CH\(_{2}\)CH=CH\(_{2}\), CH\(_{a}\)H\(_{b}\)CH\(_{2}\)O), 3.03 (1H, ddd, \( J \) 15.7, 9.7, 5.4, CH\(_{a}\)CH\(_{b}\)H\(_{2}\)O), 3.80 (1H, ddd, \( J \) 11.3, 9.7, 3.7, CH\(_{2}\)CH\(_{a}\)H\(_{b}\)O), 4.19 (1H, ddd, \( J \) 11.3, 5.4, 3.6, CH\(_{2}\)CH\(_{a}\)H\(_{b}\)O), 4.87 (1H, dd, \( J \) 7.4, 2.9, CHO), 5.05-5.23 (2H, stack, CH=CH\(_{2}\)), 5.94 (1H, ddt, \( J \) 17.1, 10.2, 6.8, CH=CH\(_{2}\)), 7.03-7.28 (4H, stack, Ar\( H \)); \( \delta_{C} \) (75 MHz) 29.0 (CH\(_{2}\), CH\(_{2}\)CH\(_{2}\)O), 40.3 (CH\(_{2}\), CH\(_{2}\)CH=CH\(_{2}\)), 63.3 (CH\(_{2}\), CH\(_{2}\)CH\(_{2}\)O), 75.5 (CH, CHO), 116.9 (CH\(_{2}\), CH\(_{2}\)CH=CH\(_{2}\)), 124.8 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.0 (CH, CH\(_{2}\)CH=CH\(_{2}\)), 137.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 133 ([M – C\(_{3}\)H\(_{5}\)]\(^{+}\), 100%), 115 (24), 105 (49), 91 (14), 77 (31), 55 (11); HRMS: C\(_{9}\)H\(_{9}\)O calcd 133.0653 ([M – C\(_{3}\)H\(_{5}\)]\(^{+}\), obsd 133.0651.

Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 163 was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 166 (87 mg, 0.49 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (120 µL, 0.74 mmol) and the phosphoramid catalyst (\( R \)-45g) (48.5 mg, 0.049 mmol) in o-xylene (327 µL) according to Method B. After
stirring for 24 h and work-up, purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% 
Et$_2$O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 163 (70 mg, 82%): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +88.1$
(c 0.74, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 10:90); Chiralpak AD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $\tau_{R,\text{minor}} = 
11.5$ min, $\tau_{R,\text{major}} = 12.6$ min.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[208]}$

1-Allyl-5-methylisochroman 202a

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202a was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179a (94 mg, 0.49 
mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (120 $\mu$L, 0.73 mmol) and MeSO$_3$H (32 $\mu$L, 0.49 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$
(1 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 
1% Et$_2$O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202a as a colourless liquid (88 mg, 95%): $R_f = 0.39$
(90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); (Found: C, 82.90; H, 8.50. C$_{13}$H$_{16}$O requires C, 82.94; H, 8.57%); 
$\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3074w, 2918m, 2854m, 1640w, 1469m, 1432w, 1379w, 1330w, 1263w,
1113s, 1088m, 1057m, 991m, 911s, 804w, 778s, 751m, 738m, 705w; $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 2.26 (3H, 
s, CH$_3$), 2.54-2.90 (4H, stack, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 3.82 (1H, ddd, $J$ 11.4, 9.5, 4.2,
CH$_2$CH$_a$H$_b$O), 4.23 (1H, ddd, $J$ 11.4, 5.6, 3.6, CH$_2$CH$_a$H$_b$O), 4.86 (1H, dd, $J$ 8.1, 3.6, CHO),
5.08-5.22 (2H, stack, CH=CH$_2$), 5.94 (1H, ddt, $J$ 17.1, 10.3, 6.8, CH=CH$_2$), 6.99 (1H, d, $J$ 7.6,
ArH), 7.04-7.17 (2H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz) 19.0 (CH$_3$, CH$_3$), 26.6 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 40.3
(CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 63.1 (CH₂, CH₂O), 75.7 (CH, CHO), 116.8 (CH₂, CH=CH₂), 122.4 (CH, Ar), 125.6 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 132.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.1 (CH, CH=CH₂), 136.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 188 ([M]⁺, 3%), 147 (100, [M – C₃H₅]⁺), 132 (16, [M – C₃H₅ – CH₃]⁺), 129 (48), 119 (66), 117 (50), 115 (53), 105 (65), 91 (62), 77 (18), 65 (10); HRMS: C₁₃H₁₆O calcd 188.1201 ([M]⁺), obsd 188.1205.

Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202a was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179a (77 mg, 0.40 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.60 mmol) and the phosphoramidate catalyst (R)-45g (39.6 mg, 0.040 mmol) in o-xylene (267 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 7 h and work-up, purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202a (63 mg, 84%): [α]D²⁰ = +93.9 (c 1.15, CHCl₃, e.r. 8:92); Chiralpak AD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, λ = 210 nm, tR,minor = 11.9 min, tR,major = 16.5 min.

1-Allyl-6-methylisochroman 202b

![Chemical structure of 1-Allyl-6-methylisochroman 202b](image)

Chemical Formula: C₁₃H₁₆O
Exact Mass: 188.1201
Molecular Weight: 188.2655
Elemental Analysis: C, 82.94; H, 8.57; O, 8.50

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202b was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179b (100 mg, 0.52 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (125 µL, 0.78 mmol) and MeSO₃H (34 µL, 0.52 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202b as a colourless oil (93 mg, 95%): Rf = 0.43 (90%
Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202b was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179b (77 mg, 0.40 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.60 mmol) and the phosphoramidite catalyst (R)-45g (59.4 mg, 0.060 mmol) in o-xylene (400 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 6 h and work-up, purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202b (60 mg, 79%): [α]D° = +98.1 (c 1.04, CHCl₃, e.r. 13:87); Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, λ = 210 nm, tₘᵢₐᵢₐᵢᵢ = 12.9 min, tₘᵢₐᵢᵢᵢᵢᵢ = 14.9 min.
1-Allyl-7-methylisochroman 202c

Chemical Formula: C_{13}H_{16}O
Exact Mass: 188.1201
Molecular Weight: 188.2655
Elemental Analysis: C, 82.94; H, 8.57; O, 8.50

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202c was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179c (100 mg, 0.52 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (125 µL, 0.78 mmol) and MeSO_3H (34 µL, 0.52 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et_2O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202c as a yellow oil (89 mg, 91%): R_f = 0.35 (90% hexane, 10% Et_2O); ν_{max}(film)/cm^{-1} 3076w, 2922m, 2855m, 1728w, 1641w, 1504m, 1429m, 1373w, 1327w, 1277w, 1250w, 1155w, 1105s, 1041m, 988m, 911s, 877m, 810s, 713w,
666w; δ_{H}(300 MHz) 2.35 (3H, s, C_H_3), 2.54-2.81 (3H, stack, C_H_2CH=CH_2, CH_aH_bCH_2O), 2.98 (1H, ddd, J 15.7, 9.6, 5.3, CH_aH_bCH_2O), 3.78 (1H, ddd, J 11.2, 9.7, 3.8, CH_bH_aO), 4.18 (1H, ddd, J 11.2, 5.3, 3.5, CH_2CH_bH_aO), 4.83 (1H, dd, J 8.2, 3.5, CHO), 5.09-5.24 (2H, stack, CH=CH_2), 5.96 (1H, ddt, J 17.1, 10.3, 6.8, CH=CH_2), 6.92-7.07 (3H, stack, ArH); δ_{C}(100 MHz) 21.3 (CH_3, CH_3), 28.7 (CH_2, CH_2CH_2O), 40.4 (CH_2, CH_aH_bCH_2), 63.5 (CH_2, CH_2O), 75.6 (CH, CHO), 116.9 (CH_2, CH=CH_2), 125.3 (CH, Ar), 127.2 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 131.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.2 (CH, CH=CH_2), 135.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 188 ([M]^+, 1%), 147 (100, [M – C_3H_5]^+), 119 (13), 117 (10), 91 (12); HRMS: C_{13}H_{16}O calcd 188.1201 ([M]^+), obsd 188.1191.

Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202c was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179c (77 mg, 0.40 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.60 mmol) and the phosphoramid catalyst (R)-45g (59.4 mg, 0.060 mmol) in o-xylene (267 µL) according to Method B. After
stirring for 8 h and work-up, purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202c (53 mg, 71%): [α]<sup>20</sup> = +120.0 (c 0.98, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, e.r. 13:87); Chiralpak AD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, λ = 210 nm, t<sub>R,minor</sub> = 10.3 min, t<sub>R,major</sub> = 12.4 min.

1- Allyl-8-methylisochroman 202d

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202d was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179d (100 mg, 0.52 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (125 µL, 0.78 mmol) and MeSO<sub>3</sub>H (34 µL, 0.52 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202d as a colourless oil (56 mg, 57%): R<sub>f</sub> = 0.40 (90% hexane, 10% Et<sub>2</sub>O); (Found: C, 82.68; H, 8.40. C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O requires C, 82.94; H, 8.57%); ν<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3071w, 2920m, 2849m, 1639m, 1590w, 1464m, 1430m, 1374w, 1338w, 1267m, 1222w, 1194w, 1103s, 1080m, 1062m, 1029m, 999m, 910s, 850w, 768s, 736w, 711w; δ<sub>δ</sub>(300 MHz) 2.27 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.42-2.99 (4H, stack, CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.82 (1H, app. dt, J 11.3, 5.1, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>a</sub>H<sub>b</sub>O), 4.11 (1H, ddd, J 11.3, 8.1, 4.6, CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.97 (1H, dd, J 9.3, 2.3, CHO), 5.09-5.22 (2H, stack, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.99 (1H, ddt, J 17.1, 10.2, 6.9, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.94-7.16 (3H, stack, ArH); δ<sub>δ</sub>(100 MHz) 19.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 38.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 59.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.3 (CH, CHO), 116.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 133.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.7
(quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.3 (CH, CH=CH₂), 136.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 188 ([M]+, 1%), 147 (100, [M – C₃H₅]+); HRMS: C₁₃H₁₆O calcd 188.1201 ([M]+), obsd 188.1195.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202d was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179d (67 mg, 0.35 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (85 µL, 0.53 mmol) and the phosphoramidate catalyst (R)-45g (69.3 mg, 0.070 mmol) in o-xylene (233 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 48 h and work-up, purification by careful column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202d (34 mg, 52%): [α]D²⁰ = +53.0 (c 0.37, CHCl₃, e.r. 21:79); Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, λ = 210 nm, tR,minor = 12.8 min, tR,major = 15.2 min.

**Spiro[1-allylisochroman-4,1’-cyclopropane] 202j**

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202j was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179j (100 mg, 0.49 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (120 µL, 0.73 mmol) and MeSO₃H (32 µL, 0.49 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.95 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202j as a colourless oil (92 mg, 94%): Rf = 0.34 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 3075w, 2948w, 2843m, 1641w, 1605w, 1492m, 1476w, 1459w, 1422m, 1378w, 1361m, 1327m, 1248w, 1208m, 1165w, 1134w, 1097w, 1051w, 1012m, 970m, 930w, 892m, 857w, 815m, 778w, 729w, 694w, 661m, 589m, 549m, 513m, 474m, 425m, 364w, 338w, 304w.

---

In total, two long columns were carried out to obtain a pure product.
1454w, 1430m, 1379m, 1336w, 1254m, 1146w, 1093s, 1033m, 997m, 910s, 856w, 830m, 751s, 712m; $\delta_\text{H}(300 \text{ MHz})$ 0.84 (1H, ddd, $J$ 9.4, 6.0, 4.4, cyclopropylCH$_a$H), 0.94-1.14 (3H, stack, cyclopropylCH$_b$H$_b$CH$_2$), 2.64-2.84 (2H, stack, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 3.62 (1H, d, $J$ 11.5, CH$_a$H$_b$O), 3.85 (1H, dd, $J$ 11.5, 1.1, CH$_a$H$_b$O), 4.98 (1H, dd, $J$ 8.2, 3.9, CHO), 5.09-5.24 (2H, stack, CH=CH$_2$), 5.98 (1H, ddt, $J$ 17.1, 10.3, 6.8, CH=CH$_2$), 6.71-6.78 (1H, m, ArH), 7.08-7.22 (3H, stack, ArH); $\delta_\text{C}(100 \text{ MHz})$ [13.4, 17.8 (CH$_2$, cyclopropylCH$_2$)], 18.9 (quat. C, CCH$_2$O), 40.0 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 71.4 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 76.6 (CH, CHO), 116.9 (CH$_2$, CH=CH$_2$), 121.3 (CH, Ar), 124.4 (CH, Ar), 125.1 (CH, Ar), 126.8 (CH, Ar), 135.1 (CH, CH=CH$_2$), 137.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 159 ([M – C$_3$H$_5$]$^+$, 100%), 131 (25), 129 (25), 115 (19), 103 (17), 91 (16), 77 (11); HRMS: C$_{11}$H$_{11}$O calcd 159.0810 ([M – C$_3$H$_5$]$^+$), obsd 159.0801.

Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202j was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179j (82 mg, 0.40 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.60 mmol) and the phosphoramide catalyst (R)-45g (79.2 mg, 0.080 mmol) in o-xylene (267 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 8 h and work-up, purification by careful column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202j (35 mg, 44%): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +5.1$ (c 0.35, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 43:57); Chiralpak AD, 1.0 mL/min, 1% IPA in hexane, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_{R,\text{minor}} = 5.9$ min, $t_{R,\text{major}} = 7.4$ min.

---

$^6$ in total, two long columns were carried out to obtain a pure product
1-Allyl-3,3-dimethylisochroman 202i

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202i was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179i (100 mg, 0.485 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (120 µL, 0.73 mmol) and methanesulfonic acid (31 µL, 0.485 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.95 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202i as a colourless oil (86 mg, 88%): R_f = 0.40 (95% hexane, 5% Et₂O); ν_max(film)/cm⁻¹ 3073w, 2973m, 2900w, 1493w, 1453w, 1431w, 1380m, 1367m, 1255w, 1234w, 1206w, 1180m, 1110m, 1069s, 1040m, 992m, 910s, 739s, 712w; δ_H (300 MHz) 1.17 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.38 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.53 (1H, d, J 15.7, CH₃(CH)₂), 2.53-2.65 (1H, m, CH₃(H)CH=CH₂), 2.68-2.79 (1H, m, CH₃(CH)CH=CH₂), 2.88 (1H, d, J 15.7, CH₃(CH)), 4.83-4.90 (1H, m, CHO), 5.00-5.15 (2H, stack, CH=CHO), 5.88 (1H, ddt, J 17.2, 10.3, 6.8, CH=CH₂), 7.04-7.24 (4H, stack, ArH); δ_C (100 MHz) [23.3, 30.1 (CH₃, C(CH₃)₃(CH₃)₂), [40.5, 40.7 (CH₂, CH₂CO, CH₂CH=CH₂)], 70.8 (quat. C, CH₂CO), 71.2 (CH, CHO), 116.6 (CH₂, CH=CH₂), 124.3 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 133.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.0 (CH, CH=CH₂), 137.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 161 ([M – C₃H₅]⁺, 100%), 143 (85), 128 (10); HRMS: C₁₁H₁₃O calcd 161.0966 ([M – C₃H₅]⁺), obsd 161.0969.

Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202i was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179i (82.5 mg, 0.400 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.60 mmol) and the phosphoramid
catalyst (R)-45g (79.2 mg, 0.080 mmol) in o-xylene (267 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 24 h and work-up, purification by flash column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202i (54 mg, 67%): [α]D²¹ = −10.0 (c 0.96, CHCl₃, e.r. 43:57); Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, λ = 210 nm, tR,minor = 7.3 min, tR,major = 9.1 min.

1-Allyl-5-bromoisochroman 202e

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202e was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179e (100 mg, 0.39 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.58 mmol) and MeSO₂H (25 µL, 0.39 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.75 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202e as a colourless oil (61 mg, 62%): Rf = 0.45 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 2933m, 2858m, 1640w, 1563m, 1461m, 1439s, 1377w, 1329m, 1255w, 1177w, 1113s, 1076m, 988s, 913s, 861w, 813w, 771s, 744m, 717m, 675m; δH(300 MHz) 2.51-2.95 (4H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 3.77 (1H, ddd, J 11.5, 9.2, 4.4, CH₆H₅O), 4.19 (1H, ddd, J 11.5, 5.6, 3.8, CH₆H₅O), 4.80 (1H, dd, J 7.9, 3.8, CHO), 5.06-5.19 (2H, stack, CH=CH₂), 5.88 (1H, ddt, J 17.1, 10.3, 6.8, CH=CH₂), 7.02-7.11 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.40-7.48 (1H, m, ArH); δC(100 MHz) 29.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 40.1 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 63.1 (CH₂, CH₂O), 75.3 (CH, CHO), 117.2 (CH₂, CH=CH₂), 123.9 (CH, Ar), 125.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.2 (CH, Ar), 130.3 (CH, Ar),
Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202e was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179e (77 mg, 0.30 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (70 µL, 0.45 mmol) and the phosphoramidite catalyst (R)-45g (59.4 mg, 0.060 mmol) in o-xylene (200 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 48 h and work-up, purification by careful column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202e (34 mg, 45%): [α]D²⁰ = +53.8 (c 0.74, CHCl₃, e.r. 17:83); Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 1% IPA in hexane, λ = 210 nm, tR,minor = 5.4 min, tR,major = 6.0 min.

1-Allyl-5-tolylisochroman 211

![Chemical Structure](image)

Racemic 1-allyl-5-bromoisochroman 202e (150 mg, 0.593 mmol), 4-tolylboronic acid (161 mg, 1.19 mmol) and Na₂CO₃ (530 mg, 5.00 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of degassed toluene/EtOH/H₂O (2:1:1, 10 mL). Pd(PPh₃)₄ (68 mg, 0.059 mmol) was added, and the

---

7 in total, two long columns were carried out to obtain a pure product.
mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h. The black suspension was cooled to R.T., the solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (20 mL). H$_2$O (20 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (20 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (99.5% hexane, 0.5% Et$_2$O) afforded 1-allyl-5-tolylisochroman 211 as a colourless oil (152 mg, 97%): $R_f$ = 0.31 (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $v_{\text{max}}$ (film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2927m, 2855m, 1643w, 1515m, 1463m, 1377w, 1338w, 1110s, 990m, 911s, 822s, 790s, 736s; $\delta$H (300 MHz) 2.32 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 2.43 (1H, app. dt, $J$ 16.6, 3.4, CH$_a$H$_b$CH$_2$O), 2.49-2.74 (2H, stack, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 2.82 (1H, ddd, $J$ 16.6, 9.6, 5.3, CH$_a$H$_b$CH$_2$O), 3.58 (1H, ddd, $J$ 11.2, 9.6, 3.5, CH$_a$H$_b$O), 3.98 (1H, ddd, $J$ 11.2, 5.3, 3.6, CH$_a$H$_b$O), 4.83 (1H, dd, $J$ 7.9, 3.3, CHO), 5.00-5.17 (2H, stack, CH=CH$_2$), 5.88 (1H, ddt, $J$ 17.1, 10.2, 6.8, CH=CH$_2$), 7.00-7.09 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.10-7.22 (5H, stack, ArH); $\delta$C (100 MHz) 21.1 (CH$_3$, ArCH$_3$), 28.3 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 40.9 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 63.4 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 75.8 (CH, CHO), 117.0 (CH$_2$, CH=CH$_2$), 123.9 (CH, Ar), 125.8 (CH, Ar), 127.7 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (CH, Ar), 132.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.1 (CH, CH=CH$_2$), 136.7 (quat. C, 2 × ipso Ar), 138.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 141.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 223 ([M − C$_3$H$_3$]$^+$, 100%), 195 (40), 180 (29), 179 (25), 178 (27), 167 (24), 165 (34); HRMS: C$_{19}$H$_{20}$O calcd 264.1514 ([M]$^+$), obsd 264.1526.

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of enantiomerically enriched 1-allyl-5-tolylisochroman 211 from enantiomerically enriched 1-allyl-5-bromoisochroman 202e (17.0 mg, 0.067 mmol, e.r. 17:83), 4-tolylboronic acid (18 mg, 0.134 mmol), Na$_2$CO$_3$ (60 mg, 0.565 mmol) and Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (7.7 mg, 0.007 mmol) in degassed toluene/EtOH/H$_2$O (2:1:1, 1.13 mL). Purification by flash column chromatography (99.5% hexane, 0.5% Et$_2$O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 211 (16.0 mg, 90%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = +22.6 (c 0.46,
CHCl₃, e.r. 17:83); Lux 5u Cellulose-2, 3 mL/min, 0.5% IPA in hexane, λ = 254 nm, \( t_{R,\text{major}} = 8.9 \) min, \( t_{R,\text{major}} = 10.4 \) min.

### 1-Allyl-5-trifluoromethylisochroman 202f

![Chemical structure of 1-Allyl-5-trifluoromethylisochroman 202f](image)

**Chemical Formula:** C₁₃H₁₃F₃O  
**Exact Mass:** 242.0918  
**Molecular Weight:** 242.2369  
**Elemental Analysis:** C, 64.46; H, 5.41; F, 23.53; O, 6.60

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202f was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179f (100 mg, 0.41 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (100 µL, 0.61 mmol) and MeSO₃H (25 µL, 0.41 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.80 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 99.5% hexane, 0.5% Et₂O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202f as a colourless oil (77 mg, 78%): \( R_f = 0.46 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \), 3070w, 2969w, 2860w, 1469w, 1458w, 1429w, 1316s, 1261w, 1183w, 1156s, 1112s, 1078s, 1046m, 995m, 969w, 914m, 801w, 755w, 727m, 675m; \( \delta_H(300 \text{ MHz}) \), 2.54-2.78 (2H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂), 2.85-2.98 (1H, m, CH₂H₂CH₂O), 3.00-3.14 (1H, m, CH₂H₂CH₂O), 3.77 (1H, ddd, \( J_{11.5, 9.4, 3.9} \)), 4.17 (1H, ddd, \( J_{11.5, 5.3, 3.9} \), CH₂H₂O), 4.89 (1H, dd, \( J_{7.7, 3.9, \text{CHO}} \)), 5.06-5.19 (2H, stack, CH=CH₂), 5.88 (1H, ddt, \( J_{17.1, 10.3, 6.8, \text{CH=CH₂}} \)), 7.22-7.33 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.48-7.56 (1H, m, ArH); \( \delta_C(100 \text{ MHz}) \), 25.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂O), 40.5 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 62.5 (CH₂, CH₂O), 75.4 (CH, CHO), 117.3 (CH₂, CH=CH₂), 124.0 (CH, q, \(^3J_{C-F} \), 5.5, Ar), 124.4 (quat. C, q, \(^1J_{C-F} \), 272.6, ArCF₃), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 133.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.4 (CH, CH=CH₂), 139.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar);
\( \delta^f (282 \text{ MHz}) -61.2 \text{ (s, ArCF)}_3 \); m/z (EI) 201 ([M – C\text{\textsubscript{5}H}]^+, 100%), 181 (16), 153 (10), 151 (10), 133 (15); HRMS: C\text{\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{8}OF}_3 \text{ calcld } 201.0527 ([M – C\text{\textsubscript{5}H}]^+), \text{ obsd } 201.0523.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202\textit{f} was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179\textit{f} (98.5 mg, 0.40 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 \text{ \mu L}, 0.60 mmol) and the phosphoramidate catalyst \((R)-45\textit{g} (59.4 mg, 0.060 mmol) in \text{o-xylene} (267 \text{ \mu L}) according to Method B. After stirring for 48 h and work-up, purification by careful\textsuperscript{8} column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202\textit{f} (23 mg, 24%): [\alpha]_D^{20} = +43.8 (c 0.78, CHCl\textsubscript{3}, e.r. 88:12); Lux 5u Cellulose-2, 3 mL/min, 0.5% IPA in hexane, \( \lambda = 250 \text{ nm}, t_R,\text{major} = 6.0 \text{ min}, t_R,\text{minor} = 7.2 \text{ min.}

**1-Allyl-7-methoxyisochroman 202\textit{g}**

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202\textit{g} was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179\textit{g} (70 mg, 0.34 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (80 \text{ \mu L}, 0.50 mmol) and MeSO\textsubscript{3}H (22 \text{ \mu L}, 0.34 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (0.65 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et\textsubscript{2}O \rightarrow 90% hexane, 10% Et\textsubscript{2}O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202\textit{g} as a colourless oil (50 mg, 72%): \( R_f = 0.23 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et\textsubscript{2}O); \( \nu_{\text{max}}\text{(film)}/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 3075w, 2919w, 2835w, 1612m, 1502s, 1464m, 1428m, 1314m, 1281s, 1255s, 1207m, 1161w, 1107s.

---

\( ^{8} \) in total, two long columns were carried out to obtain a pure product
1037s, 989m, 912m, 866w, 848w, 804m, 733w, 709w; $\delta$ (300 MHz) 2.53-2.77 (3H, stack, \(CH_2CH=CH_2\), \(CH_aH_bCH_2O\)), 2.93 (1H, ddd, \(J 15.7, 9.7, 5.4\), \(CH_aH_bCH_2O\)), 3.70-3.82 (4H, stack, \(CH_2CH_aH_bO\)), 4.16 (1H, ddd, \(J 11.3, 5.4, 3.7\), \(CH_2H_bO\)), 4.81 (1H, dd, \(J 7.8, 3.7\), \(CHO\)), 5.07-5.22 (2H, stack, \(CH=CH_2\)), 5.93 (1H, ddd, \(J 17.1, 10.1, 6.8\), \(CH=CH_2\)), 6.65 (1H, d, \(J 2.5\), ArH), 6.75 (1H, dd, \(J 8.4, 2.5\), ArH), 7.04 (1H, d, \(J 8.4\), ArH); $\delta$ (75 MHz) 28.2 (CH\(_2\), \(CH_2CH_2O\)), 40.3 (CH\(_2\), \(CH_2CH=CH_2\)), 55.2 (CH\(_3\), OCH\(_3\)), 63.6 (CH\(_2\), \(CH_2O\)), 75.5 (CH, CHO), 110.0 (CH, Ar), 112.2 (CH, Ar), 116.9 (CH\(_2\), CH=CH\(_2\)), 126.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.7 (CH, Ar), 135.0 (CH, CH=CH\(_2\)), 138.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 157.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 204 ([M]\(^+\), 3%), 163 (100, ([M – C\(_3\)H\(_5\)]\(^+\)), 121 (24), 105 (12); HRMS: C\(_{13}\)H\(_{16}\)O\(_2\) calcd 204.1150 ([M]\(^+\)), obsd 204.1153.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202g was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179g (90 mg, 0.432 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (105 \(\mu\)L, 0.648 mmol) and the phosphoramid catalyst \((R)-45g\) (64.3 mg, 0.065 mmol) in o-xylene (290 \(\mu\)L) according to Method B. After stirring for 24 h and work-up, purification by column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et\(_2\)O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202g (57 mg, 65%): \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +64.6 (c 1.27, CHCl\(_3\), e.r. 21:79); \) Chiralpak AD, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, \(\lambda = 230\) nm, \(t_{R,\text{minor}} = 4.2\) min, \(t_{R,\text{major}} = 4.9\) min.

**1-Allyl-7-fluoroisochroman 202h**

![Chemical Structure of 1-Allyl-7-fluoroisochroman 202h](image)

**Chemical Formula:** C\(_{12}\)H\(_{13}\)FO  
**Exact Mass:** 192.095  
**Molecular Weight:** 192.2294  
**Elemental Analysis:** C, 74.98; H, 6.82; F, 9.88; O, 8.32
Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202h was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179h (100 mg, 0.51 mmol), allylltrimethylsilane (120 µL, 0.76 mmol) and MeSO$_3$H (33 µL, 0.51 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 99.5% hexane, 0.5% Et$_2$O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202h as a colourless oil (81 mg, 83%): $R_f$ = 0.33 (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2930w, 2855w, 1616w, 1592w, 1497s, 1427m, 1249m, 1201m, 1108s, 992m, 938m, 866m, 811s, 736m; $\delta_h$(300 MHz) 2.50-2.75 (3H, stack, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$, CH$_a$H$_b$CH$_2$O), 2.88-3.01 (1H, m, CH$_a$H$_b$O), 3.74 (1H, ddd, $J$ 11.3, 9.8, 3.8, CH$_a$H$_b$O), 4.16 (1H, ddd, $J$ 11.3, 5.4, 3.4, CH$_a$H$_b$O), 4.79 (1H, dd, $J$ 7.6, 3.6, CHO), 5.06-5.21 (2H, stack, CH=CH$_2$), 5.89 (1H, ddt, $J$ 17.1, 10.3, 6.8, CH=CH$_2$), 6.77-6.92 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.07 (1H, dd, $J$ 8.3, 5.8, ArH); $\delta_c$(75 MHz) 28.3 (CH$_2$, CCH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 40.1 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 63.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 75.3 (CH, CHO), 111.4 (CH, d, $^2J_{C-F}$ 21.8, Ar), 113.4 (CH, d, $^2J_{C-F}$ 21.2, Ar), 117.3 (CH$_2$, CH=CH$_2$), 129.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.2 (CH, d, $^3J_{C-F}$ 7.5, Ar), 134.5 (CH, CH=CH$_2$), 139.5 (quat. C, d, $^4J_{C-F}$ 6.1, ipso Ar), 161.1 (quat. C, d, $^1J_{C-F}$ 242.2, ipso Ar); $\delta_f$(282 MHz) −116.2 - −116.0 (F, m, ArF); m/z (EI) 151 ([M – C$_3$H$_5]^+$, 100%), 133 (20), 109 (13), 103 (18); HRMS: C$_9$H$_8$OF calcd 151.0559 ([M – C$_3$H$_5]^+$), obsd 151.0552.

Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202h was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179h (98.1 mg, 0.500 mmol), allylltrimethylsilane (120 µL, 0.750 mmol) and the phosphoramidate catalyst (R)-45g (74.2 mg, 0.075 mmol) in o-xylene (333 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 48 h and work-up, purification by column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202h (39 mg, 41%): $[\alpha]_D^{21}$ = +76.7 (c 0.67, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 20:80); Lux 5u Cellulose-2, 3 mL/min, 0.5% IPA in hexane, $\lambda$ = 210 nm, $t_{R,\text{major}}$ = 8.5 min, $t_{R,\text{minor}}$ = 9.1 min.
1-Allylphthalan 202k and 1-(2’-hydroxyethyl)phthalan 203[151]

Racemic 1-allylphthalan 202k was prepared from 1-ethoxyphthalan 179k (100 mg, 0.61 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (145 µL, 0.91 mmol) and MeSO₃H (40 µL, 0.61 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (99% pentane, 1% Et₂O) afforded 1-allylphthalan 202k as a colourless liquid (70 mg, 72%, reduced yield owing to product volatility): $R_f = 0.28$ (90% pentane, 10% Et₂O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm⁻¹ 3076w, 2899w, 2851m, 1479m, 1461m, 1415w, 1365m, 1350w, 1317w, 1259w, 1107w, 1043s, 1021m, 964m, 913s, 881w, 865w, 841m, 821w, 746s, 729s, 717s, 693m; $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 2.50-2.75 (2H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.06-5.26 (4H, stack, CH₂O, CH=CH₂), 5.31-5.39 (1H, m, CHO), 5.92 (1H, ddt, $J$ 17.1, 10.2, 6.9, CH=CH₂), 7.20-7.36 (4H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(100 MHz) 40.6 (CH₂, CH₂CH=CH₂), 72.6 (CH₂, CH₂O), 83.1 (CH, CHO), 117.5 (CH₂, CH=CH₂), 120.9 (CH, Ar), 121.2 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 134.0 (CH, CH=CH₂), 139.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar) 141.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 160 ([M]^+, 1%), 119 (100, [M – C₃H₅]^+), 91 (57), 65 (8); HRMS: C₁₁H₁₂O calcd 160.0888 ([M]^+), obsd 160.0889.
Racemic 1-(2’-hydroxyethyl)phthalan 203 was prepared from 1-allylphthalan 202k by a one-pot oxidative cleavage–reduction sequence. NaIO₄ (915 mg, 4.28 mmol), 2,6-lutidine (250 µL, 2.14 mmol) and OsO₄ (a small crystal) were added to a mixture of the starting material (171 mg, 1.07 mmol) in dioxane/H₂O (3:1, 10.7 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at R.T. for 2 h, NaBH₄ (202 mg, 5.35 mmol) was added in small portions over a period of 5 min. The mixture was stirred for additional 10 min, and then quenched with NH₄Cl solution (15 mL) at 0 °C. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (20 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product as a dark-red liquid. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc → 50% hexane, 50% EtOAc) afforded alcohol 203 as a colourless⁹ viscous oil (129 mg, 73%): \( R_f = 0.18 \) (50% hexane, 50% EtOAc); \( \delta_H(300 \text{ MHz}) \) 1.88-2.02 (1H, m, CH\( \alpha\)H\( \beta\)CH₂OH), 2.11-2.24 (1H, m, CH\( \alpha\)H\( \beta\)CH₂OH), 3.13 (1H, br s, OH), 3.80-3.95 (2H, stack, CH₂CH₂OH), 5.03-5.20 (2H, stack, ArCH₂O), 5.40-5.49 (1H, m, CHO), 7.13-7.35 (4H, stack, ArH); \( \delta_C(100 \text{ MHz}) \) 37.9 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂OH), 60.4 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂OH), 72.4 (CH₂, ArCH₂O), 83.2 (CH, CHO), 120.8 (CH, Ar), 120.9 (CH, Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 138.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 141.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \( m/z \) (EI) 164 ([M]+, 17%), 119 (100, [M – CH₂CH₂OH]⁺), 91 (33); HRMS: C₁₀H₁₂O₂ calcd 164.0837 ([M]+), obsd 164.0839.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylphthalan 202k was prepared from 1-ethoxyphthalan 179k (98.5 mg, 0.600 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (145 µL, 0.900 mmol) and the phosphoramidite catalyst (R)-45g (89.1 mg, 0.090 mmol) in \( o \)-xylene (400 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 24 h, a few drops of NEt₃ were added and from the resulting wet solid the \( o \)-xylene

⁹ in total, two columns were carried out to obtain a pure colourless product
was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman $202k$ (59 mg, 61%, reduced yield owing to product volatility): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +25.0$ (c 1.31, CHCl$_3$); no baseline separation of the two enantiomers by chiral HPLC.

Enantiomerically enriched 1-(2'-hydroxyethyl)phthalan $203$ was prepared from enantiomerically enriched 1-allylphthalan $202k$ (59 mg, 0.368 mmol), NaIO$_4$ (315 mg, 1.47 mmol), 2,6-lutidine (80 µL, 0.737 mmol), OsO$_4$ (a small crystal) and then NaBH$_4$ (70 mg, 1.84 mmol) in dioxane/H$_2$O (3:1, 370 µL). Work-up and purification by column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% EtOAc → 50% hexane, 50% EtOAc) afforded enantiomerically enriched alcohol $203$ (42 mg, 70%): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +??$ (c ???, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 26:74); Chiralpak AD, 1.0 mL/min, 0.5% IPA in hexane, $\lambda$ = 210 nm, $t_{R,\text{major}} = 13.8$ min, $t_{R,\text{minor}} = 15.3$ min.

1-Allyl-3,4,5-trihydro-2-benzoxepin $202l$ and 1-(1'-ethoxy-but-3'-ene)-2-(3''-(1'''-oxo-3''',4''',5'''-trihydro-2-benzoxepin)propyl)benzene $205$

![Chemical Structure](image1)

- **Chemical Formula**: $C_{13}H_{16}O$
- **Exact Mass**: 188.1201
- **Molecular Weight**: 188.2655
- **Elemental Analysis**: C, 82.94%; H, 8.57%; O, 8.50

![Chemical Structure](image2)

- **Chemical Formula**: $C_{25}H_{32}O_3$
- **Exact Mass**: 380.2351
- **Molecular Weight**: 380.5198
- **Elemental Analysis**: C, 78.91%; H, 8.48%; O, 12.61
Racemic 1-allylbenzoxepin 202l was prepared from 1-ethoxybenzoxepin 179l (100 mg, 0.52 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (125 µL, 0.78 mmol) and MeSO$_3$H (35 µL, 0.52 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O → 90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O) afforded, in order of elution, benzoxepin 202l as a colourless liquid (25 mg, 26%): $R_f = 0.33$ (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3075w, 2934m, 2846m, 1641w, 1490w, 1454m, 1335w, 1281w, 1096s, 1021m, 998m, 980m, 911s, 876w, 851w, 807w, 763s, 744s; $\delta_t$(300 MHz) 1.70-1.97 (2H, stack, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 2.61-2.81 (2H, stack, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 3.02 (2H, app. t, $J = 6.0$, CH$_2$H$_6$CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 3.82 (1H, ddd, $J = 12.1$, 11.2, 3.6, CH$_2$H$_6$O), 4.22 (1H, ddd, $J = 12.1$, 4.8, 2.7, CH$_2$H$_6$O), 4.65 (1H, dd, $J = 8.4$, 5.2, CHO), 5.08-5.23 (2H, stack, CH=C$_2$H$_5$), 5.99 (1H, ddt, $J = 17.1$, 10.3, 6.7, CH=CH$_2$), 7.12-7.25 (4H, stack, Ar); $\delta_c$(75 MHz) 29.5 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 33.9 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 38.5 (CH, CHO), 64.0 (CH$_2$, CHO), 67.7 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 72.2 (CH, CH$_2$O), 75.8 (CH$_2$, CHO), 116.7 (CH, CH=CH$_2$), 125.4 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 129.5 (CH, Ar), 135.6 (CH, Ar), 141.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 141.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 147 ($[M - C_3H_5]^+$, 100%), 129 (59), 115 (15), 91 (24); HRMS: C$_{10}$H$_{11}$O calcd 147.0810 ($[M - C_3H_5]^+$), obsd 147.0809; and then benzoxepin 205 (15 mg, 15%): $R_f = 0.23$ (90% hexane, 10% Et$_2$O); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3071w, 2930m, 1640w, 1454m, 1349m, 1281w, 1224w, 1140m, 1085s, 1020s, 964m, 946m, 913m, 876w, 852w, 747s; $\delta_t$(300 MHz) 1.13-1.19 (3H, m, OCH$_2$C$_3$H$_3$), 1.74-2.08 (4H, stack, 2 × CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 2.26-2.42 (1H, m, CH$_3$H$_6$CH=CH$_2$), 2.46-2.60 (1H, m, CH$_3$H$_6$CH=CH$_2$), 2.69-3.06 (4H, stack, 2 × CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$O), 3.23-3.43 (2H, stack, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.59 (1H, app. dt, $J = 8.1$, 4.7, CHO), 4.97-5.12 (2H, stack, CH=CH$_2$), 5.53 (1H, s, acetal CH), 5.75-5.92 (1H, m, CH=CH$_2$), 7.10-7.29 (6H, stack, ArH), 7.42-7.56 (2H, stack, ArH); $\delta_c$(100 MHz) 15.3 (CH$_3$, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 29.0 (CH$_2$), 29.5 (CH$_2$), 31.4 (CH$_2$), 34.2 (CH$_2$), 42.5 (CH$_2$), 64.0 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 67.7 (CH$_2$,
CH₂O), 68.4 (CH₂, CH₂O), 77.5 (CH, CH₂OEt), 103.5 (CH, acetal CH), 116.5 (CH₂, CH=CH₂), 126.1 (CH, 2 × Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH₂, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (CH, Ar), 129.4 (CH, Ar), 135.3 (CH, CH=CH₂), 139.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 140.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 403 ([M + Na]+, 100%); HRMS: C₂₅H₃₂O₃Na calcd 403.2249 ([M + Na]+), obsd 403.2240.

Asymmetric allylation:

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylbenzoxepin 202l was prepared from 1-ethoxybenzoxepin 179l (76.9 mg, 0.400 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.600 mmol) and the phosphoramidate catalyst (R)-45g (79.2 mg, 0.080 mmol) in o-xylene (267 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 48 h and work-up, purification by column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded traces of enantiomerically enriched 1-allylbenzoxepin 202l.

1-Allyl-3H-naphtho[1,8-cd]pyran 202m

Racemic 1-allylpyran 202m was prepared from 1-ethoxypyran 179m (100 mg, 0.47 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (110 µL, 0.70 mmol) and MeSO₃H (45 µL, 0.47 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.9 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded 1-allylpyran 202m as a colourless oil (94 mg, 96%): Rᵣ = 0.34 (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); δH(300 MHz) 2.76-2.97 (2H, stack, CH₂CH=CH₂),
5.02-5.33 (5H, stack, CH$_3$O, CHO, CH=CH$_2$), 6.08 (1H, ddt, J 17.1, 10.3, 6.8, CH=CH$_2$), 7.17-7.24 (1H, m, ArH), 7.25-7.32 (1H, m, ArH), 7.41-7.53 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.73-7.82 (2H, stack, ArH); $\delta$ (75 MHz) 38.3 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$), 66.7 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$O), 76.2 (CH, CHO), 117.3 (CH$_2$, CH=CH$_2$), 120.0 (CH, Ar), 120.1 (CH, Ar), 125.5 (CH, 2 × Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.6 (CH, CH=CH$_2$), 135.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 210 ([M]$^+$, 4%), 169 (100, [M – C$_3$H$_5$]$^+$), 141 (43), 115 (18); HRMS: C$_{15}$H$_{14}$O calcd 210.1025 ([M]$^+$), obsd 210.1046.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylpyran 202m was prepared from 1-ethoxy(pyran 179m (85.7 mg, 0.400 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.600 mmol) and the phosphoramide catalyst (R)-45g (59.4 mg, 0.060 mmol) in o-xylene (348 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 24 h and work-up, purification by column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et$_2$O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylpyran 202m (35 mg, 42%): $[\alpha]$$_D^{20}$ = +56.3 (c 0.67, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 31:69); Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, 10% IPA in hexane, $\lambda$ = 210 nm, $t_R$,$_{\text{minor}}$ = 5.4 min, $t_R$,$_{\text{major}}$ = 5.9 min.

**1-Allyl-1-methylisochroman 202n**

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202n was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179n (120 mg, 0.61 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (145 µL, 0.92 mmol) and MeSO$_3$H (40 µL, 0.61 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$
(1.2 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 99% hexane, 1% Et₂O → 90% hexane, 10% Et₂O) afforded, in order of elution, 1-allylisochroman 202n as a colourless oil (47 mg, 41%): \( R_f = 0.36 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \text{(film)/cm}^{-1} \) 3076w, 2975m, 2930m, 1639w, 1490m, 1447m, 1428m, 1372m, 1285m, 1159w, 1094s, 1058m, 1036m, 997m, 973w, 910s, 827w, 790w, 758s, 734s, 659m; \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (300 MHz) 1.51 (3H, s, \( \text{CH}_3 \)), 2.51 (1H, dd, \( J = 14.4, 7.7 \), CHaHbCH2O), 2.64-2.94 (3H, stack, CHaHbCH2O, CH2CH=CH2), 3.87-4.05 (2H, stack, CH2O), 5.00-5.12 (2H, stack, CH=C), 5.72-5.88 (1H, m, CH=CH2), 7.06-7.25 (4H, stack, Ar); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (75 MHz) 27.4 (CH3, C), 29.5 (CH2, CH2CH2O), 46.6 (CH2, CH2CH=CH2), 59.5 (CH2, CH2CH2O), 76.2 (quat. C, CO), 117.4 (CH2, CH=CH2), 125.4 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 133.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.1 (CH, CH=CH2), 141.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 147 ([M – C3H5]+, 100%), 129 (30); HRMS: C10H11O calcld 147.0810 ([M – C3H5]+), obsd 147.0811; and then a second product 204 whose structure was not determined as a colourless wax (39 mg, 38%, mixture of diastereoisomers): selected data: \( R_f = 0.15 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et₂O); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \text{(film)/cm}^{-1} \) 3073w, 3019w, 2928m, 2858w, 1489m, 1448m, 1427m, 1370m, 1341w, 1285m, 1188w, 1157w, 1094s, 1057m, 1037m, 986w, 910m, 811w, 755s, 735s, 674w; m/z (TOF ES+) 357 ([M + Na]+, 100%); HRMS: C23H26O2Na calcld 357.1831 ([M + Na]+), obsd 357.1838.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

EnantiomERICally enriched 1-allylisochroman 202n was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179n (76.9 mg, 0.400 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.600 mmol) and the phosphoramidite catalyst (R)-45g (59.4 mg, 0.060 mmol) in o-xylene (270 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 24 h and work-up, purification by column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et₂O) afforded enantiomERICally enriched 1-allylisochroman 202n (25 mg, 33%):
\[ \alpha \rangle_{D}^{21} = +43.4 \ (c \ 0.73, \ \text{CHCl}_3, \text{e.r.} \ 37:63); \text{Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, } \lambda = 210 \ \text{nm, } t_{R,\text{minor}} = 8.9 \ \text{min, } t_{R,\text{major}} = 10.9 \ \text{min.} \]

1-Allyl-1-phenylisochroman 202o

![Chemical structure of 1-Allyl-1-phenylisochroman 202o](image)

**Chemical Formula:** C_{18}H_{18}O  
**Exact Mass:** 250.1358  
**Molecular Weight:** 250.3349  
**Elemental Analysis:** C, 86.36; H, 7.25; O, 6.39

Racemic 1-allylisochroman 202o was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179o (60 mg, 0.24 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (55 µL, 0.35 mmol) and MeSO_3H (15 µL, 0.24 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) according to Method A. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane → 98% hexane, 2% Et_2O) afforded 1-allylisochroman 202o as a white crystalline solid (26 mg, 44%): mp 65-66 °C; \( R_f = 0.43 \) (90% hexane, 10% Et_2O); \( \nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 3064w, 2935w, 2914m, 2883w, 1488m, 1442m, 1429m, 1161w, 1097s, 1080m, 1069m, 1036m, 1013m, 994m, 973m, 942m, 920s, 886w, 849w, 759s, 733m, 701s, 671m; \( \delta_{\text{H}}(300 \ \text{MHz}) \) 2.62 (1H, app. dt, \( J = 16.4, 3.6, \ CH_2H_3CH_2O \)), 2.94 (1H, ddt, \( J = 15.7, 6.5, 2.0, \ CH_2H_3CH_2O \)), 3.01-3.15 (2H, stack, \( CH_2CH=CH_2 \)), 3.66 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.4, 10.8, 3.6, \ CH_2CH_2H_3O \)), 3.92 (1H, ddd, \( J = 11.4, 6.5, 2.0, \ CH_2CH_2H_3O \)), 4.94-5.11 (2H, stack, \( CH=CH_2 \)), 5.71 (1H, ddt, \( J = 17.2, 10.3, 6.7, \ CH=CH_2 \)), 7.14-7.42 (9H, stack, ArH); \( \delta_{C}(100 \ \text{MHz}) \) 29.0 (CH_2, CH_2CH_2O), 47.0 (CH_2, CH_2CH=CH_2), 60.0 (CH_2, CH_2O), 80.7 (quat. C, CO), 117.3 (CH_2, CH=CH_2), 125.4 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 127.2 (CH, Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 129.2 (CH, Ar), 134.4 (CH, CH=CH_2), 134.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 145.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 209 ([M – C_3H_3]^+, 100%), 194
(52), 165 (15), 103 (11), 77 (13); HRMS: C_{15}H_{13}O calcd 209.0966 ([M – C_3H_5]^+), obsd 209.0960.

**Asymmetric allylation:**

Enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202o was prepared from 1-ethoxyisochroman 179o (102 mg, 0.400 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (95 µL, 0.600 mmol) and the phosphoramidate catalyst ([R]-45g (59.4 mg, 0.060 mmol) in o-xylene (270 µL) according to Method B. After stirring for 24 h and work-up, purification by column chromatography (99% hexane, 1% Et_2O) afforded enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 202o (16 mg, 16%): [α]_D not recorded; e.r. 49:51; Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 100% hexane, λ = 210 nm, t_R,minor = 14.3 min, t_R,major = 16.2 min.

**1-(2’-Hydroxyethyl)isochroman 177[^151]**

![Chemical Structure of 1-(2’-Hydroxyethyl)isochroman 177]

Chemical Formula: C_{11}H_{14}O_2
Exact Mass: 178.0994
Molecular Weight: 178.2277
Elemental Analysis: C, 74.13; H, 7.92; O, 17.95

Racemic 1-(2’-hydroxyethyl)isochroman 177 was prepared by bubbling O_3 through a cooled (–78 °C) solution of 1-allylisochroman 163 (100 mg, 0.58 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2/MeOH (1:1, 6 mL) until a blue colour persisted. The excess O_3 was then removed by bubbling N_2 through the solution until the blue colour had dissipated. NaBH_4 (141 mg, 3.73 mmol) was added slowly over 2 min and the mixture was warmed to R.T. After stirring for 30 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of NH_4Cl solution (10 mL) (caution: gas formation!). Et_2O (10 mL) was added and the two phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with
Et₂O (2 × 5 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (10 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 95% hexane, 5% EtOAc → 60% hexane, 40% EtOAc) afforded alcohol 177 as a colourless viscous oil (70 mg, 68%): \( R_f = 0.15 \) (60% hexane, 40% EtOAc); \( \delta_H(300 \text{ MHz}) \) 1.98-2.30 (2H, stack, \( CH_2CH_2OH \)), 2.69 (1H, app. dt, \( J \) 16.2, 3.1, \( CH_aH_bCH_2O \)), 2.95 (1H, br s, \( OOH \)), 3.03 (1H, ddd, \( J \) 15.9, 10.0, 5.5, \( CH_aH_bCH_2O \)), 3.78 (1H, ddd, \( J \) 11.2, 10.0, 3.7, \( CH_aH_bH_bO \)), 3.85 (2H, t, \( J \) 5.1, \( CH_2CH_2OH \)), 4.17 (1H, ddd, \( J \) 11.2, 5.5, 3.1, \( CH_2CH_2H_bO \)), 4.99 (1H, dd, \( J \) 8.5, 1.7, \( CHO \)), 6.98-7.24 (4H, stack, \( ArH \)); \( \delta_C(100 \text{ MHz}) \) 28.9 (\( CH_2, CH_2CH_2O \)), 37.6 (\( CH_2, CH_2CH_2OH \) [60.8, 63.6 (\( CH_2, CH_2O \))], 76.0 (\( CH, CHO \)), 124.4 (\( CH, Ar \)), 126.2 (\( CH, Ar \)), 126.4 (\( CH, Ar \)), 128.9 (\( CH, Ar \)), 133.6 (quat. C, \( ipso Ar \)), 137.4 (quat. C, \( ipso Ar \)); m/z (El) 178 ([M]+, 6%), 133 (100, [M – \( CH_2CH_2OH \)]+), 115 (13), 105 (18), 77 (13).

Enantiomerically enriched 1-(2’-hydroxyethyl)isochroman 177 was prepared from enantiomerically enriched 1-allylisochroman 163 by a one-pot oxidative cleavage – reduction sequence. NaIO₄ (354 mg, 1.66 mmol), 2,6-lutidine (95 µL, 0.828 mmol) and OsO₄ (a small crystal) were added to a mixture of the starting material (72 mg, 0.414 mmol, e.r. 82:18) in dioxane/H₂O (3:1, 4 mL) at 0 ºC. After stirring at R.T. for 2 h, NaBH₄ (78 mg, 2.07 mmol) was added in small portions over a period of 2 min. The mixture was stirred for additional 10 min, and then quenched with NH₄Cl solution (8 mL) at 0 ºC. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (20 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product as a dark-red liquid. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 95%
hexane, 5% EtOAc → 60% hexane, 40% EtOAc) afforded alcohol 177 as a colourless\textsuperscript{10} viscous oil (57 mg, 77%): \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +102.2 (c 1.08, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{e.r.} 82:18)\); Chiralcel OD, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, \(\lambda = 210\text{ nm}\), \(t_{R,\text{major}} = 8.8\text{ min}\), \(t_{R,\text{minor}} = 10.1\text{ min}\); (lit.\textsuperscript{[152]} \([\alpha]_D^{28} = +43.5 (c 0.20, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{e.r.} 80:20))\).\textsuperscript{11}

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{[153]}

\textbf{4-Piperazin-1-yl-benzenesulfonamide 213\textsuperscript{[209]}}

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{piperazin-benzenesulfonamide}
\end{center}

A mixture of 4-fluorobenzenesulfonamide (1.00 g, 5.71 mmol) and piperazine (2.46 g, 28.5 mmol) in H\(_2\)O (12 mL) was heated at 100 °C for 24 h. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with H\(_2\)O (50 mL) and toluene (50 mL). The white solid was dried under reduced pressure to provide sulfonamide 213 (1.38 g, 95%); mp 217-219 °C (lit.\textsuperscript{[153]} mp 219-221 °C); \(\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{neat})/\text{cm}^{-1}\) 3307m, 3097m, 3069m, 2949m, 2929m, 2863w, 2824m, 1592m, 1496m, 1458m, 1446m, 1438m, 1413w, 1379m, 1330s, 1308m, 1294m, 1261w, 1229m, 1187w, 1152s, 1135s, 1122s, 1097s, 1041w, 1023m, 976w, 936w, 882s, 815s, 754s, 725m, 679s; \(\delta_{\text{H}}(300\text{ MHz, DMSO-}d_6)\) 2.31 (1H, br s, NH), 2.76-2.86 (4H, stack, CH\(_2\)N), 3.12-3.21 (4H, stack, CH\(_2\)N), 6.99 (2H, d, \(J 9.0, \text{Ar}H\), 7.05 (2H, br s, SO\(_2\)NH\(_2\)), 7.60 (2H, d, \(J 9.0, \text{Ar}H\)),

\textsuperscript{10} in total, two columns were carried out to obtain a pure colourless product

\textsuperscript{11} the (\(R\))-(+)-correlation has been established in\textsuperscript{[153]}
ArH); $\delta$(100 MHz) [45.4, 48.2 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$N)], 113.5 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 132.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 153.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 242.1 ([M + H]$^+$, 100%).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[209]}$

(R)-(+)\text{-}4\text{-}[4\text{-}[2\text{-}(\text{Isochroman}\text{-}1\text{-yl})\text{ethyl}]\text{piperazin}\text{-}1\text{-yl}]\text{benzenesulfonamide} (R)\text{-}212$^{[153]}$
809m, 758s, 688w, 652w; δ(300 MHz) 1.80-1.97 (1H, m, CH₃H₆), 2.02-2.18 (1H, m, CH₃H₆), 2.31-2.73 (7H, stack, 3 × CH₂N and ArCH₂H₆), 2.78-2.93 (1H, m, ArCH₂H₆), 3.19-3.31 (4H, stack, 2 × CH₂NAr), 3.60-3.73 (1H, m, CH₃H₆O), 3.98-4.09 (1H, m, CH₃H₆O), 4.76 (1H, app. d, J 7.2, CHO), 6.96-7.28 (8H, stack, 6 × ArH and SO₂NH₂), 7.61 (2H, d, J 8.7, ArH); δ(100 MHz) 28.5 (CH₂, CH₂), 32.6, (CH₂, ArCH₂), [47.1, 52.6 (CH₂, 2 × CH₂N)], 54.2 (CH₂, CH₂NAr), 62.2 (CH₂, CH₂O), 73.6 (CH, CHO), 113.6 (CH, Ar), 124.7 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 132.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 152.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 402.2 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS: C₂₁H₂₈N₂O₃S calcd 402.1851 ([M + H]⁺), obsd 402.1841.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[153]

(±)-2,2’-Dihydroxy-1,1’-binaphthyl rac-109[126]

(±)-2,2’-Dihydroxy-1,1’-binaphthyl rac-109 was prepared using a variation of a previously reported method:[125,126]

A solution of FeCl₃ (10.2 g, 62.9 mmol) in H₂O (90 mL) was added dropwise over 5 min to a solution of 2-naphthol (9.0 g, 62.4 mmol) in H₂O (1.2 L) at 100 °C. A further portion of 2-naphthol (9.0 g, 62.4 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at 100 °C before another solution of FeCl₃ (10.2 g, 62.9 mmol) in H₂O (90 mL) was added dropwise
over 5 min. These additions and time delays were repeated with further portions of 2-naphthol (3 × 9.0 g) and solutions of FeCl₃ (3 × 10.2 g in 90 mL H₂O). The reaction was stirred for a further 30 min at 100 °C before the brown precipitate was filtered off and washed with boiling H₂O (6 × 500 mL). The solid residue was dissolved in Et₂O (750 mL) and activated charcoal (30 g) was added. The suspension was heated at reflux for 3 h. Removal of the charcoal by filtration and concentration of the filtrate under reduced pressure provided the crude product as a pale brown solid. Recrystallisation from toluene afforded BINOL rac-109 as a fluffy white solid (34.4 g, 77%): mp 219-222 °C (lit.[¹²⁶] mp 216-218 °C); (Found: C, 83.77; H, 4.87. C₂₀H₁₄O₂ requires C, 83.90; H, 4.93%); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 3532s (OH), 3484s (OH), 3398s (OH), 1957w, 1618s (C=C aromatic), 1597s (C=C aromatic), 1517s (C=C aromatic), 1470m, 1436w, 1382s, 1345m, 1314m, 1272m, 1182w, 1144s, 1125m, 978w, 960w, 930m, 863m, 625s; δH(300 MHz, CD₃CN) 6.62 (2H, br s, OH), 7.00 (2H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 7.20-7.36 (6H, stack, ArH), 7.90 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.96 (2H, d, J 9.2, ArH); δC(75 MHz, CD₃CN) 114.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 119.3 (CH, Ar), 124.2 (CH, Ar), 125.1 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (CH, Ar), 130.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.1 (CH, Ar), 135.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (EI) 286 ([M]⁺, 100%), 257 (14), 239 (14), 120 (13).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[¹²⁶]

Resolution of (±)-2,2’-dihydroxy-1,1’-binaphthyl rac-109[¹²⁷]

![Resolution of (±)-2,2’-dihydroxy-1,1’-binaphthyl rac-109](image)
N-Benzylcinchonidinium chloride 111 (18.6 g, 44.2 mmol) was added to a solution of (±)-2,2′-dihydroxy-1,1′-binaphthyl rac-109 (23.0 g, 80.3 mmol) in CH₃CN (300 mL) and the resulting suspension was heated at reflux for 4 h. After cooling to R.T. and stirring for 12 h, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C, kept at this temperature for 2 h, and then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness, redissolved in EtOAc (300 mL), and washed with 1 M hydrochloric acid (2 × 100 mL) and then brine (100 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford (S)-BINOL (S)-109 as a light brown solid (10.90 g, 95%): [α]D²⁰ = −33.3 (c 1.0, THF, e.r. 0.4:99.6); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, λ = 280 nm, tₚ,minor = 28.2 min, tₚ,major = 32.8 min; (lit.[127] [α]D²¹ = −34.0 (c 1.0, THF, e.r. 0.5:99.5)). The solid was washed with CH₃CN (50 mL) and heated at reflux in MeOH (100 mL) for 24 h. After cooling to R. T., the mixture was filtered, and the solid was washed with MeOH (20 mL). The resulting solid was suspended in a mixture of EtOAc (300 mL) and 1 M hydrochloric acid (150 mL) and stirred until it was completely dissolved. The organic layer was washed with 1 M hydrochloric acid (150 mL) and then brine (150 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford (R)-BINOL (R)-109 as a white solid (10.92 g, 95%): [α]D²⁰ = +33.0 (c 1.0, THF, e.r. 99.5:0.5); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, λ = 280 nm, tₚ,minor = 29.0 min, tₚ,major = 32.2 min; (lit.[127] [α]D²¹ = +34.3 (c 1.0, THF, e.r. > 99.9:0.1).

(R)- and (S)-2,2′-Dimethoxy-1,1′-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-112

\[ 112 \]

Chemical Formula: C₂₂H₁₈O₂
Exact Mass: 314.307
Molecular Weight: 314.3771
Elemental Analysis:
C, 84.05; H, 5.77; O, 10.18

(R)-112  (S)-112
A suspension of (S)-BINOL (S)-109 (5.10 g, 17.8 mmol) in acetone (150 mL) was heated until all of the starting material had dissolved. K$_2$CO$_3$ (8.29, 60.0 mmol) and MeI (4.36 mL, 70.0 mmol) were added, and the mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h. After adding more MeI (1.87 mL, 30.0 mmol), the heating was continued for another 12 h. The mixture was cooled to R.T. and concentrated under reduced pressure to 1/5 of its volume. H$_2$O (160 mL) was added and the suspension was stirred for 8 h. The resulting solid was filtered, washed with H$_2$O (2 × 50 mL) and dried to afford (S)-dimethyl ether (S)-112 as a white powder (5.43 g, 97%). The crude product is usually used directly in the next step; however it can be further purified by recrystallisation from hexane/toluene (1:1) to afford the pure product as a cream-coloured powder (4.76 g, 85%): mp 227-230 °C (lit.[210] mp 222.9-227.0 °C); [α]$_D^{21}$ = −54.0 (c 0.43, CHCl$_3$);[12] (Found: C, 84.02; H, 5.86. C$_{22}$H$_{18}$O$_2$ requires C, 84.05; H, 5.77%); ν$_{max}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2838w, 1616m (C=C aromatic), 1589s (C=C aromatic), 1506s (C=C aromatic), 1459m, 1353w, 1264s, 1147m, 1131w, 1090s, 1062s, 1018m, 894w; δ$_H$(300 MHz) 3.76 (6H, s, OC$_3$H$_3$), 7.10 (2H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 7.16-7.34 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.46 (2H, d, J 9.2, ArH), 7.86 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.97 (2H, d, J 9.2, ArH); δ$_C$(75 MHz) 56.9 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 114.2 (CH, Ar), 119.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.5 (CH, Ar), 125.2 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 127.9 (CH, Ar), 129.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.4 (CH, Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (El) 314 ([M]$^+$, 100%), 268 (51), 239 (14), 237 (14), 199 (16), 181 (11), 163 (13), 119 (9), 69 (9).

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomer (R)-112: [α]$_D^{21}$ = +55.8 (c 0.43, CHCl$_3$) (lit.[210] [α]$_D^{21}$ = +54.9 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$).[12]

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[210]

---

[12] the ee-value could not be determined by chiral HPLC owing to poor resolution of the racemic mixture
(R)- and (S)-3,3’-Bis(dihydroxyborane)-2,2’-dimethoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-113

Chemical Formula: C_{22}H_{20}B_2O_6
Exact Mass: 402.1446
Molecular Weight: 402.0126
Elemental Analysis:
C, 65.73; H, 5.01; B, 5.38; O, 23.88

aBuLi (19.1 mL of a 2.50 M solution in hexane, 47.7 mmol) was added to a solution of TMEDA (7.15 mL, 47.7 mmol) in Et₂O (250 mL) at R.T. The solution was stirred for 30 min, and then (S)-2,2’-dimethoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (S)-112 (5.00 g, 15.9 mmol) was added in one portion, and the mixture was stirred for 3.5 h. The resulting light brown suspension was cooled to –78 °C, and B(OEt)_3 (18.9 mL, 111 mmol) was added over 15 min. After warming to R.T., the suspension was stirred overnight at R.T. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, 1 M hydrochloric acid (125 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred vigorously for 3.5 h at R.T. The organic layer was washed with 1 M hydrochloric acid (125 mL) and brine (125 mL), and then dried (Na_2SO_4). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. The pale yellow solid was recrystallised from cyclohexane/toluene (2:1) to afford (S)-boronic acid (S)-113 as a white powder (3.53 g, 55%): δ_H(300 MHz, CD₃CN) 3.37 (6H, s, OCH₃), 6.56 (4H, s, B(OH)₂), 7.07 (2H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 7.26-7.36 (2H, m, ArH), 7.44 (2H, app. t, J 7.0, ArH), 8.02 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 8.51 (2H, s, ArH); δ_C(75 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) 61.8 (CH₃, OCH₃), 124.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 129.6 (CH, Ar), 131.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.0 (CH, Ar), 161.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), (quat. C, ipso CB(OH)₂) not observed because peak is too broad due to adjacent quadrupole boron
nucleus ($^{11}$B: I = 3/2) resulting in the resonance being lost in the noise; m/z (TOF ES+) 481
([M – 4 × OH + 4 × OMe + Na]$^+$, 100%).

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the ($R$)-enantiomer ($R$)-113.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[128,211,212]}$

(R)-3,3’-Diodo-2,2’-dimethoxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl ($R$)-114$^{[130]}

$^{n}$BuLi (28.6 mL of a 2.5 M solution in hexane, 71.6 mmol) was added to a solution of
TMEDA (5.40 g, 35.8 mmol) in Et$_2$O (250 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min before
adding the solid dimethoxy binaphthalenyl ($R$)-112 (7.50 g, 23.9 mmol). After stirring
overnight at R.T., the mixture was cooled to –78 °C and a solution of I$_2$ (15.1 g, 59.6 mmol)
in THF (30 mL) was added dropwise over 15 min via syringe. The reaction mixture was
stirred for 1 h at –78 °C, and then warmed up to R.T. overnight. Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ solution (150 mL)
was added and stirring was continued for 2 h. The layers were separated, and the aqueous
phase was extracted with Et$_2$O/THF (1:1, 3 × 80 mL). The combined organic fractions were
washed with Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ solution (100 mL), H$_2$O (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), and then dried
(MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under
reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Et$_2$O (30 mL) was added, and the suspension
was stirred vigorously for 1 h. Filtration provided a yellow powder. Recrystallisation from Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (3:2) afforded diiodo binaphthalenyl (R)-114 as a cream-coloured solid (9.07 g, 67%): δ_H(300 MHz) 3.41 (6H, s, OCH₃), 7.07 (2H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 7.22-7.31 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.37-7.45 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.80 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 8.54 (2H, s, ArH); δ_C(75 MHz) 61.1 (CH₃, OCH₃), 92.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.6 (CH, Ar), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 126.9 (CH, Ar), 127.0 (CH, Ar), 132.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.9 (CH, Ar), 154.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 589.0 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.¹³⁰

(R)-2,2’-Dihydroxy-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-H₈-115¹³¹

PtO₂ (286 mg, 1.26 mmol) was added to a solution of (R)-BINOL (R)-109 (3.00 g, 10.5 mmol) in AcOH (84 mL). The atmosphere of the flask was purged under vacuum and flushed with H₂ three times. The reaction mixture was stirred under a balloon pressure of H₂ for 48 h and then filtered through a bed of Celite, washing with CHCl₃ (150 mL) and H₂O (200 mL). The layers were separated and the organic phase was washed with H₂O (150 mL), NaHCO₃ solution (300 mL) and brine (300 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude diol (R)-H₈-115 as a white solid (3.19 g, quantitative), which was sufficiently pure for
characterisation and further use: mp 164-166 °C (lit.[213] mp 165-166 °C); \([\alpha]_D^{19} = +49.6 \text{ (c } 1.00, \text{ CHCl}_3\) (lit.[213] \([\alpha]_D^{26} = +47.1 \text{ (c } 1.04, \text{ CHCl}_3\)); \(\delta_\text{H}(300 \text{ MHz}) 1.56-1.81 \text{ (8H, stack, CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)), 2.08-2.36 (4H, stack, ArCH\_2\text{), 2.67-2.80 (4H, stack, ArCH\_2\text{), 4.66 (2H, s, OH), 6.81 (2H, d, } J 8.5, \text{ ArH})\text{, 7.04 (2H, d, } J 8.5, \text{ ArH); \(\alpha\text{ (75 MHz) 22.92 (CH}_2\text{, CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)), 22.98 (CH}_2\text{, CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)}, 27.1 (CH}_2\text{, ArCH\_2\text{), 29.2 (CH}_2\text{, ArCH\_2\text{), 112.9 (CH, Ar), 118.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.1 (CH, Ar), 137.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 151.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES–) 293 ([M – H]\text{), 100%).}

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[131]

(R)-3,3’-Dibromo-2,2’-dihydroxy-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-116[132]

Br\text{2 (150 } \mu\text{L, 2.89 mmol) was added to a solution of (R)-H\text{8-BINOL (R)-H\_8-115 (370 mg, 1.26 mmol) in CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 (12.5 mL) at –30 °C. After stirring for 30 min at –30 °C, the brown solution was warmed to –10 °C and Na\text{2S}_2\text{O}_3 solution (15 mL) was added. The mixture was warmed to R.T. and diluted with CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 (15 mL) and H\text{2O (15 mL). The layers were separated and the organic phase was washed with NaHCO}_3 solution (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), and then dried (MgSO}_4). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography...
(90% hexane, 10% Et₂O) afforded diol (R)-H₈-116 as a white crystalline solid (0.467 g, 82%):
mp 125-127 °C (lit. [213] mp 142-143 °C); [α]D° = +24.4 (c 1.0, CHCl₃) (lit. [213] [α]D° = +29.2 (c 1.05, CHCl₃); δH(300 MHz) 1.56-1.78 (8H, stack, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.00-2.15 (2H, m, ArCH₃H₃), 2.21-2.36 (2H, m, ArCH₃H₃), 2.65-2.80 (4H, stack, ArCH₂), 5.01 (2H, s, OH), 7.27 (2H, s, ArH); δC(75 MHz) 22.7 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 26.9 (CH₂, ArCH₂), 29.0 (CH₂, ArCH₂), 107.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 122.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.5 (CH, Ar), 136.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 147.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar);
m/z (TOF ES+) 475 ([M + Na]+, 100%).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature. [213]

(R)-2,2’-Dimethoxy-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-H₈-117 [133]

(R)-2,2’-Dimethoxy-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-H₈-117 was prepared employing the procedure used for the methylation of BINOL: [128,129]

A suspension of (R)-H₈-BINOL (R)-H₈-115 (3.08 g, 10.5 mmol) in acetone (100 mL) was heated until all of the starting material had dissolved. K₂CO₃ (7.24 g, 52.4 mmol) and MeI (2.45 mL, 39.4 mmol) were added, and the mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h. After adding more MeI (2.45 mL, 39.4 mmol), heating was continued for another 12 h. The mixture was cooled to R.T. and concentrated under reduced pressure to 1/5 of its volume. H₂O (120
mL) was added and the suspension was stirred for 8 h. The resulting solid was filtered, washed with H2O (2 × 50 mL) and dissolved in CH2Cl2 (150 mL). Brine (50 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The organic phase was dried (MgSO4). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product in quantitative yield. Recrystallisation from toluene/hexane (1:1) afforded (R)-H8-117 as a white crystalline solid (2.91 g, 85%): \( \delta_H \) (300 MHz) 1.56-1.80 (8H, stack, CH2CH2CH2CH2), 2.01-2.15 (2H, m, ArCHaHb), 2.20-2.34 (2H, m, ArCHaHb), 2.68-2.87 (4H, stack, ArCH2), 3.38 (6H, s, OCH3), 6.79 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.07 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH); \( \delta_C \) (75 MHz) 23.1 (CH2, CH2CH2CH2CH2), 23.2 (CH2, CH2CH2CH2CH2), 27.1 (CH2, ArCH2), 29.4 (CH2, ArCH2), 55.9 (CH3, OCH3), 108.7 (CH, Ar), 125.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 129.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 345 ([M + Na]+, 100%).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[133]

(R)-3,3’-Dibromo-2,2’-dimethoxy-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-H8-118[131]

Br2 (990 µL, 19.3 mmol) was added to a solution of (R)-H8-BINOL derivative (R)-H8-117 (2.70 g, 8.37 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (85 mL) at –30 °C. After stirring for 30 min at –30 °C,
Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ solution (70 mL) was added and the mixture was warmed to R.T. over 1 h. CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (30 mL) and H$_2$O (30 mL) were added and the layers were separated. The organic phase was washed with NaHCO$_3$ solution (40 mL), H$_2$O (40 mL) and brine (20 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product (R)-H$_8$-118 as a cream-white solid (4.01 g, quantitative), which was sufficiently pure for characterisation and further use: mp 138-140 °C; [\(\alpha\)]$_D$ = –20.8 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $\delta$H (300 MHz) 1.53-1.79 (8H, stack, CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$), 1.98-2.13 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$H$_6$), 2.18-2.36 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$H$_6$), 2.65-2.83 (4H, stack, ArCH$_2$), 3.57 (6H, s, OCH$_3$), 7.32 (2H, s, ArH); $\delta$C (75 MHz) 22.68 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$), 22.71 (CH$_2$, CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$), 27.4 (CH$_2$, ArCH$_2$), 29.2 (CH$_2$, ArCH$_2$), 60.5 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 113.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.0 (CH, Ar), 134.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 151.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 503 ([M + Na]$^+$, 100%).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[133]}$

(R)-3,3’-Diiodo-2,2’-dimethoxy-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-H$_8$-119$^{[133]}$

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Chemical Formula: } & C_{22}H_{24}I_2O_2 \\
\text{Exact Mass: } & 573.9866 \\
\text{Molecular Weight: } & 574.2337 \\
\text{Elemental Analysis: } C, 46.02; H, 4.21; I, 44.20; O, 5.57
\end{align*} \]

iBuLi (10.4 mL of a 1.7 M solution in pentane, 17.7 mmol) was added to a solution of binaphthalenyl (R)-H$_8$-117 (2.00 g, 4.16 mmol) in Et$_2$O (100 mL) at –78 °C. The orange
mixture was warmed to 0 °C over 30 min, after which time, a solution of I\textsubscript{2} (2.43 g, 9.58 mmol) in Et\textsubscript{2}O (20 mL) was added. After warming up to R.T. overnight, the mixture was poured into Na\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} solution (100 mL) and stirred for 2 h. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et\textsubscript{2}O (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with H\textsubscript{2}O (150 mL) and brine (150 mL), and then dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (70% hexane, 30% toluene) afforded binaphthalenyl (R)-H\textsubscript{8}-119 as a white solid (1.69 g, 71%): mp 123-125 °C; \(R_f = 0.23\) (70% hexane, 30% toluene); \([\alpha]_D^{20} = -50.4\) (c 1.0, CHCl\textsubscript{3}); \(\delta_h(300 \text{ MHz}) 1.57-1.78\) (8H, stack, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.01-2.14 (2H, m, ArCH\textsubscript{a}H\textsubscript{b}), 2.20-2.34 (2H, m, ArCH\textsubscript{a}H\textsubscript{b}), 2.68-2.79 (4H, stack, ArCH\textsubscript{2}), 3.49 (6H, s, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 7.56 (2H, s, ArH); \(\delta_c(75 \text{ MHz}) 22.6\) (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 22.7 (CH\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 27.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}, ArCH\textsubscript{2}), 29.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}, ArCH\textsubscript{2}), 60.4 (CH\textsubscript{3}, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 88.3 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 131.4 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 135.5 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 137.2 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar), 139.1 (CH, Ar), 154.3 (quat. C, \textit{ipso} Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 597.0 ([M + Na]\textsuperscript{+}, 100%).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature\textsuperscript{[133]}. 
(R)- and (S)-3,3’-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-2,2’-dimethoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-123 and (R)- and (S)-3-(4-methylphenyl)-2,2’-dimethoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-124 were prepared using a previously reported general procedure for the formation of different 3,3’-bis-aryl-2,2’-dimethoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl compounds.\textsuperscript{128}

4-Bromotoluene (0.370 mL, 3.00 mmol), Ba(OH)$_2$ (946 mg, 3.00 mmol) and Pd(PPh)$_3$\textsubscript{4} (46 mg, 0.04 mmol) were added to a solution of bis-boronic acid (S)-113 (402 mg, 1.00 mmol) in a mixture of degassed dioxane/H$_2$O (3:1, 8 mL). The mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h and then cooled to room temperature. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting grey residue was redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (25 mL) and 1 M hydrochloric acid (25 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1 M hydrochloric acid (25 mL) and brine (25 mL),
and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (60% hexane, 40% toluene) afforded, in order of elution, bis-coupled product (S)-123 as a white foamy solid (300 mg, 61%): mp 204-207 °C; Rf = 0.40 (60% hexane, 40% toluene); [α]D²₀ = +19.2 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); (Found: C, 87.59; H, 6.27. C₃₆H₃₀O₂ requires C, 87.42; H, 6.11%); ν_max(film)/cm⁻¹ 3054m, 3012m, 2934m, 2863w, 1907w, 1806w, 1620w (C=C aromatic), 1591w (C=C aromatic), 1514s (C=C aromatic), 1492m, 1456s, 1398s, 1352m, 1330w, 1249s, 1186w, 1172w, 1148m, 1139w, 1131w, 1112w, 1079w, 1039s, 1016s, 979m, 954w, 936w, 916m, 893m, 856w, 822s, 691w, 638w, 615m, 573w; δ_H (300 MHz) 2.44 (6H, s, ArCH₃), 3.22 (6H, s, OCH₃), 7.23-7.32 (8H, stack, ArH), 7.38-7.45 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.70 (4H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.92 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.98 (2H, s, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz) 21.2 (CH₃, ArCH₃), 60.4 (CH₃, OCH₃), 124.9 (CH, Ar), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 127.9 (CH, Ar), 129.0 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (CH, Ar), 130.3 (CH, Ar), 130.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 517.3 ([M + Na]^+ 100%), 495.3 (55, [M]^+); HRMS: C₃₆H₃₀O₂Na calcd 517.2144 ([M + Na]^+), obsd 517.2161; and after increasing the polarity of the eluent (40% hexane, 60% toluene), mono-coupled product (S)-124 as a white foamy solid (73 mg, 18%): mp 90-92 °C; Rf = 0.20 (40% hexane, 60% toluene); [α]D²₂ = −103.4 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ν_max(film)/cm⁻¹ 3055m, 3009m, 2935m, 2838w, 1622m (C=C aromatic), 1594m (C=C aromatic), 1511s (C=C aromatic), 1456s, 1432w, 1398m, 1356m, 1334m, 1267s, 1249s, 1181w, 1147m, 1131w, 1112w, 1089s, 1057m, 1037m, 1018s, 973w, 954w, 924w, 901m, 894m, 865w, 822s, 808m, 706w, 689w, 609m; δ_H (300 MHz) 2.46 (3H, s, ArCH₃), 3.17 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH₃), 7.16-7.46 (8H, stack, ArH), 7.51 (1H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.72 (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH), 7.93 (2H, t, J 7.9, ArH), 7.98-8.07 (2H, stack, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz) 21.2 (CH₃, ArCH₃), 56.5 (CH₃, OCH₃), 60.3 (CH₃, ArCH₃).
OCH₃), 113.6 (CH, Ar), 119.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.5 (CH, Ar), 124.8 (CH, Ar), 125.3 (CH, Ar), 125.5 (CH, Ar), 125.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 127.87 (CH, Ar), 127.94 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, tolyl Ar), 129.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.2 (CH, tolyl Ar), 129.5 (CH, Ar), 130.1 (CH, Ar), 130.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 427 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS: C₂₉H₄₂O₂Na calcd 427.1674 ([M + Na]⁺), obsd 427.1681.

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomers (R)-123: [α]D²⁰ = −19.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); and (R)-124: [α]D²¹ = +100.8 (c 1.0, CHCl₃).

(R)- and (S)-3,3’-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-125

(R)- and (S)-3,3’-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-125 were prepared using a previously reported general procedure for the deprotection of different 3,3’-bis-aryl-2,2’-dimethoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl compounds.[128]

A solution of BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 M, 5.90 mL, 5.90 mmol) was added to a solution of bis-ether (S)-123 (587 mg, 1.19 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) at 0 °C and the mixture was warmed
to R.T. overnight. H$_2$O (4 mL) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was poured into CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O (1:1, 200 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the layers were separated and the organic fraction was washed with brine (150 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (80% hexane, 20% Et$_2$O) afforded diol (S)-125 as a white foamy solid (537 mg, 97%): mp 115-125 °C; $R_f$ = 0.33 (80% hexane, 20% Et$_2$O); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{23} = -58.4$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 100:0); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, $\lambda = 254$ nm, $t_R = 22.0$ min; (Found: C, 87.24; H, 5.64. C$_{34}$H$_{26}$O$_2$ requires C, 87.52; H, 5.62%); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3519s (OH), 3056m, 3016m, 2921w (CH$_3$), 2866w (OCH$_3$), 1907w, 1802w, 1621m (C=C aromatic), 1597m (C=C aromatic), 1514m (C=C aromatic), 1498m, 1436s, 1403s, 1384m, 1360m, 1335w, 1318m, 1292w, 1238s, 1197w, 1170m, 1147m, 1128s, 1066m, 1022m, 996m, 973w, 951w, 933w, 899m, 879w, 820s, 691m, 638w, 614m; $\delta_H$ (300 MHz) 2.45 (6H, s, ArCH$_3$), 5.38 (2H, s, OH), 7.21-7.45 (10H, stack, ArH), 7.65 (4H, d, J 7.7, ArH), 7.93 (2H, d, J 7.7, ArH), 8.03 (2H, s, ArH); $\delta_C$ (75 MHz) 21.2 (CH$_3$, ArCH$_3$), 112.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.2 (CH, Ar), 124.3 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 129.2 (CH, Ar), 129.4 (CH, Ar), 130.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.1 (CH, Ar), 132.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 137.5 (quat. C, 2 $\times$ ipso Ar), 150.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar); $m/z$ (TOF ES+) 489.2 ([M + Na]$^+$, 100%); HRMS: C$_{34}$H$_{26}$O$_2$Na calcd 489.1831 ([M + Na]$^+$), obsd 489.1825.

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomer: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +55.8$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 2:98); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, $\lambda = 254$ nm, $t_{R,\text{minor}} = 22.7$ min, $t_{R,\text{major}} = 27.4$ min.
(S)-3,3’-Bis-(3”,5”-di(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2,2’-dimethoxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (S)-127 and (S)-3-(3”,5”-di(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2,2’-dimethoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (S)-128

3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl) iodobenzene (490 µL, 2.75 mmol) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (63 mg, 0.055 mmol) were added sequentially to a solution of bis-boronic acid (S)-113 (368 mg, 0.915 mmol) and Na₂CO₃ (291 mg, 2.75 mmol) in degassed DME/EtOH/H₂O (5.5:1.0:1.4, 7.9 mL). The mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h. After cooling to R.T., the solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL). Hydrochloric acid (1 M, 25 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with H₂O (30 mL) and brine (30 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product as a pale yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane →
80% hexane, 20% toluene) afforded, in order of elution, bis-ether (S)-127 as a white fluffy solid (330 mg, 49%, 7:1 impurity of Ph₃P=O): \( R_f = 0.35 \) (80% hexane, 20% toluene); \([\alpha]_D\) was not determined; \( \nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 3061m, 3012m, 2941m, 2836w, 1955w, 1806w, 1621m (C=C aromatic), 1594m (C=C aromatic), 1496m, 1464m, 1444m, 1406m, 1378s, 1353w, 1327m, 1279s, 1248m, 1174s br, 1134s br, 1108w, 1085m, 1048s, 1034w, 1018m, 998m, 960m, 924m, 893s, 870w, 845s, 707s, 682s, 669m, 620m; \( \delta_\text{H}(300 \text{ MHz}) \) 3.21 (6H, s, OC\( \text{CH}_3 \)), 7.27 (2H, d, \( J = 8.5 \), ArH), 7.31-7.55 (4H, stack, ArH and ArH from 12.5 mol% Ph₃P=O), 7.94 (2H, s, ArH), 8.00 (2H, d, \( J = 8.1 \), ArH), 8.07 (2H, s, ArH), 8.28 (4H, s, ArH); \( \delta_\text{C}(75 \text{ MHz}) \) 60.9 (CH\( \text{CH}_3 \), O\( \text{CH}_3 \)), 121.1 (CH, septet, \( ^3J_{\text{C-F}} \) 4.0, Ar), 123.4 (quat. C, q, \( ^1J_{\text{C-F}} \) 271.0, ArCF\(_3 \)), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 125.8 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 129.5-129.8 (CH, m, Ar), 130.7 (quat. C, 2 \( \times \) ipso Ar), 131.1 (CH, Ar), 131.8 (quat. C, q, \( ^2J_{\text{C-F}} \) 33.1, ipso Ar), 134.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 140.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 153.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \( \delta_\text{F}(282 \text{ MHz}) \) –63.09 (s, ArCF\(_3 \)); m/z (TOF ES+) 760.9 ([M + Na]+, 100%); HRMS: C\(_{38}\)H\(_{22}\)O\(_2\)F\(_{12}\)Na calcld 761.1326 ([M + Na]+), obsd 761.1335; and then mono-ether (S)-128 as a white foamy solid (50 mg, 10%): mp 145-148 °C; \( R_f = 0.15 \) (80% hexane, 20% toluene); \([\alpha]_D\) was not determined; \( \nu_{\text{max}}(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1} \) 2937w, 2840w, 1622m (C=C aromatic), 1594m (C=C aromatic), 1510m, 1463m, 1444w, 1432w, 1377s, 1355m, 1326m, 1279s, 1249m, 1181s br, 1139s br, 1107w, 1090m, 1061m, 1038m, 1021m, 990w, 929w, 902m, 892m, 845m, 809m, 708m, 682m; \( \delta_\text{H}(300 \text{ MHz}) \) 3.71 (3H, s, OC\( \text{CH}_3 \)), 3.86 (3H, s, OC\( \text{CH}_3 \)), 7.17-7.55 (7H, stack, ArH), 7.88-8.10 (5H, stack, ArH), 8.30 (2H, s, ArH); \( \delta_\text{C}(75 \text{ MHz}) \) 56.5 (CH\( \text{CH}_3 \), O\( \text{CH}_3 \)), 60.6 (CH\( \text{CH}_3 \), O\( \text{CH}_3 \)), 113.4 (CH, Ar), 118.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 120.9 (CH, septet, \( ^3J_{\text{C-F}} \) 4.0, Ar), 123.5 (quat. C, q, \( ^1J_{\text{C-F}} \) 271.0, ArCF\(_3 \)), 123.7 (CH, Ar), 125.0 (CH, Ar), 125.5 (CH, Ar), 125.6 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 126.8 (CH, Ar), 127.0 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.3-129.7 (CH, m, Ar), 130.0 (CH, Ar), 130.4 (CH, Ar), 130.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, q, \( ^2J_{\text{C-F}} \) 33.1, ipso Ar), 132.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.9
(quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 141.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 153.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); $\delta_F$(282 MHz) –62.99 (s, ArCF$_3$); m/z (TOF ES+) 549.0 ([M + Na]$^+$, 100%); HRMS: C$_{30}$H$_{20}$O$_2$F$_6$Na calcd 549.1265 ([M + Na]$^+$), obsd 549.1274.

(S)-3,3’-Bis-(3’’,5’’-di(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (S)-126$^{[135]}$

A solution of BBr$_3$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1.0 M, 1.60 mL, 1.60 mmol) was added to a solution of bis-ether (S)-127 (295 mg, 0.399 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (13 mL) at 0 °C and the mixture was warmed to R.T. overnight. H$_2$O (5 mL) was slowly added over a period of 2 min at 0 °C and the mixture was poured into CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O (1:1, 30 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the layers were separated and the organic fraction was washed with brine (10 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% hexane $\rightarrow$ 80% hexane, 20% toluene) afforded diol (S)-126 as a white fluffy solid (275 mg, 97%): mp 212-215 °C; $R_f$ = 0.20 (80% hexane, 20% toluene); $[\alpha]_D^{19}$ = –51.2 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$) (lit.$^{[134]}$) (R)-enantiomer: $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ = +45.3 (c 1.06, CHCl$_3$); $\nu_{\max}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3534s (OH),
3064m, 1809w, 1621m (C=C aromatic), 1598m (C=C aromatic), 1503m (C=C aromatic), 1473m, 1463m, 1427m, 1378s, 1359m, 1336m, 1279s, 1236m, 1174s br, 1135s br, 1036m, 1022m, 990m, 954w, 936m, 896s, 872m, 845m, 705s, 682m; \( \delta_H (300 \text{ MHz}) 5.41 \) (2H, s, OH), 7.26 (2H, d, J 7.4, ArH), 7.40-7.54 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.94 (2H, br s, ArH), 8.02 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 8.14 (2H, br s, ArH), 8.27 (4H, br s, ArH); \( \delta_C (75 \text{ MHz}) 111.8 \) (quat. C, ipso Ar), 121.3 (CH, septet, \( ^3J_{C-F} 4.0 \), Ar), 123.4 (quat. C, q, \( ^1J_{C-F} 271.0 \), ArCF\(_3\)), 124.0 (CH, Ar), 125.2 (CH, Ar), 127.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 129.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.7-130.1 (CH, m, Ar), 131.6 (quat. C, q, \( ^2J_{C-F} 32.8 \), ipso Ar), 132.3 (CH, Ar), 133.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 139.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 149.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \( \delta_F (282 \text{ MHz}) -63.13 \) (s, ArCF\(_3\)); m/z (TOF ES–) 709 ([M – H]–, 100%); HRMS: C\(_{36}\)H\(_{57}\)O\(_2\)F\(_12\) calcd 709.1037 ([M – H]–), obsd 709.1030.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature\(^{[134]}\).

\((R)-3,3’-\text{Bis-(2”,4”,6”-triisopropylphenyl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl} \quad (R)-129\)^\(^{[136]}\)

\[\begin{array}{c}
\text{Chemical Formula: C}_{50}\text{H}_{58}\text{O}_2 \\
\text{Exact Mass: 690.4437} \\
\text{Molecular Weight: 690.9943} \\
\text{Elemental Analysis: C, 86.91; H, 8.46; O, 4.63}
\end{array}\]

\(^a\)BuLi (500 \( \mu \text{L} \) of a 2.5 M solution in hexane, 1.24 mmol) was added to a solution of 1-bromo-2,4,6-triisopropylbenzene (310 \( \mu \text{L}, 1.22 \text{ mmol} \) in THF (0.8 mL) at –78 °C. After stirring for
20 min, a solution of ZnBr$_2$ (320 mg, 1.42 mmol) in THF (1.4 mL) was added at -78 °C via cannula. The mixture was warmed to R.T. over 5 h, and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure (~ 10 mmHg) and the colourless oil was dried under reduced pressure (~ 10 mmHg) for 2 h. THF (4.2 mL), diiodo binaphthalenyl (R)-114 (300 mg, 0.530 mmol) and Pd(P$^t$Bu$_3$)$_2$ (2.7 mg, 5.3 µmol) were added, and the resulting mixture was heated at 60 °C overnight. After cooling to R.T., Et$_2$O (15 mL) and hydrochloric acid (1 M, 10 mL) were added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et$_2$O (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with H$_2$O (15 mL) and brine (15 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product which was redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (15 mL). A solution of BBr$_3$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1.0 M, 2.65 mL, 2.65 mmol) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was warmed to R.T. overnight. H$_2$O (5 mL) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was poured into CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O (1:1, 30 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the layers were separated and the organic fraction was washed with brine (10 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% toluene → 70% hexane, 30% toluene) afforded diol (R)-129 as a white fluffy solid (194 mg, 53% over two steps): mp 128-131 °C; $R_f = 0.23$ (70% hexane, 30% toluene); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +71.8$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$) (lit.$^{[136]}$ $[\alpha]_{D}^{27} = +88.8$ (c 3.03, THF); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3522s (OH), 2961s, 2927w, 2869m, 1604m (C=C aromatic), 1497m (C=C aromatic), 1460s, 1423s, 1383m, 1362m, 1318m, 1257m, 1171m, 1148m, 1016m, 1067m, 994w, 936m, 896m, 879m, 855m, 692m; $\delta_{H}$(300 MHz) 1.10 (6H, d, $J = 7.0$, 2 × CH$_3$ of $^3$Pr), 1.16 (6H, d, $J = 6.6$, 2 × CH$_3$ of $^3$Pr), 1.18 (6H, d, $J = 7.0$, 2 × CH$_3$ of $^3$Pr), 1.27 (6H, d, $J = 6.6$, 2 × CH$_3$ of $^3$Pr), 1.38 (12H, d, $J = 7.0$, 4 × CH$_3$ of $^3$Pr), 2.77 (2H, septet, $J = 6.7$, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.92 (2H, septet, $J = 6.7$, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 3.03 (2H, septet, $J = 6.7$, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 5.00 (2H, s, OH), 7.16-7.24 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.33-7.48 (6H, stack, ArH), 7.84 (2H, s, ArH), 7.93 (2H, d, $J = 7.7$, ArH); $\delta_{C}$(75 MHz) [23.7, 23.9, 24.0, 24.1,
24.29, 24.32 (CH$_3$, 3 × CH(CH$_3$)(CH$_3$)), [30.8, 30.9, 34.3 (CH, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$)], 113.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 121.15 (CH, Ar), 121.21 (CH, Ar), 123.8 (CH, Ar), 124.5 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 129.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.6 (CH, Ar), 133.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 147.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 147.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 149.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 150.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 713.5 ([M + Na]$^+$, 100%);

HRMS: C$_{50}$H$_{58}$O$_2$Na calcd 713.4335 ([M + Na]$^+$), obsd 713.4343.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[136]}$

**9-Anthracene boronic acid 121$^{[137]}$**

[B(OH)$_2$

Chemical Formula: C$_{14}$H$_{11}$BO$_2$

Exact Mass: 222.0852

Molecular Weight: 222.0469

Elemental Analysis: C, 75.73; H, 4.99; B, 4.87; O, 14.41

$n$BuLi (2.50 mL of a 2.36 M solution in hexane, 5.83 mmol) was added to a solution of 9-bromoanthracene (1.00 g, 3.89 mmol) in THF (32 mL) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, over which time, the pale brown solution turned orange. B(OMe)$_3$ (650 µL, 5.83 mmol) was added dropwise over 2 min, and the mixture was warmed to R.T. overnight. Hydrochloric acid (2 M, 30 mL) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred at R.T. for 3 h. The layers were separated, and the THF was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with NaHCO$_3$ solution (30 mL) and brine (30 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product 121 as a red-orange powder (900 mg, quantitative), which was used without further purification and characterisation.
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General procedure for the formation of binaphthalenyl diols (R)-H8-122, (R)-130 and (R)-133-136\(^{[134]}\)

The diiodo binaphthalenyl starting material (3.53 mmol), the boronic acid (14.1 mmol) and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (3.03 g, 28.2 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of degassed toluene/EtOH/H\(_2\)O (2:1:1, 58 mL). Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\) (404 mg, 0.35 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h. After cooling to R.T., the solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was dissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (100 mL). Hydrochloric acid (1 M, 100 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (2 × 30 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with H\(_2\)O (50 mL) and brine (50 mL), and then dried (MgSO\(_4\)). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product, which was redissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (125 mL). A solution of BBr\(_3\) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (1.0 M, 17.7 mL, 17.7 mmol) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was warmed to R.T. overnight. H\(_2\)O (20 mL) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was poured into CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O (1:1, 200 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the layers were separated and the organic fraction was washed with brine (100 mL), and then dried (MgSO\(_4\)). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude diol product, which was purified by flash column chromatography.
(R)-3,3’-Bis-(9”-anthracenyl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-130

Chemical Formula: C_{48}H_{30}O_{2}
Exact Mass: 638.2246
Molecular Weight: 638.7506
Elemental Analysis: C, 90.26; H, 4.73; O, 5.01

Diol (R)-130 was prepared by Suzuki coupling of (R)-3,3’-diiodo-2,2’-dimethoxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-114 (400 mg, 0.707 mmol) with crude 9-anthracene boronic acid (628 mg, 2.82 mmol), followed by demethylation with BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 M, 2.80 mL, 2.80 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (30% hexane, 70% toluene) afforded diol (R)-130 as a pale brown solid (420 mg, 93% over two steps): mp >330 °C; Rf = 0.20 (30% hexane, 70% toluene); [α]_{D}^{20} = +193.2 (c 1.0, CHCl₃);
ν_{max}(neat)/cm^{-1} 3529s (OH), 3053w, 3009w, 2926w, 1624m (C=C aromatic), 1498m, 1441s, 1401w, 1380w, 1355m, 1333w, 1247s, 1193s, 1148s, 1094m, 1014m, 947m, 931w, 890s, 850s, 796m, 787m, 777w, 736s; δ_{H}(300 MHz) 5.09 (2H, s, OH), 7.22-7.31 (2H, m, ArH), 7.37-7.72 (14H, stack, ArH), 7.83-7.97 (4H, stack, ArH), 8.00-8.14 (6H, stack, ArH), 8.58 (2H, s, ArH); δ_{C}(75 MHz) 113.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.3 (CH, Ar), 124.8 (CH, Ar), 125.3 (CH, 2×Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.15 (CH, 2×Ar), 126.20 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 128.47 (CH, Ar), 128.54 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.76 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.83 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.0 (CH, Ar), 133.9 (quat. C,
ipso Ar), 151.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES–) 637.1 ([M – H]–, 100%); HRMS: C₄₈H₂₉O₂
  calcd 636.2168 ([M – H]–), obsd 637.2160.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.¹³⁴

(R)-3,3’-Bis-(10’’-bromoanthracenyl-9’’-yl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-134
and (R)-3-(9’’-anthracenyl)-3’-(10’’-bromoanthracenyl-9’’-yl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-[1,1’]-binaphthyl (R)-135

Chemical Formula: C₄₈H₂₉Br₂O₂
Exact Mass: 794.0456
Molecular Weight: 796.5427
Elemental Analysis: C, 72.38; H, 3.54; Br, 20.06; O, 4.02

Chemical Formula: C₄₈H₂₉BrO₂
Exact Mass: 716.1351
Molecular Weight: 717.6467
Elemental Analysis: C, 80.33; H, 4.07; Br, 11.13; O, 4.46
Diol (R)-134 was prepared by Suzuki coupling of (R)-3,3'-diiodo-2,2'-dimethoxy-[1,1']-binaphthalenyl (R)-114 (2.00 g, 3.53 mmol) with 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid 120 (4.25 g, 14.1 mmol) followed by deprotection with BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 M, 17.7 mL, 17.7 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% hexane, 10% toluene → 70% hexane, 30% toluene) afforded, in order of elution, diol (R)-134 as a yellow crystalline solid (2.65 g, 75% over two steps): mp 245-248 °C; R_f = 0.25 (60% hexane, 40% toluene); [α]_D²⁰ = +116.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ν_max(neat)/cm⁻¹ 3526m (OH), 1622m (C=C aromatic), 1498m, 1438m, 1363w, 1345m, 1329m, 1256m, 1207s, 1149m, 1093m, 1026m, 936m, 890s, 784m, 751s, 735s; δ_m(300 MHz) 5.08 (2H, s, O_H), 7.24-7.33 (2H, m, ArH), 7.43-7.72 (14H, stack, ArH), 7.83-7.98 (4H, stack, ArH), 8.02 (2H, s, ArH), 8.62 (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH), 8.67 (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH); δ_c(75 MHz) 113.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.5 (CH, Ar), 124.7 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 126.57 (CH, Ar), 126.62 (CH, Ar), 126.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 127.12 (CH, Ar), 127.14 (CH, Ar), 127.7 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 129.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.37 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.42 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.2 (CH, Ar), 133.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 150.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES−) 795.3 ([M − H]−, 100%); HRMS: C₄₈H₂₇O₂₇⁷⁹Br₈¹Br calcd 795.0357 ([M − H]−), obsd 795.0341; and then after increasing the polarity of the eluents (gradient: 70% hexane, 30% toluene → 40% hexane, 60% toluene) diol (R)-135 as a pale orange crystalline solid (481 mg, 19%): mp 245-247 °C; R_f = 0.15 (60% hexane, 40% toluene); [α]_D²¹ = +159.6 (c 1.05, CHCl₃); ν_max(neat)/cm⁻¹ 3521m (OH), 3050w, 1622w, 1496w, 1438m, 1381w, 1347m, 1258m, 1207m, 1145m, 1093w, 1024w, 935w, 892s, 859m, 843m, 784m, 749s, 730s, 681m; δ_m(300 MHz) 5.07 (1H, s, OH), 5.12 (1H, s, OH), 7.27-7.33 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.40-7.75 (14H, stack, ArH), 7.84-8.15 (8H, stack, ArH), 8.58-8.72 (3H, stack, ArH); δ_c(75 MHz) 112.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 113.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 142.4 (CH, Ar),
124.7 (CH, Ar), 124.9 (CH, Ar), 125.4 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 126.28 (CH, Ar), 126.33 (CH, Ar), 126.8 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 127.97 (CH, Ar), 128.04 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.49 (CH, Ar), 128.53 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), resonance overlap], 129.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.37 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.42 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.5 (CH, Ar), 132.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.0 (CH, Ar), 133.3 (CH, Ar), 133.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 150.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 151.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), resonance overlap of 5 × (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES–) 715.2 ([M – H]–, 100%); HRMS: C_{48}H_{28}O_{2}Br calcd 715.1273 ([M – H]–), obsd 715.1270.

(R)-3,3'-Bis-(10''-bromoanthracenyl-9''-yl)-2,2'-dihydroxy-5,6,7,8,5',6',7',8'-octahydro-[1,1']-binaphthalenyl (R)-H_{8}-122

![Chemical structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C_{48}H_{36}Br_{2}O_{2}
Exact Mass: 802.1082
Molecular Weight: 804.6062
Elemental Analysis: C, 71.65; H, 4.51; Br, 19.86; O, 3.98

Diol (R)-H_{8}-122 was prepared by Suzuki coupling of (R)-3,3'-diiodo-2,2'-dimethoxy-5,6,7,8,5',6',7',8'-octahydro-[1,1']-binaphthalenyl (R)-H_{8}-119 (500 mg, 0.871 mmol) with 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid 120 (1.05 g, 3.48 mmol) followed by deprotection with BBr_{3} in CH_{2}Cl_{2} (1.0 M, 3.50 mL, 3.50 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography
(60% hexane, 40% toluene) afforded diol \((R)\)-H\_8\textsuperscript{-122} as a yellow solid (118 mg, 17% over two steps): mp 190-192 °C; \(R_f = 0.16\) (60% hexane, 40% toluene); \([\alpha]_D^{20} = -26.0\) (c 1.0, CHCl\textsubscript{3}); \(\nu_{\text{max( neat)}}/\text{cm}^{-1} 3525\text{m} (\text{OH}), 2926\text{w} (\text{CH}_2), 2855\text{w}, 1720\text{w br, 1608w (C=C aromatic), 1438s, 1346m, 1256s, 1217s, 1157s, 1088w, 1027m, 968w, 929m, 893s, 860w, 788m, 756s; \(\delta_H(300 \text{ MHz}) 1.80-1.98 \text{ (8H, stack, CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{)}, 2.54-2.88 \text{ (8H, stack, ArCH}_2\text{)}, 4.59 \text{ (2H, s, OH}), 7.07 \text{ (2H, s, ArH)}, 7.23-7.35 \text{ (2H, m, ArH)}, 7.45-7.73 \text{ (8H, stack, ArH)}, 7.83 \text{ (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH)}, 8.59 \text{ (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH)}, 8.64 \text{ (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH)}; \(\delta_C(75 \text{ MHz}) 23.0 \text{ (CH}_2\text{, CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{)}, 23.2 \text{ (CH}_2\text{, CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{)}, 27.4 \text{ (CH}_2\text{, ArCH}_2\text{)}, 29.2 \text{ (CH}_2\text{, ArCH}_2\text{)}, 120.6 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 122.0 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 123.4 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 125.9 \text{ (CH, Ar)}, 126.1 \text{ (CH, Ar)}, 126.95 \text{ (CH, Ar)}, 126.97 \text{ (CH, 2 \times Ar)}, 127.1 \text{ (CH, Ar)}, 127.9 \text{ (CH, Ar)}, 128.1 \text{ (CH, Ar)}, 130.2 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 130.4 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 130.5 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 131.3 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 131.4 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 132.8 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 133.2 \text{ (CH, Ar)}, 137.5 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}, 149.2 \text{ (quat. C, ipso Ar)}; m/z (TOF ES+) 827 ([M + Na\textsuperscript{+}], 100%); HRMS: C\textsubscript{48}H\textsubscript{36}\textsuperscript{79}Br\textsuperscript{81}BrO\textsubscript{2}Na calcd 827.0959 ([M + Na\textsuperscript{+}]), obsd 827.0978.

(R)-3,3’-Bis-(10’’-bromoanthracenyl-9’’-yl)-2,2’-dimethoxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-131

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Chemical Formula: C}_{52}\text{H}_{32}\text{Br}_2\text{O}_2 \\
\text{Exact Mass: 822.0769} \\
\text{Molecular Weight: 824.5959} \\
\text{Elemental Analysis: C, 72.83; H, 3.91; Br, 19.38; O, 3.88}
\end{align*}
\]
Binaphthalenyl (R)-131 was prepared by Suzuki coupling of (R)-3,3’-diiodo-2,2’-dimethoxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-114 (720 mg, 1.27 mmol) with 10-bromoanthracene-9-boronic acid 120 (1.53 g, 5.09 mmol) according to the general procedure. After work-up and removal of solvent, the residue was redissolved in toluene (50 mL) and passed through a silica plug (100% toluene). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a shiny yellow solid which was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) for 1 h. Filtration afforded binaphthalenyl (R)-131 as a cream white solid (839 mg, 80%): mp 338-341 °C; [α]D¹⁹ = +182.4 (c 0.25, CHCl₃); νmax(neat)/cm⁻¹ 3068w, 2933m, 2840w, 1907w, 1622w (C=C aromatic), 1493m, 1439m, 1398m, 1347m, 1319m, 1256m, 1233s, 1146m, 1099s, 1028m, 1014s, 937m, 894s, 783m, 757s, 729s; δH(300 MHz, C₆D₅CD₃) 2.73 (6H, s, OCH₃), 6.81-7.34 (12H, stack, ArH), 7.59-7.68 (6H, stack, ArH), 7.77 (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH), 8.02 (2H, d, J 8.8 ArH), 8.62 (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH), 8.70 (2H, d, J 8.8, ArH); δC(75 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) 61.4 (CH₃, OCH₃), 123.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 126.37 (CH, Ar), 126.43 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 127.68 (CH, Ar), 127.72 (CH, Ar), 127.90 (CH, Ar), 127.93 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 130.90 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.92 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.5 (CH, Ar), 134.97 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 156.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 795.3 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS: C₅₀H₃₂O₂⁷⁹Br⁸¹BrNa calcd 847.0646 ([M + Na]⁺), obsd 847.0668.
4-Tolylboronic acid 132[139]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Chemical Formula: } & C_7H_9BO_2 \\
\text{Exact Mass: } & 136.0696 \\
\text{Molecular Weight: } & 135.9562 \\
\text{Elemental Analysis: } & \text{C, 61.84; H, 6.67; B, 7.95; O, 23.54}
\end{align*}
\]

\(n\)BuLi (7.0 mL of a 2.50 M solution in hexane, 17.5 mmol) was slowly added over a period of 7 min to a solution of 4-bromotoluene (2.50 g, 14.6 mmol) in THF (25 mL) at –78 °C. After stirring for 20 min, a solution of B(OMe)\(_3\) (2.40 mL, 21.9 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added. While warming up to R.T. overnight, the clear solution became a white suspension. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, hydrochloric acid (2 M, 25 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred vigorously at R.T for 3 h. The layers were separated and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure from the organic phase. The resulting white residue was redissolved in EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with NaHCO\(_3\) solution (30 mL) and brine (30 mL) and then dried (MgSO\(_4\)). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. The white solid was recrystallised from H\(_2\)O to afford boronic acid 132 as a white powder (1.44 g, 72%); mp 248-250 °C (lit.[214] mp 245-247 °C); \(\delta\)\(_H\) (300 MHz) 2.45 (3H, s, ArCH\(_3\)), 7.32 (2H, d, J 8.0, ArH), 8.13 (2H, d, J 8.0, ArH); \(\delta\)\(_C\) (75 MHz) 21.9 (CH\(_3\), ArCH\(_3\)), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 135.7 (CH, Ar), 142.9 (quat. C, ipso CCH\(_3\)), (quat. C, ipso CB(OH)\(_2\)) not observed because peak is very broad due to adjacent quadrupole boron nucleus \(^{11}\text{B}: I = 3/2\) resulting in the resonance being lost in the noise.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[134]
Diol (R)-133 was prepared using a modification of the previously reported Suzuki coupling used for similar coupling partners:\textsuperscript{[138]}

Dibromo binaphthalenyl (R)-131 (300 mg, 0.364 mmol), 4-tolylboronic acid 132 (198 mg, 1.46 mmol), tri(3-tolyl)phosphine (17 mg, 0.055 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (96 mg, 0.691 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of degassed toluene/DME/H$_2$O (2:2:1, 7.5 mL). Pd(OAc)$_2$ (4 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h. After cooling to R.T., the solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (30 mL). Hydrochloric acid (1 M, 30 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with H$_2$O (25 mL) and brine (25 mL), and then dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product which was redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (13 mL). A solution of BBr$_3$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1.0 M, 1.5 mL, 1.5 mmol) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was warmed to R.T. overnight. H$_2$O (5 mL) was added at 0 °C and the mixture was poured into CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O (1:1, 30 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the layers were separated and the organic fraction was
washed with brine (20 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude diol product. Purification by flash column chromatography (60% hexane, 40% toluene) afforded diol \((R)-133\) as a yellow solid (286 mg, 96% over two steps): mp 270-272 °C; \(R_f = 0.31\) (60% hexane, 40% toluene); \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +45.6\ (c\ 1.0,\ CHCl_3)\); \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (neat)/cm⁻¹ 3526m (OH), 3060w, 3033w, 1623w (C=C aromatic), 1497m, 1439s, 1379s, 1209s, 1144m, 1023m, 944m, 908m, 814s, 768s, 747s; \(\delta_\text{H}(300\ \text{MHz})\) 2.55 (6H, s, ArCH₃), 5.20 (2H, s, OH), 7.16-7.56 (20H, stack, ArH), 7.60-7.66 (2H, m, ArH), 7.69-7.82 (6H, stack, ArH), 7.88-7.99 (4H, stack, ArH), 8.08 (2H, s, ArH); \(\delta_\text{C}(75\ \text{MHz})\) 19.8 (CH₃, ArCH₃), 111.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 122.7 (CH, Ar), 123.3 (CH, Ar), 123.5 (CH, 2 × Ar), 124.2 (CH, Ar), 124.3 (CH, Ar), 124.55 (CH, Ar), 124.62 (CH, Ar), 125.75 (CH, Ar), 125.79 (CH, Ar), 125.86 (CH, Ar), 125.91 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 126.9 (CH, Ar), 127.49 (CH, Ar), 127.51 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.57 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.63 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.88 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.94 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.98 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.49 (CH, Ar), 129.53 (CH, Ar), 131.6 (CH, Ar), 132.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 135.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 149.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+ 841.3 ([M + Na]⁺, 100%); HRMS: C₆₂H₄₂O₂Na calcd 841.3083 ([M + Na]⁺), obsd 841.3087.

**General procedure for the formation of chiral N-triflyl phosphoramides 45**

NEt₃ (1.85 mL, 13.3 mmol), POCl₃ (210 µL, 2.27 mmol) and DMAP (463 mg, 3.79 mmol) were added to a solution of the diol (1.90 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.5 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 2 h at R.T., EtCN (9.5 mL) and TfNH₂ (565 mg, 3.79 mmol) were added and the mixture was heated under reflux for 15 h. After cooling to R.T., the reaction was quenched with H₂O (5 mL). Et₂O (40 mL) and hydrochloric acid (4 M, 30 mL) were added, and the layers were
separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 20 mL), and the combined organic fractions were dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography afforded the phosphoramide which may be a salt. The product was extracted with Et₂O (40 mL) and hydrochloric acid (4 M, 2 × 25 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the desired phosphoramide.

**4-sec-Butylphenoxy-N-triflyl phosphoramide 162**

![Phosphoramide 162](image)

Phosphoramide 162 was prepared from 4-sec-butylphenol (360 mg, 2.40 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 60% CH₂Cl₂, 40% EtOAc → 40% CH₂Cl₂, 60% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramide 162 as a yellow wax which changes its colour to purple after the HCl-wash (285 mg, 48%): $R_f = 0.18$ (50% CH₂Cl₂, 50% EtOAc); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm⁻¹ 2962m, 2925m, 2876w, 1504m (C=C aromatic), 1434m, 1378m, 1272m, 1187s, 1162s, 1139s, 1095m, 935s, 835m; $\delta_H$(300 MHz) 0.79 (6H, t, $J$ 7.4, CH₂CH₃), 1.19 (6H, d, $J$ 6.9, CHCH₃), 1.46-1.63 (4H, stack, CH₂), 2.57 (2H, app. sextet, $J$ 7.1, CH), 7.04-7.20 (8H, stack, ArH); $\delta_C$(75 MHz) 12.1 (CH₃, CH₃), 21.7 (CH₃, CH₃), 31.1 (CH₂, CH₂), 41.0 (CH, ArCH), 119.1 (quat. C, q, $^1J_{C,F}$ 319.3, S(O)₂CF₃), 119.8 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 145.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 147.6 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 7.5, ipso Ar);
δ(121 MHz) -14.95 (s, P(O)NH); δ(282 MHz) -77.34 (s, CF3); m/z (TOF ES–) 492.0 ([M – H]–, 100%); HRMS: C21H26NO5F3PS calcd 492.1221 ([M – H]–), obsd 492.1223.

(R)- and (S)-[3,3’-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-1,1’-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-triflyl phosphoramid (R)- and (S)-45d

(R)-45d

(S)-45d

Chemical Formula: C35H25F3NO5PS
Exact Mass: 659.1143
Molecular Weight: 659.6107
Elemental Analysis: C, 63.73; H, 3.82; F, 8.64; N, 2.12; O, 12.13; P, 4.70; S, 4.86

Phosphoramid (S)-45d was prepared from diol (S)-125 (280 mg, 0.600 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (10% EtOAc, 10% toluene, 80% CH2Cl2) afforded phosphoramid (S)-45d as a white solid (351 mg, 89%); mp 257-260 °C; Rf = 0.28 (20% EtOAc, 80% CH2Cl2); [α]D20 = +366 (c 1.0, CHCl3); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 2924w (CH3), 1614w (C=C aromatic), 1516m (C=C aromatic), 1497w, 1423m, 1290s, 1191s, 1150s, 1097m, 1078w, 1023w, 996m, 974s, 898s, 845m, 820s, 610m; δH(300 MHz) 2.29 (6H, s, ArCH3), 6.43 (1H, br s, NH), 7.00-7.14 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.20-7.48 (8H, stack, ArH), 7.51-7.62 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.87-8.01 (3H, stack, ArH), 8.09 (1H, s, ArH); δC(75 MHz) 20.96 (CH3, ArCH3), 21.01 (CH3, ArCH3), 118.7 (quat. C, q, JCF 317.9, 260
S(O)$_2$CF$_3$), 122.06 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 2.9, ipso Ar), 122.17 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 2.9, ipso Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 126.65 (CH, Ar), 126.68 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (CH, Ar), 128.4 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, 2× Ar), 129.3 (CH, 2× Ar), 129.6 (CH, 2× Ar), 129.9 (CH, 2× Ar), 131.4 (CH, Ar), 131.65 (CH, Ar), 131.66 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 5.1, ipso Ar), 131.9 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 4.4, ipso Ar), 133.01 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.03 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.5 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 3.1, ipso Ar), 133.8 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 2.6, ipso Ar), 137.7 (quat. C, 2× ipso Ar), 137.9 (quat. C, 2× ipso Ar), 142.9 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 9.4, ipso Ar), 143.6 (quat. C, d, $J_{C,P}$ 11.4, ipso Ar); $\delta$$_P$(121 MHz) –1.74 (s, P(O)NH); $\delta$$_F$(282 MHz) –78.97 (s, S(O)$_2$CF$_3$); m/z (TOF ES+) 682.0 ([M + Na]$^+$, 100%); HRMS: C$_{35}$H$_{25}$NO$_5$F$_3$PSNa calcd 682.1041 ([M + Na]$^+$), obsd 682.1058.

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomer: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = –372$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$).

(S)-[3,3’-Bis(3”,5”-di(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-triflyl phosphoramide (S)-45e

![Chemical Structure](image-url)
Phosphoramide (S)-45e was prepared from diol (S)-26 (460 mg, 0.647 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH₂Cl₂ → 90% CH₂Cl₂, 10% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramide (S)-45e as a pale purple solid (532 mg, 91%): mp 174-177 °C; Rf = 0.10 (2% EtOAc, 98% CH₂Cl₂); [α]D<sup>19</sup> = +225 (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ν<sub>max</sub>(film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3385m br (NH), 1693w (C=C aromatic), 1621w, 1503m (C=C aromatic), 1475w, 1380m, 1280s, 1141s, 1082m, 991m, 965m, 925m, 889m, 874w, 845m, 705w, 696w, 681m, 669s; δ<sub>δ</sub>(300 MHz) 6.01 (1H, br s, NH), 7.33-7.72 (7H, stack, ArH), 7.88 (1H, br s, ArH), 8.00-8.16 (8H, stack, ArH); δ<sub>α</sub>(75 MHz) 118.4 (quat. C, dq, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 317.9, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-P</sub> 2.0, S(O)₂CF₃), 121.6-121.8 (CH, m, Ar), 121.9-122.2 (CH, m, Ar), 122.5 (quat. C, d, J<sub>C-P</sub> 2.6, ipso Ar), 122.7 (quat. C, d, J<sub>C-P</sub> 2.3, ipso Ar), 123.08 (quat. C, q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 271.3, 2 × ArCF₃), 123.10 (quat. C, q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 271.3, 2 × ArCF₃), 126.9 (CH, Ar), 127.1 (CH, 2 × Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 127.97 (CH, Ar), 128.03 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 129.7 (CH, stack, 2 × Ar), 130.1 (CH, stack, 2 × Ar), 130.5 (quat. C, d, J<sub>C-P</sub> 3.4, ipso Ar), 130.9 (quat. C, d, J<sub>C-P</sub> 2.6, ipso Ar), 131.7-131.9 (quat. C, m, ipso Ar), 131.80 (quat. C, q, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 33.4, 2 × ipso Ar), 131.9 (quat. C, q, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> 33.4, 2 × ipso Ar), 132.2-132.4 (quat. C, m, ipso Ar), 132.5 (CH, Ar), 132.7 (CH, Ar), 137.75 (quat. C, 2 × ipso Ar), 137.83 (quat. C, 2 × ipso Ar), 141.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 141.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 142.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 142.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); δ<sub>δ</sub>(121 MHz) −4.13 (s, P(O)NH); δ<sub>δ</sub>(282 MHz) −63.26 (s, 2 × ArCF₃), −63.566 (s, ArCF₃), −63.570 (s, ArCF₃), −78.74 (s, S(O)₂CF₃); m/z (TOF ES−) 902.2 ([M − H]<sup>−</sup>, 100%); HRMS: C₃₇H₁₆NO₅F₁₅PS calcd 902.0247 ([M − H]<sup>−</sup>), obsd 902.0253.
Phosphoramidate (R)-45a was prepared from diol (R)-129 (334 mg, 0.483 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH₂Cl₂ → 90% CH₂Cl₂, 10% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramidate (R)-45a as a pale brown solid (355 mg, 83%): mp 158-161 °C; Rᵣ = 0.20 (5% EtOAc, 95% CH₂Cl₂); [α]D²¹ = +33.3 (c 0.42, CH₂Cl₂) (lit. [87] [α]D²⁷⁻⁹ = +29.9 (c 0.42, CH₂Cl₂); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 3424 m br (NH), 2962 s (CH₃), 2870 w, 1606 m (C=C aromatic), 1567 w (C=C aromatic), 1496 w, 1462 m, 1412 w, 1384 w, 1363 w, 1195 s, 1149 m, 1116 m, 998 s, 976 m, 940 w, 901 m, 878 w, 856 w, 805 m, 666 m, 598 w; δH(300 MHz) 0.95 (3H, d, J = 7.0, 1 × CH₃ of i-Pr), 1.01 (3H, d, J = 7.0, 1 × CH₃ of i-Pr), 1.06-1.33 (30H, stack, 10 × CH₃ of i-Pr), 2.47-2.67 (2H, stack, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.68-2.84 (2H, stack, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.85-3.02 (2H, stack, CH(CH₃)₂), 4.85 (1H, br s, NH), 7.05 (1H, br s, ArH), 7.10-7.19 (3H, stack, ArH), 7.26-7.42 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.52-7.62 (2H, stack ArH), 7.92-7.83 (4H, stack, ArH); δC(75 MHz) 22.76 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 22.85 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 22.91 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 23.0 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 23.87 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 23.94 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 24.0 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 24.1 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 25.1 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 25.5 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 26.8 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 26.9 (CH₃, CH₃ of i-Pr), 30.4 (CH, CH(CH₃)₂), 31.1 (CH, 2 × CH(CH₃)₂), 31.5 (CH, CH(CH₃)₂), 34.4 (CH, CH(CH₃)₂), 34.5 (CH, CH(CH₃)₂), 118.7 (quat. C, q, JₓC-F 320.4, S(O)₂CF₃), 120.3 (CH, Ar), 121.0 (quat. C, d, JₓC-P 1.7, ipso Ar), 263
121.3 (CH, Ar), 121.4 (CH, Ar), 121.7 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} 2.6\), ipso Ar), 121.8 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (CH, Ar), 126.8 (CH, Ar), 127.3 (CH, 2 × Ar), 128.4 (CH, 2 × Ar), 129.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.0 (quat.C, ipso Ar), 130.3 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} 4.3\), ipso Ar), 131.2 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} 0.9\), ipso Ar), 131.6 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} 1.1\), ipso Ar), 132.08 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} 0.8\), ipso Ar), 132.1 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} 1.7\), ipso Ar), 132.5 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} 2.9\), ipso Ar), 132.9 (CH, Ar), 133.2 (CH, Ar), 144.6 (quat. C, \(J_{C-P} 8.9\), ipso Ar), 145.4 (quat. C, \(J_{C-P} 11.1\), ipso Ar), 146.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 146.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 147.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 148.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 149.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 149.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar); \(\delta\)(121 MHz) –3.79 (s, P(O)NH); \(\delta\)(282 MHz) –77.40 (s, CF₃); m/z (TOF ES–) 882.1 ([M – H]⁻, 100%); HRMS: C₅₁H₅₆N₄O₅F₃PS calcd 882.3569 ([M – H]⁻), obsd 882.3564.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.[87]

(R)-[3,3’-Bisanthracen-9”-yl]-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-triflyl phosphoramid e (R)-45f

Chemical Formula: C₄₉H₂₉F₃NO₅PS
Exact Mass: 831.1456
Molecular Weight: 831.7922
Elemental Analysis: C, 70.75; H, 3.51; F, 6.85; N, 1.68; O, 9.62; P, 3.72; S, 3.85
Phosphoramide (R)-45f was prepared from diol (R)-130 (330 mg, 0.517 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH₂Cl₂ → 90% CH₂Cl₂, 10% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramide (R)-45f as its ether solvate 45f·0.55 Et₂O as a brown solid (340 mg, 75%): mp 250-253 °C; Rf = 0.11 (100% Et₂O); [α]D20 = +9.6 (c 0.83, CHCl₃); νmax(neat)/cm⁻¹ 3308w, 3053w, 2933w, 2832w, 1594w, 1497w, 1445w, 1402w, 1380w, 1329m, 1308m, 1296m, 1262w, 1226m, 1194m, 1151s, 1135m, 1123m, 1098s, 1024w, 953m, 883s, 839m, 818m, 788m, 775w, 751m, 727s, 706w, 677m, 655w; δH(300 MHz, C₆D₆) 0.64 (0.55 × 6H, t, J 6.8, O(CH₂C₆H₅)₂), 2.88 (0.55 × 4H, q, J 6.8, O(CH₂CH₃)₂), 6.80-8.06 (26H, stack, ArH), 8.17 (1H, s, ArH), 8.23 (1H, s, ArH), NH was not observed; δC(100 MHz, C₆D₆) 14.5 (CH₃, O(CH₂C₆H₅)₂), 66.1 (CH₂, O(CH₂CH₃)₂), 122.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 122.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.1-129.1 (stack, CH, Ar, resonance overlap), 130.6-133.1 (stack, quat. C, S(O)₂CF₃ and ipso Ar, resonance overlap), 134.8 (CH, Ar), 135.2 (CH, Ar), 146.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 146.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); δP(121 MHz) –3.36 (s, P(O)NH); δF(282 MHz) –78.58 (s, CF₃); m/z (TOF ES–) 830 ([M – H]⁻, 100%); HRMS: C₄₉H₂₈Br₂F₃NO₅PS calcd 830.1378 ([M – H]⁻), obsd 830.1381.

(R)-[3,3’-Bis(10”-bromoanthracen-9”-yl)-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-triflyl phosorphamide (R)-45g

![Chemical Structure](image)
Phosphoramide \((R)-\text{45g}\) was prepared from diol \((R)-\text{134}\) (1.52 g, 1.91 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) → 85% CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 15% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramide \((R)-\text{45g}\) as its ether solvate \(\text{45g} \cdot 1.79\) Et\(_2\)O as a pale brown solid (1.49 g, 70%): mp 245-250 °C (dec.); \(R_f = 0.25\) (100% Et\(_2\)O); \([\alpha]_D^{21} = +16.2\) (c 1.06, CHCl\(_3\)); \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) (neat)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3074w, 2926w, 1622w, 1497w, 1441m, 1347w, 1259w, 1195s, 1148w, 1086s, 1029m, 952s, 906s, 881m, 802w, 751s, 713w; \(\delta\) (300 MHz) 0.87 (1.79 × 6H, t, \(J = 7.1\), O(CH\(_2\)C\(_H\)\(_3\))\(_2\)), 3.25 (1.79 × 4H, t, \(J = 7.1\), O(CH\(_2\)C\(_H\)\(_3\))\(_2\)), 7.08-7.76 (18H, stack, NH and ArH), 7.89 (1H, d, \(J = 8.7\), ArH), 8.01-8.09 (2H, stack, ArH), 8.11-8.17 (2H, stack, ArH), 8.43 (1H, d, \(J = 8.7\), ArH), 8.53-8.63 (3H, stack, ArH), NH not observed; \(\delta\) (100 MHz) 14.4 (CH\(_3\), O(CH\(_2\)C\(_H\)\(_3\))\(_2\)), 66.0 (CH\(_2\), O(CH\(_2\)C\(_H\)\(_3\))\(_2\)), 118.4 (quat. C, q, \(J_{C-F} = 319.7\), S(O)\(_2\)CF\(_3\)), 121.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 122.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.2 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 126.36 (CH, Ar), 126.38 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), [126.6, 126.7 (CH, Ar), resonance overlap], 126.9 (CH, Ar), 127.0 (CH, Ar), [127.5, 127.57, 127.62, 127.7 (CH, Ar), resonance overlap], 127.9 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 129.8 (quat. C, 2 × ipso Ar), 130.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.2 (quat. C, 3 × ipso Ar), 130.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.08 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.14 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.66 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.74 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.7 (quat. C, 2 × ipso Ar), 134.4 (CH, Ar), 134.6 (CH, Ar), 145.3 (quat. C, d \(J_{C-P} = 9.8\), ipso Ar), 145.8 (quat. C, d, \(J_{C-P} = 10.5\), ipso Ar); \(\delta\) (121 MHz) –2.21 (s, P(O)NH); \(\delta\) (282 MHz) –79.24 (s, CF\(_3\)); m/z (TOF ES–) 988.3 ([M – H]\(^-\), 100%); HRMS: \(\text{C}_{49}\text{H}_{26}\text{NO}_{5}\text{F}_{3}\text{PS}^{79}\text{Br}^{81}\text{Br}\) calcd 987.9568 ([M – H]\(^-\)), obsd 987.9572.
(R)-[3,3’-Bis(10’’-tolylanthracen-9’’-yl)-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-triflyl phosphoramide (R)-45h

Phosphoramide (R)-45h was prepared from diol (R)-133 (265 mg, 0.324 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH₂Cl₂ → 80% CH₂Cl₂, 20% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramide (R)-45h as a brown glittery solid (207 mg, 63%): mp 273-278 °C (dec.); \( R_f = 0.18 \) (20% hexane, 80% Et₂O); \( [\alpha]_D^{21} = -5.6 \) (c 1.00, CHCl₃); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (neat)/cm⁻¹ 3065w, 2922w, 2867w, 1515w, 1441m, 1382m, 1321m br, 1196s, 1150w, 1135w, 1100m, 1030m, 956m, 902s, 815s, 767s, 751w, 727s; \( \delta_{\text{H}} \) (300 MHz) 2.55 (6H, s, \( \text{CH}_3 \)), 7.13-7.88 (30H, stack, ArH), 8.09 (1H, d, \( J = 9.5 \) ArH), 8.12 (1H, d, \( J = 9.2 \), ArH), 8.26 (1H, s, ArH), 8.31 (1H, s, ArH); \( \delta_{\text{C}} \) (75 MHz) 21.4 (CH₃, 2 × ArCH₃), 118.3 (quat. C, q, \( ^1J_{C,F} \) 320.1, \( ^3J_{C,P} \) 1.4, S(O)₂CF₃), 121.9 (quat. C, d, \( J_{C,P} = 1.7 \), ipso Ar), 122.3 (quat. C, d, \( J_{C,P} = 2.6 \), ipso Ar), 124.6 (CH, Ar), 124.76 (CH, Ar), 124.78 (CH, Ar), 125.0 (CH, Ar), 125.1 (CH, Ar), 125.2 (CH, Ar), 125.6 (CH, Ar), 125.7 (CH, Ar), 125.97 (CH, Ar), 126.03 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (CH, 2 × Ar), 127.1-127.5 (CH, stack, 8 × Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 128.76 (CH, Ar), 128.84 (CH, Ar), 128.9 (CH, Ar), 129.13 (CH, Ar), 129.15 (CH, Ar), 129.57 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.59 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.98 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.04 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.06 (quat. C, ipso Ar),
130.09 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 130.1 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 130.17 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 130.22 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 130.5 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 130.8 (CH, Ar), 130.9 (CH, Ar), 131.2 (CH, 2 × Ar), 131.7 (quat. C, d, $J_{C-P}$ 0.9, *ipso* Ar), 132.0 (quat. C, d, $J_{C-P}$ 1.1, *ipso* Ar), 132.5 (quat. C, d, $J_{C-P}$ 1.4, *ipso* Ar), 132.7 (quat. C, d, $J_{C-P}$ 0.6, *ipso* Ar), 134.5 (CH, 2 × Ar), 135.5 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 136.0 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 136.9 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 137.1 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 138.5 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 138.9 (quat. C, *ipso* Ar), 145.3 (quat. C, d $J_{C-P}$ 9.1, *ipso* Ar), 146.1 (quat. C, d, $J_{C-P}$ 11.4, *ipso* Ar); $\delta_P$ (121 MHz) –3.68 (s, $P$(O)NH); $\delta_F$ (282 MHz) –78.72 (s, C$_F$$_3$); m/z (TOF ES−) 1010.0 ([M − H$^-$], 100%); HRMS: C$_{63}$H$_{40}$NO$_5$F$_3$PS calcd 1010.2317 ([M − H$^-$]), obsd 1010.2311.

(R)-[3,3’-Bis(10”'-bromoanthracen-9’’-yl)-5,6,7,8,5’,6’,7’,8’-octahydro-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-triflyl phosphoramide (R)-H$_8$-45j

Phosphoramide (R)-H$_8$-45j was prepared from diol (R)-H$_8$-122 (118 mg, 0.147 mmol) according to the general procedure. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 90% CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 10% EtOAc → 70% CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 30% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramide (R)-H$_8$-45j as its ether solvate 45j·0.49 Et$_2$O as brown solid (106 mg, 70%); mp 195-198 °C; $R_f$ = 0.09
(95% CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 5% EtOAc); $[a]_D^{21} = -128.9$ (c 0.76, CHCl$_3$); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(neat)/cm$^{-1}$ 2925m, 2852m, 1699m, 1605w, 1523w, 1440m, 1407w, 1296m, 1259m, 1193s, 1134m, 1089m, 1029m, 941s, 906s, 885s, 860m, 785m, 755s, 726m, 682m, 662w; $\delta_{\text{H}}$(300 MHz) 1.25 (0.49 × 6H, t, J 7.1, O(CH$_2$CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.95-2.07 (8H, stack, CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$), 2.59-3.12 (8H, stack, ArCH$_2$), 4.09 (0.49 × 4H, q, J 7.1, O(CH$_2$CH$_3$)$_2$), 7.26-7.65 (10H, stack, ArH), 7.77 (1H, d, J 8.9, ArH), 7.86 (1H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 8.21 (2H, br s, ArH), 8.49 (1H, d, J 8.9, ArH), 8.58 (3H, app. d, J 8.7, ArH), NH not observed; $\delta_{\text{C}}$(100 MHz) not recorded; $\delta_{\text{P}}$(121 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) –3.88 (s, P(O)NH); $\delta_{\text{F}}$(282 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) –78.97 (s, CF$_3$); m/z (TOF ES–) 996.0 ([M – H]$^-$, 100%); HRMS: C$_{49}$H$_{34}$NO$_5$F$_3$PS$^{79}$Br$^{81}$Br calcd 994.0214 ([M – H]$^-$), obsd 994.0208.

(R)-[3,3’-Bis(10’’-bromoanthracen-9’’-yl)-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-pentafluorophenylsulfonyl phosphoramide (R)-107

![Chemical Structure](image)

Phosphoramide (R)-107 was prepared from diol (R)-134 (150 mg, 0.188 mmol) using a modification of the general procedure: C$_6$F$_5$SO$_2$NH$_2$ (93 mg, 0.377 mmol) was added instead of TfNH$_2$. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH$_2$Cl$_2$ → 90% CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 10% EtOAc) afforded phosphoramide (R)-107 as a yellow-brown crystalline solid
(160 mg, 78%): mp 255-260 °C (dec.); \( R_f = 0.23 \) (90% CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 10% EtOAc); \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +14.4 \) (c 1.00, CHCl\(_3\)); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) (neat)/cm\(^{-1}\) 3068w, 1644w, 1520m, 1498s, 1441m, 1402m, 1346m, 1302m, 1259w, 1227m, 1206w, 1179m, 1147m, 1099m, 1029m, 991m, 976m, 954m, 936m, 906s, 889s, 807w, 785w, 775w, 752s, 713m, 681m; \( \delta_{f}(300 \text{ MHz}) 4.57 \) (1H, br s, NH), 7.12-7.80 (17H, stack, ArH), 7.87 (1H, d, \( J = 8.7 \), ArH), 7.97-8.13 (3H, stack, ArH), 8.20 (1H, s, ArH), 8.51 (1H, d, \( J = 8.7 \), ArH), 8.58-8.73 (3H, stack, ArH); \( \delta_{t}(100 \text{ MHz}) 121.8 \) (quat. C, ipso Ar), 122.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), [125.3 (CH, Ar), 125.6 (CH, Ar), 125.8 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (CH, Ar), 126.75-127.15 (CH, stack, Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), resonance overlap], 129.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.2 (quat. C, 2 \times ipso Ar), 130.3 (quat. C, 2 \times ipso Ar), 130.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.6 (quat. C, 2 \times ipso Ar), 131.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.3 (CH, Ar), 134.4 (CH, Ar), 135.4 (quat. C, app. br s, ipso ArCF), 137.9 (quat. C, app. br s, ipso ArCF), 142.3 (quat. C, app. br s, ipso ArCF), 144.3 (quat. C, d \( J_{C,P} 8.3 \), ipso Ar), 144.9 (quat. C, app. br s, ipso ArCF), 145.7 (quat. C, d, \( J_{C,P} 11.8 \), ipso Ar); \( \delta_{p}(121 \text{ MHz}) –6.46 \) (s, P(O)NH); \( \delta_{p}(282 \text{ MHz}) –159.1- –158.4 \) (m, 2 \times ArF), –143.2- –142.8 (m, ArF), –136.9- –136.4 (m, 2 \times ArF); \( m/z \) (TOF ES–) 1086.1 ([M – H]\(^-\), 100%); HRMS: C\(_{34}\)H\(_{26}\)NO\(_5\)F\(_3\)PS\(_7\)^{79}\)Br\(^{81}\)Br calcd 1085.9536 ([M – H]\(^-\)), obsd 1085.9517.
(R)-[3,3’-Bis(10’’-bromoanthracen-9’’-yl)-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-N-triflyl thiophosphoramide (R)-108

Thiophosphoramide (R)-108 was prepared from diol (R)-134 (188 mg, 0.236 mmol) using a modification of the general procedure: PSCl₃ (30 µL, 0.283 mmol) was added instead of POCl₃. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH₂Cl₂ → 90% CH₂Cl₂, 10% EtOAc) afforded thiophosphoramide (R)-108 as its ether solvate 108·0.70 Et₂O as a brown-orange solid (116 mg, 46%): mp 247-252 °C; Rₛ = 0.20 (100% Et₂O); [α]₀D₂₀ = +25.1 (c 0.91, CHCl₃); ν_max(neat)/cm⁻¹ 3076w, 1440w, 1424w, 1311w, 1200s, 1133m, 1100w, 1081w, 955m, 931w, 907s, 882s, 866s, 805w, 752s, 720w, 710w, 675w, 652w; δ_H(300 MHz) 0.98 (0.70 × 6H, t, J 7.0, O(CH₂CH₃)₂), 3.27 (0.70 × 4H, q, J 7.0, O(CH₂CH₃)₂), 5.72 (1H, br s, P(S)N), 7.27-7.78 (16H, stack, ArH), 7.94-8.27 (6H, stack, ArH), 8.54-8.73 (4H, stack, ArH); δ_C(100 MHz) 14.7 (CH₃, O(CH₂CH₃)₂), 65.5 (CH₂, O(CH₂CH₃)₂), 118.0 (quat. C, q, J_C-F 321.3, S(O)₂CF₃), 122.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.2 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.2 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 126.7 (CH, Ar), 126.8 (CH, Ar), 126.9 (CH, 3 × Ar), 127.2 (CH, 2 × Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, 2 × Ar), 127.6 (CH, 2 × Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, 2 × Ar), 129.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar).
Ar), 130.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.4 (quat. C, br s, 4 × ipso Ar), 130.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.0 (quat. C, 2 × ipso Ar), 131.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.75 (CH, Ar), 134.82 (CH, Ar), 144.8 (quat. C, d Jc.p 8.6, ipso Ar), 146.6 (quat. C, d, Jc.p 15.7, ipso Ar); δ(P(121 MHz) 54.03 (s, P(S)NH); δ(F(282 MHz) –76.13 (s, C F3)); m/z (TOF ES−) 1004.3 ([M – H]−, 100%); HRMS: C49H26NO4P5S279Br81Br calcd 1003.9339 ([M – H]−), obsd 1003.9344.

(S)-[3,3’-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-1,1’-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-phosphoric acid (S)-32g

Phosphoric acid (S)-32g was prepared using a previously reported general procedure for the formation of different BINOL-derived phosphoric acids:[90]

POCl3 (80 µL, 0.857 mmol) was added to a solution of diol (S)-125 (200 mg, 0.439 mmol) in pyridine (1 mL) at R.T. After stirring for 3 h at R.T., the reaction was quenched with H2O (1 mL) and the resulting suspension was stirred overnight. CH2Cl2 (15 mL) was added and the pyridine was removed by reverse extraction with hydrochloric acid (1 M, 2 × 15 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na2SO4), the drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (90% CH2Cl2, 10% EtOH) afforded the phosphoric acid as a white
solid. The product was extracted with hydrochloric acid (4 M, 20 mL) again, dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired phosphoric acid (S)-32g (201 mg, 87%): mp 230-265 °C (dec.); $R_f = 0.38$ (90% CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 10% EtOH); $[\alpha]_D^{21} = +167.5$ (c 0.53, CHCl$_3$); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 2922m, 1615w, 1516s (C=C aromatic), 1497m, 1447w, 1419s, 1362w, 1332m, 1265s, 1244s, 1191s, 1151s, 1024s, 998w, 970s, 900m, 888w, 879w, 845m, 820s, 611m, 603w; $\delta_{\text{H}}$(300 MHz) 2.18 (6H, s, ArCH$_3$), 7.08 (4H, d, $J = 7.7$, ArH), 7.24-7.39 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.44-7.54 (6H, stack, ArH), 7.94 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$, ArH), 7.99 (2H, s, ArH), 9.47 (1H, br s, P(O)OH); $\delta_{\text{C}}$(75 MHz) 20.7 (CH$_3$, ArCH$_3$), 123.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 124.9 (CH, Ar), 125.8 (CH, Ar), 126.9 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.8 (CH, Ar), 129.7 (CH, Ar), 130.2 (CH, Ar), 130.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 136.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 146.8 (quat. C, 2$J_{P-C} = 9.5$, ipso Ar); $\delta_{\text{P}}$(121 MHz) 4.00 (s, P(O)OH); m/z (TOF ES$-$) 527.1 ([M – H]$^-$, 100%); HRMS: C$_{34}$H$_{24}$O$_4$P calcd 527.1412 ([M – H]$^-$), obsd 527.1414.

(R)-[3,3’-Bis(10”-bromoanthracen-9”-yl)-[1,1’]-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-phosphoric acid

(R)-32h

Chemical Formula: C$_{48}$H$_{27}$Br$_2$O$_4$P
Exact Mass: 856.0014
Molecular Weight: 858.5073
Elemental Analysis: C, 67.15%; H, 3.17%; Br, 18.61%; O, 7.45%; P, 3.61
Phosphoric acid (S)-32h was prepared using a previously reported general procedure for the formation of different BINOL-derived phosphoric acids.\textsuperscript{[90]} 

POCl\textsubscript{3} (50 µL, 0.502 mmol) was added to a solution of diol (R)-134 (200 mg, 0.251 mmol) in pyridine (0.6 mL) at R.T. After stirring for 3 h at R.T., the reaction was quenched with H\textsubscript{2}O (1 mL) and the resulting suspension was stirred overnight. CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (25 mL) was added and the pyridine was removed by reverse extraction with hydrochloric acid (1 M, 2 × 15 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}), the drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} → 95% CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, 5% EtOH) afforded the phosphoric acid as a pale yellow crystalline solid. The product was extracted with hydrochloric acid (4 M, 20 mL) again, dried (MgSO\textsubscript{4}), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired phosphoric acid (S)-32h as a brown crystalline solid (200 mg, 93%): mp 252-257 °C (dec.); \( R_f = 0.19 \) (95% CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, 5% EtOH); \( [\alpha]_D^{20} = +70.5 \) (c 0.76, CHCl\textsubscript{3}); \( \nu_{\text{max}} \text{(film)/cm}^{-1} 3045w, 2924w, 1622w \) (C=C aromatic), 1599w, 1523w, 1497w, 1440m, 1419s, 1398w, 1346m, 1311m, 1259m, 1229m, 1183w, 1147m, 1102m, 1087m, 1017s, 972s, 948m, 937m, 903s, 884s, 840m, 783w, 775w, 749s, 714m, 682m, 669w; \( \delta_H \) (100 MHz) 6.95 (1H, br s, O\textsubscript{H}), 7.10-7.19 (4H, stack, Ar\textsubscript{H}), 7.20-7.29 (2H, stack, Ar\textsubscript{H}), 7.39-7.75 (12H, stack, Ar\textsubscript{H}), 7.95 (2H, s, Ar\textsubscript{H}), 7.99 (2H, d, \( J = 7.9 \), Ar\textsubscript{H}), 8.11-8.20 (2H, stack, Ar\textsubscript{H}), 8.43 (2H, d, \( J = 8.9 \), Ar\textsubscript{H}); \( \delta_C \) (100 MHz) 122.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.2 (CH, Ar), 126.0 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.2 (CH, 2 × Ar), 127.4 (CH, Ar), 127.8 (CH, Ar), 127.9 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 129.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.0 (CH, Ar), 146.1 (quat. C, d, \( J_{C-P} = 8.9 \), ipso Ar); \( \delta_P \) (121 MHz) 0.57 (s, P(O)OH); \( m/z \) (TOF ES–) 857.0 ([M – H]\textsuperscript{–}, 100%); HRMS: C\textsubscript{48}H\textsubscript{26}O\textsubscript{4}P\textsuperscript{79}Br\textsuperscript{81}Br calcd 856.9915 ([M – H]\textsuperscript{–}), obsd 856.9926.
TMS-protected diol (R)-140 was prepared using a general procedure for the TMS-protection of alcohols:[143]

I₂ (13 mg, 0.051 mmol) was added to a suspension of diol (R)-134 (410 mg, 0.515 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5.7 mL). HMDS (170 µL, 0.826 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.4 mL) was added dropwise over 2 min to the dark purple suspension, which turned to a solution during the addition. After stirring for 10 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of Na₂S₂O₃ solution (10 mL), and the mixture was stirred until the solution turned yellow-orange. More CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The organic phase was washed with brine (15 mL), and then dried (MgSO₄). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by a silica plug (100% Et₂O) afforded the TMS-protected diol (R)-140 as a yellow-orange solid (465 mg, 96%): mp 345-350 °C; Rf = 0.58 (60% hexane, 40% toluene); [α]D²⁰ = +147.3 (c 0.88, CHCl₃); νmax(film)/cm⁻¹ 3059w, 2961m, 2163w, 1947w, 1799w, 1703w, 1620w, 1589w, 1522w, 1489w, 1424m, 1403m, 1345m, 1325m, 1280m, 1260m, 1246s, 1203m, 1173w,
1150m, 1104m, 1041w, 1026m, 974s, 936m, 894s, 860s, 837s, 778m, 745s, 695m, 658m; 
δ<sub>δ</sub>(300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) –1.01 (18H, s, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.07-7.14 (2H, m, ArH), 7.19-7.40 (10H, stack, 
ArH), 7.62-7.80 (6H, stack, ArH), 8.20 (2H, d, J 8.7, ArH), 8.26 (2H, d, J 8.7, ArH), 8.82 
(4H, app. t, J 8.0, ArH); δ<sub>δ</sub>(75 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) –0.14 (CH<sub>3</sub>, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 123.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 
124.8 (CH, Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 127.0 (CH, Ar), 127.3 (CH, 
Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.6 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (CH, Ar), 130.2 
(quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 
132.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 132.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.2 (CH, Ar), 135.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 
135.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 151.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), one (CH, Ar) and one (quat. C, ipso Ar) 
were not observed; m/z (TOF ES+) 963.1 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS: 
C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>Br<sup>2</sup><sup>79</sup>Br<sup>81</sup>BrNa calcd 963.1124 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>), obsd 963.1120.

(R)-3,3’-Bis-(10’’-fluoroanthracenyl-9’’-yl)-2,2’-dihydroxy-[1,1’]-binaphthalenyl (R)-139

Chemical Formula: C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>28</sub>F<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Exact Mass: 674.2057
Molecular Weight: 674.7315
Elemental Analysis:
C, 85.44; H, 4.18; F, 5.63; O, 4.74
^7\text{BuLi} \ (940 \ \mu\text{L} \ of \ a \ 1.7 \ M \ solution \ in \ pentane, \ 1.59 \ mmol) \ was \ added \ dropwise \ over \ 2 \ min \ to \ a \ solution \ of \ \text{TMEDA} \ (95 \ \mu\text{L}, \ 0.638 \ mmol) \ and \ \text{TMS-protected \ diol} \ (R)-\textbf{140} \ (300 \ mg, \ 0.319 \ mmol) \ in \ \text{THF} \ (7.2 \ mL) \ at \ –78 \ ^\circ\text{C}. \ \text{After} \ \text{stirring} \ \text{for} \ 2 \ \text{h} \ \text{at} \ –78 \ ^\circ\text{C}, \ \text{a} \ \text{solution} \ \text{of} \ \text{N-}\text{fluorodibenzenesulfonimide} \ (402 \ mg, \ 1.28 \ mmol) \ \text{in} \ \text{THF} \ (2.4 \ mL) \ \text{was} \ \text{added} \ \text{dropwise} \ \text{over} \ \text{5} \ \text{min} \ \text{over} \ \text{which} \ \text{time} \ \text{the} \ \text{solution} \ \text{changed} \ \text{its} \ \text{colour} \ \text{from} \ \text{black-green \ to \ orange}. \ \text{The} \ \text{syringe} \ \text{was} \ \text{washed} \ \text{with} \ \text{THF} \ (2.4 \ mL) \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{mixture} \ \text{was} \ \text{warmed} \ \text{to} \ \text{R.T.} \ \text{overnight}. \ \text{H}_2\text{O} \ (10 \ mL), \ \text{Et}_2\text{O} \ (10 \ mL) \ \text{and} \ \text{hydrochloric} \ \text{acid} \ (1 \ \text{M}, \ 5 \ mL, \ \text{for} \ \text{a} \ \text{better} \ \text{phase} \ \text{separation}) \ \text{were} \ \text{added} \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{layers} \ \text{were} \ \text{separated}. \ \text{The} \ \text{aqueous} \ \text{phase} \ \text{was} \ \text{extracted} \ \text{with} \ \text{Et}_2\text{O} \ (10 \ mL) \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{combined} \ \text{organic} \ \text{fractions} \ \text{were} \ \text{washed} \ \text{with} \ \text{brine} \ (15 \ mL), \ \text{and} \ \text{then} \ \text{dried} \ (\text{MgSO}_4). \ \text{The} \ \text{drying} \ \text{agent} \ \text{was} \ \text{removed} \ \text{by} \ \text{filtration} \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{filtrate} \ \text{concentrated} \ \text{under} \ \text{reduced} \ \text{pressure} \ \text{to} \ \text{provide} \ \text{the} \ \text{crude} \ \text{product}. \ \text{Purification} \ \text{by} \ \text{flash} \ \text{column} \ \text{chromatography}^{13} \ \text{(gradient:} \ 100\% \ \text{hexane} \ \rightarrow \ 95\% \ \text{hexane}, \ 5\% \ \text{toluene}) \ \text{afforded} \ \text{the} \ \text{TMS-protected \ diol} \ (R)-\textbf{141} \ \text{as} \ \text{a} \ \text{yellow} \ \text{solid} \ (200 \ mg, \ 0.244 \ \text{mmol}, \ 77\%) \ \text{which} \ \text{was} \ \text{dissolved} \ \text{in} \ \text{THF} \ (1.25 \ mL) \ \text{and} \ \text{cooled} \ \text{to} \ 0 \ ^\circ\text{C}. \ \text{TBAF} \ (535 \ \mu\text{L} \ \text{of} \ \text{a} \ \text{1} \ \text{M} \ \text{solution} \ \text{in} \ \text{THF}, \ 0.537 \ \text{mmol}) \ \text{was} \ \text{added} \ \text{dropwise} \ \text{over} \ \text{2} \ \text{min} \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{mixture} \ \text{was} \ \text{stirred} \ \text{at} \ \text{R.T.} \ \text{for} \ 30 \ \text{min}. \ \text{H}_2\text{O} \ (10 \ mL) \ \text{and} \ \text{Et}_2\text{O} \ (10 \ mL) \ \text{were} \ \text{added} \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{layers} \ \text{were} \ \text{separated}. \ \text{The} \ \text{aqueous} \ \text{phase} \ \text{was} \ \text{extracted} \ \text{with} \ \text{Et}_2\text{O} \ (10 \ mL) \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{combined} \ \text{organic} \ \text{fractions} \ \text{were} \ \text{washed} \ \text{with} \ \text{brine} \ (15 \ mL), \ \text{and} \ \text{then} \ \text{dried} \ (\text{MgSO}_4). \ \text{The} \ \text{drying} \ \text{agent} \ \text{was} \ \text{removed} \ \text{by} \ \text{filtration} \ \text{and} \ \text{the} \ \text{filtrate} \ \text{concentrated} \ \text{under} \ \text{reduced} \ \text{pressure} \ \text{to} \ \text{provide} \ \text{the} \ \text{crude} \ \text{product}. \ \text{Purification} \ \text{by} \ \text{flash} \ \text{column} \ \text{chromatography} \ \text{(60}\% \ \text{hexane}, \ 20\% \ \text{toluene}, \ 20\% \ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2) \ \text{afforded} \ \text{dilol} \ (R)-\textbf{139} \ \text{as} \ \text{a} \ \text{yellow} \ \text{solid} \ (90 \ mg, \ 55\%): \ \text{mp} \ 228-231 \ ^\circ\text{C}; \ \text{R}_f = 0.16 \ (60\% \ \text{hexane}, \ 20\% \ \text{toluene}, \ 20\% \ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2); \ [\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +121.0 \ (c \ 1.0, \ \text{CHCl}_3); \ \nu_{\text{max}}\text{(neat)/cm}^{-1} \ 3530\text{m} \ (\text{OH}), \ 1620\text{m} \ (\text{C=}\text{C} \ \text{aromatic}), \ 1498\text{m}, \ 1436\text{m}, \ 1364\text{w}, \ 1345\text{m}, \ 1327\text{m}, \ 1255\text{m}, \ 1223\text{s} \ (\text{ArC–F}), \ 1148\text{m}, \ 1093\text{m}, \ 1025\text{m}, \ 936\text{m}, \ 918\text{s}, \ 836\text{s}, \ 784\text{m}, \ 734\text{s}; \ \delta_{\text{H}}(300 \ \text{MHz}) \ 5.08 \ (2\text{H}, \ \text{s, OH}), \ 7.26-7.34 \ (2\text{H}, \ \text{m, ArH}), \ 7.42-7.72 \ (14\text{H}, \ \text{stack, ArH}), \ \text{13}\text{t the crude product was loaded onto the column with a few drops of CH}_2\text{Cl}_2; \ \text{in total, three long columns were carried out with the mixed fractions to be able to fully separate the product from the F-H- and H-H-diols} \ \text{277}
7.82-8.05 (6H, stack, ArH), 8.35 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH), 8.40 (2H, d, J 8.6, ArH); \(\delta_{\text{C}}\) (75 MHz) 113.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 118.9 (quat. C, d, \(J^3\) 4.8, ipso Ar), 119.0 (quat. C, d, \(J^3\) 4.8, ipso Ar), 120.7 (CH, d, \(J^3\) 4.4, Ar), 120.8 (CH, d, \(J^3\) 4.4, Ar), 124.4 (CH, Ar), 124.7 (CH, Ar), 125.5 (CH, 2 \times Ar), 125.9 (CH, 2 \times Ar), 126.1 (quat. C, d, \(J^2\) 6.5, ipso Ar), 126.6 (quat. C, d, \(J^2\) 6.5, ipso Ar), 126.7 (CH, 2 \times Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 128.5 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.17 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.20 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.4 (CH, Ar), 133.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 151.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.6 (quat. C, \(J^1\) 257.3, ipso Ar); \(\delta_{\text{F}}\) (282 MHz) –129.2 (s, ArF); m/z (TOF ES+) 697.1 ([M + Na]+, 100%); HRMS: C\(_{48}\)H\(_{28}\)F\(_2\)O\(_4\)P Na calcd 697.1955 ([M + H]+), obsd 697.1956.

\((R)\)-[3,3’-Bis(10’’-fluoroanthracen-9’’-yl)-{1,1’}-binaphthalen-2,2’-yl]-phosphoric acid \((R)-32i\)

Chemical Formula: C\(_{48}\)H\(_{27}\)F\(_2\)O\(_4\)P

Exact Mass: 736.1615

Molecular Weight: 736.6961

Elemental Analysis: C, 78.26; H, 3.69; F, 5.16; O, 8.69; P, 4.20

Phosphoric acid \((R)-32i\) was prepared using a previously reported general procedure for the formation of different BINOL-derived phosphoric acids.\(^{[90]}\)

POCl\(_3\) (20 \(\mu\)L, 0.238 mmol) was added to a solution of diol \((R)-139\) (80 mg, 0.119 mmol) in pyridine (0.3 mL) at R.T. After stirring for 3 h at R.T., the reaction was quenched with H\(_2\)O (1
mL) and the resulting suspension was stirred overnight. CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was added and the pyridine was removed by reverse extraction with hydrochloric acid (1 M, 2 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), the drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (gradient: 100% CH₂Cl₂ → 95% CH₂Cl₂, 5% EtOH) afforded the phosphoric acid as a brown solid. The product was extracted with hydrochloric acid (4 M, 10 mL) again, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired phosphoric acid (R)-221 as a brown solid (80 mg, 91%): mp 248-253 °C (dec.); $R_f = 0.23$ (95% CH₂Cl₂, 5% EtOH); $[\alpha]_{D}^{21} = +11.9$ (c 0.47, CHCl₃); $\nu_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm⁻¹ 3058w, 2924w, 2852w, 1625w, 1562w, 1487w, 1414w, 1379s, 1325m, 1277w, 1229m, 1184m, 1148m, 1096m, 1021s, 971m, 947m, 888m, 849m, 822m, 786w, 763s, 749s, 701s, 675m; $\delta_{\text{H}}$(300 MHz) 5.49 (1H, br s, OH), 7.06-7.76 (19H, stack, ArH), 7.92-8.06 (5H, stack, ArH), 8.18 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$, ArH); $\delta_{\text{C}}$(100 MHz) 118.4 (d, $^{2}J_{C-F} 19.9$, ipso Ar), 118.6 (d, $^{2}J_{C-F} 19.9$, ipso Ar), 119.7 (d, $J_{C-F} 3.0$), 120.5 (d, $J_{C-F} 4.0$), 122.4, 125.0, 125.4, 125.8, 126.1, 126.3, 127.0, 127.3, 128.5, 130.3, 130.7, 131.1, 131.4, 132.7, 134.1, 146.5 (d, $J_{C-P} 9.2$, ipso Ar), 154.2 (d, $^{1}J_{C-F} 256.3$, ipso Ar), resonance overlap; $\delta_{\text{F}}$(212 MHz) 0.82 (s, P(O)OH); $\delta_{\text{F}}$(282 MHz) −130.1 (s, ArF); m/z (TOF ES−) 735.1 ([M − H]⁻, 100%); HRMS: C₄₈H₃₀O₄PF₂ calcd 735.1537 ([M − H]⁻), obsd 735.1542.

(R)- and (S)-2-Hydroxy-2'-methoxy-1,1'-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-221[166]
(R)- and (S)-2-Hydroxy-2’-methoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-221 were prepared using a variation of a previously reported procedure:[166]

DEAD (785 µL, 5.00 mmol) was added dropwise over 2 min to a solution of (S)-BINOL (S)-109 (1.43 g, 5.00 mmol), Ph₃P (1.31 g, 5.00 mmol) and anhydrous MeOH (1.0 mL, 24.7 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at R.T. After stirring for 24 h, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting pale pink solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and hexane was added under vigorous stirring leading to precipitation of the by-product N,N-bis(ethoxycarbonyl)hydrazine. Removal of the white fluffy solid by filtration and concentration of the filtrate under reduced pressure provided the crude product as a pale pink foam. Purification by flash column chromatography (100% toluene) afforded (S)-monomethyl ether (S)-221 as a white foamy solid (1.25 g, 83%): mp 69-71 °C (lit.[166] mp 89-91 °C); Rf = 0.15 (100% toluene); [α]D²¹ = +53.6 (c 0.41, CHCl₃) (lit.[166] [α]D²₈ = +44.8 (c 1.4, CHCl₃), 99.3% ee);¹⁴ Found: C, 84.06; H, 5.39. C₂₁H₁₆O₂ requires C, 83.98; H, 5.37%; νmax (film)/cm⁻¹ 3498m br (OH), 3422m br (OH), 3958m, 2937w, 2839m (OCH₃), 1954w, 1620s (C=C aromatic), 1592s (C=C aromatic), 1507s (C=C aromatic), 1462m, 1431w, 1380m, 1362w, 1346w, 1332m, 1300w, 1264s, 1248s, 1173m, 1147s, 1129m, 1083s, 1055m, 1020m, 972w, 940w, 905w, 863w, 813s, 708w, 573w; δH (300 MHz) 3.80 (3H, s, OC₃H₉), 4.94 (1H, br s, OH), 7.06 (1H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.15-7.41 (6H, stack, ArH), 7.48 (1H, d, J 9.2, ArH), 7.84-7.94 (3H, stack, ArH), 8.05 (1H, d, J 9.2, ArH); δC (75 MHz) 56.6 (CH₃, OCH₃), 113.8 (CH, Ar), 115.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 115.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 117.4 (CH, Ar), 123.2 (CH, Ar), 124.1 (CH, Ar), 124.8 (CH, Ar), 124.9 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 128.09 (CH, Ar), 128.10 (CH, Ar), 129.1 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.7 (CH, Ar), 131.0 (CH, Ar), 133.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 134.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 151.2 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 155.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (El) 300 ([M]+, 100%), 285 (10, [M – CH₃]+), 268 (19), 239 (18), 228 (10), 119 (12), 84 (20), 49 (25).

¹⁴ the ee-value could not be determined by chiral HPLC owing to poor resolution of the racemic mixture
The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomer: $[\alpha]_D^{21} = -51.9$ ($c$ 0.45, CHCl$_3$).

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[166]}$

(R)- and (S)-2-$(N,N$-Dimethylthiocarbamoyloxy)$-2$'-methoxy-1,1$'$-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-222$^{[215]}$

![Chemical structures of (R)-222 and (S)-222](image)

Chemical Formula: C$_{24}$H$_{21}$NO$_2$S
Exact Mass: 387.1293
Molecular Weight: 387.4951
Elemental Analysis: C, 74.39; H, 5.46; N, 3.61; O, 8.26; S, 8.28

(R)- and (S)-2-$(N,N$-Dimethylthiocarbamoyloxy)$-2$'-methoxy-1,1$'$-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-222 were prepared using a previously reported procedure for the formation of (R)- and (S)-2-$(N,N$-dimethylthiocarbamoyloxy)$-2$'-hydroxy-1,1$'$-binaphthyl.$^{[170]}$

$N,N$-Dimethylcarbamoyl chloride (1.17 g, 9.47 mmol) was added to a solution of (S)-2-hydroxy-2$'$-methoxy-1,1$'$-binaphthyl (S)-221 (2.47 g, 8.22 mmol), NEt$_3$ (1.38 mL, 9.90 mmol) and DMAP (251 mg, 2.05 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (80 mL) at R.T. After heating at reflux for 60 h, more $N,N$-dimethylcarbamoyl chloride (1.60 g, 12.9 mmol), NEt$_3$ (1.00 mL, 7.17 mmol) and DMAP (251 mg, 2.05 mmol) were added to the yellow mixture. After heating at reflux for another 24 h, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (100 mL) and hydrochloric acid (0.1M, 200 mL) were added to the
orange solution. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL) and dried (MgSO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Recrystallisation from EtOH afforded (S)-thiocarbamate (S)-222 as colourless crystals (2.81 g, 88%): mp 161-164 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{21} = -102.8$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 0:100); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 1% IPA in hexane, $\lambda = 254$ nm, $t_R = 36.5$ min; (Found: C, 74.35; H, 5.67; N, 3.33. C$_{24}$H$_{21}$NO$_2$S requires C, 74.39; H, 5.46; N, 3.61%); $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3012m, 2838w (OCH$_3$), 1719w, 1622m (C=C aromatic), 1592m (C=C aromatic), 1533s (C=C aromatic), 1509s (C=C aromatic), 1475m, 1462m, 1430w, 1396s, 1359w, 1330w, 1289s, 1272s, 1261s, 1250s, 1194w, 1147s, 1128w, 1085m, 1056m, 1020m, 986w, 906w, 863w, 811s; $\delta_{H}$ (300 MHz) 2.50 (3H, s, NC$_3$H$_3$), 3.08 (3H, s, NC$_3$H$_3$), 3.75 (3H, s, OC$_3$H$_3$), 7.19-7.34 (5H, stack, ArH), 7.37-7.48 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.58 (1H, d, J 8.8, ArH), 7.83 (1H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.91-8.01 (3H, stack, ArH); $\delta_{C}$ (75 MHz) 37.5 (CH$_3$, NCH$_3$), 42.6 (CH$_3$, NCH$_3$), 56.6 (CH$_3$, OCH$_3$), 113.5 (CH, Ar), 117.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.4 (CH, Ar), 123.6 (CH, Ar), 125.37 (CH, Ar), 125.45 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 125.9 (CH, Ar), 126.17 (CH, Ar), 126.21 (CH, Ar), 126.3 (CH, Ar), 127.5 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 128.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.8 (CH, Ar), 131.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 149.5 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 155.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 186.5 (quat. C, C=S); m/z (EI) 387 ([M]$^+$, 100%), 284 (12, [M – (CH$_3$ + C(S)N(CH$_3$)$_2$)]$^+$), 268 (37), 239 (25), 226 (15); HRMS: C$_{24}$H$_{21}$NO$_2$S calcd 387.1293 ([M]$^+$), obsd 387.1281.

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomer: $[\alpha]_{D}^{21} = +100.8$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 100:0); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 1% IPA in hexane, $\lambda = 254$ nm, $t_R = 29.2$ min.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[215]}$
(R)- and (S)-2-(N,N-Dimethylcarbamoylthio)-2′-methoxy-1,1′-binaphthyl (R)- and (S)-223

were prepared using a previously reported procedure for the formation of (R)- and (S)-2-(N,N-dimethylcarbamoyloxy)-2′-(N,N-dimethylcarbamoylthio)-1,1′-binaphthyl.\cite{170}

(S)-2-(N,N-Dimethylthiocarbamoyloxy)-2′-methoxy-1,1′-binaphthyl (S)-222 (2.70 g, 6.97 mmol) was heated (3.5 h at 250 °C) under argon. After cooling to R.T., the dark-brown mass was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and activated charcoal (5 g) was added. The suspension was stirred for 6 h at R.T. Removal of the charcoal by filtration and concentration of the filtrate under reduced pressure provided the crude product as a yellow-brown foamy solid. Purification by flash column chromatography (100% CH₂Cl₂) afforded (S)-carbamate (S)-223 as a white foamy solid (2.23 g, 83%); mp 80-83 °C; $R_f = 0.16$ (100% CH₂Cl₂); $[\alpha]_D^{21} = +19.2$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃, e.r. 98.7:1.3); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, $\lambda = 254$ nm, $t_R = 13.2$ min; ν max (film)/cm⁻¹: 3010m, 2935m, 2839w (OCH₃), 1661s (C=O), 1623w (C=C aromatic), 1594m (C=C aromatic), 1510m (C=C aromatic), 1462m, 1406w, 1364m, 1333w, 1270s, 1260s, 1251s, 1216s, 1179w, 1148m, 1096s, 1052m, 1020m, 952w, 908m, 869w, 811s, 691w; δ H (300 MHz) 2.77 (6H, br s, N(CH₃)₂), 3.73 (3H, s, OCH₃), 7.05 (1H, d, J
8.5, ArH), 7.11-7.34 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.39-7.48 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.79-8.01 (5H, stack, ArH); δ (75 MHz) 36.7 (CH₃, N(CH₃)$_2$), 56.6 (CH₃, OCH₃), 113.6 (CH, Ar), 121.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.6 (CH, Ar), 125.5 (CH, Ar), 126.1 (CH, Ar), 126.4 (CH, Ar), 126.5 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 127.9 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 128.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 129.7 (CH, Ar), 133.18 (CH, Ar), 133.20 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.4 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 138.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 166.5 (quat. C, C=O); m/z (TOF ES+) 410.0 ([M + Na]$^+$, 100%); HRMS: C$_{24}$H$_{21}$NO$_2$SNa calcd 410.1191 ([M + Na]$^+$), obsd 410.1188.

The same protocol was followed for the preparation of the (R)-enantiomer: [α]$_D$$^{20}$ = –18.1 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$, e.r. 2.7:97.3); Chiralpak AD column, 1.0 mL/min, 10% IPA in hexane, $\lambda$ = 254 nm, $t_R$ = 28.5 min.

Data were in agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{[215]}

(R)-2-Mercapto-2'-methoxy-1,1'-binaphthyl (R)-224 and (R,R)-bis(2'-methoxy-1,1'-binaphthyl-2-yl)disulfide (R,R)-225

![Chemical structure of (R)-224](image)

Chemical Formula: C$_{21}$H$_{16}$OS
Exact Mass: 316.0922
Molecular Weight: 316.4171
Elemental Analysis: C, 79.71; H, 5.10; O, 5.06; S, 10.13

(R)-224
(R)-2-Mercapto-2’-methoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)-224 and (R,R)-bis(2’-methoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl-2-yl)disulfide (R,R)-225 as a by-product were prepared using a variation of a previously reported procedure for the formation of 2-hydroxy-2’-mercaptopo-1,1’-binaphthyl:[170]

(R)-2-(N,N-Dimethylcarbamoylthio)-2’-methoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)-223 (814 mg, 2.10 mmol) was added to a solution of KOH (1.41 g, 25.2 mmol) in a mixture of degassed MeOH/H$_2$O (4:1, 40 mL) and the resulting suspension was heated at reflux for 5 h. After cooling to R.T., the mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure providing a pale yellow solid which was dissolved in deoxygenated H$_2$O (45 mL). After acidification with concentrated hydrochloric acid (3.5 mL), the product was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$). The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (40% hexane, 60% toluene) afforded, in order of elution, thiol (R)-224 as a white foamy solid (485 mg, 73%): mp 105-107 °C; $R_f = 0.38$ (40% hexane, 60% toluene); [$\alpha$]$_D^{23} = +6.3$ (c 0.51, CHCl$_3$); (Found: C, 79.87; H, 4.91. C$_{21}$H$_{16}$OS requires C, 79.71; H, 5.10%); $\nu$$_{\text{max}}$(film)/cm$^{-1}$ 3054m, 3006m, 2934m, 2838m (OCH$_3$), 2566w (SH), 1954w, 1814w, 1755w, 1621m (C=C aromatic), 1592s (C=C aromatic), 1562w, 1504s (C=C aromatic), 1472m, 1461m, 1431m, 1402w, 1352m, 1322m, 1271s, 1261s, 1250s, 1179m, 1148m, 1136w, 1126m, 1082s, 1052m, 1020m, 952w, 907m, 860m, 845w, 809s, 710w, 631w, 617w; $\delta$$_H$(300 MHz) 3.26 (1H, s, SH), 3.81 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 7.04
(1H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.07 (1H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 7.19-7.30 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.32-7.41 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.48 (1H, d, J 8.8, ArH), 7.55 (1H, d, J 8.8, ArH), 7.80-7.92 (3H, stack, ArH), 8.04 (1H, d, J 9.2, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz) 56.7 (CH₃, OCH₃), 113.9 (CH, Ar), 120.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.9 (CH, Ar), 124.6 (CH, Ar), 124.9 (CH, Ar), 125.3 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.01 (CH, Ar), 127.04 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.3 (CH, Ar), 130.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 339 ([M + Na]+, 100%); HRMS: C₂₁H₁₆OSNa calc 339.0820 ([M + Na]+), obsd 339.0811; and then disulfide (R,R)-225 as a pale yellow solid (73 mg, 11%): mp 269-273 °C; R_f = 0.23 (40% hexane, 60% toluene); [α]D²³ = −103.4 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); (Found: C, 79.93; H, 4.92. C₄₂H₃₀O₂S₂ requires C, 79.97; H, 4.79%); ν_max (film)/cm⁻¹ 3056m, 3010m, 2935w, 2838w (OCH₃), 1951w, 1757w, 1622m (C=C aromatic), 1592s (C=C aromatic), 1562w, 1504s (C=C aromatic), 1461m, 1431m, 1402w, 1351m, 1331m, 1269s, 1247s, 1178w, 1149m, 1134w, 1121m, 1079s, 1050m, 1020m, 956w, 904m, 859m, 809s, 686w, 627w; δ_H (300 MHz) 3.80 (6H, s, OCH₃), 6.98 (2H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 7.10 (2H, d, J 8.5, ArH), 7.18-7.26 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.32-7.41 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.46 (2H, d, J 9.2, ArH), 7.85-7.75 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.81 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 7.90 (2H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 8.04 (2H, d, J 9.2, ArH); δ_C (75 MHz) 56.7 (CH₃, OCH₃), 113.9 (CH, Ar), 120.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 123.9 (CH, Ar), 124.6 (CH, Ar), 124.9 (CH, Ar), 125.3 (CH, Ar), 126.6 (CH, Ar), 127.01 (CH, Ar), 127.04 (CH, Ar), 128.0 (CH, Ar), 128.1 (CH, Ar), 128.2 (CH, Ar), 129.3 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.3 (CH, Ar), 130.8 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 130.9 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 131.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.0 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 133.6 (quat. C, ipso Ar), 154.7 (quat. C, ipso Ar); m/z (TOF ES+) 653 ([M + Na]+, 100%); HRMS: C₄₂H₃₀O₂S₂Na calc 653.1585 ([M + Na]+), obsd 653.1571.
(R)-2-Methoxy-2’-sulfonic-acid-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)-226

![Chemical Structure](image)

Chemical Formula: C_{21}H_{16}O_{4}S  
Exact Mass: 364.0769  
Molecular Weight: 364.4153  
Elemental Analysis: C, 69.21; H, 4.43; O, 17.56; S, 8.80

(R)-2-Methoxy-2’-sulfonic-acid-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)-226 was prepared using a previously reported procedure for a common formation of sulfonic acids.[164,175]

A suspension of (R)-2-mercapto-2’-methoxy-1,1’-binaphthyl (R)-224 (100 mg, 0.316 mmol) in acetic acid (1 mL) was stirred at 60 °C for 15 min before it was treated with H_{2}O_{2} (35% in water, 140 µL, 1.58 mmol) dropwise over 5 min. Over 20 min the white suspension darkened and the resulting clear dark brown solution was stirred for 3 h at 60 °C. After cooling to R.T., the acetic acid was removed azeotropically with toluene. An attempt to purify the product by recrystallisation from toluene afforded impure sulfonic acid (R)-226 as a pale brown solid (14 mg, 12%): δ_{H}(300 MHz) 3.76 (3H, s, OCH_{3}), 6.82 (1H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 6.95 (1H, br s, SO_{3}H), 7.09-7.41 (4H, stack, ArH), 7.53-7.68 (2H, stack, ArH), 7.91 (1H, d, J 8.1, ArH), 8.03-8.28 (4H, stack, ArH); m/z (TOF ES–) 363 ([M – H]^{–}, 100%); HRMS: C_{21}H_{15}O_{4}S calcd 363.0691 ([M – H]^{–}), obsd 363.0706.
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