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Abstract

The skin is continuously being shed and therefore it is vital that it is renewed. Epidermal self-renewal is dependent on a population of keratinocyte stem cells (KSC) that reside in the basal layer. During epidermal regeneration, KSC divide asymmetrically giving rise to more stem cells as well as committed progenitors. Committed progenitors exit the cell cycle before going on to differentiate to form the highly resilient cells that make up the outermost layer. It is thought that committed progenitors and KSC are differentially regulated therefore allowing for such different behaviors.

Rnd3 is an atypical GTPase that is constitutively active and has been previously shown to regulate keratinocyte differentiation. However, the identification of the molecular mechanism is currently unknown.

The work presented here shows that Rnd3 depletion enriches for keratinocytes with a number of ‘stem-like’ phenotypes including reduced differentiation, reduced cell size, increased adhesion to ECM proteins and a deregulation of putative stem cell markers. Furthermore, using a quantitative proteomic approach, it can be seen that Rnd3 regulated the abundance of proteins involved in regulating stem cell function.

This work proposes a function for Rnd3 in the regulation of key proteins involved keratinocyte differentiation and self-renewal.
“Sometimes, if you stand on the bottom rail of a bridge and lean over to watch the river slipping slowly away beneath you, you will suddenly know everything there is to be known.”

— A.A. Milne

* Dedicated to the love of my life, my loving Dad, my Aba. 
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 The Epidermis

The skin is the body's first point of contact with the external environment and functions as a protective barrier against daily microbial and chemical threats as well as regulating internal fluid homeostasis and bodily temperatures (Brenner and Hearing, 2010) (Figure 1.1).

The outermost layer of the skin, the epidermis, is a morphologically distinct epithelial tissue, which comprises of multiple cell types that act together to ensure that the skin functions as an effective barrier (Fuchs et al., 2007). Keratinocytes are the predominant cell type found in the epidermis, making up approximately 95% of the total cell content. Other cell types include melanocytes, langerhan cells and merkel cells (Fuchs et al., 1990; Brenner and Hearing, 2010). Together, these cells function to ensure that the skin is maintained as an effective barrier throughout life. Keratinocytes are spatially organized into a number of distinct layers where each layer hosts cells at different stages of differentiation (Figure 1.2). Well-defined gene expression networks result in global changes in cell morphology and behavior and coordinates the formation of each layer. In this way, cells at different layers can be identified by distinct morphological and bimolecular phenotypes.
Figure 1.1 The mammalian skin
The mammalian skin is a specialized organ that functions to protect the body from external stresses. The epidermis is the outermost layer and sits directly on a basement membrane that comprises of ECM proteins. The basement membrane overlays the dermis. These tissues, in concert with one another allow the skin to function as an effective barrier.
Figure 1.2 The Epidermis
The epidermis is a highly organized epithelial tissue. The basal layer consists of two pools of mitotic progenitor cells, keratinocyte stem cells (KSC) (pink) and committed progenitors (beige). Each superseding layer host’s keratinocytes undergoing different stages of differentiation resulting in the formation of the highly resilient squames that makes up the outermost surface (stratum corneum). These are continuously being shed throughout life and are replaced by committed progenitors that transverse upwards.
The innermost layer comprises of a mixed population of undifferentiated progenitors whereby a sub-population of these can divide a limited number of times before leaving the cell cycle and undergoing a process of terminal differentiation. Terminal differentiation leads to the formation of superseding layers that are home to cells that are increasingly more differentiated. Fully differentiated keratinocytes or squames are located at the outermost layer and interconnect together to form a highly resilient and robust barrier that is characteristic of the skin (Watt et al, 2001; Fuchs et al 2007).

The spatial organization of keratinocytes is essential to ensure that the epidermis is maintained. Central to this, is the process of terminal differentiation and its regulation. Terminal differentiation is a multifaceted process and its regulation is finely tuned so that epidermal architecture is maintained (Figure 1.3) (Fuchs and Raghaven, 2002; Simpson et al, 2011).

1.2 The Regulation of Terminal differentiation

Terminal differentiation is a complex process that involves the co-ordination of gene expression changes as well as changes in intracellular adhesion and intercellular adhesion in response to numerous cell stimuli in a time dependent manner. This ensures that the epidermis is always intact as well as additionally ensuring that terminal differentiation occurs only when epidermal regeneration is required (Figure 1.3).

1.3 The regulation of intracellular adhesion during terminal differentiation

Terminal differentiation is initiated in progenitor keratinocytes located at the basal layer. The basal layer consists of a mixed population of progenitors, keratinocyte stem cells (KSC) and early progenitors that have an ability to differentiate (committed progenitors). These are attached to the basement membrane, which consist of a mix of
extracellular proteins including laminin, collagen and fibronectin (Reviewed in Breitkreytz et al, 2009). Attachment of basal keratinocytes to the basement membrane is facilitated largely through hemidesmosomal binding to ECM proteins. Hemidesmosomes are small multi-protein structures located at the basal side of basal keratinocytes. They function to anchor progenitor keratinocytes to the basement membrane by linking them to the intermediate filament network (IF network) consisting of keratin 5 (K5) and keratin 14 (K14) (Walko et al, 2015). Integrins are at the core of hemidesmosomal function and are expressed at the surface of basal keratinocytes. Integrins are multifunctional heterodimeric transmembrane cell surface receptors that are made up of one alpha subunit and one beta subunit. They function by transducing signals either via the binding of extracellular ligands or via the alterations in receptor dynamics in response to intercellular events (Walko et al, 2015). In the epidermis, integrins α3β1, α6β4 and α2β1 are expressed predominantly. The function of integrins in maintaining epidermal integrity can be exemplified by knock-out studies. Ablation of α6β4 leads to a loss in hemidesmosomes and therefore a subsequent loss of binding of basal keratinocytes onto the basement membrane (Raymond et al, 2005).

Furthermore, it has been previously shown that terminal differentiation is regulated by cell adhesion to the basement membrane. Specifically, the expression of β1 integrins is crucial in ensuring committed progenitors undergo terminal differentiation. Upon differentiation stimuli β1 integrin expression is down regulated, therefore allowing committed progenitors to detach from the basement membrane and transverse upwards (Hotchin, et al 1992). In addition to this, cells that are artificially suspended (so are no longer adhered to the ECM) are shown to exit the cell cycle and express late differentiation genes (Watt et al, 1989).
Figure 1.3. Terminal differentiation:

All basal keratinocytes are attached to the basement membrane with integrins and express K5 and K14. Upon terminal differentiation in committed progenitors (Beige), integrins are down regulated allowing them to detach from the ECM. K1 and K10 are expressed, which assemble into tonofilaments in spinous keratinocytes. Later stages of differentiation lead to the formation of granular keratinocytes that contain lamellar granules. These hold proteins that are necessary for the process of cornification such as profilligrin, a precursor to filligrin. Profilligrin is proteolysed into monomeric filligrin, which acts to bind to keratin tonofilaments, and allows for their aggregation to form extensive networks of microfibrils. These microfibrils are then cross-linked by transglutaminase resulting in the formation of a matrix of insoluble keratins. This then pulls at the plasma membrane, which as a result flattens the cell. The outermost layer or the stratum corneum is formed of these flat squames. These are metabolically inactive and release lytic enzymes, which leads to the degradation of cellular organelles as well as the lipid bilayer. The resulting lipids are deposited between squames therefore waterproofing the skin (Blanpain and Fuchs, 2009).
1.4 The regulation of intracellular adhesion during terminal differentiation

In addition to the regulation of keratinocyte ECM interactions, intracellular adhesion is involved in regulating terminal differentiation.

The differential expression of multiple keratin isoforms additionally distinguishes basal cells from differentiated cells. Keratins are thought to function as ‘stabilizers’ of intracellular adhesion. During terminal differentiation, the expression of K5 and K14 (expressed in basal cells) is switched off in favor of the expression of K1 and K10 (Fuchs et al, 2007). The expression of K1 and K10 is therefore up regulated in suprabasal cells and allows for the formation of extensive, highly keratinized filaments via keratin bundling. These filaments can associate with one another forming higher order bundles of keratin tonofilaments, which then interact with desmosomes in the spinous layer (Fuchs and Horseley, 2008). Keratin tonofilaments together with desmosomes give the spinous layer mechanical strength and allows for the binding of other structural proteins such as involucrin and loricrin during the later stages of differentiation.

An extensive transcellular network of anchoring junctions is vital in ensuring that the ‘living’ layer of the epidermis is held together and to ensure that the epidermis can withstand continual mechanical stress. These anchoring junctions can connect neighboring cells as well as interact directly with the cytoskeleton therefore acting to strengthen points of contact between cells. These anchoring junctions include adherens junctions and desmosomes.
Figure 1.4. Desmosomal architecture

Desmosomes are made up of a number of components that allow cells to withstand mechanical stress. A number of epidermal disorders arise from mutations in the genes that express desmosomal proteins (red text). Symptoms usually include: skin fragility and blistering, and defects in epidermal development. For a more comprehensive review see Lai-Chong et al, 2007. (Adapted from Ihrie and Attardi et al, 2005)
Adherens junctions directly interact with the actin cytoskeleton and are composed of E-cadherin and P-cadherin. E-cadherin is expressed in all layers of the epidermis and P-cadherin is expressed exclusively in the basal layer. The intracellular domain of the cadherin directly associates with the armadillo protein β-catenin. β-catenin can associate with the actin regulator protein α-catenin, which can directly bind with actin microfilaments (Andl et al, 2010; Brandner et al, 2010; Hartstock et al, 2008).

Desmosomes consist of desmosomal cadherin proteins, Desmoglein (Dsg 1-4) and desmocolin (Dsc 1-3). Dsg and Dsc are crucial for desmosomal adhesion and can form homotypic and heterotypic extracellular interactions (Garrod and Chidgey 2008; Getsios et al, 2004). The intracellular domains of each cadherin can associate with the armadillo proteins, plakoglobin and plakophilin (pkps 1-4). These can directly bind to desmosomal plaque proteins such as desmoplakin. Desmoplakin can directly bind to the intermediate filament cytoskeletal network (Getsios et al 2004) (Figure 1.4). In addition to this Perp, a tetraspanin membrane protein specifically localizes to desmosomes, although whether the presence of Perp contributes to desmosome structure is unknown (Garrod and Chidgey, 2008).

The interaction of adherens junctions and desmosomes are regulated through cadherin-cadherin interactions on neighboring cells. Cadherins consist of extensive calcium binding sites and therefore cadherin-cadherin interactions are largely calcium dependent (Dusek et al, 2007, Al-Moudi et al, 2007).

The internal calcium concentration in the epidermis is thought to regulate the distribution of desmosomes throughout the different layers of the epidermis. The basal layer has a lower extracellular calcium concentration and therefore basal cells have fewer desmosomes. Conversely, spinous cells are held together with more desmosome junctions and this is thought to reflect an increase in calcium
concentration (Bickle et al, 2012). Interestingly, granular cells express very few desmosomes as the expression of proteins such as transglutaminases during the late stages of differentiation; crosslinks the intracellular components of desmosomes and this leads to the subsequent degradation of remaining components (Brandner et al, 2010; McMillan et al, 2003; Simon et al, 2001).

The adverse effects on the integrity of the epidermis exemplifies the importance of the precise spatial organization of desmosomes when components of desmosomes are deregulated (Figure 1.4). Therefore, desmosomes are essential towards ensuring that correct barrier formation is obtained.

Taken together, both intercellular and intracellular adhesion are essential in regulating terminal differentiation. Both processes ensure that epidermal keratinocytes can withstand daily mechanical stresses whilst retaining its spatial organization and architecture.

1.5 Transcriptional regulation of terminal differentiation

Terminal differentiation is a complex process that consists of multiple stages leading to progressively complex changes to cell morphology and behavior. To ensure that each stage of terminal differentiation is regulated at the appropriate stage and in the appropriate way, each process is regulated by key transcriptional events. In this way, gene expression signatures can distinguish keratinocytes at each stage of differentiation as different genes are expressed in response to different differentiation events.

1.5.1 The TP63 family of transcription factors

p63 is part of the p53 family of transcription factors and is often described as the ‘master regulator’ of keratinocyte terminal differentiation (Yang et al, 2002). In
humans, it exists in two functional isoforms. Both of these isoforms arise from a single gene with two distinct promoters (Vanbokhoven et al, 2011) (Figure 1.5) One promoter gives rise to a form that contains a transactivation domain (TA isoform) and one without a TA domain (ΔN isoform). These isoforms are additionally alternatively spliced to give rise to α, β and γ forms (Koster et al, 2004) (Figure 1.5).

Initial studies have suggested that the TA domain is important for transcriptional activity and it was suggested that the ΔN isoforms had little or no transcriptional activity of its own. Instead, it was believed that the ΔN isoforms act to represses TAp63 transcriptional activity in a dominant negative way. However, further studies pointed towards the idea that ΔNp63 may have transcriptional activity in a way that is distinct to TAp63. Furthermore, it was suggested that the ΔNp63 isoforms have specific regions in its structure that interact with specific transcriptional targets (Koster et al, 2004). Therefore, suggesting that each isoform can regulate different gene expression networks.

Knockout studies in the mouse model have additionally shown that the deletion of all p63 isoforms leads to poor epidermal barrier formation and postnatal death. Furthermore, it was shown that TAp63 is primarily expressed in suprabasal layers during epithelial morphogenesis and is involved in regulating the expression of late terminal differentiation genes (Koh et al, 2015). ΔNp63 on the other hand is expressed almost entirely in the basal layer and is rapidly down regulated during terminal differentiation. It has been shown to be important in regulating the proliferative potential of stem/progenitor keratinocyte population (see section 1.2.5.5). Interestingly, postnatally TA isoforms are only weakly expressed whereas the ΔN isoforms are expressed in the basal layer throughout life and therefore is the primary isoform expressed in human epidermis after birth (Senoo et al, 2007). Furthermore,
studies that have reintroduced TA isoforms into a p63 null background lead to no obvious changes in epidermal homeostasis whereas reintroducing the ΔN isoforms leads to partial rescue of epidermal regeneration suggesting that other isoforms of p63 may be functionally redundant, postnatally (Romano et al, 2009)

Figure 1.5. The TP63 family of transcription factors

P63 is a multidomain protein, which consists of transactivating domain (TA), DNA binding domain (DBD), OD domain (Oligomerisation domain), SAM domain (Steric alpha motif) and ID domain (inhibitory domain). p63 exists in two isoforms expressed from two distinct promoters. The TA isoform consists of a transcriptionally active transactivation domain whereas the ΔNP63 isoform does not have this domain. Each isoform is alternatively spliced giving rise to five sub isotypes. Postnatally, the ΔNP63α isoform is expressed predominantly (highlighted in orange). It is thought that this isoform is involved in regulating adult KSCs.

1.5.2 AP-1

AP-1 transcription factors have been additionally shown to be involved in regulating the expression of late terminal differentiation genes.
Interestingly, many late terminal differentiation genes such as involucrin, loricrin and fillagrin are clustered on a 2Mb region of chromosome 1q21. This cluster of genes is called the epidermal differentiation complex (EDC). The EDC hosts three genes families, the cornified envelope precursor family which include involucrin and loricrin, the S100 family, which express the S100 family of proteins such as S100A7 (psoriasin) S100A8 and S100A9 and the fused gene family which include fillagrin. It is not fully understood as to why these genes are clustered in this way, however it has been suggested that a single ‘master’ gene may transcriptionally regulate these collectively, however the elucidation of this factor has yet to be determined. Instead it is thought that multiple ubiquitously expressed transcription factors regulate terminal differentiation through the regulation of this gene cluster. These transcription factors include AP-1 transcription factors. AP-1 transcription factors comprise of different combinations of jun family members which include c-jun, junB and junD, as well as Fos family members which include Fra1, Fra2, c-fos and fosB. Each of these can form different dimer pairs, where each dimer pair can exert different transcriptional effects. Moreover, different members of the family are expressed in different layers of the epidermis, where different dimer pairs are thought to regulate various aspects of terminal differentiation (reviewed in Ekert et al, 2013). For example, junB represses involucrin and transglutaminase expression via the MAPK cascade. Whereas, JunD functions to activate loricrin and filligrin. Other transcription factors involved in the regulation of late terminal differentiation are reviewed in Kypriotou et al, 2012.

The importance of AP-1 transcription factors during terminal differentiation can be exemplified when the transcriptional activity of all AP1 factors are repressed using a dominant negative mutant of c-jun, TAM67. Here, it was shown that epidermal differentiation and stratification is repressed therefore suggesting that AP1 are
important factors in regulating different aspects of keratinocyte differentiation (Han et al, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Mutated Gene</th>
<th>Effect on Terminal differentiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ichthyosis Vulgaris</td>
<td>FIL</td>
<td>Defective keratohyalin granules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vohwinkels syndrome</td>
<td>LOR</td>
<td>Reduced cornification and thin barrier formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harlequin ichthyosis</td>
<td>ABCA1</td>
<td>Deficiency in lipid transport, disintegration of epidermal barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vohwinkels Syndrome</td>
<td>LOR</td>
<td>Reduced cornification and thin barrier formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherton Syndrome</td>
<td>SPINK5</td>
<td>Proteolytic degradation of stratum corneum/disintegration of the stratum corneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopic Dermatitis</td>
<td>Multiple genes in the EDC</td>
<td>Defective epidermal architecture, weak barrier formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>Multiple genes in the EDC</td>
<td>Defective epidermal architecture, weak barrier formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullous congenital ichthyosisform</td>
<td>K1, K10</td>
<td>Defects in formation of keratin tonofilaments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeling skin syndrome</td>
<td>TGM5, CDSN</td>
<td>Poor epidermal barrier formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankyloblepharon-ectodermal defects-cleft lip/palate</td>
<td>TP63</td>
<td>Defective transcription of terminal differentiation genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermolysis bullosa simplex</td>
<td>KRT5 and KRT14</td>
<td>Defective intermediate filament formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethal congenital epidermolysis bullosa</td>
<td>JUP</td>
<td>Defective desmosomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1 Diseases associated with mutations in key terminal differentiation genes. Table 1.1 lists disease states that are associated with the corresponding gene. It can be seen that mutation in key regulators of differentiation can be the causative factors for many different disease states by having an effect on different aspects of terminal differentiation.

1.6 Epidermal self-renewal

The epidermis is a regenerative tissue and therefore relies on KSCs to be constantly renewed to maintain barrier function. Terminally differentiated cells are post mitotic and are shed from the stratum corneum continuously; therefore it is imperative that KSCs divide to replenish both committed progenitor and the KSC pools (Watt et al, 2006). In addition to this, it is essential that that the rate of KSC division is regulated.
and terminal differentiation only occur when it is needed. The importance of this balance can be exemplified by a variety of skin disorders such as atopic dermatitis, ichthyosis, psoriasis and skin malignancies, where different stages of terminal differentiation are altered due to mutations in key differentiation genes (reviewed in Seagre et al 2006) (Table 1.1).

Central to the self-renewable capacity of the epidermis is the nature by which KSC cell division occurs. Currently a number of models have been proposed as to how KSC are regulated to maintain the epidermis (Figure 1.6).

The first model is the hierarchal model (Clayton et al, 2007). This model is based on the idea that the keratinocytes in the basal layer exist in discrete units that have differing proliferative capacities called ‘epidermal proliferative units’ or EPUS. Each unit is thought to consist of two distinct pools, a pool of committed progenitors and KSCs, which sit directly on the basal membrane. It is thought that both of these pools are maintained by a ‘master’ quiescent KSC. In order to ensure the epidermis is always maintained it is thought that this quiescent KSC has an ability to divide asymmetrically giving rise to KSCs as well as committed progenitors.

Committed progenitors can divide a fixed number of times before leaving the cell cycle and differentiating further. Whereas the resulting stem cells will only divide when the committed progenitor pool has been depleted. In this way the stem cell pool is both constantly being replenished as well at the more differentiated layers always being maintained (Clayton et al, 2007).

The second model, the stochastic model, proposes that a single undifferentiated progenitor exists at the basement membrane (Mascre et al, 2012). It is thought that this progenitor can undergo both asymmetric and symmetric divisions at a rate that
meets the requirements of the regenerating epidermis. This proposed progenitor can divide randomly to generate two stem progenitors, a single committed daughter and a single stem progenitor or two committed daughters (Mascre et al, 2012) (Reviewed in Fuchs et al 2014).

More recently a study conducted in mice found that there might be another way that the epidermis renews itself (Ojeh et al, 2015). Here, it has been suggested that in a similar way to the hierarchal model a population of quiescent stem cells divide giving rise to another stem as well as giving rise to a subset of stem cells called ‘activated stem cells’. The activated stem cell can divide over numerous generations and only a subset of these then further divide resulting in the formation of a population of keratinocytes that exit the cell cycle and go on to further differentiate. This model offers an explanation as to how the epidermis is able to respond so rapidly to external insults and undergo efficient wound healing (Ojeh et al, 2015).

It is currently unclear which model is the most accurate to describe how KSC and committed progenitors exist at the basal layer, however it is agreed upon that both can be distinguished by their cycling properties.

KSC are slow cycling despite having an unlimited capacity to divide. In contrast to this, committed progenitors are fast cycling so to undergo differentiation. (Watt et al, 2006, Clayton et al, 2007). Committed progenitors divide a limited number of times before undergoing terminal differentiation and therefore replenishment of the differentiating pool is dependent on subsequent KSC division in response to self-renewal signals.

The general consensus is that a KSC must undergo asymmetric division to generate two progenitor populations with different fates. Asymmetrical divisions can be achieved in two ways; either through the unequal partitioning of the mRNA and
subsequently translated proteins within the dividing cell or through the response of the newly divided cells to different external stimuli (or niches). In both cases this would result in the formation of two non daughter cells with fundamentally different fate outcomes. It has been suggested that epidermal formation during development is reliant on spindle orientation and cell polarity in relation to the basement membrane, where horizontal orientation corresponds to asymmetrical division (Simpson et al, 2011; Fuchs and Horseley, 2008). It has been proposed that changes in spindle orientation and subsequent asymmetrical divisions occur in response to terminal differentiation stimuli.

In contrast to this, it is thought that a vertical orientation favors the symmetrical divisions leading to the formation of two daughter KSCs (Xie and Spralding, 2000; Koster et al, 2005; Watt et al, 2006; Koster and Roop, 2007).

Although many processes have been investigated, it is still unclear as to how spindle orientation is regulated in keratinocyte progenitors during development. It is however a widely accepted view that distinct bimolecular and biochemical niches within the epidermis may regulate KSC division, whereby changes in spindle orientation may be regulated by such external stimuli (Tumbar et al, 2004, Williams et al, 2011). It is important to note that currently the effect of spindle orientation on adult epidermal homeostasis is unclear and most of this research has been conducted in pre-natal mouse models. There is therefore a need to understand how epidermal homeostasis is maintained in adult skin.

In order to do this KSC and committed progenitors need to be distinguished, both morphologically and biochemically. Current research therefore aims to identify markers that are specific to each progenitor population.
1.7 Keratinocyte stem cell markers

In order to fully understand how keratinocyte progenitor populations differ, it is important that some distinction can be made between them. A defining molecular and morphological signature would mean that KSCs could potentially be isolated to aid a deeper understanding of how KSC behave differently from committed progenitors. Currently, a molecular signature for KSCs has not been identified, therefore a clear distinction between progenitor populations has been a challenge. In theory, being able to purify each individual population would be highly advantageous for clinical applications and would be helpful in understanding molecular basis for epidermal disorders further. If the underlining mechanism of how these disorders arise is understood, more targeted therapies can be developed to treat them. Many markers for the stem cell compartment have been proposed which range from morphological markers to biochemical markers, however a single marker or set of criteria that distinguishes one population from the other has not been established. This is especially true for when attempting to identify a biochemical signature for the KSC population, as it is thought that KSC exist in smaller numbers and therefore are more difficult to isolate (Fuchs et al, 2014).
Two models of KSC self-renewal have been proposed. The Hierarchical model (a) proposes that KSC divide asymmetrically generating a daughter KSC and committed progenitor. In response to differentiation signals, the KSC divides giving rise to a committed progenitor and a KSC. The committed progenitor can divide a limited number of times before exiting the cycle and going on to differentiate. The KSC maintain contact with the basement membrane and only further divides if the committed progenitor pool is depleted. The stochastic model (b) proposes that a single undifferentiated progenitor exists at the basement membrane and can undergo both asymmetric and symmetrical divisions to generate either KSC and committed progenitors in different combinations depending on the requirement for epidermal regeneration and replenishment of the KSC pool.
1.7.1 Clonogenic markers

Keratinocytes in culture have different growth properties and differ in the way that they form colonies (clonogenic capacity). Because of this it is thought that a mixed population of progenitor keratinocytes can be sub-cultured and then be distinguished depending on their growth potential and size of the colony they can form. Clonogenic assays involve culturing keratinocytes on a predefined matrix and then analyzing the size of the colonies after a number of days. They can be classified as so called holoclones, meroclones and paraclones. Holoclones represent cells, which have extensive growth potential (up to 180 divisions) and can form colonies that are progressively bigger. This coincides with the idea that stem cells have an infinite capacity to divide and can form large numbers of cells when sub cultured. In theory, holoclones should enable sub culturing onto matrixes for an unlimited number of generations. Paraclones represent cells that form small abortive colonies that have a limited capacity to divide (1-15 divisions) and are thought to represent a population of committed progenitors. Meroclones represent cells that contain a mixed population of cells that can form both large colonies and smaller abortive colonies (Barrandon and Green, 1995).

Other methods used to look at proliferative potential utilize the label retention methods such as BrdU to identify cells with differing proliferative potential. These methods measure the progression from G1 phase to the S-phase of the cell cycle (Larouche et al 2010; Kaur et al, 2004; Lavker et al, 2000; Barradon and Green 1987). The number of label retaining cells directly reflects the proliferative capacity of each population. The use of label retention in vivo has its limitations with regards to looking at clonogenic capacity in the human epidermis for obvious ethical reasons.
Since these techniques are largely conducted in vitro, an accurate assessment of proliferative capacity is hindered by the variable nature of in vitro proliferation techniques. Furthermore, whilst this is an interesting and useful initial technique in looking at the stem cell population, understanding how cell proliferation is regulated in a biochemical context is not fully understood when based solely on these experiments. However, cells selected for their clonogenic properties have provided a starting point in defining both populations of progenitors more closely.

1.7.2 Cell size

The use of colony forming efficiency assays has been useful in determining other features of cells in each type of clone. It was found that cells in holoclones were a lot smaller than those in paraclones (Barrandon and Green, 1985). Interestingly, a smaller cell size is a phenotype observed in other epithelial cell lines and it is thought to be a hallmark for stem cells. Furthermore, it has been proposed that most stem cells can be distinguished by an increase in the nuclear cytoplasmic ratios (Pagliara et al, 2014). This reflects the transcriptional dormancy of the stem population, since a closed chromatin architecture is associated with reduced transcription in stem cells.

1.7.3 Adhesion Markers

Colony forming efficiency assays have also been the starting point for identifying how keratinocyte progenitors differ in terms of their adhesive properties. Studies have proposed that KSC can be distinguished by the expression of cell surface proteins and through how attachment to the basement membrane is achieved. One of the earliest proposed potential markers for KSC was β1 integrin. Using FACS analysis, it was found that keratinocytes with higher colony forming efficiency (CFE) expressed higher levels of β1 integrin and has a higher capacity to proliferate as well as having an ability to form a basic epidermal surface when grafted into mice (Jones et al, 1995).
(Jones and Watt, 1993). In addition to this it was shown that in cultured human epidermal cells, which express high levels of $\beta_1$ integrin, have a higher colony forming efficiency and are slow cycling (Jones et al, 1995). However subsequent studies have suggested that $\beta_1$ integrin may additionally is expressed during the early stages of differentiation since it was found that $\beta_1$ expressing cells additionally express K10 (Kaur and Li et al, 2000). Therefore, this suggests that $\beta_1$ may be a marker for all cells in the progenitor population.

1.7.4 Cell clustering

It has been shown that in the oral keratinocyte stem cell compartment, that stem cells form discrete tight clusters. These clusters help to ensure that the stem cells are resilient to external and internal threats. In a similar way, it is thought that epidermal keratinocyte stem cells organize into discrete clusters. This clustering may be induced by an increase in the expression of cell-cell adhesion complexes such as desmosomes and adherens junctions (Calenic et al, 2015).

1.7.5 Biochemical markers

Despite these efforts it is thought that the easiest and most accurate way to distinguish progenitor populations is to identify a biochemical marker or a molecular signature, which is exclusively expressed in KSC. Currently, a few biochemical markers have been proposed (reviewed in Ghadially et al, 2012).

1.7.5.1 $\Delta N$P63

As mentioned previously $\Delta$Np63 is thought to be the primary isoform expressed in the epidermis after birth and its expression is primarily found in the basal layer. Discrepancies in two p63 knock out studies in mice have led to much confusion over the function of this isoform in relation to how this isoform functions. Both studies
deleted a common exon that is found in all isoforms of p63 (Yang et al, 1999, Mills et al, 1999).

The first study conducted by Mills et al, found that the deletion of p63 led to the complete absence of epidermal formation and the expression of any keratinocyte specific differentiation genes. However, the second study conducted by Yang et al, found that small patches resembling the epidermis were found and the cells in these patches expressed differentiation genes such as involucrin, loricrin and filligrin. These patches however did not maintain a proliferative potential and could not sustain epidermal regeneration. Therefore, this study pointed towards a role of ΔNp63 in regulating the proliferative potential of KSC. Further studies using ΔNp63 specific knock-out studies in mice have shown that loss of ΔNp63 leads to poor epidermal development due to reduced terminal differentiation and proliferation, this resulted in postnatal lethality 3 weeks after birth (Senoo et al, 2007). To confirm this, a ‘knock in’ mouse was generated; using a p63 construct with ΔNp63 exon replaced by GFP found that epidermal formation was still impaired with little difference to the p63 null mouse (Romanno et al, 2012). Together, with the finding that TAp63 specific knock down has little effect on epidermal homeostasis, it was suggested that ΔNp63 is important in regulating the proliferative potential of progenitor cells.

In vitro studies have also shed light on how ΔNp63 may function. Using colony forming efficiency assays it has been shown that large colonies with a high proliferative capacity show intense nuclear staining (Pellegrini et al, 2001). Furthermore, when treated with shRNA constructs against p63, it was shown that keratinocytes lost their proliferative potential.

Therefore it was suggested that p63 could be a KSC marker (Pelligrini et al, 2001). However, much dispute has been made over whether p63 is exclusively a stem cell
marker or a marker for all basal progenitors. It has been suggested that ΔNp63 may be involved in regulating the proliferative potential of all basal cells and is down regulated in committed progenitors in response to differentiation stimuli. It could be that the levels of ΔNp63 expression distinguish progenitor populations rather than the mere presence or absence of ΔNp63.

1.7.5.2 Notch ligand delta-like 1 (DLL1)

DLL1 is a notch ligand, which is expressed at the basal layer of the epidermis. It is thought that KSC express high levels of DLL1 to protect them from undergoing terminal differentiation (Estruch et al, 2008). It has been shown that DLL1 expression is high in clusters of cells, which are thought to represent KSC in human epidermis (Lowell et al, 2000). Furthermore, studies separating stem cells from committed progenitors based on colony-forming efficiency and integrin expression have shown that DLL1 expression was increased (Tan et al, 2013).

However, further studies have shown that DLL1 may not be restricted to the stem cell compartment, but rather there may be gradients of DLL1 expression. Therefore, DLL1 expression may show heterogeneity in both progenitor populations (Tan et al, 2013).

1.7.5.3 Melanoma-associated chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan (MCSP)

In a similar way to DLL1, MCSP is co-expressed with β1 integrin. In culture these cells were able to form holoclones and in vivo these were found to be slow cycling. Therefore, suggesting that MCSP can be used as a marker for a population of cells which display stem like characteristics (Jenson et al, 2008; Tan et al, 2013;)

1.7.6 Global gene expression analysis
One way to characterize how the stem cell and committed progenitor pool differ is to look at differences in gene expression on a global level. In mice, label-retaining cells were further analyzed using DNA Microarray analysis to identify transcriptional networks that may regulate stem cells in the hair follicle (Tumbar et al, 2004). Microarrays were also used to analyze stem cells in the hair shaft regions of the human epidermis (Ohyama et al, 2006). However, these studies focus mainly on the expression patterns on whole populations of cells. Since a method to purify single populations of stem cells and committed progenitors has yet to be developed, it is likely that differences in the gene expression profiles may be a representation of a mixed population.

Therefore, to further understand how keratinocyte stem cells and committed progenitor cells differ, mixed populations of cells were separated based on the co-expression of DLL1, MCSP and β1 integrin’s as well as colony forming efficiency. cDNA libraries were generated from single cells and microarray analysis was performed to identify novel ‘stem’ markers. In this way, Lrig-1 was identified as a putative stem cell marker (Jensen and Watt, 2006). Lrig-1 is described as being an EGFR antagonist and is thought to function to prevent the proliferation of KSCs in response to EGF signaling. Furthermore, it was shown that an overexpression of Lrig-1 led to an increase in the expression of β1 integrin and increased cell adhesion (Tan et al, 2013).

Whilst these studies are interesting, some may argue that using single cell analysis may have its pitfalls. This is because both progenitor populations behave differently depending on their microenvironment and how they interact with one another. In this way, analyzing single cells takes cells away from their microenvironment and their
neighboring cells and therefore gene expression profiles may differ when looking at expression patterns in isolation.

Taken together, it can be seen that identifying the KSC pool and the committed progenitor pool is an important premise to understand how epidermal homeostasis is maintained. It is clear from the many studies that have been undertaken, a clear definitive biomolecular signature for KSC still does not exist and therefore there is still a need to further understand how each population can behave in such different ways.

1.8 Rho GTPases

Rho GTPases are a family of the Ras super family of GTPases that consists of 22 intercellular signaling G proteins, which can be grouped into 8 sub families (Wannerberg et al, 2005; Jaffe and Hall, 2005) (Figure 1.7) These are encoded for by at least 22 genes in mammals and are have various roles in multiple processes including actin cytoskeletal dynamics, cell migration, cell motility as well as the regulation of the cell cycle. (Heasman and Ridley, 2008).

Activation of the Rho family of GTPases is achieved through signaling via a number of cell surface receptors that include tyrosine kinases, cytokine receptors; G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRS) and integrin’s (Murakoshi, et al, 2011). Once activated, they function as ‘molecular switches’ where each can cycle between a GDP bound inactive state and a GTP bound active state. When bound to GTP, Rho GTPases can undergo conformational changes that then allows for the interaction with complexes of downstream effectors. These protein complexes can then initiate cell-signaling cascades, which can activate different intercellular pathways leading to changes in cell behavior (Wannerberg et al, 2005; Murakoshi, et al, 2011) (Figure 1.8).
1.8.1 Structural changes during Rho GTPase activation

Rho GTPases share sequence and structural homology (Hakoshima et al, 2003, Jaffe and Hall, 2005). All family members have a structurally similar G domain, which sits next to a short Rho insert domain and a short C terminal hyper variable region (Wittinghofer et al, 2011). The G-domain mediates binding to guanine and contains the GTP/GDP binding sites. This domain contains switch 1 and switch 2 regions which direct the conformational changes to the effector domain in response to GTP or GDP binding (Wittinghofer et al, 2011; Shaefer et al, 2014). These conformational allow for the binding of the otherwise ‘hidden’ effector domain to downstream effectors, therefore mediating an effector specific response. The hydrolysis of GTP to GDP favors an inactive conformation, which allows for the disassociation of effector proteins (Wittinghofer et al, 2011; Shaefer et al, 2014).

Rho GTPases additionally contain a CAAX box. This consists of a N-terminal cysteine residue, followed by two alphatic residues and a variable c-terminal amino acid. The N-terminal cysteine can be modified via the addition farnesyl group or geranylgeranyl group via the process of prenylation. This modification is thought to be involved in regulating the localization and stability of most members of the family (Wittinghofer et al, 2011; Shaefer et al, 2014).
Figure 1.7 Evolutionary relationships between members of the Rho GTPase family. There are 8 sub-families in the Rho family of GTPase. The Rnd family (green) are atypical Rho GTPases however is the most closely related to the Rho A, B and C (Rho sub-family yellow). The Rnd family, BTB family, RhoH, Wrch1/RhoU and chp/Wrch2RhoV are atypical Rho GTPases. (Adapted from Grise et al, 2008)
1.8.2 Regulation of Rho GTPases

Rho GTPases have weak intrinsic GTPase activity and therefore require assistance by regulatory proteins such as Rho-GEFS, Rho-GAPs and Rho-GDIs (extensively reviewed in, Heasman and Ridley, 2008, Cherfils and Zeghouf, 2013; Hodge and Ridley, 2016).

Rho-GEFS functions by interacting with RhoGTPase switch domains. This interaction leads to significant changes in the conformation of the switch 1 and 2 regions, allowing for an increased affinity for GTP and enhances intrinsic GTPase activity by accelerating the exchange of GDP for GTP (Snyder et al, 2002).

Conversely, Rho-GAPs function to inactivate Rho GTPases through the interaction of a 150 amino acid Rho-GAP domain with the switch 1 and 2 domains. This results in a shift in conformation of the GTPase that repositions a hydrolytic water molecule, allowing for increased GTP hydrolysis leading to its inactivation (Young-Moon and Zheng, 2003). The majority of Rho GTPases are further regulated by protein chaperones called RhoGDIs. RhoGDIs function by interacting with the Rho-GDP. This is mediated through an interaction with the modified CAAX motif at the C-terminus which in effect shields the modified residue which ultimately prevents its binding with the cell membrane. This stabilizes Rho-GDP in the resting state, and stabilizes Rho-GDP in a soluble form in the cytosol. As a result, Rho-GDIs prevent the interaction of inactive GTPase with effector proteins and also prevents its degradation (Chardin et al 2006; Cherfils and Zeghouf, 2013).

Rho GTPases are additionally regulated by changes in gene expression and may be regulated by micro RNAs. Furthermore, Rho GTPases are thought to be regulated through post-translational modifications, such as phosphorylation, sumoylation and...
ubiquitination which can alter how and which effector proteins they interact with and therefore how they function (Reviewed in Hodge and Ridley, 2016).

1.8.3 Typical and Atypical Rho GTPases

The Rho GTPase subfamily can be categorized into two groups, typical and atypical Rho GTPases. Typical Rho GTPases function as ‘molecular switches’ and are primarily activated and inactivated via the hydrolysis of GTP as described above (Figure 1.8) (Jaffe and Hall, 2005). Typical GTPases can additionally be regulated through changes in gene expression and post-translational modifications. Atypical Rho GTPases however are regulated predominantly by differential expression, posttranslational modifications and degradation (Chardin et al, 2006). This is because atypical Rho GTPases are constitutively bound to GTP and therefore are always activated (Foster et al, 1996, Nobes et al, 1998, Fiegan, 2002). Consequently, regulation via the interaction with GAPs and GEFs is inefficient since in most cases these GTPases have a higher affinity for GTP binding (Fiegan, et al, 2002). A substitution for a farnesyl group in the place of a geranylgeranyl group at the CAAX prevents GDI binding therefore atypical GTPases cannot be regulated in this way (Garavini et al, 2006).
Figure 1.8. Rho GTPases- The molecular switch.

Rho GTPases cycle between GTP active form and a GDP bound inactive form. The active form of Rho-GTP allows subsequent interaction with effectors proteins due to extensive changes in structure. The active GTPase is switched off through the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP. GTPases have very weak GTPase activity and therefore are regulated by GAP proteins to increase GTPase activity. GEF proteins act to facilitate the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP. Rho-GDP is stabilized in the cytoplasm via an association with GDI. 70 GEFs have been described in humans and over 80 GAPs have been identified in mammals. (Adapted from Etienne-Manneviullle and Hall, 2002).
1.8.4 The Rnd Subfamily

The Rnd subfamily consists of Rnd1, Rnd2 and Rnd3/RhoE. Members of the Rnd subfamily are constitutively active and exist almost entirely in a GTP bound state (Foster et al., 1996, Nobes et al., 1998). The G domain shares some structural homology to the other members if the family however single base substitutions at some important positions mean that the ability to hydrolyze GTP is very different (Garavini et al., 2002, Fiegan et al., 2002). GTP hydrolysis in Ras is mediated by a conserved glycine at position 12 (Gly12) and a glutamate at position 61 (Gln61) in the switch 1 region. Rnd 1, 2 and 3 proteins have instead, valine (Val), alanine (Ala) or serine (Ser), respectively, at the same position. These substitutions mean that GTP hydrolysis is inefficient (Garavini et al., 2002, Fiegan et al., 2002). Furthermore, Rnd GTPases lack intrinsic GTPase activity and therefore are not regulated by GEFs or GAPs. Instead they are regulated by differential expression and posttranslational modifications (Garavini et al., 2002, Fiegan et al., 2002).

Interestingly, the Rnd subfamily arose later on during the evolution of the Rho family of GTPases as they are primarily present in vertebrates. This suggests that these are involved in more specialist roles, which have aided multi-cellular evolution. This can be exemplified by the ability for members of the Rnd subfamily of GTPases to function in a variety of cellular events. These include actin cytoskeletal remodeling, neurite extension, cell migration and cancer (reviewed in Chardin et al. 2002).

1.9 Rnd3/RhoE

Rnd3/RhoE (referred to as Rnd3 from here) was the first member of the Rnd subfamily to be identified (Foster et al., 1996). Foster et al. first discovered Rnd3 when a screen for p190Rho GAP interacting partners was under taken. In this study it was shown that Rnd3 and RhoA show sequence homology. Because of this similarity,
Rnd3 activity was initially extensively studied in relation to actin cytoskeleton dynamics. However, more and more research is pointing to a possible role in other processes such as cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, differentiation and in cancer.

1.9.1 Structure of Rnd3

Rnd3 and RhoA show 43% sequence homology in the G domain. One of the most crucial differences is in the switch 2 region of RhoE/Rnd3, where there is a serine 32 instead of the conserved Gln63. Gln63 is a conserved residue in the active site of Rho GTPases (this corresponds to a Gln61 in the Ras family) which stabilizes the transfer of water molecules when the GTPase is in its transition state. This single base substitution is responsible for the inability for Rnd3 to hydrolyse GTP and therefore its GTPase deficiency. Furthermore, a GDP bound conformation of Rnd3 is almost entirely absent. This is because a substitution at the corresponding amino acid Ala51 (responsible for stabilizing a GDP bound conformation in other Ras family of GTPases). At this position Rnd3 instead has a Gln33 that is unable to interact with neighboring amino acids, which ensure a stabilized GDP bound conformation. In addition to this Rnd3 is not regulated by GAPS and GEFs. This is due to base substitutions in the amino acids that are responsible for binding to these regulatory proteins (Garavini et al, 2002, Fiegan et al, 2002).

Rnd3 is also modified differently at the CAAX motif at the C-terminal. Other Ras GTPases are geranylgerenylated at this motif, which favors GDI binding. Rnd3 instead is farnesylated at this position. This difference means that GDIs are unable to interact with Rnd3 and sequester Rnd3 in the cytosol (Garavini et al, 2002, Fiegan et al, 2002).

Taken together, the sequence differences and structural differences in Rnd3 are responsible for its unusual properties as a GTPase. Rnd3 is therefore a GTPase that
lacks intrinsic GTPase activity, exists always in a GTP bound conformation, has a higher affinity for GTP, and is not regulated by GAPs, GEFs or GDIs. Therefore, the function of Rnd3 must be regulated by other mechanisms so that it is not always activating downstream biological events. This is done through the regulation of its expression, its ability to be modified post translationally and through its localization (Garavini et al, 2002, Fiegan et al, 2002).

1.9.2 Regulation of Rnd3

As mentioned previously, the Rnd3 CAAX motif consists of a farnsylated cysteine residue and therefore cannot bind RhoGDIs. However, it has been shown that the residues upstream and downstream are phosphorylated and these modifications may regulate its activity and localization (Kommander et al, 2008). Phosphorylation by ROCK1 and PKC alpha may regulate binding to the cell membrane (Riento et al, 2005a; Riento et al, 2005b; Kommander et al, 2008; Madigan et al, 2009). It has been shown that phosphorylation at position Ser-240 (which can be phosphorylated by both ROCK1 and PKC alpha) may allow for binding with 14-3-3 (Riou et al, 2013). 14-3-3 proteins are regulatory proteins that exert their function by binding to various posttranslational modifications (Obsil and Obsilova et al, 2011). 14-3-3 functions in a similar way to RhoGDIs in that it can binds to prenylated isoprenoid groups, however have been additionally shown to bind to phospho-serine and phosphor-threonine residues with high specificity (Obsil and Obsilova, 2011, Riou et al, 2013). It was shown that 14-3-3 has particular specificity for farnesylated groups. 14-3-3 is dimer where one half of the dimer can bind with high specificity to the farnesylated group (Riou et al, 2013). The second subunit of the dimer binds with high specificity to the phosphorylated serine in the hydrophobic patch of the 14-3-3 active site. Using mutational analysis, it was shown that the changes in Ser-240 result in reduced
cytosolic Rnd3 and increased membrane bound Rnd3. This suggests that 14-3-3 proteins bound to both the farnesylation group and specific phosphosites to keep Rnd3 in the cytosol in a sequestered state (Riou et al, 2013). Further to this, it has been proposed that there may be a phosphatase which functions to allow for the dephosphorylation of these residues, which then allows for binding to the cell membrane and subsequent activation of Rnd3 regulated pathways (Riou et al, 2013).

It is important to note that the CAAX motif may be modified in more than one way to alter the post translational modifications and how Rnd proteins interact with other effectors and therefore which biological pathways they regulate. This could provide meaningful insight into how localization of Rnd3 is further regulated. However, characterization of other modifications has yet to be identified.

Additionally, phosphorylation by ROCK1 has been shown to stabilize Rnd3 (Riento, et al, 2005b). It was shown that ROCK-1 phosphorylates Rnd3 at Ser-11 upon PDGF stimulation. This in turn stabilizes Rnd3, which then led to reduced stress fiber formation (Riento et al, 2005b).

Taken together this suggests that Rnd3, ROCK1 and PKC alpha may function together to regulate one another however the mechanism not clearly defined.

Rnd3 can also be regulated at a transcriptional level. Rnd3 expression is thought to be regulated by UVB radiation, chemotherapeutic agents such as cisplatin as well as NF-kappa B, TGFβ and PDGFRβ signaling (Boswell et al, 2006; Ball et al, 2007; Shurin et al, 2008; Nadiminty et al, 2010). However, how these transcriptionally regulate Rnd3 expression is yet to be fully elucidated.

HIF-1α is a transcription factor that has been shown to directly bind to the Rnd3 promoter and therefore direct its transcription (Ong and Hausenloy, 2012). HIF-1α is
involved in regulating hypoxia in response to inflammation and has been found to regulate Rnd3 expression gastric cancer cell lines (Ong and Hausenloy, 2012).

Furthermore, Rnd3 is shown to be regulated by the microRNA, miR-200b. MiR-200b is thought to post transcriptionally regulate Rnd3 via the binding onto the 3’UTR. Manipulation of the 20bp binding site meant that Rnd3 could be post transcriptionally regulated and as a result it was shown that prevention of this binding leads to increased cyclin D1 expression along with the subsequent entry into S-phase associated with cyclin D1 expression (Xia et al, 2010).

It is therefore evident that the regulation of Rnd3 is a multifaceted process that may be more complex than first anticipated. The number of different biological pathways that Rnd3 is involved with reflects this.

1.10 Function of Rnd3

1.10.1 Rnd3 and actin cytoskeletal dynamics

Rho GTPases have been extensively studied in relation to actin cytoskeletal dynamics and have been shown to have a role in regulating cell adhesion and cell motility (Riento et al, 2003). RhoA indirectly regulates actin cytoskeletal dynamics via ROCK or Rho-associated kinases. ROCKs are serine threonine kinases known to function down stream of Rho GTPases. ROCKs exist in two isoforms, ROCK 1 and ROCK 2. Both isoforms share structural homology and consist of a catalytic kinase domain (N-terminal region), coil-coiled domain and a PH domain (C-terminal). The C-terminal domain additionally contains a Rho binding domain. It has been shown that deletion of this domain leads to constitutive activation, therefore suggesting that ROCKs are auto inhibited.
The switch 1 region of active RhoA can interact with the Rho binding sites located in the C-terminal domain and this results in the activation of ROCK since binding of active RhoA triggers a conformational change thus relieving autoinhibition (Jacobs et al, 2005). Activation of ROCK leads to phosphorylation of MLC and LIMK both kinases that are involved in regulating actin stress fiber formation.

The switch 1 region of active RhoA shares structural homology to the switch 1 region of Rnd3 and therefore it was proposed that Rnd3 might regulate ROCK activity in a similar manner (Jacobs et al, 2005). Interestingly, it was found that Rnd3 firstly, binds specifically with ROCK-1 directly and secondly, it binds ROCK-1 at a region that is distinct from the RhoA binding region. Furthermore, Rnd3 binding has an opposite effect to RhoA, in that binding leads to decreased stress fiber formation through reduced phosphorylation and subsequent inhibition of MYPT-1 and as a results leads to increased cell motility and loss of focal adhesion assembly (Nobes et al, 1998; Riento et al, 2003; Komander et al, 2008).

In addition to this, ROCK1 has been shown to stabilize Rnd3. An interaction between Rnd3 and ROCK-1 leads to phosphorylation of Rnd3 at the C-terminal and N-terminal in Rnd3 known to regulate its stability and its stability and localization (Riento et al, 2005a, Komander et al, 2008). Taken together, it was concluded that Rnd3 acts antagonistically to RhoA and thus inhibits ROCK-1 activity.

It was also shown that Rnd GTPases also bind onto and activate p190 RhoGAP. p190 regulates Rho/Rock pathway via its interaction with RhoA (Wannerberg et al, 2003, Onuima et al, 2012). Interestingly, Rnd3 but not Rnd1 binds directly to ROCK1 however loss of Rnd1 can also induce loss of actin stress fiber formation. This suggests that activation of p190 by Rnd3 is the most likely explanation for the
function of Rnd1 and Rnd3 in stress fiber disassembly and subsequent cell rounding (Oinuma et al, 2012). Furthermore, it has been shown that the effect of Rnd3 on the actin cytoskeleton may play a role in neurogenesis. It has been proposed that the polarity of both neurons and glial cells is essential during central nervous system development. It was found that Rnd3 is important in regulating the migration and length of neurons during neurogenesis, as well as regulating axon polarization and migration. It was concluded that these effects could be a consequence of the effects of Rnd3 on the Rho/ROCK/LIMC cascade, however a direct mechanism of how this occurs has yet to be identified (Pacary et al, 2013).

1.10.2 Rnd3 and the cell cycle
One of the best-characterized ROCK1 independent roles of Rnd3 is the effect on the cell cycle and cell proliferation. Studies have shown that Rnd3 may be involved in regulating the decision for cells to proceed into the G1 phase of the cell cycle via the regulation of cyclin D1 (Villalonga et al, 2004). It was shown that the expression of Rnd3 led to an accumulation of cells in G1 phase of the cell cycle and a suppression of cells entering into S-phase. It was therefore suggested that this occurred in response to the down regulation of cyclin D1 which was also observed. A direct interaction between Rnd3 and cyclin D1 promoter has not been established but it proposed that perhaps this deregulation might occur post transcriptionally via the interaction of Rnd3 with regulators of translation (Villalonga et al, 2004). In line with this proposal, it was later shown that when Rnd3 expression was induced, phosphorylation of 4EBP-1, a translational repressor, was reduced. Specifically, there was a suppression of phosphorylation at multiple residues that correspond to sites that are responsible for the conformational changes, which prevent 4EBP-1 binding to
translation initiation complexes such as eIF4E. Therefore, it was seen that there was a reduction in the biosynthesis of c-myc and cyclin D1, both of which are translationally regulated by EIF4E.

Additionally, it was shown that the increased expression of Rnd3 led to a reduced expression of Rb. Rb is responsible for phosphorylating cyclin D1 and therefore activating it (Villalonga et al, 2009).

Taken together, it was suggested that Rnd3 affects cell proliferation through the posttranslational regulation of cyclin D1 (Villalonga et al, 2009).

1.10.3 Rnd3 and Cancer

The function of Rnd3 in cancer is well studied. It has been found that Rnd3 functions both as a tumor suppressor gene and an oncogene. Rnd3 was shown to be overexpressed in some carcinomas such as squamous cell, hepatocellular, breast, colorectal and prostate carcinomas that Rnd3. It was suggested, that the overexpression of Rnd3 leads to tumor progression and metastasis as a result (reviewed in Jie et al, 2016). Conversely, it was shown that in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) there seemed to be a reduction in the expression of Rnd3 and that this was correlated to a negative clinical progression (Jie et al, 2016).

Interestingly, it has also been suggested that Rnd3 may play a role in tumour metastasis and invasion because of its role in actin cytoskeletal dynamics. Using DNA microarrays to determine the change in gene expression during metastasis it was shown that changes in Rnd3 expression correlated to the metastatic potential of prostate cells and their ability to migrate, which corresponds to an alteration in actin cytoskeletal dynamic also seen in these cells (Trogen at al, 2005).

Furthermore, Rnd3 may have role in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) via the regulation of notch signaling. It was shown that Rnd3 is down regulated in NSCLC
cell lines. Notch1 activation is increased in NSCLC cell lines and this increased activation is thought to be associated with hyper proliferation. It was shown that the expression of Rnd3 led to a suppression of proliferation. Moreover, the study found that Rnd3 over expression was able to stabilize the NICD portion of Notch 1 and resulted in an inhibition of cell proliferation (Tang et al., 2014).

Taken together, this suggests that Rnd3 plays a role in tumor progression and metastasis both via a Rho/ROCK dependent pathway and in pathways independent of its roles in actin cytoskeletal contractility. In addition to this, these studies suggest the role of Rnd3 is variable in different cell and tumor types. Therefore elucidating the functional role of Rnd3 in a cancer context is in need of further study.

1.10.4 Rnd3 and Apoptosis

Rnd3 has additionally been found to be a downstream target of p53. Studies using p53 inducible cell lines have shown that Rnd3 expression is up regulated during genotoxic stress; therefore suggesting that Rnd3 may be a p53 target gene (Ongusaha et al., 2006). Furthermore, actin depolymerization, stress fiber disassembly, as well as actin depolymerization were seen to correspond with the up regulation of p53, suggesting that an increase in p53 expression is ROCK dependent (Riento et al., 2003). Rnd3 silencing also led to a rescue of actin polymerization and stress fiber formation and this correlated to increased apoptosis (Onagusaha et al., 2006). Taken together, it was concluded that Rnd3/ROCK1 interaction leads to an inhibition of ROCK-1 and subsequent protection against apoptosis when cells are subject to genotoxic stress in a p53 dependent manner (Onagusaha et al., 2006). Other studies, which have shown that Rnd3 protects against UVB induced apoptosis in a pathway independent of both p53 and ROCK-1 activity support this notion (Boswell et al., 2007).
Conversely, recent studies in HaCaTs have suggested that a depletion of Rnd3 results in protection against apoptosis (Ryan et al., 2012). Depletion of Rnd3 using siRNA protects against cisplatin mediated cell death and this is dependent on the expression desmosomal protein plakoglobin (Ryan et al., 2012). One could argue that this phenotype is due to the p53 status in HaCaTs. It is important to note that HaCaTs express mutant forms of both isoforms of p53 and these mutations result in an extension of p53 half-life and reduced function (Lehman et al., 1993). However, p53 mutants do retain the ability to stimulate Rnd3 transcription following cell death stimuli (Reagan-Shaw et al., 2006, Boswell et al., 2007).

The study conducted by Ryan et al. show that an increase in p53 and Rnd3 expression is not observed during cisplatin treatment in HaCaTs (Ryan et al., 2012). Therefore, suggesting that Rnd3 may play a role in apoptosis in an additional pathway that is distinct from p53 mediated apoptosis.

1.10.5 Rnd3 and Keratinocyte Differentiation

The detachment of committed keratinocyte progenitors is dependent on actin cytoskeleton remodeling, since the down regulation of integrin is essential to ensuring that differentiating keratinocytes can transverse into superseding layers (Watt et al., 2002). Pharmacological inhibition of ROCK (using Y-27632) have shown that inactivation of ROCK results in an increase in actin fiber bundling and as a result leads to an increase in cell contraction and subsequent suppression in terminal differentiation in keratinocytes (McMullan et al., 2003; Colman et al., 2001).

Since ROCK kinases are regulated by different Rho GTPases, it has been suggested that Rho GTPases may play a role in regulating epidermal homeostasis. Since it has been shown that ROCK1 may play a role in keratinocyte differentiation and that Rnd3 is regulated by ROCK1, it was speculated that Rnd3 might play a role
in differentiation also. It was shown that an overexpression of Rnd3 led to an increase in populations of keratinocytes, which have a higher propensity to differentiate and stratify and were larger in cell size as a result. (Liebig et al, 2009). Moreover, Rnd3 expression was up regulated during differentiation and stratification in a ROCK1 dependent manner. This was coupled with an increased proliferation of undifferentiated cells. The study concluded that Rnd3 might play a role in regulating the decision for keratinocyte progenitors to differentiate (Liebig et al, 2009).

It is clear that Rnd3 plays multiple roles in regulating very different aspects of cell biology. The current literature points to a role of Rnd3 in regulating diverse cellular and morphological events. Whilst these roles are becoming more and more defined, it is becoming clear that Rnd3 can exert its effects in numerous ways that are not necessarily Rho/ROCK dependent. Therefore, characterizing these roles further would be useful in determining how Rnd3 functions in relation to its biological and cellular context.

1.11 Project aims

The regulation of epidermal homeostasis is still a poorly understood process and it is clear from the current literature that it is a highly complex process that involves the inter-play of multiple different regulatory pathways. It is becoming more and more clear that Rnd3 is involved in regulating keratinocyte differentiation however the molecular mechanism, which underlies its role, is poorly defined and remains elusive. Past studies have shown that increased expression of Rnd3 lead to an increased propensity to differentiate (Liebig et al, 2010). Therefore it is hypothesized here, that a depletion of Rnd3 would lead to an enrichment of early progenitors or KSC.
Furthermore it is hypothesized that a depletion in Rnd3 would have an effect on pathways that are known to regulate keratinocyte function.

The aim of this project is therefore to:

- Define the biochemical and morphological effects of Rnd3 depletion in HaCaTs
- Elucidate how Rnd3 may be exerting such affects by identifying ‘novel’ Rnd3 regulated proteins.
## Chapter 2

### Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Materials

Tables 2.1-2.5 List the reagents and recipes used in this study

**Table 2.1 Buffers and Solutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LB Broth</td>
<td>Bacterial culture</td>
<td>25% Lysogeny Buffer</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>Bacterial culture</td>
<td>15g in 25% LB broth, 100mg/ml ampicillin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA extraction</td>
<td>Maxi Prep</td>
<td>Pure yield Maxi Prep system</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA Loading Buffer</td>
<td>DNA loading</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bioline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris, Borate ethylenediaminetetracetic buffer (TBE) (10x)</td>
<td>Agarose gel</td>
<td>89mM TRIS (pH 7.6), 89mM boric acid, 2mM EDTA</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA Extraction kit</td>
<td>RNA Extraction</td>
<td>RNAeasy RNA extraction kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAase treatment kit</td>
<td>DNAase Treatment</td>
<td>DNAase treatment kit</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDNA synthesis kit</td>
<td>cDNA synthesis</td>
<td>Tetro cDNA synthesis kit</td>
<td>Tetro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>My Taq DNA Polymerase</td>
<td>Bioline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Agarose</td>
<td>Agarose gel</td>
<td>1% Agarose in TBE buffer</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative Real time PCR kit</td>
<td>Quantitative Real time PCR</td>
<td>SensiFAST Probe Hi Rox kit</td>
<td>Bioline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell lysis buffer</td>
<td>Cell lysis preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSB+0.5%NP40</td>
<td>Cell lysis preparation- Cell fractionation</td>
<td>1M Tris pH7.4,v1M MgCl₂, 1M KCL and 5% NP40 (Tergitol) +1 tablet of protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails per 10ml</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample buffer</td>
<td>SDS PAGE</td>
<td>10% Glycerol, 2%SDS 1%bromophenol Blue, 20mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 100mM Tris PH 6.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford Assay</td>
<td>Protein Quantification</td>
<td>Coomassie protein assay reagent</td>
<td>Pierce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS Running buffer</td>
<td>SDS PAGE</td>
<td>0.2 M Glycine, 0.02 M Tris, 0.1 M SDS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer buffer</td>
<td>Western blot</td>
<td>BioRad dry transfer buffer</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBST</td>
<td>Western blot</td>
<td>20mM of Tris, pH7.5, 150mM of NaCl, 0.05% Tween20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Stripping buffer</td>
<td>Western blot</td>
<td>0.4M Sodium Hydroxide</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBST</td>
<td>Western blot</td>
<td>Phospho buffered saline, 0.05% Tween 20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody dilution solution</td>
<td>Western blot</td>
<td>5% semi skimmed in TBST or 5% BSA in TBST</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking buffer</td>
<td>Western blot</td>
<td>5% semi skimmed in TBST or 5% BSA in TBST</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody cross linking buffer</td>
<td>Immunoprecipitation</td>
<td>0.02M dimethylpimelidate dihydrochloride, 0.2M TEA pH 8.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quenching buffer</td>
<td>Immunoprecipitation</td>
<td>0.05M Tris-HCL pH 7.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash Buffer</td>
<td>Immunoprecipitation</td>
<td>0.2M Triethanolamine (TEA) pH 8.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elution buffer</td>
<td>Immunoprecipitation</td>
<td>1M glycine pH 3.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverslip fixing buffer</td>
<td>Immunofluorescence</td>
<td>4% Paraformaldehyde</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverslip wash solution</td>
<td>Immunofluorescence</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell permeabilization buffer</td>
<td>Immunofluorescence</td>
<td>0.2% Triton XSigma</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking buffer</td>
<td>Immunofluorescence</td>
<td>5% BSA in TBST</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody dilution buffer</td>
<td>Immunofluorescence</td>
<td>5% semi skimmed milk</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel Fixing buffer</td>
<td>In gel digestion</td>
<td>55% Acetic acid, 11% Methanol</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel staining (Coomassie)</td>
<td>In gel digestion</td>
<td>0.01% brilliant blue in gel fixing solution</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel destain</td>
<td>In gel digestion</td>
<td>7.5% acetic acid, 5% methanol</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destain solution</td>
<td>In gel digestion</td>
<td>30% Acetonitrile</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydration solution</td>
<td>In gel digestion</td>
<td>50% acetonitrile in 25mM ammonium bicarbonate</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehydration solution</td>
<td>In gel digestion</td>
<td>50% Acetonitrile</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reducing buffer | In gel digestion | 10mM dithiothretol (DTT) in 0.025M ammonium bicarbonate | N/A

Alkylation solution | In gel digestion | 55mM Idoacetamide in 25mM ammonium bicarbonate | N/A

Trypsin suspension solution | In gel digestion | 0.05M Acetic acid | N/A

Trypsin digestion solution | In gel digestion | 12.5ng/ml trypsin in 0.025 ammonium bicarbonate | Promega

Wetting solution | Desalting | 100% acetonitrile | N/A

Washing solution | Desalting | 0.1% TFA | N/A

Elution solution | Desalting | 0.1% Formic acid | N/A

DNA marker | Agarose gel | Hyperladder 1kb | Bioline

Protein marker | SDS PAGE | PageRuler Prestained Protein ladder | Thermo scientific

Table 2.2 siRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligo</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Catalogue Number</th>
<th>Target sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-silencing control</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON-TARGETplus siRNA Rnd3</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>SO-2540035G</td>
<td>CUACAGUGUUGAGAAAUUA UAGUAGACUCUCACAAAUCA CAGCAUACUCUCACAAUAUCAGCGACAGUGUAAGUACAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON-TARGETplus siRNA TP63</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>SO-2487849G</td>
<td>GCACAGACAAAUGAAUU CGACAGUCUUGUACAAUU UCUAUCAGAUUGACAUUA GAUGAACUGUAUAACUAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Antibody name</th>
<th>Molecular weight (kD)</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dilution for WB</th>
<th>Dilution for IF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta NP63</td>
<td>N-16</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P63</td>
<td>4A4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Novus Biosciences</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p63</td>
<td>D2K8X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmoplakin</td>
<td>115F</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>Cell signaling</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>1:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>Forward sequence</td>
<td>Reverse sequence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>CTCTGCTCCTCCTCGTTCGAC</td>
<td>ACCAAATCCGTTGACTCCGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhoE</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>GTCGGCTGCAAGTCTGATCT</td>
<td>CCATATTTGCCCGGCTTGCTCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP63</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>CCTGGAGCCAGAAGAAAGG</td>
<td>TCGTGTACTGTTGCTACTAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔNP63</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>GAAGAAAGGACAGCAGCAT</td>
<td>GGGACTGGTTGGACGAGGAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEF1</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>TCCAGGCTGGTCTGCAAGAG</td>
<td>GGCAGCTGTCATTCTTGGACC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARB</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>GGCCATATTCTGTGTGGAG</td>
<td>CAGGATGCTGTCTGGATAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAD7</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>TCCAGATGCTGTGCTCTCTCTCT</td>
<td>GCTTCCCCACTCTCTGCTCTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>GTTCCAGCGTGGGTTTGTGTT</td>
<td>TAAGGCTGGGACAAATCGAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>GGACAAAGATACTAACAACAA</td>
<td>CTTGGTTCTGTATCAACCAGATAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100A7</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>TCCAGCTGGCTGGCTCTCTCT</td>
<td>AGCAGGCTGGGCTCTCAAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involucrin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>TGTGAGCTGGTTGACAGTA</td>
<td>GGAGGAAAGCTCTTGGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.5: Plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pCMV5 RhoE Flag</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>Anne Ridley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCMV5 EV Flag</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>Anne Ridley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Molecular biology

2.2.1.1 Bacterial transformation:

1-5µg of plasmid cDNA was added to 45µl of *E-coli* strain DH5α. Plasmid and strains were incubated for 45 mins on ice. These were then heat shocked at 45° C for 30 seconds followed by further incubation on ice for 2 minutes. 500µl of LB broth was added and incubated with agitation for 1 hour at 37°. 250µl were spread onto ampicillin (100mg/ml) treated agar plates overnight at 37°.

2.2.1.2 Plasmid Preparation:

Individual colonies were inoculated with LB broth containing the appropriate selection overnight at 37° with agitation. The LB broth was centrifuged (Beckman 2000) at 6000 rpm for 6 minutes at 24°. Maxi preps were performed using PureYield plasmid maxi prep system (Promega) following the manufacturers guidelines. Plasmid purity and concentration was measured using NanoDrop 2000c UV-Vis Spectrophotometer at 260nm. Purified plasmids were stored at -20°C.

2.2.2 Cell culture methods

2.2.2.1 Cell culture

HaCaTs are an immortalized keratinocyte cell line that has been shown to have an ability to differentiate like normal keratinocytes and therefore have been used as a
model in this work. HaCaTs were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles media (DMEM) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 0.1mg/ml streptomycin and 100 I.U/ ml penicillin. Cells were incubated at 37° with 5% CO₂. Cells were detached from the flasks using trypsin (Gibco) and were passaged when approximately 80% confluent. Infection of mycoplasma was tested for every 4 months using MycoAlert plus mycoplasma detection kit using the manufacturers guidelines (Lonza).

2.2.2.2 siRNA Transfection

Reverse transient transfections were used to knockdown proteins of interest. Transfections were conducted in 6-well plate. 500µl of Opti-mem (Invitrogen) and 2pmol Rnd3 siRNA (GE Healthcare), 9pmol p63 siRNA (GE Healthcare), 9pmol Non-silencing control (Qiagen) (see table 2.2) was plated per well and was left to incubate for 10 minutes at room temperature. 10µl Lipofectamide RNAi max (Invitrogen) was added to the mix and was left to further incubate for 5 minutes. 1x10⁵ cells were plated with DMEM with 5% FBS. The transfected cells were left to incubate at 37° with an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ for approximately 48 hours.

2.2.2.3 DNA Transfection

Human embryonic kidney cell 293 (HEK 293) are a human embryonic kidney cell line and were used to over express FLAG-tagged Rnd3. Cells were seeded onto 6-well plates in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics for 24h before being transfected with purified DNA using lipofectamine 2000. Transfected cells were incubated for 48 hours in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂.
2.2.2.4 Preparation of methylcellulose

500ml of serum free media was added to 3.5g of autoclaved methylcellulose powder into a flat-bottomed centrifuge tube. The media was stirred at 4°C O/N until viscous and clear and centrifuged at 16000 rpm. 5% FBS was then added to the media. The media was decanted in 25ml aliquots and stored at -20°C.

2.2.2.5 PolyhemA coating of tissue culture dishes

A 10% stock solution of polyhemA was made by adding polyhemA powder in 95% ethanol and dissolving at 60°C. For use, the 10% stock solution was further diluted in 50% acetone and 50% ethanol to make a 0.4% solution. The dishes were coated with 0.4% polyhemA by pouring on the solution and then immediately pouring off. The dishes were dried at room temperature before use.

2.2.2.6 Suspension induced differentiation

In order to induce differentiation a suspension-induced method was employed. Cells were transfected as described previously (Hotchin et al, 1992). 48 hours post transfection; cells were mixed with methylcellulose DMEM+5% FBS and were plated onto polyhemA treated plates for 24 hours. The suspended cells were centrifuged for 10 mins at 1400rpm. The cell pellet was then washed with 5 volumes of PBS a total of three times to recover cells.

2.2.2.7 Cyclohexamide Treatment

Cells were treated with 20μg/ml Cyclohexamide (Sigma) was diluted in DMEM+ 5% FBS+ p/s and incubated at 37° to inhibit protein synthesis. Cells were washed in PBS three times and samples collected at the indicated time points.
2.2.3 Protein Biochemistry

2.2.3.1 Cell lysis
Cells were lysed using scrape lysis. Cells were washed in PBS three times and treated with 2xSDS sample buffer (see table 2.1) for 5 minutes and then were scraped. The scraped cells were sonicated 3 times for approximately 15 seconds at 5amps. These samples were boiled at 95° for 5 minutes. The lysates were stored at -20°C.

2.2.3.2 Protein Quantitation
Total protein concentration was performed using Coomassie protein assay (Peirce) kit according to the manufacturers guidelines and the absorbance was measured using Tecan infinite 5200 pro plate readers at a wavelength of 570nm.

2.2.3.3 SDS-PAGE and Western blot
10% and 12% SDS-PAGE gels were prepared with a 4% stack. Gels were run in Tris-glycine buffer (see table 2.1) for approximately 80 mins at 150V. 2µl of protein ladder (Pageruler, ThermoSci) was loaded as a molecular weight reference. Gels were transferred electrophoretically onto nitrocellulose membrane using the transblot turbo transfer system at 25W and 100V. Membranes were blocked in 5% semi-fat milk diluted in TBST at RT for 1 hour. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (see table 2.3) overnight at 4°. Membranes were washed 3 times for 10 minutes in TBST and incubated with Odyssey secondary antibody diluted in 10% normal goat serum (see table 2.1). They were then washed 3 times in TBST. Protein bands were detected using Odyssey infrared detection system (LI-COR Biosciences)

2.2.3.4 Co-immunoprecipitation
The appropriate volume of protein G beads, (Dynabeads protein G, Novex) were washed 3 times with PBST and incubated with concentration of antibody for 30
minutes on a rotator. These were washed once with PBST and 3 times with 0.2M triethanolamine pH 8.8. Crosslinking solution 0.02M dimethyl pimelidate dihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.2M TEA pH 8.2 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to covalently attach the antibody to the beads for 30 minutes. Beads were then washed once with PBST and twice with 0.05M tris-HCL pH 7.5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to quench the crosslinking reaction. Unbound antibody was eluted with 1M glycine pH 2.5 for 5 minutes and washed with PBST three times. Lysates were added to the beads and incubated overnight at 4°C. The supernatant was separated from the beads using a magnetic stand. The beads were washed with ice cold PBS and 2x sample buffer was added. The sample was boiled at 95° for 10 minutes and were then subject to western blotting.

2.2.4 RNA work

2.2.4.1 RNA extraction

RNA was extracted from cells using the RNAeasy minikit (Qiagen) following the manufacturers guidelines.

The total concentration of RNA and purity was measured using a Nanodrop at an absorbance of 260nm. Samples were stored at 4°C for immediate use or at -20°C for long-term storage.

2.2.4.2 DNAase Treatment

In order to remove any genomic DNA, DNAase treatment was required. This was carried out using a DNAase treatment kit (Sigma). Briefly, RNA was treated with DNAase1 and was incubated at 37° for 15-30 minutes. EDTA stop buffer was added and the sample was the incubated at 60°C for 10 minutes to stop the reaction. The total concentration of DNAase treated RNA was measured using NanoDrop 2000c.
UV-Vis Spectrophotometer at 260nm to read the absorbance. Samples were then loaded onto a 1% agarose gel to ensure that the treatment was successful. Treated samples were stored at 4°C for immediate use or -20°C for long-term storage.

2.2.4.3 cDNA Synthesis

cDNA was synthesized from DNAase treated RNA using the Tetro cDNA synthesis kit (Tetro) following the instructions provided with the kit. 1ng of cDNA was used per sample preparation. Primers are listed in table 2.4

2.2.4.4 Primer design

Primers were designed using Primer BLAST (NCBI), where the target sequences obtained from the UCSC genome browser, were set as the input. All primers were designed to cross exon-exon junctions (to reduce genomic DNA amplification) and had a Tm of approximately 60.0º and a GC ratio of approximately 50%. UCSC genome browser was used to visualize primer target. Primers were ordered from Sigma Aldrich.

2.2.4.5 Quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction

Forward and reverse primers (see table 4), SYBR green (Agilent technologies) and ROX (Agilent technologies) were added to cDNA to carry out qRT-PCR. These were plated onto 96 well plates and centrifuged. Agilent Mx3005P real time PCR machine was used to measure CT values.

2.2.5 Assays

2.2.5.1 Cell adhesion Assays

Cell adhesion assays were performed on a 96 well plate where each well was coated with 20 ug/mL fibronectin (Sigma) was diluted in serum free DMEM overnight at
4°C. Coating solution was removed, and wells were washed three times in PBS and blocked with 5% BSA for 1-3 hours at 37°C. Wells were washed three times in PBS and cells were seeded at a density of 2x10⁵/well and incubated at 37°C for 30-60 minutes. Cells were flicked off in one vigorous go and wells were gently washed three times in PBS 20µl of MTT (5mg/ml) was added to each well and was incubated for 1-3 hours at 37°C. MTT was removed and crystals were solubilized with 30% isopropanol for 30 minutes. The absorbance was read using the Tecan infinite 5200 pro plate reader at a wavelength of 550/600nm. Readings were normalized to BSA only blanks.

2.2.6 Immunofluorescence

2.2.6.1 Coverslip preparation for immunofluorescence

13mm coverslips were pre-treated in nitric acid for 30 minutes diluted in water and then washed in methanol. These were left to dry O/N and were then autoclaved.

2.2.6.2 Immunofluorescence

Cells were seeded onto 13mm coverslips. Coverslips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes. They were then washed in PBS three times. Fixed cells were permeabilised by treatment with 0.2 % Triton X100 for 3 minutes. Cells were then washed in PBS 4 times and were blocked with 5% BSA+TBST for 1 hour at 37°C. Coverslips were then incubated with the primary antibody diluted in PBS for 1 hour. These were washed 3 times in PBS and were incubated with the specified fluorescent secondary antibody for 30-45 minutes. For nuclear staining µg/ml DAPI or Hoechst 3342 µg/ml was diluted with the secondary antibody. Coverslips were washed 3 times in PBS with a final wash in distilled water. Coverslips were mounted using Mowiol and stored at -20°C. Coverslips were
visualized and single section images were taken using NIKON SP2 inverted confocal microscope with a pinhole size of 1\(\mu\)m.

2.2.6.3 3D immunofluorescence

To determine cell volume, coverslips were mounted onto plastic strips after fixation and permeabilisation. Coverslips were placed onto glass slides and were immediately treated with 90% glycerol/PBS solution prior to mounting. Z-stacks were taken immediately, using a 63x objective lens, with a step size of 1nm, using NIKON SP2 inverted microscope.

2.2.7 Stable isotope labeled with amino acid in cell culture (SILAC)

2.2.7.1 Cell Culture

HaCaTs and HEK 293T cells were cultured SILAC DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 0.1mg/ml L-arginine and L-lysine (‘light’ R0K0, and ‘heavy’ \(^{13}\)C\(_6\)\(^{15}\)N\(_4\) L-arginine and \(^{13}\)C\(_6\)\(^{15}\)N\(_2\) (‘heavy’ R10K8). Media was supplemented with 0.1mg/ml streptomycin and 100 I.U/ ml penicillin. Additionally, 0.5mg/ml proline and 10% dialyzed FBS was added. Cells were cultured for a total of 5-6 doublings to ensure that labeled amino acids were incorporated efficiently.

2.2.7.2 In gel trypsin digestion

Cell lysates were loaded onto a 12% SDS gel (as described in section 2.4.3). Gels were subsequently fixed in gel fixation solution for 15 minutes and were stained using coomassie blue for a further 60 minutes. Gels were then destained using destaining solution for O/N. Gel bands were cut into individual bands and were subsequently destained using dehydration solution (see table 2.1) with agitation at 37°C. When
bands were dry, they were suspended in rehydration solution (see table 2.1). This process was continuous until bands were sufficiently destained. Individual bands were dehydrated for 15 minutes using vacuum centrifugation for 10 minutes at 37°C and dehydrated in 10Mm DTT in 25mM ammonium bicarbonate for 45 minutes at 56°C. Gel pieces were the washed in destaining solution and to alkylate cysteine residues, were suspended in 55mM iodoacetamide, 25mM ammonium bicarbonate at room temperature for 45mins in the dark. Gel pieces were then washed in dehydration solution three times and were dried using vacuum centrifugation.

2.2.7.3 Tryptic Digest

Trypsin Gold (Promega) was used to digest peptides from destained gel pieces. Trypsin was suspended in at 12.5ng/µl in 50Mm ammonium bicarbonate at 37°C overnight. Proteolysis was quenched using 0.5% formic acid. Peptides were dried using vacuum centrifugation and were suspended in 0.1% formic acid.

2.2.7.4 Desalting

Ziptips C18 pipette tips (Millipore), were used to desalt the tryptic peptides according to manufacturer's instructions to remove any salts that may remain in the sample. Desalted samples were dried and suspended in 0.1% Formic acid.

2.2.7.5 Liquid Chromatography

150mm Acclaim PepMap100 C<sub>18</sub> column was used in mobile phase to separate loaded peptides (water and 0.1% formic acid). A 3.2% to 44% mobile phase B linear gradient (0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile) with a 350nl/min flow rate was used to separate peptides. The column was then subsequently washed with 90% mobile phase B before re equilibrating at 3.2% mobile phase. The Advion Triverse Nanomate was
directly connected to the LC system and was used to spray peptides into LTQ-Orbitrap ETD (Thermo Fisher) using a spray voltage of 1.7Kv.

2.2.7.6 Tandem Mass spectrometry (carried out by Jingli Yu)
Thermo Fisher Orbitrap Elite (Thermo Fisher) was used to carry out a full FT-MS scan and subsequent CID (collision induced dissociation) MS/MS scans. Data was acquired using Xcalibur 2.1 (Thermo scientific).

2.2.7.7 Maxquant
Raw MS/MS data was further processed using the Maxquant software. Raw data was searched against Andromeda search engine using the Swiss prot database. The database included forward and reverse sequences as well as ‘common contaminants’ such as keratin, trypsin and BSA.
The search parameters were: Minimum peptide length 8, precursor ion mass tolerance 7ppm, fragment ion mass tolerance 0.5Da, cleavage enzyme trypsin/P. SILAC labels corresponding to each experiment were selected for. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 0.01 (1%)

2.2.8 Statistical Tests
Students T-test was used to determine statistical significance where: ns P > 0.05, *p<0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001

2.2.9 Analysis of Published data sets
Published data sets were retrieved using the GEO database. Datasets were downloaded and subsequently analyzed using GALAXY (http://galaxyproject.org).
Submitting gene lists to DAVID bioinformatics retrieved enriched GO terms.
Chapter 3

Knock down of Rnd3 leads to an enrichment of a population of ‘stem like’ keratinocytes.

3.1 Introduction

It is clear from the current literature, that defining keratinocytes from each progenitor populations is not straightforward. Moreover, understanding how the decision for committed progenitors to differentiate and for KSCs to remain dormant is crucial towards understanding how epidermal homeostasis is regulated. Therefore, identifying potential pathways that regulate the behavior of each population is an important step towards understanding how each population has the ability to respond to different stimuli.

Previously, it has been shown that Rho GTPase signaling may play a role in regulating keratinocyte differentiation. An inhibition of ROCK1 (a serine/threonine kinase involved in the Rho/ROCK/LIMC cascade) activity has been shown to enrich for an of population keratinocytes which are ‘stem like’ (McMullan et al., 2003). Since Rnd3 is a known interacting partner to ROCK1, it suggests that Rnd3 may additionally play a role in keratinocyte differentiation. Rnd3 is an atypical GTPase and has been found to be involved in multiple processes such as cell proliferation, cell migration and apoptosis. Interestingly, it has been proposed that Rnd3 may be involved in regulating keratinocyte differentiation. Liebig et al have shown that an overexpression of Rnd3 induces keratinocyte differentiation. Furthermore, it was that in shown squamous cell carcinoma cells, which are known to have reduced Rnd3 expression, have a reduced propensity to differentiate (Liebig et al, 2009, Zhu et al, 2014). In addition to this it has been shown that Rnd3 is involved in regulating the
transportation of the cleaved portion of Notch during epidermal development prenatally (Zhu et al., 2014). Taken together this suggests that Rnd3 may be involved in regulating progenitor/KSC population.

This chapter aims to characterize some of the morphological and biochemical changes that occur in keratinocytes in response to Rnd3 depletion in normal keratinocytes. Since a clear defining signature has yet to be identified for the KSC population, a number of proposed putative KSC markers from the current literature have been considered. This is an approach taken by many within the field, with the notion that the more ‘stem like’ phenotypes that are observed within a given population, with more certainty it can be assumed that the cells in the population are in fact ‘stem-like’.

3.2 Results

3.2.1 Knock down in Rnd3 results in an increased cell clustering

To identify if Rnd3 has an effect on the keratinocyte behavior, Rnd3 siRNA was transiently transfected into HaCaTs for 48 hours. To ensure that Rnd3 was sufficiently depleted, immunoblotting and qRTPCR was used to determine transfection efficiency. Figure 3.1a and 3.1b shows that 48 hours post transfection resulted in an 80-90% knock down at both the transcriptional level and at the protein level. The transfection efficiency was determined prior to any subsequent analysis either through immunoblotting or qRTPCR.
Figure 3.1 Transient transfection of HaCaTs with Rnd3 siRNA for 48 hours leads to 70-80% knock down.

HaCaTs were transiently transfected with Rnd3 siRNA for 48 hours before cell lysates were subject to immunoblotting and qRTPCR was performed. Figure 3.1a shows that Rnd3 has been sufficiently knocked down at the protein level. Figure 3.1b shows that the knock down efficiency is approximately 80%. A total of 3 independent experiments were conducted and a Students T-test was used to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
As previously mentioned in section 1.7.4 keratinocyte progenitors form clusters in basal layer (Jones et al, 1995). Furthermore, studies in mouse models have shown that follicular epidermal stem cells can additionally form discrete clusters at the bulge region of the hair shaft (Saville et al, 2010).

To analyze changes in colony compaction, Rnd3 depleted cells were seeded onto glass coverslips and were stained with CellTracker green for 30 minutes. These were fixed, stained with DAPI and mounted on plastic strips with a PBS+90% glycerol mounting media (as described in methods and materials). Z-stacks were taken immediately at 1nm steps using Leica SP2 Inverted Confocal with a 63x objective lens. Inter-nuclear distance measurements are thought to be suitable to measure cell clustering since the nuclei are within a fixed position in the cell. The distance of nuclei in relation to its closest neighbor (inter nuclear distance) was measured using the measuring tool in ImageJ. The internuclear distance was measured in a total of three colonies from each sample and a total of three independent biological samples were analyzed. A Students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where \(*p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001\). The average inter-nuclear distance measurements in each cluster was normalized to the average inter-nuclear distance from all clusters analyzed in the sample. Figure 3.2a shows that Rnd3 has been sufficiently knocked down in cells that were subsequently imaged. Figure 3.2b represents a cross sectional image taken from the central stack and shows that Rnd3 siRNA treated cells cluster closer together forming discrete colonies. Furthermore, the inter-nuclear distances are reduced in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells (Figure 3.2c and 3.2d). This data is consistent with studies that have shown that increased cell clustering in Rnd3 depleted cells is correlated to increased expression of desmosome components and the number of desmosomes.
**Figure 3.2 Knocking down Rnd3 leads to increased cell clustering and reduced inter-nuclear distance:** Rnd3 transfected HaCaTs were seeded and stained with cell tracker and DAPI. Confocal images were taken using a 1nm step size. Figure 3.2a shows that Rnd3 was successfully knocked down in cells that were subsequently used for microscopy. Figure 3.2b represents the central stack from the z-series and shows that Rnd3 depleted HaCaTs form discrete tightly packed cell clusters. To quantify this clustering, inter nuclear distances were taken from DAPI stained nuclei (Figure 3.2c). ImageJ was used to measure the distance between each nuclei and its closest neighbour. The data was normalised to the average internuclear distance for each sample analysed (Figure 3.2d). A Students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 (n=3). Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
3.2.2 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to changes in nuclear size

Cell size may distinguish progenitor populations as it has been shown that keratinocytes that are found in holoclones are smaller in size (Barrandon and Green et al, 1985). In addition to this, KSC/progenitors isolated from oral epithelia have been shown to be smaller in diameter (Izumi et al, 2013). Furthermore, alterations in nuclear and cytoplasmic ratios are thought to be a hallmark for epithelial stem cells from other organs as well as a hallmark for ESCs (Pagliara et al, 2014). Figure 3.3a shows that Rnd3 has been sufficiently knocked down in cells, which have been used for subsequent analysis. To determine if Rnd3 depleted cells are smaller in size, nuclear diameter was measured with the assumption that nuclear and cytoplasmic ratios do not tend to differ and therefore can be used a representation of cell size.

Rnd3 depleted cells were stained with DAPI and were fixed and mounted using Mowial (as described in Methods and Materials). A subset of cells were lysed and subject to immunoblotting to ensure Rnd3 was sufficiently depleted (Figure 3.3a). Images were taken using a Leica SP2 inverted microscope using a 63x objective lens. Z-stacks were taken using 1nm step size and images were taken immediately after mounting. Figure 3.3b shows a cross sectional image of a cluster of Rnd3 depleted cells, it can be seen that Rnd3 depletion leads to a smaller nuclear diameter. Nuclear diameter was quantified by measuring the periphery of each nucleus in a total of five clusters from a total of three independent experiments. A Students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, ***p<0.001. Figure 3.3c shows that Rnd3 depleted cells have significantly smaller nuclear diameter by ~50%. It is important to note that a cross sectional image may be an inaccurate way in which to analyze nuclear size since nuclei are not always within a fixed position in a sample. This is important here since Rnd3 depleted cells cluster
together and therefore analysis of cross sectional images may be taken from smaller points of the nuclei depending on how they are clustered. Therefore, nuclear and cytoplasmic volume was additionally analyzed. To do this, Rnd3 depleted cells were seeded onto glass coverslips and were stained using CellTracker and DAPI as described in methods and materials, Z-stacks were taken using a 1nm step size and images were reconstructed in Fiji. Nuclear volume was measured by using the 3D object counter plugin in the FIJI imaging analysis software. The software calculates the total volume of voxels from each fluorescent channel in the image. Nuclei are stained blue (DAPI) and the cytoplasm is stained in green (CellTracker). Figure 3.3c shows a representation of a 3D reconstructed image of Rnd3 depleted nuclei. Figure 3.3d shows the quantification of nuclear volume by measuring the number of voxels in the blue channel. Figure 3.3c and 3.3 D shows that the depletion of Rnd3 leads to a reduction in nuclear volume. It is being assumed here that the reduction in nuclear volume reflects a reduction in cell size, therefore it was important that total cell volume was additionally measured. It should be noted that cell border measurements are inaccurate, as they do not take into account the way a cell is positioned during the imaging process. To assess if a reduction in nuclear size reflects a reduction in total cell size, total cell volume measurements were made. The total cell volume was determined by taking the sum of the volume of the blue voxels and the green voxels. Figure 3.4a shows a representation of a 3D reconstructed cluster of nuclei taken from NSC and Rnd3 siRNA treated cells. Figure 3.4b show that Rnd3 leads to a significant change in total cell volume. To ensure that nuclear and cytoplasmic ratios were not altered the ratio of nuclear volume against cytoplasmic volume were taken. Figure 3.4d shows that the Rnd3 siRNA treated cells leads to no significant change in nuclear and cytoplasmic ratio.
**Figure 3.3 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to a reduced nuclear diameter and nuclear volume.** Figure 3.3a shows that Rnd3 has been sufficiently knocked down in cells subsequently used for microscopy. To determine nuclear size, cross sectional images were initially analyzed by measuring nuclear area using the measuring tool in ImageJ. Figure 3.3a shows a representation of the images that were analyzed. Figure 3.3b shows that there is a reduction in nuclear area in Rnd3 siRNA cells. To determine nuclear volume, the 3D volume object counter was used to. Figure 3.3c shows a representation of a 3D reconstruction of z-stacks of nuclei taken of Rnd3 siRNA treated cells. Figure 3.3d shows that nuclear volume is reduced in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells. A total of three biological samples were analysed and a students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p*< 0.05, **<0.01, ***p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
Figure 3.4 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to a reduction in total cell volume and nuclear volume and no change in nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio. To determine total cell volume, the volume of nucleus and cytoplasm was calculated using the 3D volume object counter plugin in FIJI image analysis software. The volume of green voxels (which represent the cytoplasm stained with CellTracker) and blue voxels (represent nuclei stained with DAPI) were added together to determine total cell volume. Figure 3.4a shows a 3D reconstruction of Z stacks taken form NSC and Rnd3 siRNA treated cell. Figure 3.4b shows that the total cell volume of Rnd3 treated cells is reduced suggesting that the cells are smaller. Nuclear and cytoplasmic ratios were calculated by calculating the volume of the nucleus against the volume of the cytoplasm. Figure 3.4c shows that there is no significant change in nuclear and cytoplasmic ratios in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells compared to NSC treated cells. Each experiment was conducted in three separate biological samples. A students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, ***p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
3.2.3 Knock down of Rnd3 in HaCaTs leads to an increase in cell adhesion to ECM proteins.

Studies have additionally shown that keratinocyte adhesion to ECM proteins may be used to distinguish keratinocyte stem cells (as discussed in section 1.7.3)

To determine if Rnd3 has an effect on extracellular adhesion, cell adhesion assays were performed. Rnd3 siRNA transfected cells were seeded onto fibronectin and collagen pre-coated wells at the indicated concentrations. Cell adhesion was detected by the addition of MTT, which reduces in cells to form a colored salt. This salt can be solubilized using isopropanol. The colorimetric change is thought to correspond to cell uptake of MTT. The absorbance of each well was detected using a plate reader at an absorbance of 570nm. Figure 3.5a shows that Rnd3 has been sufficiently depleted prior to preforming cell adhesion assays. Figure 3.5b and Figure 3.5c shows that Rnd3 depleted cells are significantly more adhesive then control cells to fibronectin and collagen respectively. This is in line with studies, which have shown that Rnd3 depleted keratinocytes have increased adhesion to collagen and additionally it can be seen that there is increased adhesion to fibronectin (Liebig et al 2012).
Figure 3.5 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to increased cell adhesion to ECM proteins. Figure 3.5a shows that Rnd3 was successfully knocked down. To determine if knocking down Rnd3 leads to increased cell adhesion to ECM proteins, HaCaTs treated with Rnd3 siRNA were plated on to fibronectin and collagen coated plates at the indicated concentrations for 3 hours. Cells that did not adhere were washed off and adherent cells were then treated with MTT and colorimetric changes were measured. The absorbance for each concentration was calculated relative to the maximum absorbance measured. This was calculated as the percentage of cell adhesion. Figure 3.5b shows that Rnd3 depletion results in a significant increase in cell adhesion to fibronectin (FN) at concentrations of 10µg/ml, 5µg/ml and 2.5µg/ml (* p-value <0.05). Figure 3.5c shows that Rnd3 depletion results in a significant increase in cell adhesion to collagenVI at concentrations of 10µg/ml, 5µg/ml and 2.5µg/ml. A students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001 (n=5). Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
3.2.4 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to a suppression in differentiation

The differentiation capacity of Rnd3 depleted cells was analyzed to assess if Rnd3 siRNA treated cells has a capacity to initiate a differentiation induced gene expression profile. To do this, Rnd3 siRNA transfected cells were induced to differentiate. Firstly, via confluence driven differentiation and secondly via suspension induced differentiation. Figure 3.6a and Figure 3.6b show that the expression of differentiation markers, involucrin (a protein expressed in terminally differentiating cells) and S100A7 or psoriasin (a component of the epidermal differentiation complex) are reduced by ~80% and ~60% respectively when Rnd3 siRNA cells are left to reach 100% confluency. Furthermore, it can be seen Rnd3 depleted cells express ~40% more K14 then control cells in the basal state. Suspension induced differentiation was used as an additional method to determine if Rnd3 has an effect on differentiation. Figure 3.7b shows that when transfected cells were suspended in methylcellulose for 24 hours, Rnd3 siRNA treated cells express lower levels of K10. Taken together these data point to a role of Rnd3 in regulating keratinocyte differentiation confirming the data presented by Liebig et al, 2012.

3.2.5 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to an increase in p63

To understand how these phenotypes are being regulated, the expression of proposed stem cell markers was analyzed. p63 is a known regulator of the basal compartment and there is strong evidence that suggests that p63 levels may play a role in regulating keratinocyte progenitor cell fate decisions (see section 1.3.1.5). Therefore, immunoblotting was used to determine the expression of p63. Figure 3.8a and 3.8b
shows that there is an increase in the expression of p63 at the protein level when Rnd3 is depleted.

3.2.6 Knock of Rnd3 does not lead to changes in the expression of LRIG, MCSP and DLL1

Other biochemical markers have been proposed by analyzing the gene expression profile of keratinocytes with reduced expression of integrin expression (discussed in section 1.7.5). Therefore, the expression of putative stem cell markers LRIG, MCSP and DLL1 was assessed using qRTPCR. Figure 3.9 shows that there is no significant change in the expression of these markers, suggesting that Rnd3 may not be regulating stem-associated transcripts.
Figure 3.6 Knocking down Rnd3 leads to suppression in confluence-induced differentiation. HaCats treated with Rnd3 siRNA for 48h and were seeded at a high density. Cell lysates were collected and subjected to immunoblotting. Involucrin and K14 were used as markers of differentiation and the progenitor state respectively. Figure 3.6a shows that knocking down Rnd3 leads to suppression in the expression of involucrin and K14 on the protein levels. qRTPCR was conducted using primers targeted against Rnd3 as well as differentiation markers involucrin and S100A7 and progenitor marker K14. Figure 3.6b shows that knocking down Rnd3 leads to a suppression of S100A7 and involucrin and an increase in the expression of K14 at the transcriptional level during confluence mediated differentiation. A students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
Knockdown of Rnd3 lead to a suppression of induced differentiation

HaCaTs treated with siRNA targeted against Rnd3 were suspended for 24 hours in normal growth media supplemented with 0.13% methylcellulose for 24 hours (Figure 3.7a). Lysates were collected and were subjected to immunoblotting using antibodies targeted against differentiation marker K10. Figure 3.7b shows that Rnd3 siRNA treated cells have reduced expression of K10 during suspension-induced differentiation (n=3).
Figure 3.8 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to an increase in the expression of p63.
To determine if Rnd3 has an effect on p63 expression, immunoblotting and immunofluorescence was used using antibodies targeting p63α. Rnd3 siRNA treated cells were lysed and subject to immunoblotting (Figure 3.8a) and immunostained (Figure 3.8b). Figure 3.8a and b shows that there is an increase in p63α expression at the protein level. N=5.
Figure 3.9 Knock down of Rnd3 does not lead to significant changes in the expression of putative stem cell markers Lrig1, MCSP and DLL 1.

To analyze if there was a change in the expression of LRIG, MCSP and DLL1, cDNA synthesized from RNA extracted HaCaTs transfected with Rnd3 siRNA and qRTPCR was conducted. Figure 9a shows that Rnd3 has been successfully knocked down. Figures 9b, c and d show that there is no significant difference in the expression of these markers. To determine statistical significance a student’s T.test was conducted across three separate experiments where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
3.3 Discussion

Distinguishing KSCs from committed progenitors is an obstacle in determining how adult epidermal homeostasis is regulated. Whilst studies in mouse models have become increasingly successful in determining how the skin stem cell compartment is regulated, in vitro studies have been hindered since it is thought that KSC ex vivo behave differently when away from stringently controlled microenvironments (Fuchs et al., 2009). Furthermore, the differences between mouse and human epidermis raises of whether knowledge gained from mouse models can be transferable to the human epidermis. Whilst a single KSC bimolecular signature is highly sought after, stemness can be determined by identifying multiple proposed stem-like characteristics in conjunction with one another.

Previous studies have shown that Rnd3 may have a role in regulating keratinocyte differentiation. Liebig et al has shown that an overexpression of Rnd3 leads to an increased population of differentiating keratinocytes, which are less adhesive. Conversely, they have shown that a depletion of Rnd3 leads to a suppression in differentiation and increased cell adhesion to ECM proteins (validated in section 3.2.3).

Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 siRNA treated keratinocytes display multiple progenitor characteristics. These phenotypes include increased colony morphology, cell size, and cell adhesion to ECM substrates and an increase in p63 expression. In addition to this Rnd3 siRNA treated cells have suppressed differentiation. Taken together this suggests that Rnd3 regulates the keratinocyte stem/progenitor compartment.

3.3.1 Rnd3 and Colony morphology
Changes in colony morphology have been seen in stem cell populations in other keratinocyte-enriched organs such as the oral epithelium (Saville et al., 2010).

Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 siRNA treated keratinocytes become clustered to form tight colonies. It has been shown previously that Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in the expression of desmosomal proteins and that an increase is desmosomal protein expression is responsible for increased colony compaction. How Rnd3 may regulate colony compaction and desmosomal protein expression will be discussed further in chapter 4.

### 3.3.2 Rnd3 and Cell size

Stem cells have been previously shown to be smaller in size (Calenic et al., 2010). Furthermore, keratinocyte holoclones (thought to represent KSC) were found to be smaller in size compared to cells that can form paraclones (Barrandon and Green, 1985). The work presented here shows that upon Rnd3 depletion, keratinocytes become smaller. Interestingly, Liebig et al have shown that Rnd3 depletion does not alter cell size according to cell periphery measurements. It can be argued that this is not a true representation of cell size as the area in which a cell occupies is not fixed, and therefore the position of the cell and how it is orientated determines its measurements. Here the volume of the cell was measured as a way to measure cell size more accurately. The total volume of the nucleus and the cytoplasm was determined by taking Z-stacks of cells and reconstructing the image in 3D. This then enabled volumetric measurements to be made. It can be seen here that that nuclear volume is reduced in siRNA treated cells and that this reflects a reduction in total cell volume. Furthermore, the work here shows that no changes in nuclear cytoplasmic ratios were observed. Whilst an increase in nuclear/cytoplasmic ratios is a feature of embryonic
stem cells (ESC), it may be argued that more specialized keratinocytes are more ‘mature’ and that alterations in nuclear cytoplasmic ratio reflects the maturity of stem cell.

The reduction in stem cell size is thought to reflect the quiescent state of stem cells. Since stem cells are dormant unless they are required to replenish the committed progenitor pool, stem cells are thought to remain in a slow cycling state and therefore are thought to express fewer proteins (Sampath et al, 2008).

To further understand if a reduction in cell size reflects a reduction in protein mass, it would be important to assess if protein synthesis has been altered. One way to do this would be to do the sunSET approach to measure protein synthesis. This utilizes puromycin incorporation during protein synthesis and gives insight into the rate of protein synthesis in relation to the abundance of puromycin in newly synthesized proteins. This would therefore give insight into if Rnd3 is regulating protein synthesis on a global level (Goodman et al, 2013). Furthermore, polysomal analysis could be used as an additional method to identify if Rnd3 depletion leads to alterations in protein translation (Faye et al, 2013).

### 3.3.3 Rnd3 and cell adhesion to ECM

As mentioned previously KSC are more adhesive on ECM substrates. It has been previously shown that Rnd3 overexpression enriches for a population of less adhesive cells and depletion leads to an increase in a population of cells that bind to ECM substrates (Liebig et al, 2012). Here we confirm this and show that an increase in cell adhesion can be seen on both fibronectin and collagen.

Previous studies have shown that Rnd3 depletion does not however lead to an alteration \(\beta_1\) integrin expression, which is known to bind to fibronectin. It would therefore be interesting to conduct an integrin screen to determine cell surface profile
of integrin expression. This will help aid to understand further how Rnd3 regulates cell adhesion.

### 3.3.4 Rnd3 and differentiation

Liebig et al., who showed that Rnd3 is up regulated in basal keratinocytes during terminal differentiation, have demonstrated the role of Rnd3 during differentiation. Transient over expression of Rnd3 was found to induce cell enlargement (indicative of differentiation) and this was found to correlate to induced stratification in a ROCK-1 independent manner. Furthermore, depletion of Rnd3 was found to increase the pool of undifferentiated basal cells. Here we show that Rnd3 depletion leads to a suppression of confluence and suspension induced differentiation by analyzing the expression of differentiation markers.

This suggests that the expression levels of Rnd3 may be regulating the decision for progenitors to either behave as a stem cell and remain in an undifferentiated state (and express a low level of Rnd3) or to behave as a committed progenitor and go on to differentiate (and express high level of Rnd3). It would be interesting to further see how Rnd3 expression is regulated in response to differentiation signals. This would provide insight on how Rnd3 is differentially regulated and if the mechanisms that regulate its expression can be an additional defining feature for each progenitor population.

### 3.3.5 Rnd3 and p63 expression:

p63 is a transcription factor and is thought to be crucial in different aspects of keratinocyte function. p63 exists in different isoforms however the ΔNp63α is the isoform predominantly expressed postnatally (Senoo et al., 2007).

p63 is a proposed basal keratinocyte cell marker and is thought to initiate transcriptional events, which regulate KSC behavior such as the proliferative potential
of the stem cell pool (Senoo et al, 2007). Therefore, it has been suggested that p63 may be expressed highly in basal keratinocytes and is degraded as cells become more differentiated (Westfall et al, 2005).

Here it can be seen that there is an increase in p63 expression in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells (Figure 3.8). To fully understand the importance of how the increase in TP63 regulates the observed phenotype seen in Rnd3 depleted cells it is important to determine how p63 functionally regulates keratinocyte behavior (discussed further in chapter 4). Furthermore, it is important that how Rnd3 regulates p63 expression is regulated is understood so to try and establish a functional link between both proteins (discussed further in chapter 6).

3.3.6 Why might Rnd3 be regulating some but not all stem phenotypes?

Rnd3 depleted cells morphologically and biochemically resemble proposed KSC, however it is important to identify if these cells differentially express other putative stem cell markers additionally. Whilst in Rnd3 depleted keratinocytes, p63 expression is increased; conversely the expression of other putative KSC markers is unaltered.

It can be seen that the expression of LRIG, MCSP and DLL1 are unchanged (Figure 3.9). These markers have been identified by using FACS as a means of sorting cells based on the cell surface expression of β1 integrin (see section 1.3.1.5). Keratinocytes, which have a higher expression of β1 integrin are thought to represent KSC. Therefore, cell sorting on this basis can help identify the genomic profile of KSC and aid a further understanding of how this population is regulated. LRIG, MCSP and DLL1 were identified as KSC markers in this way. This suggests that these are markers for a KSC population with the assumption that increased β1 integrin cell surface expression selects for KSC.
Here it can be seen that Rnd3 depleted cells do not share a similar gene expression profile to KSC since Lrig, MCSP1 and DLL1 expression does not appear to be altered following Rnd3 depletion. It is interesting to note that Rnd3 depleted cells do not show altered expression of β1 integrin expression despite showing a clear increase in cell adhesion (Liebig et al, 2009). This could highlight several things. Firstly, it raises the question of if β1 integrin expression is expressed alongside these markers, could it be that β1 integrin regulates the expression of these markers and therefore these markers are cooperatively regulated. Secondly it suggests that Rnd3 as previously mentioned shows a clear increase in cell adhesion to the ECM substrates and that there may be a change in the cell surface expression of other adhesion receptors. Taken together this work suggests that Rnd3 depletion may enrich for a population of cells that display stem like characteristics but are distinct in their genomic profiles.

This raises the question of whether the widely accepted models of keratinocyte self-renewal are completely accurate. Since identifying a population of cells that display all the putative features of KSC, it has been proposed that KSC may divide to give rise to two different stem cell populations, one which maintains the stem cell population and is slow cycling remains in a quiescent state and one which has a capacity to rapidly divide to allow for the expansion of committed progenitors in response to events such as wound healing which require ‘quicker’ self renewal’. Therefore, this suggests that and an ‘intermediate’ population of KSC may exists. Whilst this is a tempting concept, there is currently very little evidence that suggests that this may occur. Furthermore, there is the added obstacle of trying to identify a new set of criteria that would define each population.

Taken together, it is clear that Rnd3 depletion at the very least enriches for a population of very early progenitor keratinocytes. However, since these phenotypes
are so striking in terms of morphology and behavior it is important that how Rnd3 regulates keratinocyte behavior on global level is further understood (Discussed in chapter 7)
Chapter 4

The role of increased P63 expression in cell clustering and desmoplakin expression

4.1 Introduction

The epidermis is held together via strong mechanical adhesion that is established through an extensive transcellular network composed of anchoring junctions such as adherens junctions and desmosomes (Brandner et al., 2010). Anchoring junctions interact directly with the cytoskeleton, which means these junctions can impart strong intracellular adhesion at sites of mechanical stress (Brandner et al., 2010).

Desmosomes are architectural junctions that make contact to the intermediate filament cytoskeleton. They comprise of multiple components that act together to ensure that sufficient cell-cell adhesion is maintained. Desmoplakin links desmosomes directly to the intermediate filament network and is thought to be a major factor in strengthening cell-cell contact. This ensures that the epidermis is highly strengthened and resilient to external mechanical forces.

ΔNp63α been shown to be involved in regulating cell-cell adhesion via the regulation of desmosome protein expression (Ferone et al., 2013). Studies using P63 knockout mice have shown that a depletion of p63 leads to a reduction in the expression of desmoplakin. In addition to this the study shows that the desmoplakin promoter has enriched TP63 binding sites. Furthermore, in disease states such as ankyloblepharon, ectodermal defects, cleft lip/palate (AEC) syndrome associated skin fragility both desmoplakin p63 expression is reduced and it is thought that this may result in poor skin development that is associated with the disease.
In chapter 3 it can be seen that depletion of Rnd3 leads to an increase in colony compaction, a phenotype previously observed by Ryan et al. The work conducted by Ryan et al have additionally shown that depletion of Rnd3 leads to an increase in desmosome proteins such as desmoplakin. The work presented here aims to identify if the increase in desmoplakin expression (and subsequent increase in colony compaction) at the cell borders of Rnd3 depleted cells is associated with the increase in p63 expression observed in chapter 3.

4.2 Results

4.2.1 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to an increase in the expression of desmoplakin.

P63 has been shown to have an extensive transcriptional network which is thought to regulate many aspects of keratinocyte function. A study conducted by Pozzi et al, utilized ‘chip on chip’ to identify novel p63 binding sites in HaCaTs. In addition to this, the group conducted RNA sequencing in p63 overexpressing cells to determine if there was a change in the expression of transcripts that have predicted p63 binding sites. Here, data from this study was reanalyzed using Gene Ontology enrichment to identify desmosome proteins that are directly regulated by p63.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment is a method, which enables a large gene list to be grouped according to a set of, predefined gene ontology terms. Each gene is grouped according to how functionally related it is to a given GO term. In this way, GO terms of interest can be sought after and genes that fall into that group can be further analyzed. Furthermore, GO terms can be further grouped according to their respective ‘molecular function’, ‘biological process’ and cellular components. This means that large gene lists can be functionally grouped. DAVID is an online bioinformatics tool which functions to annotate a group of submitted gene lists according to common
gene ontology terms (Huang et al, 2008). Here, DAVID, was used to functionally annotate Chipseq data procured from Pozzi et al to identify p63 regulated genes that may regulate some of the phenotypes observed in chapter 3. Figure 4.1 shows the top ten enriched (p=0.01) GO terms annotated according to the cellular component that each gene falls into. Interestingly, the GO term ‘desmosomes’ was shown to significantly enriched (p= 0.00342). The list of p63 regulated genes that were enriched is shown in table 4.1.

It has been previously shown in that Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in both colony compaction (confirmed in chapter 3) and an increase in desmosome expression (Ryan et al, 2012). To confirm this, desmoplakin expression in Rnd3 depleted cells was analyzed. Rnd3 depleted cells were seeded onto glass coverslips, fixed and stained with the desmoplakin antibody, 115F. These were subject to immunofluorescence using the Leica SP2 inverted confocal microscope. Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 transfected HaCaTs have increased expression of desmoplakin at the cell borders (Figure 4.2a). Furthermore, Rnd3 depleted cells were lysed and were subject to immunoblotting using the 115F antibody. Figure 4.2b shows that depletion of Rnd3 leads to increased expression of desmoplakin at the protein level. To determine if increased protein levels is reflected at the transcript level, qRT-PCR was used to determine the relative expression of the desmoplakin transcript (Figure 4.3). Figure 4.3 shows that Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in desmoplakin at the transcript level. Each experiment was conducted in a total of three independent samples. A students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001.
Another protein regulated by p63 is PERP. PERP is a tetraspanin protein and is known to be transcriptionally regulated by p63 (Ihrie and Attardi, 2005). Knock-out studies in mice have shown that Perp associates with desmosomes and regulates desmosomal assembly and adhesion (Ihrie et al, 2005). Here, immunoblotting was used to determine if Rnd3 depletion leads to altered levels of PERP expression (Figure 4.4). It can be seen that Rnd3 depletion has no effect on PERP expression.
Figure 4.1. TP63 transcriptionally regulates multiple desmosome proteins.

Chipseq dataset from pozzi et al, 2010 was reanalyzed using DAVID. Enriched GO terms were selected based on p-value. It can be seen that multiple desmosomal proteins are regulated by p63.
Figure 4.2 Rnd3 depletion leads to the increase of desmoplakin

To determine the expression levels of desmoplakin in Rnd3 knock down cells, immunofluorescence and immunoblotting was conducted using an antibody targeted against desmoplakin. Figure 4.2a and b show that knock down of Rnd3 leads to an increase in desmoplakin at the protein level.
Figure 4.3 Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in desmoplakin transcript

To determine if Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in desmoplakin transcript, qRTPCR was conducted using cDNA from Rnd3 depleted HaCaTs. Figure 4.3 shows that there is an approximately 90% increase in desmoplakin expression following Rnd3 depletion. Each experiment was conducted in three individual experiments were conducted and a students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.(n=3)
Figure 4.4 Knock down of Rnd3 does not lead to a change in Perp expression

To determine the expression levels of PERP in Rnd3 knock down cells, immunoblotting was conducted using an antibody targeted against desmoplakin. Figure 4.4 shows that there no observable increase in PERP expression following Rnd3 depletion (n=5).
4.2.2 Rnd3 and P63 double knockdowns restore colony morphology.

Desmoplakin has been shown to transcriptionally regulated by p63 (Pozzi et al., 2012, Ferone et al., 2013). To determine if the increase in colony compaction (shown in chapter 3 and by Ryan et al.) is dependent on the observed increase in p63 expression in Rnd3 depleted cells (Figure 3.8), Rnd3 and p63 double knock downs were conducted. HaCaTs were initially transfected with both Rnd3 and p63 oligos for 48 hours before subsequent analysis. Figure 4.5a shows that at the protein level, p63 is not sufficiently depleted in double knockdowns. This suggests that the transfection with both siRNA oligos at the same time is not an appropriate way to ensure that both transcripts are sufficiently knocked down. Using qRTPCR it can be seen that p63 expression is approximately 40% depleted when both oligos are transfected at the same time (Figure 4.5c), despite Rnd3 depletion being significant in both single and double knockdowns (Figure 4.5b). To ensure both proteins are sufficiently depleted, a sequential knock down was conducted, where p63 was first transfected into HaCaTs for 24 hours before being re-transfected with Rnd3 siRNA for a further 48 hours. This ensured that both proteins were sufficiently knocked down in double knock down samples. This was validated at the protein level using western blotting (Figure 4.6). Note, for all subsequent analysis the efficiency of knockdowns were tested using western blotting and only those that showed a significant depletion were used.

To then determine if the observed increase in colony compaction seen in chapter 3 is due to the increase p63 expression in Rnd3 depleted cells immunofluorescence was used to analyze colony morphology. HaCaTs were transfected sequentially with p63 and Rnd3 oligos, and were seeded onto glass coverslips. Coverslips were stained with CellTracker Green and DAPI and were mounted using Mowial. Images were taken using Leica SP2 inverted confocal microscope. It can be seen that the double knock
down of both Rnd3 and p63 leads to an alteration in colony morphology when compared to Rnd3 single knockdown cells (Figure 4.7a). This was quantified by measuring the internuclear distance (Figure 4.7b and c). It can be seen that knocking down Rnd3 alone leads to a reduced inter nuclear distance (as seen in chapter 3) and p63 alone leads to no significant changes in inter nuclear distance compared to the NSC control. Furthermore, knock down of both transcripts leads to a rescue in colony morphology since no significant difference in internuclear distance between double knockdown cells and NSC can be seen.

Inter-nuclear distances were measured in 3 cell clusters from a total of 3 independent biological repeat. 2-way ANOVA was conducted to assess statistical significance where: ns P > 0.05, *p<0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001.
Figure 4.5 Knocking down Rnd3 and p63 at the same time does not lead to sufficient knock down of both transcripts. To determine knock down efficiency when transfecting with both oligos at the same time, western blotting (Figure 4.5a) and qRTPCR was used (Figure 4.5b and 4.5c). Transfections was conducted by treating cells Rnd3 and p63 oligos at the same time. Lysates and cDNA were generated 48 hours after transfection. Whilst Rnd3 remains sufficiently knocked down in the double knockdown cells (Figure 4.5b), p63 expression is only 40% depleted in double knock down cells (Figure 4.5c), therefore double knockdowns conducted in this way is not suitable. A students T-test was used to determine statistical significance where: ns P > 0.05, *p<0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. (n=3).
Figure 4.6 Knock down of both Rnd3 and p63 is efficient when oligos are transfected sequentially.

To optimize the efficiency of the double knock down (ensuring that both oligos are depleted sufficiently) Oligos were transfected 24 hours apart. Here, p63 siRNA was transfected in first and the same cells were then transfected with Rnd3 siRNA 24 hours later. Double transfected cells were then allowed to grow for a further 48 hours before immunoblotting was conducted. Figure 4.6 shows that knocking down p63 for 24 hours prior to re-transfecting Rnd3 was sufficient in knocking down p63 at the protein level (n=3).
Knock down of Rnd3 and p63 leads to a restoration of colony compaction. Rnd3 and p63 oligos were transfected sequentially to determine if Rnd3 mediated colony compaction is dependent on the increased expression of p63. Briefly, cells were transfected with both Rnd3 and p63 siRNA and were subject to staining. Colony compaction was measured using internuclear distances (as described in chapter 3) Figure 4.7a shows that cells appear to be less clustered when both Rnd3 and p63 are knocked down. Figure 4.7b and c show that internucelar distances are restored in the double knock downs. Each experiment was conducted in three individual experiments were conducted and a 2 way ANOVA was used to determine statistical significance where: ns P > 0.05, *p<0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001 Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
4.2.3 **Rnd3 and p63 double knockdowns restores desmoplakin expression**

To determine if the restoration of colony morphology in Rnd3 and p63 depleted cells was dependent on altered desmosomal protein expression, immunofluorescence and immunoblotting was conducted to determine desmoplakin protein expression (Figure 4.8a and 4.8b). HaCaTs were transfected using Rnd3 and p63 oligos, and were seeded onto glass coverslips. Coverslips were immunostained using 115F desmoplakin antibody. These were imaged using Leica SP2 inverted confocal microscope. Figure 4.8a shows that there is an increase in desmoplakin expression at cell borders in Rnd3 depleted cells (consistent with figure 4.1) however there is little change in the expression in the double knock down cells compared to NSC treated cells. Furthermore, immunoblotting using lysates from Rnd3 and p63 double knockdowns shows that double knock down restores desmoplakin expression. This, therefore suggests that the change in colony morphology is dependent on the increase in p63 expression and the subsequent increase in DSP.

4.2.4 **Knock down does not change the expression of other p63 targets**

Since it can be seen that knocking down both Rnd3 and p63 leads to rescued colony morphology and desmoplakin expression, it would be interesting to see if Rnd3 has an effect firstly on the expression of any other p63 targets. To identify if p63 targets that may be related to the observed phenotypes in Rnd3 depleted cells, data from Pozzi *et al* was analyzed using Gene ontology enrichment. Genes were grouped according to their biological process (Figure 4.9). It can be seen from this that p63 regulated genes that are involved in many of the phenotypes observed in Rnd3 depleted keratinocytes shown in chapter 3 including ‘keratinocyte differentiation’, ‘cell adhesion’, ‘apoptosis’ and ‘cell size’. To further
understand how p63 may regulate these processes, genes from each GO term were investigated and those that may be involved in regulating different aspects of Rnd3 regulated phenotypes were sought after. Table 4.2 shows genes that may be involved in regulating keratinocyte behavior.

Primers targeting the transcripts of these genes were designed and cDNA from Rnd3 depleted cells were subject to qRT-PCR. Figure 4.10 shows that there is no observable change in the expression of known p63 targets. However, each experiment was conducted in two biological replicates and therefore the significance of these changes cannot be assessed without conducting a third repeat. This suggests that the increase in p63 may not have an effect on all of its known targets. This is not surprising since it is well known that an increase in a transcription factor does not necessarily mean that there is an increase in transcription. It would be interesting to see however if in Rnd3 depleted cells if there is p63 binding to new targets, which could be related back to the observed Rnd3, knock down phenotypes as seen in Chapter 3.
Figure 4.8 Knock down of both Rnd3 and P63 leads to reduced desmoplakin expression. P63 and Rnd3 were knocked down sequentially as described section 4.4.2. Figure 4.8a shows that desmoplakin expression is restored at cell-cell junctions in Rnd3 and p63 siRNA treated cells. Figure 4.8b shows that there is an increase in desmoplakin expression in Rnd3 depleted cells but little change in desmoplakin expression when both Rnd3 and p63 are knocked down. Each experiment was conducted a total of three times and the efficiency of each transfection was determined to ensure knockdowns were successful.
Figure 4.9 Enriched GO terms using p63 chispseq data. Chipseq dataset from Pozzi et al, 2010 was reanalyzed using DAVID. Genes were functionally annotated depending on their biological process.

Table 4.2: List of genes taken from multiple biological processes.

Genes were selected based on the biological process in which they may play a role in other phenotypes observed in Rnd3 depleted cells as described in Chapter 3.
Figure 4.10 Knock down in Rnd3 doesn’t appear to alter the expression of other p63 targets. Rnd3 depleted cells were subject to qRTPCR to determine the expression of other p63 targets identified from Pozzi et al data set. It can be seen that Rnd3 has little effect on the expression of these proteins. N=2
4.3 Discussion

The identification of p63 target genes is important towards understanding how epidermal homeostasis is regulated since p63 is often described as ‘master regulator of epidermal homeostasis’. Currently RNAi, gene profiling and ChIP on chip experiments have been routinely used to identify TP63 target genes (Kouwenhoven et al, 2010 and reviewed in Vigano and Motovani et al, 2007). These genes are associated with various cellular processes including regulators of apoptosis, development, cell cycle and cell adhesion (reviewed in Vigano and Motovani, 2007).

The work presented here suggests that the striking phenotypes observed in Chapter 3 may be regulated by the increase in p63 expression observed in Rnd3 depleted cells.

4.3.1 Rnd3 regulates colony morphology and desmoplakin expression in a p63 dependent manner

The work presented here shows that knocking down both Rnd3 leads to an increase in colony compaction and desmoplakin expression. Furthermore, knockdown of both Rnd3 and p63 restores colony compaction and desmoplakin expression. Interestingly it has been shown that desmoplakin is transcriptionally regulated by p63. Studies using Chipseq have shown that the desmoplakin gene has conserved p63 regulatory sites as well as showing that p63 knock out leads to a reduction in the expression of desmoplakin and this is thought to be associated with skin fragility (Ferone et al, 2013). In this study, it was additionally shown that p63 regulates the expression of other desmosomal components such as desmoglein 1 and 3. Therefore it would be interesting to see if Rnd3 depleted cells alter the expression of these and if the expression of these components can be restored in a double knock down system.

Additionally, it has been previously shown that Rnd3 additionally regulates desmosome number. This suggests that Rnd3 may be regulating desmosomal
assembly at cell-cell junctions. P63 has been shown to transcriptionally regulate the desmosomal protein Perp (Ihrie et al, 2005). Perp is a tetraspanin protein and a known downstream target of p63 (Ihrie and Attardi, 2005). Knock-out studies in the murine model have shown that Perp associates with desmosomes and regulates desmosomal assembly and adhesion (Ihrie et al, 2005). Therefore, Perp has been associated with regulating stratification during development via the transcriptional activity of the TAp63 isoform (Beaudery et al, 2010, Ihrie et al, 2005). Here, it can be seen that there is no change in the expression of Perp in Rnd3 siRNA transfected cells. This is not a surprising result since, as mentioned previously the ΔNp63α is the isoform is predominantly expressed after birth, and secondly because the function of Perp as a regulator of desmosomal adhesion postnatally is obscure. Taken together this suggests that Rnd3 could be specifically regulating the transcriptional activity of the ΔNp63α isoform.

Interestingly, the expression of desmosome proteins is upregulated during terminal differentiation (Wan et al, 2007). Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 depletion leads to increased desmoplakin expression, however also show that there is an increase in p63 expression, as well other stem like markers. It has been demonstrated that ΔNp63α is restricted to the basal layer and is essential for stem cell maintenance (Yang et al, 1998). Therefore, one could ask, how can Rnd3 depletion lead to an enrichment of stem/progenitor cells, but also show an increase in desmosome protein expression, even though differentiation is suppressed? Since most studies determining desmosomal protein expression are conducted in vivo, one explanation is that perhaps the number of desmosomes in the basal layer in vivo is correlated to the naturally low numbers of stem cells. Since stem cells are known to reside in small clusters in the basal layer (Jones et al, 1995), perhaps desmosome adhesion is additionally
upregulated so to maintain the integrity of these clusters. In addition to this perhaps in vitro studies, disturb the desmosome protein expression, since cell culturing techniques alter cell-cell adhesion and therefore the expression of desmosomal components are not truly represented.

Furthermore, it would be interesting to identify which desmosomal proteins are regulated by Rnd3 and how many of these are additionally regulated by p63. This would give insight into determining a functional link between Rnd3 and p63 and would allow for further understanding of how desmosomes are regulated in epidermal homeostasis. In addition to this, would interesting to identify if a functional link can be made between Rnd3 and epidermal disorders such as AEC. Perhaps Rnd3 expression may be altered in these disease states and may have a functional impact on p63 regulated transcription.

4.3.2 Depletion of Rnd3 does not alter the expression of other p63 targets:

Whilst it was interesting to see that in the double knockdowns colony compaction and desmoplakin expression can be restored, it is important to understand how an increase in p63 following Rnd3 depletion can have an effect on other known p63 regulated pathways. Here, it can be seen that increased expression of p63 has little effect on the expression known p63 targets such as LEF1, TGFβ1T1 and PPARΔ and SMAD7.

This is not uncommon since an increase in transcription factor does not necessarily mean an increase in transcription, however it does raise the question of why Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in some p63 targets (desmoplakin) and not others. This suggests that perhaps the expression of these may be regulated by transcriptional co factors that interact with p63. Perhaps Rnd3 regulates the expression or the organization of transcriptional co factors which regulates p63 transcription of specific targets.
In addition to this since p63 has been shown to regulate multiple processes including cell-ECM adhesion, apoptosis and cell size (reviewed in Truong and Khavari et al, 2007). Therefore, it can be speculated that perhaps the other phenotypes described in chapter 3 may be regulated by p63 expression. Therefore, it would be interesting to reanalyze some of these phenotypes in a double knock down system (Discussed further in chapter 7).
Chapter 5

The identification of novel Rnd3 regulated proteins using SILAC.

5.1 Introduction

In previous chapters it has been shown that Rnd3 regulates multiple ‘stem like’ phenotypes. Whilst these phenotypes are interesting in their own right, little information is currently available on how Rnd3 may be regulating these phenotypes. Currently, an 'omics’ approach is routinely used to determine the biological composite of test samples on a global scale. In this way, determining key players involved in the regulation of multiple biological processes can be resolved. In addition to this, information about how these players are functionally linked can easily identify new molecular pathways.

Proteomics is a method that is widely used to measure the abundance of thousands of proteins at a given time. In the past proteomics was used almost entirely to simply identify the protein content in a sample. Currently, however the incorporation of quantitative methods with proteomics offers a more robust method towards determining the differences in relative abundance between samples. In this way, changes in protein abundance between control and test samples can be measured on larger scale.

SILAC is a method which utilises amino acids which have stable isotopes introduced into them. These amino acids are supplemented into normal growth media, where the amino acids become incorporated into newly synthesized proteins (Ong et al., 2002). It is important to note that proteins remain biochemically and functionally the same except that proteins with ‘heavy’ amino acids incorporated into them have a larger
mass. These can be distinguished from proteins with ‘light’ amino acids using mass spectrometry by detecting the differences in mass shift (Ong et al, 2002). In this way the relative abundance of a protein in control and test samples can be determined as a ratio.

In previous chapters it can be seen that Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in cell adhesion, a suppression in differentiation and a reduction in cell size. In addition to this Rnd3 depletion has been shown to alter the expression of p63, desmoplakin, involucrin and K10. The aim of this chapter is to identify the global effect of Rnd3 depletion on protein expression using SILAC and to identify novel proteins that are regulated by Rnd3 and may have an effect on keratinocyte function.

5.2 Results

5.2.1 The identification of proteins regulated by Rnd3 using SILAC

SILAC is a commonly used method used to determine the relative changes in protein abundance between control and test samples. Here SILAC was used to identify changes in protein abundance when Rnd3 is depleted. HaCaTs were grown in normal growth media supplemented with ‘Heavy’ (Arg 10 Lys 8) and ‘Light’ (Arg 0 and Lys 0) for a total of four doublings. ‘Heavy’ cells were transfected with NSC siRNA and ‘light’ cells were transfected with Rnd3 siRNA for 48 hours as described in Chapter 2. Figure 5.1a shows schematic of how samples were prepared. Cells were lysed and were subject to immunoblotting to ensure that Rnd3 was sufficiently knocked down (Figure 5.1b). Lysates were then mixed at a 1:1 ratio and were loaded onto a 12% gel and were subject to SDS-PAGE. Gels pieces were cut at the indicated bands shown in Figure 5.1c and bands were enzymatically digested using trypsin. Digested bands were then subject to LC MS-MS. To calculate the changes in protein abundance in
NSC and Rnd3 siRNA treated cells, raw MS-MS scans were submitted to MaxQuant. Maxquant is a software package that is used to analyze large scale ‘shotgun’ proteomic data. It utilizes raw MS/MS data and is able to extract the mass and intensity of peptide peaks. These peaks can then be matched against a protein database that identifies each protein depending on the sequence similarity. Ratios are calculated from the relative intensity between corresponding peaks from the same protein in both samples (Cox and Maan, 2011).

Figure 5.2 shows the log2 ratio of all proteins analyzed within the sample. A total of 1943 proteins were identified. Proteins that were up regulated or down regulated by at least 2 fold, were considered further. Table 5.1a and b lists the proteins that are up regulated and down regulated respectively, where 13 proteins were found to be upregulated and 14 proteins were found to be down regulated.
Figure 5.1. SILAC workflow. HaCaT were grown in normal growth media supplemented with heavy and light amino acids for a total of 4 doublings. Heavy and light cells were transfected with Nsc and Rnd3 siRNA for 48 hours before cells were lysed. Figure 5.1a shows a schematic of how samples were prepared. To ensure Rnd3 has been sufficiently knocked down, samples were subject to immunoblotting. Figure 5.1a shows that Rnd3 has been sufficiently knocked down. Samples were then mixed at a 1:1 ratio and loaded a 12% acrylamide gel. Lanes were cut into 10 fractions and were enzymatically digested using trypsin. Samples were submitted for LC MS-MS analysis. Each experiment was conducted a total of two times.
**Figure 5.2 SILAC output.** Raw MSMS data was submitted to Maxquant and the relative intensity of peptide peaks are calculated. Figure 5.2 shows a scatter diagram of all proteins analyzed. A total of 1943 proteins were identified. Ratios were logged. Proteins that were up regulated or down regulated by at least two fold were considered further.
### Downregulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>log2 ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S100A8</td>
<td>-4.251794823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR3A2</td>
<td>-3.67468662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100A9</td>
<td>-3.265166816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP3CA</td>
<td>-2.97652861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTF</td>
<td>-2.267835392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIF14</td>
<td>-2.063192281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNB</td>
<td>-1.667574266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9orf64</td>
<td>-1.443659691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINB4</td>
<td>-1.423363028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>-1.420940456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKDREJ</td>
<td>-1.372729271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUN2</td>
<td>-1.366196301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Upregulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>log2 Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SARG</td>
<td>1.946168966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUP153</td>
<td>1.982278431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMC2</td>
<td>2.05338809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC1A3</td>
<td>2.066030329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCP1</td>
<td>2.077404076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINB2</td>
<td>2.158288909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINA</td>
<td>2.224397188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTRT1</td>
<td>2.456640299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36L1</td>
<td>2.627016235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTP4A2</td>
<td>2.884005307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXK1</td>
<td>3.248335228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOK1</td>
<td>3.433004909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD</td>
<td>3.777433612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK2</td>
<td>-1.185486004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2AFV</td>
<td>-0.947179878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.1 List of upregulated and downregulated proteins in Rnd3 depleted cells.**

Proteins that were up regulated or down regulated by at least 2 fold were considered further. Table 5.1 lists these proteins and the corresponding log2 ratio.
To understand how these proteins may be functionally relevant, up regulated and down regulated proteins were manually characterized by their subcellular localization (Figure 5.3), molecular function (Figure 5.4) and biological processes (Figure 5.5) using UniProt data. Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each corresponding class and are graphically represented as a pie chart.

To determine which class the majority of proteins could be grouped into, classes that were represented by one protein were grouped together as ‘other’. Figure 5.3 shows that 21% of all proteins identified are localized in the nucleus and 22% were localized to the cytoplasm suggesting that there is no bias in the regulation of proteins in each compartment. Furthermore 14% were found to be associated with the cytoskeleton (Figure 5.3) and 11% were thought to be associated with actin binding (Figure 5.4). Interestingly, 20% of all proteins identified are involved in transcription (Figure 5.4) and 15% are shown to be involved in regulating transcription factor activity (Figure 5.5).
Figure 5.3 Subcellular localization of up regulated and down regulated proteins

Proteins were manually functionally classified into their subcellular localization using uniProt data. Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each class. Figure 5.3a is a pie chart which shows the percentage of proteins which fall into each GO term. Figure 5.3b (Table 5.2) is a table that lists up regulated and down regulated proteins and their corresponding subcellular localization.
Proteins were manually functionally classified into their molecular function using uniProt data. Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each class. Figure 5.4a is a pie chart which shows the percentage of proteins which fall into each GO term. Figure 5.4b (Table 5.3) is a table that lists up regulated and down regulated proteins and their corresponding molecular function.
Proteins were manually functionally classified into their biological processes using uniProt data. Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each class. Figure 5.5a is a pie chart which shows the percentage of proteins which fall into each GO term. Figure 5.5b (Table 5.4) is a table that lists up regulated and down regulated proteins and their corresponding biological process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>log2 ratio</th>
<th>Biological Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PKDREJ</td>
<td>-1.377279271</td>
<td>Calcium ion transmembrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD</td>
<td>1.9174064</td>
<td>Cell Adhesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMC2</td>
<td>1.03806323</td>
<td>Cell Adhesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100A8</td>
<td>-4.251794823</td>
<td>Cell growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100A9</td>
<td>-3.265168816</td>
<td>Cell growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIF14</td>
<td>-2.063192281</td>
<td>Cell growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCP1</td>
<td>1.054781862</td>
<td>Cell migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2AFV</td>
<td>-0.947179878</td>
<td>Chromatin organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOK1</td>
<td>1.77947192</td>
<td>Endosome organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK2</td>
<td>-1.185486004</td>
<td>Glycolytic Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC1A3</td>
<td>1.046861433</td>
<td>Ion transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>-1.426940456</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUP153</td>
<td>0.987159618</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9orf64</td>
<td>-1.443659691</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARG</td>
<td>0.96063697</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINB2</td>
<td>1.10987997</td>
<td>Negative regulation of endopeptidase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUN2</td>
<td>-1.366196301</td>
<td>Nuclear envelope organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP3CA</td>
<td>-2.97652861</td>
<td>Protein dephosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTP4A2</td>
<td>1.528073819</td>
<td>Protein dephosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINB4</td>
<td>-1.423363028</td>
<td>Regulation of proteolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR3A2</td>
<td>-3.67408662</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTF</td>
<td>-2.267835392</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36L1</td>
<td>1.393425116</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXK1</td>
<td>1.699700526</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINA</td>
<td>1.153414419</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNB</td>
<td>-1.66574266</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRT1</td>
<td>1.296686634</td>
<td>tRNA processing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To understand the functional significance of these proteins in relation to one another, protein IDs were submitted to STRING to determine the functional associations between each protein. STRING is an online database which predicts ‘functional association’ between proteins/genes. These associations are predicted utilizing information from experimental data, pathway knowledge, text mining and co-expression studies. The database therefore is an interface that brings together information from multiple sources so the functional relationships between proteins can be contextualized (Szklarczyk et al, 2015).

Figure 5.6 shows the functional associations between upregulated and downregulated proteins. It can be seen that very few proteins from the submitted list interact with one another. To get a more general idea of how these proteins may be functionally related, STRING maps were generated utilizing both the submitted list and ten known predicted protein associations (Figure 5.7). It can be seen here that many more functional associations between the submitted list are made indirectly via the interaction with other known partners. For example, JunB can indirectly interact with SERPIN B2 and SERPINB4 via an interaction with FOS.
Figure 5.6 STRING map showing the functional associations of upregulated and down regulated proteins.
Figure 5.7 STRING map showing the functional association of each protein and ten other known associations.
5.2.2 Rnd3 regulates the expression of known regulators of progenitor differentiation

To further determine how Rnd3 may function, proteins that may be biologically relevant to the phenotypes observed in in earlier chapters were analysed further.

It has already been shown in Chapter 4 that transcription factors such as p63 regulate Rnd3 depleted phenotypes. To determine if any other transcription factors may play role in regulating keratinocyte function in Rnd3 depleted cells the molecular function ‘Transcription factor activity’ and the biological process ‘Transcription’ were considered further (Table 5.5). Table 5.5 summarizes the subcellular localization, molecular function and biological process of each of the proteins in this class and how the protein functions in light of current literature.

A total of four proteins are found both ‘Transcription’ and ‘Transcription factor activity’. These include ZFP36L1, FOXK1, MINA and JunB. ZFP36L1, FOXK1, MINA were found to be up regulated in Rnd3 depleted cells and JunB was downregulated. Interestingly, JunB is a known regulator of keratinocyte differentiation, as it is involved in regulating the expression of late differentiation genes (see section 1.2.3). Furthermore, ZFP36L1, FOXK1 have additionally have been shown to be involved in regulating progenitor differentiation, therefore suggesting that these may play a role in regulating differentiation in Rnd3 depleted cells (see Chapter 5 discussion).

To ensure that this increase in expression is not an experimental artifact introduced during mass spectrometry analysis, western blotting was used to validate the changes in the expression of FOXK-1 and JunB (Figure 5.8 and 5.9). Figure 5.8a shows that FOXK1 in increase in the nucleus of Rnd3 depleted cells. It can be seen that FOXK-1 expression is increased at the protein level when using western blotting (Figure 5.8b). This was quantified by measuring the intensity of each band using imageJ to identify
if the fold change in expression shown on the immunoblot reflects the fold change in expression observed using SILAC (Figure 5.8c). It can be seen that there is a significant increase in expression of FOXK1 by 2 fold. Three replicates were conducted and a Student T-Test was preformed to determine statistical significance. Figure 5.8d shows a STRING map of all the known functional protein associations. It can be seen that FOXK1 associates with SRF, a known regulator of keratinocyte differentiation (see Section 5.3). In a similar way, the expression of junB was analyzed using western blotting and quantified using ImageJ. Figure 5.9a and 5.9b shows that there is a 2 fold reduction in junB expression. Figure 5.9c shows a STRING map of known junB functional associations. It can be seen that junB with multiple FOS proteins which regulate the MAPK cascade. The MAPK cascade is additionally a known regulator of keratinocyte differentiation (see Section 5.3).

To determine if the alteration in FOXK1 and junB expression is reflected in the expression of their respective transcripts, qRT-PCR was conducted. Figure 5.10 shows that upon Rnd3 depletion, FOXK1 expression is up regulated by ~20% and junB is down regulated by ~60%. It is important to note that this experiment was only conducted twice and statistical significance cannot be determined. However, these data suggest that the up regulation FOXK1 may occur via post transcriptionally, whilst junB expression may be regulated transcriptionally.

Taken together it can be seen that Rnd3 depletion leads to an alteration of proteins that are known to regulate progenitor differentiation.
Table 5.5 List of Proteins found in the molecular function ‘transcription factor activity’ and the biological process ‘Transcription’. A basic literature search was conducted to determine the function of each protein and summarized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ratio (log2)</th>
<th>Biological process</th>
<th>Molecular function</th>
<th>Subcellular localization</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36L1</td>
<td>ZFP36 Ring Finger Protein-Like 1</td>
<td>1.393425116</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Transcription factor activity</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>RNA binding Zinc finger protein. Binds specifically to 3’ UTR and deregulates the expression of target genes</td>
<td>Lai et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXL1</td>
<td>Forkhead Box K1</td>
<td>1.699700526</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Transcription factor activity</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>Member of the Forkhead box family of transcription factors. Role in regulating myogenic progenitor differentiation.</td>
<td>Xiaozhong Shi et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINA</td>
<td>MYC Induced Nuclear Antigen</td>
<td>1.153414419</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Transcription factor activity</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>Myc Target gene. Involved in regulating cell proliferation.</td>
<td>Tsuneoka et al., 2002; Teye et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNB</td>
<td>Jun B Proto-Oncogene</td>
<td>-1.66757426</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Transcription factor activity</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>Member of AP-1 family of transcription factors. Role in regulating keratinocyte differentiation genes</td>
<td>Reviewed in Eckert et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.8 Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in FOXK1 expression

Immunofluorescence and immunoblotting was used to validate the increase in FOXK1 expression observed in the SILAC experiment. Figure 5.8a and 5.8b show that in Rnd3 depleted cells FOXK1 is upregulated. To determine the fold change in the band intensity, image J was used. Figure 5.8c shows that FOXK1 expression has increased by 2 fold. To understand the functional significance of FOXK1, FOXK1 STRING maps were observed. Figure 5.8d shows the functional associations of FOXK1 and known protein protein interactors. Each experiment was conducted in three individual experiments were conducted and a students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
**Figure 5.9 Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in junB expression**

Immunoblotting was used to validate the reduction in FOXK1 expression observed in the SILAC experiment. Figure 5.9a shows that in Rnd3 depleted cells junB is downregulated. To determine the fold change in the band intensity, image J was used. Figure 5.9b shows that junB expression has decreased by 2 fold. To understand the functional significance of junB STRING maps were observed. Figure 5.9c shows the functional associations of junB and known protein protein interactors. Each experiment was conducted in three individual experiments were conducted and a students T-test was conducted to determine statistical significance where *p< 0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
Figure 5.10 Rnd3 depletion may lead to a reduction in junB transcript and slight changes in FOXK1 transcript. To determine if alterations in the expression of junB and FOXK1 is reflected in their respective transcripts, qRT-PCR was conducted to determine relative transcript levels. Figure 5.10 shows that there is ~20% increase in FOXK1 and 70% decrease in the expression of JunB.
5.3 Discussion

SILAC is a commonly used method used to determine the differences in the abundance of protein in given sample. Previous chapters have shown that Rnd3 regulates the expression of proteins such as p63, desmoplakin, CK-19 (data not presented here), involucrin and K10. These proteins are known to play key roles in stem/progenitor cell function.

To determine the effects of Rnd3 depletion on protein expression on larger scale SILAC was used to determine the relative changes in the abundance of protein in keratinocytes treated with control and Rnd3 siRNA.

Here, an alteration in the expression of a total of 27 proteins can be seen when Rnd3 is depleted. It is important to note that quantitative proteomic approaches such as SILAC are sampling methods. Therefore, the outcome of these methods are largely dependent on how protein rich each sample analyzed using LC-MSMS is. For example, a given fraction representing a certain molecular weight may also contain a highly abundant protein, and therefore may mask the signal intensity of a lower abundant protein in the same fraction. One way to overcome this is to separate samples into a larger number of less biologically complex samples to determine the changes in less abundant proteins.

This provides an explanation to why proteins such as p63 and desmoplakin that have been shown to increase using immunoblotting in Chapter 3 may not have been identified using LC-MSMS and are therefore not present in this dataset since only ten fractions were taken and therefore the signal of these proteins may have been obscured by other higher abundant proteins. Going forward, the separation of test and control samples into less complex fractions could provide more information about how Rnd3 functions.
5.3.1 Rnd3 regulates the expression of FOXK1

FOXK1 is a member of the forkhead (FOX) superfamily of transcriptional regulators which includes almost 300 transcriptional regulators. Each member consists of an evolutionarily conserved ‘forkhead’ DNA binding domain which is involved in transcriptional activity (Katoh et al., 2004).

FOX proteins are involved in the regulation of apoptosis, differentiation of multiple tissue types, cell cycle progression and mutagenesis. Therefore, the family are thought to be important regulators of multiple biological processes (Reviewed in Lam et al., 2013).

Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in the expression FOXK1. Whilst the function FOXK1 in the epidermis has yet to be identified, its role in other systems may provide some insight into how it might function in keratinocytes. FOXK1 has been identified as a regulator of myogenic progenitor cells in the mouse model. Studies in the FOXK1 knock out mouse has shown mice have poor skeletal muscle formation and muscle regeneration and are smaller in size. It was concluded that FOXK1 is required for the regulation of cell cycle progression and the maintenance of progenitor cell quiescence (Hawke et al., 2002). Further studies identified that a functional interaction between FOXK1 and the sin3 repression complex via an interaction with SDS, is involved in regulating cell cycle progression (Shi and Garry, 2012). Furthermore, FOXK1 was shown to interact with foxo4, and this interaction was found to regulate the proliferative capacity of myogenic progenitor cells. The same study additionally identified that FOXK1 interacts with Mef2, and this interaction leads to a suppression of myogenic progenitor cell differentiation (Shi et al., 2012) Taken together studies in the mouse model provide...
evidence that FO XK1 is important in regulating the quiescent state in myogenic progenitor cells.

Interestingly, FO XK1 has additionally shown to interact with SRF. SRF is a transcription factor, which functions with different co-activators and co-repressors to exert different transcriptional responses (Freddie et al, 2007). It has been shown that knock down of SRF in human cells leads to an inhibition of differentiation and reduced expression of junB and fos, known regulators the expression of late differentiation genes (Connelley et al, 2011; Connelley et al, 2010).

Whilst the transcriptional effect of the SRF and FO XK1 interaction is yet to be determined, it would be interesting to see if this interaction can firstly be seen in keratinocytes and secondly if this has an effect on the expression of SRF target genes. If FO XK1 functions to suppress differentiation through an interaction with SRF, then it would be predicted that an increase in expression observed in Rnd3 depleted cells may be coupled to suppressed differentiation which is also observed. Furthermore, it would be interesting to see what happens to FO XK1 expression during terminal differentiation. Furthermore, elucidation of the transcriptional targets of FO XK1 could provide some insight into how and if FO XK1 is functionally interesting in keratinocyte function.

Interestingly FO XM1, a transcriptional regulator of stem/progenitor proliferation of oral keratinocytes, was found to be co-expressed with oral keratinocyte stem cell markers. Furthermore, an increased expression of FO XM1 leads to an enrichment of a population of cells that had a reduced propensity to differentiate (Gemenetzidis et al, 2010). Other studies have additionally shown that p63 regulates FO XM1 expression in a non-transcriptional mechanism and both may be required for the maintenance of
the proliferative capacity of basal progenitor keratinocytes, since an amplification of p63 was correlated to an increased expression FOXM1.

Parallels can be drawn between the above study and the work presented. Here it can be seen that depletion of Rnd3 leads to an increase a population of stem/early progenitor cells, which show increased expression of p63 and FOXK1. From this it is tempting to hypothesize that FOXK1 may be functioning in a similar way to FOXM1. Whilst this is still speculative, it would be interesting to see if a depletion of both Rnd3 and p63 leads to a restoration of FOXK1 expression.

Furthermore, it would additionally be interesting to firstly confirm that FOXK1 is regulated post transcriptionally (as indicated by figure 5.10) and if this is dependent on p63 expression.

### 5.3.2 Rnd3 regulates the expression of JunB

JunB is a member of AP1 family of transcription factors and is involved in regulating the expression of late terminal differentiation genes through the regulation of MAPK cascade (Eckert et al, 2013). Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 depletion leads to a reduced expression of JunB and this coupled with the reduced expression of involucrin shown in Chapter 3.

JunB expression in the epidermis is found almost entirely in the suprabasal layers and therefore suggests that it plays a role in keratinocyte differentiation.

To determine if the suppression in differentiation observed in Rnd3 depleted cells is due to a reduction in the expression of JunB it would be interesting to see if junB remains at low levels in Rnd3 depleted cells when differentiation is reduced. Furthermore, it would be interesting to see if changes in the expression of other members of the AP1 families are deregulated in Rnd3 depleted cells.
In addition to this it can be seen here that preliminary data indicates that junB is regulated at the transcriptional level. This was somewhat surprising as AP1 transcription factors are thought to be typically regulated post transcriptionally via the addition of various post translational modifications. Therefore, the elucidation of how junB is regulated transcriptionally would be interesting.

In conclusion the data presented here shows that Rnd3 regulates both FOXL1 and JunB both transcription factors that may be involved in the regulation of keratinocyte differentiation.
Chapter 6
The identification of Novel Rnd3 interacting partners using SILAC immunoprecipitation

6.1 Introduction
Rnd3 has been shown to be involved in regulating multiple processes, which include actin cytoskeletal dynamics, cell cycle, apoptosis and differentiation (as described in section 1.10) The role of Rnd3 in actin cytoskeletal dynamics is perhaps the most understood. This has been aided heavily through the identification of its interaction with ROCK-1. However, other studies have shown that Rnd3 plays roles in other biological processes independent of this interaction (as described in section 1.10.1).

It is therefore clear that determining how Rnd3 functions in relation to its interaction with other proteins could provide some insight into how Rnd3 regulates some of the phenotypes that have been observed in previous chapters.

So far, it has been shown that Rnd3 regulates many aspects of keratinocyte behaviour and function including cell size, expression of differentiation markers, as well as the expression of numerous proteins. However, understanding how Rnd3 regulates these processes and the biological pathways that are involved are unknown. Therefore, understanding how Rnd3 functions in relation to its interacting partners will give some insight into how Rnd3 depletion can have such varied effects.

SILAC immunoprecipitation incorporates affinity protein purification methods with quantitative proteomics. It is an approach that combines traditional affinity purification methods such as immunoprecipitation with SILAC (Emmet, et al 2014). This method enables the quantification of proteins between control and test samples by measuring the relative abundance of a given protein by analysing the differences in mass shift during mass spectrometry analysis. This has additional benefits to using
none labelling methods, since specific interactions of a given protein can be quantified, therefore reducing the number of false positives. In this way, non-specific binding can be distinguished from specific binding depending on the ratio of isotopically labelled proteins.

Here, SILAC immunoprecipitation was used to pull down Rnd3 and its interacting partners. The aim of doing this is to identify novel Rnd3 interactors that may be involved in regulating Rnd3 function.

6.2 Results

6.2.1 The identification of Rnd3 interacting proteins using SILAC immunoprecipitation

SILAC immunoprecipitation was used to characterise Rnd3 interacting partners. A quantitative approach allows for a more accurate means of determining protein-protein interactions since relative quantification of protein abundance can be determined in control and test samples. This ensures that interactions can be distinguished as either, specific and none specific, depending on their respective relative abundances. In this way experimental contaminants can be easily disregarded.

HEK293T cells were grown in normal growth media supplemented with either ‘light’ and ‘heavy’ Arg and lys for 6 doublings to ensure that amino acids have at least 98% incorporation. Figure 6.1a shows a schematic of how samples were prepared. ‘Heavy’ cells were transfected with Flag-Rnd3 and ‘Light’ cells were transfected with Flag-EV for 48 hours before being lysed. Lysates were loaded onto a 12% gel and were subject to immunoblotting to determine transfection efficiency prior to immunoprecipitation. Figure 6.1b shows that Rnd3 is sufficiently over expressed. Lysed cells were then initially subject to immunoprecipitation separately to ensure
that immunoprecipitation was efficient. Immunoprecipitation was preformed using a FLAG antibody crosslinked onto a protein G bead. Immunoprecipitates were loaded onto a 12% gel and subject to SDS PAGE. Gels were then comassie stained so to identify protein bands (Figure 6.1c (2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} lane). Immunoprecipitation was preformed again in the same way but this time, lysates were mixed at a 1:1 ratio before they were applied to flag beads. The gel lane was cut into 10 pieces and in gel digestion was conducted (Figure 6.1c). Peptides were subject to LC-MS MS to determine relative abundance based on the relative intensity of each corresponding peptide peak. Raw MS data was analysed using Maxquant, which calculated the ratio of heavy and light peptides and identified the protein each peptide belonged to.

Figure 6.2 shows the log2 H/L ratio of all proteins found in the sample that was analysed. Proteins that were upregulated by at least 2 fold were deemed to be true interactors. A total of 79 specific proteins were found to significantly upregulated therefore suggesting that these specifically bind to Rnd3. Table 6.1 lists the proteins that were upregulated and their corresponding log 2 ratios.
Figure 6.1 SILAC immunoprecipitation set up

To identify and quantify novel Rnd3 interacting partners HEK 293T cells were grown in SILAC DMEM supplemented with heavy and light amino acids. ‘Light’ cells were transfected with Flag-EV and ‘Heavy’ cells were transfected with Flag-Rnd3. Figure 5.5a shows a work flow depicting how samples were prepared. Figure 5.5b shows that Rnd3 has been sufficiently overexpressed in ‘heavy’ cells. Figure 5.5c shows the pieces the lane was cut into. Two biological and two technical repeats were analysed.
Figure 6.2. SILAC output

Maxquant was used to measure the relative abundance of proteins as a ratio. The log2 of each ratio was calculated and plotted onto a scatter graph. Proteins which showed a > 2 fold increase or decrease were deemed to be significant hits and were further analysed. A total of 75 proteins were significantly upregulated or downregulated.
Table 6.1 Full list of Rnd3 interactors and corresponding log2 ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Log2 Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMD</td>
<td>4.617180918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STPG2</td>
<td>4.52123923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV204</td>
<td>3.553851968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSPB1</td>
<td>3.535553333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYVC</td>
<td>3.372116318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRPS2</td>
<td>3.12258568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCDH</td>
<td>3.119322973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFXN1</td>
<td>3.035060797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYFP1</td>
<td>2.954047379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP2A</td>
<td>2.941613419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPME1</td>
<td>2.882799537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTN1</td>
<td>2.84478735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLK</td>
<td>2.723820647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENT1</td>
<td>2.65370868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF3B2</td>
<td>2.650741586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQR</td>
<td>2.61569782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APEX1</td>
<td>2.561081695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD10</td>
<td>2.542728552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXOS4</td>
<td>2.536152393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTF2I</td>
<td>2.520547968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMD2</td>
<td>2.501668429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CND1</td>
<td>2.341587315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBC9</td>
<td>2.323197108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF5A1</td>
<td>2.322591582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH3A</td>
<td>2.307370233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USO1</td>
<td>2.247046441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTM1A</td>
<td>2.226724301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPS2</td>
<td>2.21992743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSK2B</td>
<td>2.175748134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP16H</td>
<td>2.155457815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP1</td>
<td>2.152215875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRD1</td>
<td>2.118226814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPSF5</td>
<td>2.02994754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX3</td>
<td>2.027967904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAD4</td>
<td>1.971479177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCA4</td>
<td>1.927251742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARE1</td>
<td>1.925885517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSF9</td>
<td>1.909888285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBP24</td>
<td>1.891574725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF4E</td>
<td>1.776188231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPB</td>
<td>1.720541148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROAA</td>
<td>1.686253987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS4</td>
<td>1.678702946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCFC1</td>
<td>1.628353671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDIR1</td>
<td>1.574392198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHF6</td>
<td>1.527720953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTO1</td>
<td>1.497382436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUWE1</td>
<td>1.474721569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL13</td>
<td>1.409798228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELP1</td>
<td>1.383718115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMI5</td>
<td>1.370331702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP2K1</td>
<td>1.358846331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS17</td>
<td>1.332335577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYBP</td>
<td>1.331705216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYQ</td>
<td>1.330615763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF4H</td>
<td>1.32434562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH3B</td>
<td>1.309001516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08579</td>
<td>1.280778192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRDL</td>
<td>1.244887059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA0</td>
<td>1.22243641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMGB1</td>
<td>1.20249067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU2A</td>
<td>1.18922364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPTB2</td>
<td>1.119289768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF3B3</td>
<td>1.07924489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB5A</td>
<td>1.058939855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHTOP</td>
<td>1.051998468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFAM</td>
<td>1.048166421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3BP1</td>
<td>1.040962548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOC4</td>
<td>1.023823091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM3</td>
<td>1.006764782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMBL</td>
<td>0.964287722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGD6</td>
<td>0.962215841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2.2 Functional Analysis of Rnd3 interacting proteins

After identification, proteins were manually classified according to subcellular localization using uniprot data and the percentage of proteins in each corresponding GO term is represented graphically using a pie chart (Figure 6.3a, 6.4a and 6.5a). Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each class and classes represented by one protein were sub classified as ‘other’. Proteins along with their corresponding subcellular localization (Figure 6.3b), biological processes (Figure 6.4b) and molecular function (Figure 6.5b) are listed. DAVID was used to additionally functionally annotate proteins according to their biological processes and molecular function to determine enriched GO terms (Figure 6.4c and Figure 6.5c).

It can be seen that 42% off all proteins identified associate with the cytoplasm which suggests that Rnd3 associates with cytoplasmic proteins (Figure 6.3). Interestingly, 11% of the protein identified can be grouped into the biological process ‘proteasomal degradation’ and 8% can be classified into the molecular function ‘Regulation of ubiquitin ligases’. Furthermore, GO terms such as ‘negative regulation of ubiquitin protein ligase activity’ and positive regulation of ubiquitin activity’ were statistically enriched.

To further characterize these interactors STRING was used to determine if any functional associations exist within the group of proteins. Figure 6.6 shows the STRING map of known interaction between the list of proteins submitted.

To understand how these functional associations might be of biological significance in light of other known protein-protein associations, STRING parameters were altered to include the interaction networks of each protein in the submitted list (Figure 6.7). It can be seen that Rnd3 indirectly interacts with known components of proteosomal degradation. To determine how these proteins may function a literature search was
conducted to determine their biological significance. Table 6.2 summarizes proteins classified in biological function ‘Proteasomal degradation’ and molecular process ‘regulation of ubiquitin ligase activity’.
Figure 6.3 Subcellular localization of identified Rnd3 interactors

Proteins IDs were converted into Gene IDs before functional analysis was conducted. Genes were manually grouped according to predefined gene ontology terms. Figure 6.3a shows a pie chart representing the percentage of proteins manually classified into each subcellular compartment. Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each class and classes represented by one protein were sub classified as ‘other’. Figure 6.3b lists the genes and the corresponding GO term.
**Figure 6.4 Biological processes of identified Rnd3 interactors**

Proteins IDs were converted into Gene IDs before functional analysis was conducted. Genes were manually grouped according to predefined gene ontology terms. Figure 6.4a shows a pie chart representing the percentage of proteins manually classified into each biological process. Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each class and classes represented by one protein were sub classified as ‘other’. Figure 6.4b shows lists the genes and the corresponding GO term. Figure 6.4c shows the enriched GO terms using DAVID.
Figure 6.5 Molecular function of Rnd3 interactors

Proteins IDs were converted into Gene IDs before functional analysis was conducted. Genes were manually grouped according to predefined gene ontology terms. Percentages were calculated depending on the number of proteins in each class and classes represented by one protein were sub classified as ‘other’. Figure 6.5a shows a pie chart representing the percentage of proteins manually classified into each molecular function. Figure 6.5b lists the genes and the corresponding GO term. Figure 6.5c shows the enriched GO terms using DAVID.
Figure 6.6 shows the functional associations between proteins that were found to interact with Rnd3
Figure 6.7 The functional association of the submitted protein lists and 10 other known functional associations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Log2 Ratio</th>
<th>Subcellular localization</th>
<th>Molecular Function</th>
<th>Biological Processes</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSMD2</td>
<td>2.501668429</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Regulation of ubiquitin ligase activity</td>
<td>Proteasomal degradation</td>
<td>Component of 26s proteasome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBP24</td>
<td>1.891574725</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Regulation of ubiquitin ligase activity</td>
<td>Proteasomal degradation</td>
<td>Involved in deubiquitination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUWE1</td>
<td>1.474721569</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Regulation of ubiquitin ligase activity</td>
<td>Proteasomal degradation</td>
<td>E3 ubiquitin protein ligase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYBP</td>
<td>1.331705216</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Regulation of ubiquitin ligase activity</td>
<td>Proteasomal degradation</td>
<td>Molecular bridge to E3 ligases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSDM10</td>
<td>2.542728552</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Regulation of ubiquitin ligase activity</td>
<td>Proteasomal degradation</td>
<td>Component of 26s proteasome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCDH</td>
<td>3.119322973</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>Regulation of ubiquitin ligase activity</td>
<td>Proteasomal degradation</td>
<td>Regulates APC complex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2 Rnd interactors involved in ‘regulation of ubiquitin activity’ and ‘proteasomal degradation’.

A literature search was conducted to determine the biological process and molecular function of these proteins and is summarized here.
6.2.3 **Rnd3 regulates p63 at the protein level and not the transcriptional level**

To determine if the interaction between Rnd3 and components of the proteasome degradation pathway may be functional, the regulation of p63 expression was analysed.

As shown in Chapter 3, Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in p63 expression and in Chapter 4 it can be seen that an increase in p63 expression leads to an increase in the expression desmoplakin, which was shown to regulate colony compaction.

P63 is predominantly regulated post translationally and has been shown to be regulated by proteosomal degradation. To determine how p63 expression is regulated in Rnd3 depleted cells the transcript levels of p63 were analysed first using qRTPCR using cDNA synthesised from Rnd3 depleted cells. Primers targeted against the ∆NP63α isoform and one that targets all isoforms (4A4) were used. Figure 6.8 shows that upon Rnd3 depletion there is no significant difference in the relative expression of any of the isoforms of p63.

Since there appeared to be no significant change in the expression of p63 at the transcript level, protein stability was measured next. To determine protein stability, cyclohexamidine (CHX) was used. CHX is a known inhibitor of eukaryotic protein synthesis and functions by blocking the elongation stage of translation (Schnieder-Poetsch, 2010). 20µg/ml of CHX was supplemented into normal growth media described in (Rossi et al, 2006) for the indicated time points before cell lysates were collected and analysed by immunoblotting. Figure 6.9 shows that Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in p63 stability. It can be seen that after 12 hours of treatment with CHX, NSC treated cells expressed approximately 80% of p63 protein was degraded when compared to the 0 hours’ time point. However, in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells only approximately 20% was degraded. Furthermore, it can be seen that protein
degradation is delayed in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells. P63 in NSC cells started to degrade between 0-3 hours whereas in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells degradation was apparent between 6-9 hours. This preliminary data suggests that Rnd3 may regulate the expression of Rnd3 by regulating its stability and perhaps through its interaction with components of proteasome degradation pathway.
Figure 6.8. Knock down of Rnd3 leads to an increase in p63 protein but not an increase in transcript. To determine if there is an increase in p63 transcription qRTPCR was used to quantify p63 transcript in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells using primers that specifically targets the ΔNP63α isoform and a primer that targets all isoforms (4A4). Figure 6.8 shows that there is no significant difference in transcript of any isoform can be seen. A students T-test was used to determine statistical significance where: ns P > 0.05, *p<0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. (n=3).
Figure 6.9 Knock down of Rnd3 leads to a reduction in p63 degradation

To determine if p63 becomes more stabilized in Rnd3 siRNA treated cells, protein degradation was inhibited. Cells were first transfected for 48 hours before being treated with 20µg/ml Cyclohexamide (CHX) for the indicated time points. Figure 6.9a and b shows that after 12 hours of treatment, 80% of p63 remains in the cell. A students T-test was used to determine statistical significance where: ns P > 0.05, *p<0.05, **<0.01, *** p<0.001. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. (n=3).
6.3 Discussion

Determining the function of a protein can be aided by identifying protein-protein interactions. A number of techniques can be used to determine novel interacting partners which help aid the understanding of how a protein functions in a biological context. Here, SILAC immunoprecipitation was used to identify novel Rnd3 interactors in Rnd3 overexpressing cells. HEK 293T cells were used since the overexpression of Rnd3 in keratinocytes has been shown to induce differentiation and therefore the Rnd3 ‘interactome’ may be altered to reflect this. Therefore, Rnd3 was overexpressed in HEK293T cells as an initial model to identify the proteins Rnd3 may be interacting with. It is important to note that the data presented here are preliminary data, and is a screen of potentially interesting proteins that Rnd3 may interact with. Further studies using affinity purification methods to determine if these interactions are, firstly specific and secondly direct, will be required to determine the biological significance of these predicted interactions.

6.3.1 Rnd3 associates with components of proteasome degradation

The ubiquitin proteosomal pathway (UPP pathway) is the most common mechanism for protein degradation in eukaryotic cells and involves the conjugation of ubiquitin to proteins to mark for them for proteasomal degradation (Lecker et al, 2006). This conjugation is mediated by three types of enzymes, E1 ligases (ubiquitin activating), E2 ligases (conjugating proteins) and E3 ligases (ubiquitin-protein ligases). E3 ligases are the most important in the UPP since it is these ligases function to target specific substrates and catalyse the transfer ubiquitin chains onto them (Lecker et al, 2006). Once proteins have been ubiquitinated, they are targeted for by the 26s proteasome. The proteasome is a large (~2.5MDa) multi-domain complex that consists of 31 different subunits. The proteasome functions to recognise ubiquitinated
proteins, unfold them and subsequently proteolysis them into a mix of small peptides. These are subsequently targeted for by components of the adaptive immune system (Lecker et al., 2006).

During keratinocyte differentiation in committed progenitors, proteins involved in self-renewability are required to be downregulated at a fast rate so to meet the demands of the newly regenerating tissue. Proteins in the cell need to be degraded so that newly synthesising proteins can have the required effect to go on to differentiate (Fuchs and Raghaven, 2002).

Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 may interact with the E3 Ligase, HUWE1 and components of 26S proteasome, PSMD10 and PSMC2. In addition to this Rnd3 depletion leads to the stability of p63 (figure 6.9). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that Rnd3 may be involved in regulating the interaction between E3 ligases and the proteasome.

It is important to note that Rnd3 binding to the proteasomal components may be an artefact in response to overexpressing and therefore may not have any biological significance. Affinity purification techniques determining Rnd3 binding to specific targets may shed some light in terms of understanding these interactions further.

6.3.2 Rnd3 depletion leads to an increase in p63 stability

Interestingly, Huwe1 is an E3 ligase that interacts with mdm2. It was found that mdm2 (also a E3 ligase) bound to HUWE1 and ubiquities it marking it for degradation. In this way, mdm2 indirectly regulates the abundance of HUWE1 targets (Kurokawa, et al., 2013). In addition to this, PSMD10 (26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 10) also known as gankyrin, independently of proteasome function, interacts with mdm2. PSMD10 additionally functions by binding to mdm2 and regulates the degradation of p53 (Lazano et al, 2005). Mdm2 has additionally been
shown to interact with p63, however why and how this interaction is important is still obscure (Shin et al., 2015).

Interestingly, it has been shown that p63 is predominantly regulated post translationally via the UPP pathway (Armstrong et al., 2016).

Going forward it would be interesting to see if any of the modifications made on p53 are made on p63 and what effect this has on p63 degradation.

Furthermore, it would be interesting to determine if any modifications are made on p63 post translationally after Rnd3 deletion and if this corresponds to known modifications that effect p63 stability.
Final Discussion and concluding remarks

The epidermis is stratified morphologically distinct epithelial tissue that functions as a specialist barrier against daily stresses. The outermost layer is continuously being shed throughout life and therefore must be replenished so that the epidermis is always intact. Keratinocytes that are lost from the surface are replaced by terminally differentiating cells that start off as committed progenitors. Committed progenitors exit the cell cycle and undergo various changes in cell morphology and biochemistry which results in the formation of a highly robust and resilient keratinocytes that interconnect together forming the outer layer of the skin. Because committed progenitors exit the cell cycle it is important they are continuously replenished by KSC. Therefore, the regulation and maintenance of both populations of progenitors is essential to ensuring that barrier formation is always maintained.

Accurately identifying committed progenitors from KSC is a major obstacle in determining the biomolecular mechanisms that regulate self-renewal and the initiation of terminal differentiation. This is important since the identification of how the stem/progenitor pool is regulated could provide some insight towards understanding how some epidermal disorders arise as well as aiding the identification of novel therapeutic targets.

Whilst some putative ‘stem markers’ have been identified, a single definitive marker is yet to be identified. Moreover, most current research is conducted in in vivo mouse models. This is particularly useful since the ideally the biochemical markers within in stem/progenitor cell is likely to be dependent on a stringently controlled microenvironment. However, the regulation of murine epidermis is different to human epidermis and therefore it might be argued that the research conducted in these
models may be limited in terms of how transferable they are. Furthermore, many of these experiments cannot be carried out in humans for obvious ethical reasons. Therefore, to understand how the human epidermis is regulated, in vitro methods are particularly useful.

The work presented here demonstrates that the depletion of Rnd3 in HaCaTs induces a stem/progenitor phenotype. These cells show increased colony compaction, a reduction in cell size, increased cell adhesion to the ECM, suppressed differentiation and an increase in the expression of putative progenitor markers. In addition to this it can be seen that Rnd3 may exert these effects through the regulation of p63, junB and FOXK1.

7.1 Rnd3 may regulate stem/progenitor phenotypes:

p63 is a transcription factor that is essential in regulating skin morphogenesis (Koster et al, 2004). ΔNP63 is located at the basal layer and has been shown to regulate the proliferative potential of the stem/progenitor population (Senoo et al, 2007). Therefore, it has been suggested that p63 may be expressed in basal cells differentially, where expression is upregulated in KSC and is rapidly degraded in committed progenitors in response to differentiation stimuli.

Here, it can be seen that Rnd3 depletion leads to increased levels of p63 expression (shown in chapter 3) and this regulation is mediated via an increase in p63 protein stability. Interestingly, it can additionally be seen here that the increase in p63 in Rnd3 depleted cells is responsible for the increase in the expression of desmoplakin, a known transcriptional target of p63 (Chapter 4).
Going forward it would be interesting to determine if any of the other phenotypes observed in Rnd3 depleted cells are dependent on the expression of p63. For example, p63 in known to regulate the expression of beta 1 integrin as well as regulating YAP.

Furthermore, using a proteomics approach it can be seen that the depletion of Rnd3 leads to an increase in the expression of junB and FOXK1, both of which are involved in the regulation of differentiation genes junB and FOXK-1. Interestingly, both of these which are members of gene families that have been shown to regulate keratinocyte differentiation. Therefore, it would be interesting to determine what, if any role they play in keratinocyte regulation and if any other of the family members play a similar role.

7.2 How might Rnd3 play a role in keratinocyte differentiation and stem cell maintenance:

The data presented here suggests that the depletion of Rnd3 results in the enrichment stem/progenitor cells. These data are partly consistent with a study conducted by Liebig et al demonstrated that Rnd3 is up regulated in basal keratinocytes during terminal differentiation. Therefore, this suggests that Rnd3 may be differentially expressed at the basal layer. Studies determining Rnd3 expression in the basal layer in vivo would inform this hypothesis. Furthermore, the use of keratinocytes with stable depletion of Rnd3 could be used to grow keratinocytes in 3D, forming organotypic cultures. Therefore, if Rnd3 depletion does enrich for a stem population, it would be expected that the formation of 3D culture would be limited. Furthermore, it would be interesting to see if Rnd3 and p63 are co-expressed and if this co-expression determines stemness.
This work additionally raises a question of whether it is the intrinsic nature of the cell that determines stemness or whether it is the stringently controlled microenvironment.

If Rnd3 is involved in the regulation of the pathways discussed here, then all that remains to be answered is the question of; how is Rnd3 expression being regulated? If Rnd3 expression is spatially regulated, how and why is it spatially regulated?

Currently it is unknown how keratinocyte stem cells are maintained in the basal layer amongst transit amplifying cells, however it is becoming clear that the microenvironment regulates cell behaviour (Fuchs et al, 2009).

It is therefore necessary to identify upstream regulators of Rnd3 and determine if these are co-expressed alongside Rnd3 in the epidermis.
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