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ABSTRACT

This thesis is an edition of four poems (Lady Bessiye, Bosworth Feilde, Scotish Feilde, and Flodden Feilde) which were written in celebration of the military successes of the family of Stanley, Lords Stanley and Earls of Derby, at the battles of Bosworth (1485) and Flodden (1513). The introduction discusses the manuscripts and editions, the conditions for which the poems were composed, the style of the poems, and their contributions to the history of the period. The poems are newly edited, and the commentaries attempt, as well as elucidating the meanings of obscure lines, to identify the people and places which would have been of interest to the Stanley family and friends.
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INTRODUCTION

This thesis, which can be seen simply as an edition of four rather obscure poems, is intended rather as a study of a particular centre of poetic production in the late fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries. The poems are edited and presented as part of the thesis, but mainly because without them, and especially without the notes on the texts, which illustrate again and again the peculiar local upper-class interest which informs the whole group of poems, the material in the introductory sections would be void of reference.

The particular centre of interest is the noble family of Stanley, raised in 1485 to become Earls of Derby, whose sphere of influence was mainly in South Lancashire, Cheshire, and North Wales, although, depending on how far one pursues cousins and other dependants, it can fairly safely be claimed that they had connections throughout the country. These four poems all emphasise the local atmosphere, however, and it is this social and geographical unity which lies at the heart of the thesis. It would be possible to study the Stanley family and its connections with English literature within many different chronological limits. From that Lord Stanley who, in the middle of the 15th century commissioned the collection now known as Bodley MS Fairfax 16, through the great age of Elizabethan drama, when the Earl of Derby's Players were one of the more important groups by whom and for whom the drama existed; through the connections between the 5th and 6th Earls
with Shakespeare himself, including the suggestion, not without several books in its support, that some of Shakespeare's works were actually written by William, 6th Earl of Derby; on through the 13th Earl, literary patron of Edward Lear, up to the 14th Earl, who, in spare moments snatched from a political career which saw him three times as Prime Minister, translated the works of Homer into English verse: the connections between the family and English Literature are legion.

It happens that the thirty years 1485-1515 saw two major military campaigns in this country, in both of which the Stanleys played a significant and ultimately a decisive role. At Bosworth in 1485, the balance between the two main armies, those of King Richard III and Henry Tudor, Earl of Richmond, appeared to favour the King. All depended on which side the other two armies in the field, those of Lord Stanley and his brother, Sir William, would join. The outcome is well-known, and the victory went to Henry because certainly one, and probably both (historians are divided) of the Stanley armies threw their power behind the invading army and against the King. Historians are not all convinced that Lord Stanley, whose son George Lord Strange was being held hostage by Richard, joined battle on behalf of the invader, though nearly all would say that his involvement was decisive, but what is agreed is that he did not, as Richard hoped and expected that he would, side with the King.

At Flodden in 1513 the Stanleys, while not this time holding the balance of power, eventually tipped the scales of
battle by a smart out-flanking movement round the edge of the Scots' army, and King Henry VIII, who was not himself at the battle, was quick to acknowledge the part played in the victory by Sir Edward Stanley and his men from Lancashire and Cheshire. This decisive manoeuvre has not always been recognised for what it was by historians, but a contemporary verse-writer introduces Sir Edward as the man 'on whom the matter wholly hings'; and one later historian, G.F.T. Leather (1937, p. 11), after re-assessing the evidence of the battle, offers his opinion that 'the battle was really won by Sir Edward Stanley.'

The details of the battles are still being fought over, but what is beyond argument is that, as a direct result of these two successes, Thomas, 2nd Lord Stanley, was raised to become 1st Earl of Derby, and Sir Edward Stanley was raised to become Lord Mounteagle. Other Stanley men, cousins, nephews, in-laws, and (extending the limits generously) neighbours and dependants of all sorts, were also involved in these victories, were rewarded for their efforts, and rightly belong in the celebrations.

It is hardly surprising, given that anyone should ever write poems in praise of a family, that Bosworth and Flodden should draw forth poems in praise of the Stanleys. At least six such poems survive, but two of them were clearly composed many years after the events they deal with. It is always difficult to be confident when dating early poems, but the remaining four poems all have the indications (see pp. 77-82, 93-7) of having been composed very soon after they events they
describe, and are therefore in a different category from poems which are already 'historical' when composed.

The four poems, as with most poems until at least the fifteenth century, seem to be intended for oral delivery rather than for silent reading (see p. 126), and there is also a good case to be made for the members of the family and their friends being involved in the composition of the poems (see pp. 42-9). This thesis, then, examines the four poems as oral, self-congratulatory entertainment at one provincial court at one specific moment in history - but tacitly implies that this was by no means the only centre for such esoteric entertainments.

R.F. Green, in his book Poets and Princepleasers (Toronto, 1980), examines the nature of patronage and praise-poetry in (among others) the 15th and 16th centuries. Green's material, however, is almost exclusively concerned with the King's court. This thesis is, as it were, an examination with complete examples of the same subject one step removed socially and rather over a hundred miles removed geographically. Similarities abound, but much is different.

The four poems are called Lady Bessiye, Bosworth Feilde, Scotish Feilde, and Flodden Feilde. These titles are taken from the one manuscript which includes all four poems, but several alternative titles exist in other manuscripts, and many more have been invented by later critics (see pp. 13-14).

These four poems, then, are seen as examples of a specific type of literary production, and the thesis attempts
MANUSCRIPTS

AND

EDITIONS
MANUSCRIPTS

The following manuscripts contain versions of these poems:

P = Bishop Percy's Folio Manuscript, B.L. Add. 27879:
   Lady Bessiye: pp. 464-79
   Bosworth Feilde: pp. 434-43
   Scotish Feilde: pp. 79-90

H = B.L. Harley 367:
   Lady Bessiye: fols. 89a-100a
   Flodden Feilde: fols. 120a-125a.

B = The Bateman MS, now lost:
   Lady Bessiye.

L = The Lyme MS, John Rylands Library, Manchester:
   Scotish Feilde.

S = B.L. Harley 293:
   Flodden Feilde: fols. 55b-61b.
TITLES

It is not always clear whether titles of early poems are 'original' or are added later by another hand, which is probably why the Index of Middle English Verse always refers to poems by their first line rather than by their title. Between these four poems possess a confusing multiplicity of titles in their various manuscripts and in the existing editions. Furthermore, later critics are far from consistent when referring to these poems, often mixing elements from different manuscripts, altering the spelling, adding or subtracting a definite article, or even adding words or phrases which have no manuscript authority, such as 'The Ballad of'. This confusion is nowhere better seen than in connection with Flodden Feilde (or Field), where there are seven poems all of which are somewhere given that title, and critics who are less than rigorous in attention to detail have sometimes referred to the 'wrong' poem.

The titles used in this thesis are, as indicated on p. 10, those used in MS P, which contains all four poems. These titles have no more (and, owing to its late date, probably less) authority than the titles in other manuscripts, but the titles in MS P, or approximations to those titles, are the ones usually, though by no means invariably, used by critics when referring to these poems, so, in the absence of any incontrovertible indications that other titles are either more authentic or more widely used, these titles will be the ones always used in this thesis unless in quoting from someone
who uses another.

The complete titles on the manuscripts are as follows:

**Lady Bessiye**  
P: Lady Bessiye.  
B: The Most Pleasant Song of Lady Bessy, the eldest daughter of King Edward the Fourth; and how she married King Henry the Seventh of the House of Lancaster.  
H: Ladye Bessie, or the Princesse Eliz-abeth, after wife of King H. VII.

**Bosworth Feilde**  
P: Bosworth Feilde.

**Scotish Feilde**  
P: Scotish Feilde.  
L: (title missing)

**Flodden Feilde**  
P: Flodden Feilde  
H: Flowden Feilde  
S: A ballate of the Battalle of Floden Feeld foughte betweene the Earle of Surrey and the kinge of Skots.

Two other Stanley poems occasionally referred to during the thesis also have such a multiplicity of titles that some early attempt at clarification is desirable:

1). **The Battle of Floddan Field.** This, not to be confused with Flodden Feilde (though it frequently has been), is a much longer poem about the battle, written one generation after the battle, i.e. about 1550. For details of manuscripts and editions of this poem see 'The Poems Called Flodden Field'.

All quotations in this thesis will be from the edition of H. Weber (Edinburgh, 1808).
2). The Stanley Poem. This is the title I shall use for the metrical chronicle of the family written in 1562 by Bishop Thomas Stanley, a 'natural' son of Lord Mounteagle. There are several manuscripts which contain versions of this poem:
B.L. Harley 541, fols. 183a-206b
B.L. Add. 5830, fols. 105a-121a
Bodley Rawlinson Poet. 143, pp. 12-41
Knowsley Hall (the Earl of Derby's residence) has two further manuscript copies.
The poem was revised in 1619 by R.G., 'a clergyman of Chester', and the manuscripts of this are:
Two at Knowsley Hall, one the original and the other a copy of B.L. Add. 5860, pp. 327-80, which is itself a copy of the original at Knowsley.

The only printed copy of this poem is in J.O.Halliwell, Palatine Anthology (London, 1850).
Both poems, though late, are constant sources of useful, though often highly coloured, information and interesting anecdote about the Stanley family, and any student of the family should not ignore their contribution.
Bishop Percy's Folio Manuscript, which contains versions of all four poems, has been printed in three volumes edited by F.J. Furnivall and J.W. Hales (London, 1867-8):

- **Lady Bessiye** vol. iii, pp. 319-63
- **Bosworth Feilde** vol. iii, pp. 233-59
- **Scotish Feilde** vol. i, pp. 199-234
- **Flodden Feilde** vol. i, pp. 313-40

**Lady Bessiye** has been published a further three times:

**Scotish Feilde** has been printed two further times:

**Flodden Feilde** has been printed three further times:
- ed. H. Weber, *The Battle of Floddan Field* (Edinburgh, 1808), pp. 366-89. (N.B. Flodden Feilde is printed as an appendix to the later, longer poem of approximately the same name.) - Text from MSS H and S.
ed. F. J. Child, *English and Scottish Popular Ballads*, reprinted 4 vols. (New York, 1957), vol. iii, pp. 353-60. (N.B. *Flodden Feilde* is printed as an appendix to another poem of approximately the same name, but a different poem of approximately the same name from that to which *Flodden Feilde* was an appendix in Weber's edition. This emphasises the need for caution in searching for any poem called *Flodden Feilde* or similar.) - Text from MS P only.

THE MANUSCRIPTS

P.

Bishop Percy of Dromore discovered his folio manuscript in about 1750 in the house of his friend Humphrey Pitt at Shifnal in Shropshire. It was being used by a maid to light the fire. Percy rescued the manuscript and used some of the poems in it for his *Reliques of Ancient English Poetry* (London, 1765). After his death many scholars tried to obtain access to the manuscript, but the Bishop's heirs stubbornly refused on the grounds that one day some member of the family might want to edit and publish it. It was not until the 1860s that Furnivall and Hales persuaded the family that they should allow it to be published, and after those two gentlemen had done their work, the manuscript was sold to its present home, the British Museum
The manuscript contains over a hundred poems of varying length, and of dates ranging from the 15th century to 1643, the latest identifiable date after which one of the poems ('The King Enjoys his Rights Againe') must have been composed. The handwriting of the manuscript is put by the editors at around 1650, which accords well with the internal evidence of date.

The Pages of the manuscript measure 39.5 cms. x 14 cms., but would originally have been longer, for when Bishop Percy sent it to be bound, his 'ignorant bookbinder' trimmed off the tops and bottoms of the pages, thus depriving us, in several places, of some lines of verse. Scotish Feilde has particularly suffered from his work. In addition some pages, and again Scotish Feilde seems the worst affected, have at some time in their history been laterally folded; the pages have cracked at the folds, and many words on or near the folds have been destroyed. With its present protective binding in the British Library, further damage should be prevented.

The scribe, who may have been Thomas Blount, a man from Worcestershire, exhibits many modernising tendencies which can be seen if his versions of the poems are set against what are known to be older and more authoritative manuscripts. In Scotish Feilde he not only modernises much of the deliberately antique vocabulary and grammar, but also on several occasions alters the word-order to accord with 'orthodox' usage, thereby destroying the rhythm and the
characteristic syntax of the alliterative long line in which the poem is composed. These alterations can be seen by comparing his version with that in MS L (see pp. 120-1). He is not always so meddling, however, and a comparison of forms used throughout the collection indicates that he did not always correct to his own usage but was often prepared to copy an old-fashioned form. This results in such an inconsistent set of forms that it is almost impossible to draw any conclusions about the language, dialect, or even the metre, either of the poems themselves or of the manuscript, for, it being so late and so changed, there are forms within the manuscript from many different times and places. As the collection is such a linguistic rag-bag, it is slightly surprising that nineteenth-century editors spoke with such confidence about the dialects of the poems they were editing from this manuscript. Only if a poem exists in other manuscripts as well can deductions about the dialect be more confidently made.

B.
The Bateman manuscript of Lady Bessie was owned by Mr William Bateman of Youlgreave in Derbyshire at least between 1829, when Heywood edited it, and 1847, when Halliwell (1847, p. iii) reports that Mr Bateman still possessed the manuscript. What has since happened to it is not known.

The handwriting was put by Heywood (1829, p. v) as being 'of the reign of Charles the Second', which would make it the latest of all these five manuscripts. Heywood calls it 'a
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very slovenly performance', and tells us that some corrections have been made by a contemporary, but not, apparently, to much effect, for Heywood finally refers (p. vi) to 'the incompatency [sic] of the copyist and of his reviser to the task which they had undertaken'.

The language, when compared with MS H, is considerably modernised, but, as in MS P, many concessions are made to the original, particularly for the sake of the rhyme, so again this has the appearance of new wine in old bottles.

H.

Harley 367 is, according to the B.L. Catalogue, a book in folio wherein are contained many papers and fragments, with various poems, written by the hands of Mr John Stowe and others, now bound up together.

Although many of the pieces are, as stated, in the hand of John Stow, there are also many pieces which refer to events considerably later than his death (1604), the latest one I identified being a reference to the dissolution of parliament by Charles I. The two Stanley poems in this collection (Lady Bessiye and Flodden Feilde) could have belonged to Stow, as the hand-writing is put at late sixteenth century by A.G.Watson (1966, p. 164) or, even more precisely, at 1560-80 by D.A.Lawton (1978, p. 46 and 55), apparently on the advice of Watson.
The two poems are on similar sized paper, 30.5 cms. x 20.25 cms. and are in an identical hand, which is not Stow's. They are the only two pieces in the collection on such paper and in such a hand, and yet they were apparently not part of the same collection when they were in the library of Sir Simonds d'Ewes in the seventeenth century. It was only when Sir Simonds' library was added to the Harleian collection that the two pieces found their way almost together, which clearly they should be.

The scribe is extremely neat, careful, and conscientious, and, especially by comparison with all the other manuscripts discussed here, seems to retain many early forms in both grammar and vocabulary.

S. Harley 293 is, according to the B.L. Catalogue, a book in folio consisting of certain tracts and loose papers now bound up together treating of the households, coronations etc, of our kings; the Arms, Honor, and Discents [sic] of several eminent English families.

According to A.G. Watson (1966, p. 163), the pages which contain the copy of Flodden Feilde are in the hand of Ralph Starkey, an antiquarian who died in 1628. When he died, all his papers were purchased by Sir Simonds d'Ewes, from whom they eventually came into the Harleian collection.

Starkey had a poor reputation as a scribe and scholar:
Sir Simonds d'Ewes calls him 'an ignorant, mercenary, indigent man', and certainly if his copy of *Flodden Feilde* is representative, the reputation is fully deserved. The work is hurried, untidy, and very badly set out: despite the clear stanzaic pattern of the poem, he writes as many lines to a page as happen to fit, with the result that in some stanzas one line is at the bottom of one page and the remainder at the top of the next, or any other division as it happens to fall out. If, as will be suggested (see pp. 121-3) he was copying from MS H, his deliberate and his careless alterations reach epidemic proportions.

L.

In many ways the Lyme MS of *Scotish Feilde* found by Beamont at Lyme Hall near Disley in Cheshire is the most interesting of all these five manuscripts. It is at present owned by Lord Newton, whose family name is Legh, and as the most likely author of the poem (see pp. 41-6) was a member of the Legh family, the continued existence of this manuscript in a collateral branch of the same family gives it an air of authenticity not present in any of the other manuscripts. The Leghs of Baguley, among whom was the likely author, became extinct in 1750, and it is highly likely that any treasured family possessions would have passed to the nearest branch of the Legh family, both genealogically and geographically, which was the Leghs of Lyme, of which Lord Newton is the present representative.

The manuscript, discovered by Beamont in 1851, has
remained in the family's possession ever since, though not always to their knowledge. In 1903 an Oxford student called Miss Hugon wrote to Lord Newton (grandfather of the present Lord Newton) asking if she might view the manuscript. He denied all knowledge of it, but after several letters either way it was eventually re-discovered and Miss Hugon worked on it in Oxford. She made a facsimile of it, which is now to be found among her papers in the Bodleian Library (dep. c 129-30). It is fortunate this facsimile was taken, for of the six strips she had to work on, two have since been lost. The manuscript was lent to the British Museum (Library) during the second world war, being returned to Lord Newton (father of the present Lord Newton) in 1947. In 1971 I wrote to the present Lord Newton asking if I might view the manuscript, but he, like his grandfather before him, knew nothing of it. Again, however, after several letters either way, it came to light again in 1973, but with two of its strips missing. After I returned what remains of the manuscript to Lord Newton, he deposited it with the rest of the family papers in the John Rylands Library in Manchester. The manuscript is in Elizabethan handwriting, and is made up of thin strips (36 x 10.5 cms.) of vellum, which were at one time pasted together to form one long roll. Now only strips 5 and 6 remain together; strips 3 and 4 are missing. Strip 1, which was discovered separately from the others even in 1851, is badly damaged, missing the title and the first 24 lines of the poem, and having most of lines 33-7 removed by a large tear. Further lines (255-7) are
unaccountably missing, possibly from a copying error. Even with the Bodleian facsimile, therefore, the manuscript is far from complete, yet it remains, with its association with the Legh family, the most interesting of all these manuscripts.

It retains, as might be expected, a far older appearance in its grammar, vocabulary, and word-order than the version in MS P, and is distinctly north-west-midland in many of its inflected endings, such as -en for the 3rd person plural of the present tense.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS

P.
The edition of Bishop Percy's Folio Manuscript by Furnivall and Hales provides an accurate reading of the texts (although I have found the occasional small error), and some interesting, though very brief introductory material to some of the poems. Their commentaries, however, are very limited in scope, with alternative readings from other manuscripts at best sporadically and often inaccurately noticed. Their inaccuracy is not altogether surprising, as they would rely on published versions, often themselves inaccurate, as texts for their alternative readings rather than the manuscripts themselves, as Hales himself confessed in a letter to Miss Hugon (Bodley, dep. C 130, 271). Vocabulary and other textual problems are hardly touched on, and historical explanations, so important for a fuller appreciation of these Stanley poems, are almost non-existent, and often inaccurate when they do occur.
Child's edition of Flodden Feilde from MS P is simply a re-print of Furnivall and Hales' text, with slightly better notice of alternative readings from other manuscripts, but no other information.

Lady Bessiye.
Heywood's 1829 edition of this text is, in the absence of manuscript B, the best we have available, and his introduction is informative, though brief. His notes, though few, are useful where they occur.

Halliwell recognised that the versions in MSS B and H were so different as almost to be two separate poems, so he printed both in his 1847 edition. His text of B is, as he acknowledges (p. vi), copied from Heywood's edition rather than from the manuscript itself. Unfortunately an error, presumably by a printer, has led to 64 lines being omitted,\(^1\) which makes a nonsense of the narrative at that point in the poem. Surprisingly, this error was obviously not noticed by Halliwell or anyone else, for in 1850 the 1847 texts were re-printed with the 64 lines still missing. His reading of MS H suffers from no such error.

Halliwell's introduction is brief, being mostly a few relevant quotations from Heywood's 1829 introduction. There is no commentary.

Jewitt's 1867 edition is simply a re-print of Halliwell's re-printed re-print of Heywood's edition of MS B, which thus still has the 64 lines missing. There are five lines of introduction and no commentary.
Scotish Feilde.

Robson's 1856 edition is from MS L only, for although he knew, because it had been mentioned in Percy's Reliques, that a version of the poem existed in the folio manuscript (from which he also discovered the title - or something like it), he, like many other frustrated antiquarians of the age, could not obtain access to it.

When he prepared his text, he did not at first have to hand the first strip - it was discovered separately - so he started numbering the lines at what is (in this edition) line 74, and continued numbering in half-lines, which is how he printed the poem. He later received the first strip, which he left unnumbered, and which he added to his introduction in time to be printed but too late to be included and re-numbered with the rest of the poem which had already, we must assume, been set and printed.

Above the extra portion of text at the end of his introduction Robson says:

The editor is indebted to the kindness of Canon Raines for the following additional fragment of the first portion of the ballad, which he found among the Lyme manuscripts and added to his valuable Lancashire collections, but which came to hand after the remainder of the poem was in print.

It is not unambiguously clear from this announcement whether it was Robson or Raines who actually transcribed this portion of the manuscript, but I would be fairly confident that it must
have been Raines: Robson's transcription of strips 2-6 of the manuscript is very competent indeed, but in the printed transcription of the first strip there are over ninety errors in forty-seven lines, many of them small matters such as an 'e' added or omitted at the end of a word, but many of them ludicrously far from what the manuscript actually says. At line 69, for example, the manuscript reads 'he wyndeth with the vaward'. The printed transcription is 'he royndeth the coward'. Matters are made slightly worse by a lengthy and impressive note on the old verb 'roynt'. Thus when Furnivall and Hales in their edition of Scotish Feilde say in a note that the Lyme MS reads 'he royndeth the coward' they mean that they have read that Robson has been told by Raines that that is what it says.

Robson's introduction is brief, much of it being taken up with that part of the Reliques in which Scotish Feilde is discussed. He also makes a few comments on the historical aspects of the poem, but these are often inaccurate, particularly with regard to the positioning of the English forces at the battle. As MS L is missing twenty-three lines at that point, his errors are excusable, but errors nonetheless. He completes his introduction with some etymological remarks, mostly about the word 'triden'. There are a few notes, but nothing very informative is provided in them: Robson seems to regard Sir Walter Scott's Marmion as the fons et origo of authentic information about the battle of Flodden.
Oakden's 1935 edition of *Scotish Feilde* is the best available edition of any of the poems. His introduction gives valuable information and his notes are helpful. Unfortunately (from my point of view) Oakden's interest in the poem was almost entirely philological, so his treatment of the historical setting and accuracy is minimal. Indeed, for historical comment he refers the reader to Robson's 1856 edition, which, as stated above, is far from satisfactory.

His text is a composite version from both P and L but, although he says he went to Lyme Hall to work on MS L, his readings from the early part of the poem seem borrowed from the disastrous Raines/Robson collaboration.

*Flodden Feilde.*

The editions of this poem by Weber (1808) and Evans (1810) are of little value. Neither appearing to have any rigid standards with regard to the text, Weber picking his way as he feels and with no explanations between the texts of MSS H and S, and Evans going one stage further by attempting to 'modernise' some of the less comprehensible parts of the poem. This has resulted in some schoolboy howlers such as when 'red' (line 44) meaning "read" (past tense) is rendered as 'blushed'. Neither has more than a token introduction; neither has any notes.

Of the available editions of these four poems, then, the most encouraging thing that can be said is that there is some sort of text available for each one, although the best text (Furnivall and Hales) is of one of the least reliable
manuscripts. Heywood's text of MS B is the nearest we can get to that manuscript, but his edition was in 1847 so rare that Halliwell felt the poem should be re-published. Oakden's edition of Scotish Feilde is a judicious mixture of MSS P and L, but he greatly favours P, whereas L, although it is less complete than P, is a far closer approximation to the original version of the poem.

What gave the greatest significance to these four poems when they were first produced was their celebration of the cunning plans, the organisational brilliance, the heroism, the personal sacrifices, the near-defeats and the final triumphant victories of the Stanleys and their associates. They are not simply chronicles recounting historical events; they are anthems extolling the virtues and the glories of this man, of that family, of this manor, of that village, and completely, utterly, entirely to disregard that aspect of these poems, as every single edition has done, is like providing a history of Aston Villa without ever mentioning that the organisation plays football.

In this edition, texts will be provided of all four poems, mainly for convenience of reference in the case of Lady Bessiye and Bosworth Feilde where only minor alterations and corrections have been made to already existing printed editions; the texts of Scotish Feilde and Flodden Feilde, however, are completely re-edited.

The main contribution to be made by this edition will be, it is hoped, in the commentaries, where full attention is
paid to the historical events, the local scenery, and most of all the people about whom, by whom and for whom the poems were created.
THE POEMS
When folk ere festid and fed, fayn wald þai here
Sum farand þing efter fode to fayn þare hert.
Sum couettis and has comforth to carpe and to lestyn
Of curtaissiy of knythode, of craftis of armys,
Of kyngis at has conquirid and ouer comyn landis.

The Wars of Alexander
edited by W.W. Skeat, E.E.T.S., e.s. 47 (1886),
lines 1-2 and 8-10.

Leoð waes asungen,
gleomannes gyd. Gamen eft astah,
beorhtode bencsweg, byrelas sealdon
win of wunderfatum.

Beowulf, ed. F.J. Klaeber (Boston, 1922), lines 1159-62
When faced with four poems all of which concern the military fortunes of the Stanley family at the battles of Bosworth (22nd August 1485) and Flodden (9th September 1513), it is advisable to attempt to see them for what they are rather than for what we (or others) might assume them to be. It is always right to investigate with an open mind such matters as author, audience, method of publication, sources, provenance, and such general considerations as: what the author believed were his obligations in composing the poem; what the audience might expect from their author; what future (if any) the author envisaged for his poem. These, and other questions which can illuminate, are worth asking of any poem, but are especially worth asking, it seems to me, of a collection of poems such as these from the relatively uncharted depths of late mediaeval Lancashire or Cheshire. It is too easy, and too obviously prone to simplistic reading, merely to make generic assumptions about them and then to read them in the light of those assumptions, which has been, up to now, the common fate of these poems.

One of the most common generic assumptions to have been made about these poems is that they are ballads. In one manuscript of Flodden Peilde (Harley 293) the word 'ballate' is actually incorporated into the title of the poem: 'A ballate of the Battalle of Floden Peeld foughte betweene the Earle of Surrey and the kinge of Skots'. That one manuscript, however, is the only one of the eight manuscripts to use the word, and the scribe, Ralph Starkey, is one of the least competent of
all, having been called by Sir Simonds d'Ewes 'an ignorant, mercenary, indigent man'. Yet all four poems, both singly and in groups, have been frequently referred to as 'ballads' by almost all their editors and by almost every critic who writes about them. Indeed so accepted has the word become that it is now sometimes silently incorporated into the titles of the poems with no manuscript or other authority for its inclusion.

To label such poems as 'ballads', however, is not at all helpful. G.L. Kittredge (1932, p. xi) warns us that, 'It should be noted that in common parlance the term 'ballad' is very loosely applied.'

E.K. Chambers too (1945, p. 137 and 153), was suspicious of the word:

Much confusion has been introduced into the history of literature by a loose use of the term 'ballad'... It is a pity that recent historians of literature have not been careful to restrict the meaning of the term.

And F.J. Gummere (1894, p. 3) makes the extent of the confusion clear when he says:

Nearly every variety of short poem in English has been called a ballad, from the translated songs of Solomon, 'The Ballad of Ballads', through stirring lays in love and adventure and cheery lyrics of emotion, down to those feats of journalistic verse which filled the times of great Elizabeth with tales
of a 'monstrous pigge' or forecast of an earthquake.

Nor is it only, as Gummere suggests, 'short' poems which stand under this umbrella: Lady Bessiye has frequently been called a 'ballad', and in its longest version (MS B) is 1319 lines long which, however relative the word might be, can hardly be regarded as 'short'.

Part of the problem is that writers have used the term 'ballad' without distinguishing it from other forms: Furnivall and Hales, for example (1867-8, vol. iii, pp. 319-29), refer within two pages to Lady Bessiye as 'poem', 'ballad', or 'song', three terms which they appear to regard as synonymous. N.L.Frazer (1914, p. 5 and 9), setting himself the task of writing about poetry which dealt with historical matters, excluded ballads from the realm of 'Poetry' altogether: those who wanted to know about ballads were scornfully referred to Mr Firth's article on them: he was going to discuss proper sixteenth-century 'Poetry'. More recently D.A.Lawton (1978, p. 49), one of very few writers to acknowledge the true nature of these poems, refers to 'an extensive ballad literature on the Stanleys', but on the following page refers to a poem as being 'also part of a Stanley epic', thus suggesting that 'ballad' and 'epic' are synonymous.

As it is clear that the word 'ballad' has changed meaning many times, so that what was a 'ballad' to one century might not be to another, and vice-versa, it is slightly surprising that so few works about ballads appear to recognise
or comment on this. There is a sort of silent belief that everyone really knows what ballads are, so no more need be said.

One writer who did recognise this constant change in the meaning of the word 'ballad' was F. Sidgwick (1925, p. xix), who isolated four quite separate meanings:

1. A song to accompany dancing.
2. A song of sentiment or romance.
3. Any popular song.
4. 'Its modern meaning', which he carefully refrains from defining, thus rather weakening his point.

These four are not the only meanings the word has had, and it is still gaining new ones. In the field of pop music, for example, the term is used to define the speed of a song rather than anything to do with its form or content, 'ballad-tempo' being slow and gentle as opposed to, say 'rock'.

The uncertainty and division among critics has led to some accusing others (as I am now) of attaching the label 'ballad' to poems which they (the accusers) believe not to be ballads. W.P.Ker, for example (1910, p. 196), talking about Icelandic 'Rimur', said, 'They may be called ballads, but that is not their right name.' G.G.Smith (article on 'Ballad' in Encyclopaedia Britannica) states categorically that F.J.Child has made an error in including Judas in his collection of ballads: 'Judas is not a ballad.' E.K.Chambers (1945, p. 153) agrees: 'I do not think it can properly be regarded as a ballad', and adds his opinion that another of Child's
inclusions, St Stephen and Herod, is 'hardly in the ballad manner'. C.L. Kingsford (1913, p. 242), discussing a group of poems about the loss of the English possessions in France, declares authoritatively: 'They are rude satires, not ballads.' D.C. Fowler (1968, p. 6 and 10) is very clear in his own mind what does not constitute a ballad:

There can be little doubt that carols of this kind were a permanent feature of the folksong tradition. But they were not ballads.... What needs to be stressed is that these early texts ... were not ballads at all.

At the time in the eighteenth century when people first began to make collections of ballads - whatever the word might mean - they were certainly conscious of the imprecise limits of the word they were using. William Shenstone (ed. 1939, p. 577), who corresponded with Percy about his (Percy's) collection of ballads, asked him: 'Do you make any difference between a ballad and a song?' then pre-empted Percy's reply by providing one of his own:

For my part, I who love by all means of different words to bundle up distinct ideas, am apt to consider a ballad as containing some little story, either real or invented,

a difference he elaborated (p. 613) in a later letter to Hull:

As I love to avail myself of different words to bundle up ideas in different parcels, it is become habitual with me to call that a ballad which
describes or implies some action; on the other hand I term that a song which contains only an expression of sentiment.

The idea of narrative as the key to the definition has been echoed in this century by E.K. Chambers (1945, p. 137):

I feel sure that any definition of ballad ought to be primarily in terms of the narrative which it records and not in those of the lyrical element which accompanies it.

Whatever may now be the criteria, narrative was not among them in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, when these four poems were written. Chambers (1945, pp. 137-8) says that at that time the word meant

lyrical ... in the widest sense, and not narrative ... no fifteenth century narrative poem is ever called in contemporary documents a ballad.

The original meaning as suggested by Sidgwick (1925, p. xix), 'song to accompany dance' can be accurately observed in poems of the time. In Dunbar's 'The Golden Targe', for example (ed. 1932, p. 116, lines 127-30), he describes the assembly of the gods:

And eviry one of thir, in grene arayit,
On harp or lute full merily thai playit,
And sang balletis with michty notis clere;
Ladyes to dance full sobirly assayit.

Contemporary with Dunbar is the account of the wedding of King James IV of Scotland with Margaret, daughter of Henry VII,
known as the **Fyancells of Margaret**,\(^{16}\) in which, coincidentally, we see a member of the Stanley family involved in the performance of such a 'ballad':

> Apon the clarycorde Sir Edward Stannely playd a Ballade and sange therwith ... Afterward the said Sir Edward and two of hys servaunts sange a ballade or two, wherof the Kynge gave him good thanke.

Among several other examples of early ballads which are clearly not narrative is that written in prison by Antony Lord Woodville,\(^ {17}\) or those 'In Praise of the new Queene' sung at the coronation of Anne Boleyn.\(^ {18}\)

The above selection of quotations about ballads is simply intended to demonstrate the unreliability of the word as a precise tool of criticism. It means so many things at different times and to different people that it would be hard to say that the word has a generally agreed meaning. This is tacitly admitted by most writers who take 'the ballad' as their subject, for they usually begin by defining what the term will mean for them, so that their arguments often tend towards circularity. Furthermore, no two writers will agree on the features which might enable us to recognise in any particular poem an instance of the type: some insist on narrative; some on oral, or even sung features; some on stylistic details; some on the absence of anything resembling a written text; and some on considerations of the social class within which the 'ballad' is to be performed.
With such uncertainty of definition, to label these four Stanley poems as 'ballads' and to assume that everyone will understand exactly what kind of poems they are is pure optimism. Even worse is to have a clear (if subjectively defined) notion of what ballads are, and then to foist that notion onto the Stanley poems simply because they have, in certain places, been called ballads. C.H. Firth, for example (1908, p. 21), in his article confidently entitled 'The Ballad History of the Reigns of Henry VII and Henry VIII', began by announcing _ex cathedra_ what ballads 'are':

About the events which happened in their time it is difficult to know what the common people, who formed the mass of the nation, thought. Here the ballads can help us, because they were the literature of the populace, composed by men of the people for the people, reflecting popular opinion and helping to shape it.

Having established this, Firth went on to illustrate how several poems, including all four of these Stanley poems, conformed to this 'definition'.

Yet the Stanley poems do no such thing, and if looked at in isolation from the term 'ballad' will be seen to be productions of quite a different kind. Although, therefore, in some respects the poems can be thought of as having similarities to some other poems which have also been called 'ballads', it seems far safer to ignore the term, and to attempt to look at the Stanley poems in a literary and social
context independent of any pre-selected generic descriptions. It is interesting and significant that F.J. Child, whose enormous and comprehensive collection was described by F.J. Gummere (1903-4, p. 78) as 'itself a definition of balladry', includes none of the Stanley poems in his collection (with the non-counting exception of Flodden Feilde, which he prints not as a ballad but as an appendix to a ballad called 'Flodden Field').

Of the poems themselves, it is first of all apparent that they have very little connection with Firth's 'common people'. The audience they are aimed at seems to be an audience composed of the family and friends of the Stanleys, local dignitaries, many of whose names, or whose fathers' and uncles' names, are mentioned in the poems. The interest in the poems is almost exclusively in the actions and arguments of the upper class, with involvement by 'other ranks' kept to a minimum: where messengers or servants appear, it is their function rather than their essential humanity that we are invited to observe. The only non-aristocrat who takes the centre of the stage for more than a passing moment is James Garsyde, the yeoman, who in Flodden Feilde features in a short incident; but even there his function, and the function of the whole incident (lines 239-352), is solely to confer added glory on the Earl of Derby. The tone of the episode is: "Look! even the common people worshipped him, and justifiably so in view of his benevolent consideration of those who served him: witness James Garsyde, ..." But aside from James Garsyde, the
dramatis personae are without exception from the top rank in English society, the King, the Queen, Dukes, Earls, Knights; the members of the Stanley family and their friends, the sort of people pictured as forming a social group by F. Walker (1939, p. 51):

The immense personal influence of the Stanleys has been one of the most consistent features of the history of this part of Lancashire ... The head of the Stanleys had an unrivalled claim to the allegiance of the vast majority of landowners of South-West Lancashire.

This group is the group the authors deal with from first to last, and D. A. Lawton (1978, p. 52) must be right when he suggests that

It is surely for this social group, many of hose members are identified by name in the poems ... that these poems were written.

As well as the subject matter concerning the highest in the land, and as well as the likely audience being precisely those people who are the actors in the poems, there is further evidence to suggest that at least some if not all the authors were also from that class. It has sometimes been assumed that these poems were composed by minstrels in the employ of the Stanley family, but there is no reason to suppose that such minstrels were in fact the authors.

The clearest statement of authorship comes at the end of *Scottish Feilde* (lines 418-20):
He was a gentilman, by Jesu, that this jest made.

At Baguley that burne his biding place had.

If these lines can be trusted, they point to the author of the poem being a member of the well-connected gentry family of Legh of Baguley. (Baguley is today part of Greater Manchester, about six miles south-south-west of the city centre, and Baguley Hall, where the Legh family lived, still stands, recently repaired, in almost its original form.) Bishop Percy, in a marginal note (fol. 90) on his manuscript, seems to accept the ascription, for he writes, 'Baguleigh in Cheshire, the seat of the Leighs [sic]'. Since the Bishop's time, the poem has often been accepted as having been composed by a member of the Legh family. Indeed, one historian, C. Balshaw (1855, p. 90), has exaggerated the Legh author's reputation far beyond what even the most generous interpretation would allow:

Dr Gower, in a letter to Mr Harwood, says that one of the Leghs of Baguley wrote several historical poems in the reign of Henry VII [sic]; the manuscript is ... entitled 'Scottish Fielde'.

Such unbounded faith in the Legh authorship has not, however, always been demonstrated. In his 1856 edition of the poem, J. Robson (p. vi) offered his opinion that the last seven lines of the poems were

most likely not the work of the poet, but of the minstrel who sang it, and who was desirous of gaining credence by the declaration of his authority.

Following Robson's lead, J. P. Oakden in his 1935 edition (p. x)
Robson takes the lines to refer to the minstrel who sang the poem, but this seems unlikely. The last line is admittedly a conclusion added by the minstrel.'

In fact, as can be seen from the above quotation by Robson, he did not take the lines to 'refer to' the minstrel, but rather to have been added by him.

It is, of course, possible that the last seven lines (or the last one line) were added by some hand other than the author's; but for several reasons this is unlikely. First, from a stylistic point of view, however inadequate *Scotish Feilde* is as a poem — and it has received some severe criticism — it cannot be denied that its author was well aware of the stylistic conventions of the poems of the alliterative revival. Indeed, J.P. Oakden (1930/35, vol. ii, p. 83), the fiercest critic of the poem, has pointed out that it leaves the impression of a mosaic derived from earlier works, for quite half the poem consists of phrases of all types which can easily be paralleled in other alliterative poems.

Given such familiarity with the alliterative tradition, it is surely unlikely that the author would end his poem in a way quite untypical of that tradition, which a sudden ending at line 417 would be, however 'final' its sentiments. It is far more likely that he would end in a way sanctioned by the earlier poems of the revival. Two common and typical features
often found at the ends of such poems are, a). an appeal to authority, and b). a request for God's blessing. Most alliterative poems have one or both of these features, but a particularly illustrative example is to be found in Sir Gawain and the Green Knight (lines 2522-30), where both features are present at the end, and neither can be accused of being unauthorial additions, both being bound up in the 'bob and wheel' of the poem's metrical structure:

\[ \text{\textit{pus in Arthurus day pis aunter bitidde},} \\
\text{\textit{pe Brutus bokez }\textit{perof beres wyttenesse;} } \\
\text{\textit{Sypen Brutus, pe bolde burne, bo\text{\'}ed hider fyrst,} } \\
\text{\textit{After pe segge and pe assaute watz sesed at Troye iwysse,} } \\
\text{\textit{Mony aunterez here-biforne} } \\
\text{\textit{Haf fallen suche er pis.} } \\
\text{\textit{Now }\textit{pat bere pe croun of }\textit{borne,} } \\
\text{\textit{he bryng vus to his blysse.}} \]

As Scotish Feilde contains exactly those two features at its conclusion, no doubt about the authenticity of the lines need be harboured on stylistic grounds.

Secondly, the fact that Scotish Feilde is a poem of the alliterative revival will immediately place it, if the usually accepted theory is right, among the upper classes. Malory in his Morte d'Arthure (ed. 1967, vol. i, p. 375) points out that a knowledge of hunting terms is a test whereby 'all men of worship may discover a jantylman', from which simple
test A.C. Spearing (1970, p. 11) concludes that the Gawain poet must have been a 'jantylman' writing for 'jantylmen'. J.R. Hulbert (1931) has propounded a 'hypothesis' according to which the poems of the revival represent a deliberately English, baronial opposition to the French-influenced London court-poetry of the fourteenth century, and, although his hypothesis has not met with universal acceptance, other indications, such as the library lists of great mediaeval houses investigated by E. Salter (1966-7), and the manuscript involvement — where both the region and the years are very close to the composition of Scotish Feilde — of gentlemen as demonstrated by A. Luttrell (1958), make the argument for upper-class participation in the alliterative revival very strong, so the assertion that 'a gentilman' composed Scotish Feilde need come as no surprise, and suggestions of minstrel alternatives are unnecessary.

Thirdly, the evidence provided by the Lyme Manuscript of the poem, which is still owned by a collateral branch of the Legh family (the Leghs of Baguley having become extinct in 1750), strongly supports the claim that the author was indeed a member of the family of Legh of Baguley. The Leghs of Lyme, who now own the manuscript, were the nearest cousins, both genealogically and geographically, when the Baguley branch ended, and both families were related by several marriages to the Stanleys themselves. The continued existence of this, the earliest surviving manuscript of any of these Stanley poems, among the direct descendants of the man declared in the poem to
be its author, weighs heavily in favour of the claim. Even the usually-cautious J.P. Oakden (1935 edition, p. x) allows that 'there is some ground for believing that /the gentilman/ "//" in question was the author.'

The poem Lady Bessiye has no overt statement of authorship like that in Scotish Feilde, but it is thought that the most likely author was Humphrey Brereton, an 'esquire' in the service of Lord Stanley, who (Brereton) takes a central role in the poem.

The main reason for this assumption is that the author occasionally lapses into first-person narrative while describing the actions of Brereton, first (lines 555-6), when the porter at Lathom

> forthwith opned me the gate
> And received both my horse and me

and again (lines 882-3) when the porter at Beggrames Abbey

> Welcomed me full heartily
> And received then my mules three.

This divergence into first person narrative would be hard to explain if it were not authorial.

A second reason for believing Brereton to have been the author is that the details of his involvement in the action are so personal and intricate that he must at least have been involved in the composition. Lord Stanley is mentioned by name (that name being the Earl of Derby) thirty-one times, Lady Bessy fifty-one times, but Humphrey Brereton sixty-six times,
which gives some idea of how central a role he takes in the action. Firth (1908, p. 26), accepting without question the claim for Brereton's authorship without apparently noticing that it contradicts his theory about 'the common people', points out that the detailed descriptions in the poem 'seem to bear the stamp of personal observation rather than imagination'. That Brereton was indeed the poem's author is the opinion of all the poem's previous editors,\textsuperscript{21} and of many others who have written about the poem.\textsuperscript{22} All of them refer to Brereton's apparent closeness to the action. Agnes Strickland (1843, vol. iv, p. 58) talks of the surprisingly detailed accuracy of the account - surprising, that is, if the poem were not by Brereton. Furnivall and Hales in their edition of the poem (1867-8, vol. iii, p. 321) give as their reason for accepting Brereton as author the idea that, because the main interest of the plot centred around Lord Stanley and Lady Bessy,

Probably no-one but Brereton would have described so carefully Brereton's movements ... This author knows well and describes every passage of them.

If we accept, then, that Brereton was the author, we should also say who he was. According to the poem (line 312) he was an 'esquire' in service with Lord Stanley. This may seem to support Firth's notion of the 'ballad' being composed by a representative of 'the common people', but Brereton's being an esquire in service need not conflict with his being a man of the highest rank, for, as P.V.B.Jones (1917, pp. 25-32)
has shown, many young aristocrats and members of the gentry would serve a kind of apprenticeship to the life of a nobleman by working in the household of an established nobleman. Indeed, there is evidence that no less a person than the 3rd Earl of Derby spent about seven years 'in service' with Cardinal Wolsey, and then, as Coward (1968, p. 52) expresses it,

The Earl's household in turn served a similar purpose for the sons of Lancashire and Cheshire gentry. It is almost certain that Humphrey Brereton was an employee of exactly that type. His family was a well-known and respected Cheshire family connected by marriages with many of the first families in the area, including the Stanleys themselves. William Brereton, a cousin of Humphrey's, who is mentioned in Flodden Feilde (line 69), was later 'in service' with Anne Boleyn, and was executed for serving her rather too assiduously.23

Heywood tells us in his edition (1829, p. vii) that Humphrey Brereton was the third son of Bartholomew Brereton of Schochlach and Malpas. This identification gains support at that moment in the poem (lines 878-9) when Humphrey arrives in Brittany.

The porter was a Cheshire man;
Well he knew Humphrey when he him see.
The reason he knew Humphrey so well, he later explains (lines 890-1):

48
For a Cheshire man born am I certain;
From the Malpas but miles three.
The possibility that gentlemen serving in the noble household of the Earl of Derby should have been the authors of poems about the family gains further support from the fact that we know that men with the names of Brereton and Legh actually did 'serve' the various Earls during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries.24

Two of the four poems, then, would seem to belong to a social group quite opposite to Firth's 'common people'. The other two poems do not have obvious clues, like the first two, which might lead us to find out who composed them, but there is internal evidence suggesting at least identification with the class about who and for whom the stories are recounted. The author of Bosworth Feilde uses 'we', 'us', and 'our' several times (e.g. lines 6, 15, 17, 23, 337, 577), without it appearing either out of place or forced. Especially significant is that he refers (line 577) to 'our archers'.

He clearly does not feel any social inferiority to his audience, referring to them as 'friends' (line 343) rather than by the more servile forms of address common in minstrel verse; and he is not shy of adopting a moral stance and lecturing them on the rights and wrongs of the action (e.g. lines 129-136).

To attempt identification from the very little we have to go on, and from such a distance chronologically, is rather
like shooting into the dark, but there is a possibility - and it can be no more than that - that the author might have been an esquire such as Brereton or Legh, who was employed by the Earl as a kind of herald. The listing of participants in a battle was precisely the sort of function mediaeval heralds were required to carry out, and only a well-practised man would be able to recognise the knights lined up against him. Co-incidentally there is a short scene in *Scottish Feilde* (lines 355-74) which might serve as an analogy: just before the Battle of Flodden commences, King James IV summons his herald to him:

> Then the Scotts King calleth to him a heralde,
> Biddeth tell him the truth, and tary no longer:
> Who were the baners of the burns that bode in the valley?

to which the herald is immediately able to reply in very precise detail.

Once the possibility is allowed that a herald might have been the author, other indications become apparent, such as the frequent mention of heraldic devices (lines 350, 473-6, 481-4, 497-500), which are spoken of with a familiarity that suggests that the terms of heraldry are daily business.

A second supporting indication is that when, at the close of the poem, the author is providing a short list of the more famous dead, he rather summarily brushes aside dukes and lords, reserving his elegaic fervour for two standard-bearers, whose noble defence of their respective standards is briefly...
reminiscent of native refusal to flee against the odds at the Battle of Maldon.

There are precedents for men employed as heralds composing poems after military engagements. The Siege of Caerlaverock, for example, is so full of heraldic description that L.G.Pine (1952, p. 48) is fairly certain that 'the poet was a herald in the service of King Edward'. The Arrival of Edward IV announces that it was by one of the King's servants, but R.F.Green (1980, p. 171) points us once again in the direction of a herald:

Whether this servant was in fact a herald it is now impossible to say, but the first version of the Arrival must certainly have been the kind of factual record heralds were regularly called upon to provide. As this suggests, the heralds' function was to draw up accounts and lists of state occasions, which would include battles (such as the Falkirk Roll in the College of Arms, or MS Harley 6137, a list of knights with Henry V at Rouen in 1418) and tournaments, both events at which knights would appear with their helms closed, for which reason, as A.Wagner (1946, p. 18), himself a well-qualified man, having been Richmond Herald, points out,

To cry a knight's name, a herald must first know it. When his helm was closed, they could only do so by his arms, and so they must be learned in cote-armour. It is proficiency of this sort which explains why the herald in Scotish Feilde could reply so promptly to King James's
R.F. Green goes on to say that, as an extension of making such records the herald might become a kind of court journalist, as a result of which heralds' reports became increasingly more elaborate and grandiloquent towards the end of the fifteenth century in response to a demand for greater literary display. They might even, on occasion, have turned their hand to verse.

Green is specifically talking about the King's Court and the King's heralds, but heralds also existed in the houses of great noblemen. L.G. Pine (1952, p. 36) records that the Percys and the Nevilles had their own heralds, A. Wagner (1946, p. 18) that several lords 'had their own heralds or pursuivants'. Among the Stanley manuscripts at Knowsley there are several whole volumes devoted to heraldry and genealogy, which suggests that either one of the lords was himself a practitioner, or, more likely, that the Stanleys too had their own herald, and if so, it is a possibility that he, or someone like him, was the author of *Bosworth Feilde*. And as heraldry and all things connected with the tracing of the ancestry of noble families was a popular pastime only with top people, it is probable that, if the author was such a herald or pursuivant, he was also, like Legh and Brereton, a man of at least moderate rank himself.

Of the author and the social level of *Flodden Feilde* there are even fewer positive indications. Certainly the heavy
concentration on the affairs and the conversations of the King and his senior ministers, and especially the author's intimate acquaintance with the social set surrounding the 2nd Earl of Derby suggest a man who had access to such social levels rather than a member of Firth's 'common people'. This closeness can be seen by comparing the names of the Earl's immediate circle of friends and acquaintances in the poem with other more 'official' documents which indicate who his close friends were, and E.B. Goodacre (1940, pp. 53-55) provides examples of such names repeatedly occurring in connection with the Stanleys.

The poem's main purpose (and that of Scotish Feilde) is of course superficially to extol the men from Lancashire and Cheshire who have contributed so successfully to the English victory, and to lament those few who died in the attempt; but underlying that simple purpose is the slightly more subtle one of apportioning blame for what was apparently a failure on the part of one section of the Cheshire troops. In Scotish Feilde this problem is dealt with quite confidently and competently, but in Flodden Feilde the blame is cast mostly onto the Howard family (see pp. 110-1). That sort of inside knowledge again suggests someone close enough to the centre of the action to appreciate it.

What emerges, then, from a study of the social level of these four poems, is that, far from Firth's idea that they will enable us to know 'what the common people ... thought' (an idea brought about by having faith in the word 'ballad'), we
find we have two poems which seem almost certainly to have been composed by well-born people, and two which have in them indications that they probably were; and four poems which, to judge from their very limited subject matter, are aimed at an audience of the highest social class in the region.

These conclusions are not inevitable, and it may be that a case can still be made for considering them as ballads of the kind that Child and his successors write about; but it is odd that Child, who certainly knew of all four poems, included none of them in his comprehensive collection of ballads. It cannot be denied that three of the poems have stylistic features in common with some ballads, such as repetition of key phrases, phrases contrived to ensure rhythm and rhyme, alliterative tags, or parallel development of narrative action in similar linguistic blocks (see pp. 127-39).

The textual history of Lady Bessive, too, with its two versions so hopelessly far from each other in every way, is typical of what occasionally happened to ballads within the oral tradition. The fact remains, however, that none of the poems is entirely typical of ballads, and Scotish Feilde, which has been called a ballad as often as any of the others, has very little in common with the genre at all.

Rather than starting from the notion that these poems are ballads and seeing them within whatever framework that word may happen to suggest, it seems better to jettison the word altogether, and to see what function these poems performed, independent of any other information. It seems that the
Stanley household was a small centre of amateur verse production aimed at a very limited and specific audience, which might simply have been the Stanleys themselves and some close friends or relations who were attending a gathering at Knowsley, Lathom, Bidston, Lancaster, or even the homes of one of the other families. The interest of the poems is clearly very limited: as D.C. Fowler (1968, p. 147) says, 'The injustice done to the Earl of Derby is certainly lacking in universality.' The same criticism can be made of all four poems. The material has exactly the same sort of limited appeal as a school magazine, where only those who know the participants in the plays and sports will want to read the reports about them.

At such local, enclosed gatherings, verse might well be read out as entertainment. It is obviously conceivable that professional minstrels, that is, men employed specifically for that function, were involved in such performances; but not necessarily so. In Old English times, if we are to trust the evidence of the verse itself, the scop was simply a member of the comitatus who happened to be well able to supply the poetic requirements of the community. There is also evidence from later periods to suggest that poetic activity was not confined to professionals: C. Bullock-Davies (1978, p. 25), in her appraisal of minstrels who performed at the court festivities in 1296, emphasises that analysis of the list has revealed that numbers of the people named in it were serving in the household in
other capacities, and receiving court wages, for duties far removed from singing songs.

R.F. Green (1980, p.103 and 203) attempting to show that entertainment happened but not necessarily with professional minstrels, says:

The traditional role of the professional minstrel was usurped in later courts by a new breed of amateur household poet...Authorship was very much a spare time occupation, and its material rewards were largely incidental ones.

This amateur status of poets in households can be see both in mediaeval literature, where, for example, Chaucer's Squire, whose father was a knight, 'koude songes make and wel endite' (Prologue, line 95), and in mediaeval life, where many royal servants, such as Sir John Clanvowe, Sir John Montagu, Sir Richard Roos, Stephen Hawes, and many servants in provincial households, such as John Shirley (servant to the Earl of Warwick), John Russell (to Humphrey of Gloucester), William of Worcester (to Sir John Fastolf), and William Peeris (to the Earl of Northumberland), are all known to have written poems of some sort, not in any commissioned or official poetic capacity, but apparently as an extra to their normal duties; and we may suppose that they would receive some small reward, either money or some other favour, for their efforts.

Chaucer himself was a poet of exactly this kind. J.R. Hulbert (1912, p. 58) says of his position at court:

So far as we know [he] received no exceptional
favours; his career was in practically every respect a typical esquire's career, and E. Miller (1959, p. 95) puts Chaucer's various activities into perspective as he reminds us that Chaucer was first a servant of the king, then a poet.

In other words, before one was a writer, one was a member of an integrated society.

And we can justifiably suppose that what Chaucer did in the King's court in London was reflected further down the social scale in Lancashire where, in the words of A. Luttrell (1958, p. 49), who was speaking exclusively of Scotish Feilde,

Perhaps we should imagine the picture we have of Chaucer transformed to a provincial setting and to a different mode of poetry, including the partly alliterative.

A coincidental, though artificial link between the Stanley poems and this tradition of amateur household poets exists through Henry Bolingbroke, later Henry IV, whose earlier title of Earl of Derby was later to descend on the Stanleys. His relationship with the poet John Gower was, according to R. F. Green (1980, p. 182), along similar lines:

Gower, a member of Derby's retinue, or at least bound to him by certain formal ties, serves the Earl by writing propagandist poems in defence of his political activities.

From such a tradition it is not hard to extrapolate into the late fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries, where a later
Earl of Derby might also have had men 'bound to him by certain formal ties', men such as Humphrey Brereton or Legh of Baguley, composing and reciting 'Propagandist Poems' (for that is unquestionably what they are) to an interested local audience made up largely of relations, friends, and neighbours of the family. S.A. Moore (1913, p. 371) pictures precisely the sort of poetic production I think these Stanley poems are:

The fundamental difference between mediaeval and modern conditions is the fact that the mediaeval writer directed his work not to the world in general, or the 'public', but to a very definite and restricted circle. He addressed himself to his patron, and his patron's family, friends, and neighbours.

If the Stanley poems were indeed minor provincial productions of this kind, they stand near the end of a tradition which stretches back through Lydgate, Chaucer, the major poems of the Alliterative Revival, mediaeval Welsh and Irish verse, the scop's recitations as described in many Old English poems, the earliest Germanic poetic performances as so movingly recorded by Tacitus (Germania, ch. 2), and even back to the bardic recitations of family history in the *Odyssey*, where both Odysseus and Telemachus are frequently entertained in royal households. It is an unfortunate ironic demonstration of the failure of the 'generic' approach which some have applied to the Stanley poems at the end of this poetic line, that in E.V. Rieu's Penguin translation (1946, p. 33) of the
Odyssey right at the beginning of that line, those noble, aristocratic, formal, bardic utterances, are also called 'ballads'.
THE POEMS AS HISTORY
THE POEMS AS HISTORY

Although each of these poems is a self-contained narrative in which events are recounted in approximately chronological order, it should be borne in mind that the narrative itself is only a vehicle for the main purpose of the poems, which is the glorification of the Stanley family and their supporters. The events are therefore carefully selected to reveal the Stanleys in the best light, and where their actions are less than glorious, they have to be either concealed or excused. The relative weight given to the various historical events is disproportionate: nearer the centre of the actions no doubt other armies and other families were performing equally as valiantly; but these are of only passing interest to our authors and their audiences. As a fairly typical example of the disproportionate concentration on matters Stanleyite, the attempt by Lady Bessy to enlist the support of Lord Stanley runs for 272 lines (Lady Bessiye lines 5-276), whereas the attempt by Henry Tudor to enlist the support of the French King, where the Stanley interest is absent, takes a mere 22 lines (961-82).

SUMMARIES

The following summaries of the plots of the poems are provided so that the discussion which follows may not take place in a void.
a). SUMMARY OF Lady Bessy:

After the opening prayer we enter straight into the attempt by Lady Bessy (Elizabeth of York) to persuade Lord Stanley to join her rebellion, the aim of which will be to bring Henry Tudor, Earl of Richmond, back from Brittany, where he has been in exile for many years, to defeat Richard III and re-establish the Lancastrian dynasty. Lord Stanley is very unwilling to succumb to her persuasions, and after each of her five 'reasons', he appears to denounce her whole scheme and vow loyalty to King Richard. The five reasons are:

1). Lord Stanley owes a debt of honour to Edward IV (Lady Bessy's late father) to see that his children get their deserts;

2). Richard is a murderer (of the Princes in the tower);

3). Edward IV had set up the scheme and had specifically asked her to seek help from Lord Stanley;

4). Richard has attempted to seduce her, offering to put away his wife and son;

5). Richard has sworn to destroy the power of the Stanleys.

Seeing that Lord Stanley is unshakeable, Lady Bessy then throws a fit of hysterics, and threatens suicide. Only then does Lord Stanley accept that Elizabeth's plan is genuine and not a trap to make him utter remarks which could be interpreted as treasonable.

Once Lord Stanley has decided to move, he moves quickly, and before the night is out he is dictating letters to his family and friends in 'the West Country' (which means
Lancashire and Cheshire), while Elizabeth, to avoid any chance of betrayal, acts as his scribe. There are seven Stanley supporters who are involved in the plot from the beginning:

1). Lord Stanley himself;
2). his brother, Sir William Stanley;
3). Lord George Strange, Lord Stanley's eldest son;
4) Sir Edward Stanley, another of his sons;
5). James Stanley ('the Warden'), another son;
6). Sir John Savage, Lord Stanley's nephew;
7). Sir Gilbert Talbot.

Several times in this poem the author 'does the round' of these supporters, although not all of them are included in each round: letters appear to be written, for example, only to numbers 2, 3, 6 and 7.

Once the letters have been written asking these men to come to a meeting in London, they must next be delivered, and for this task they select Humphrey Brereton, the likely author of the poem. Humphrey goes to each supporter (all six) and in each case the supporter vows absolute loyalty to Lord Stanley and gives Humphrey a tip.

When Humphrey returns from the West Country, he finds Lord Stanley in conversation with the King, so the conversation which ensues is delightfully ambiguous, as Humphrey announces the loyalty and readiness of all the men, without mentioning who they are loyal to, or what they are ready for. In a deeply ironic passage, Richard speaks a brief encomium on the whole Stanley family.
Now the Stanley supporters arrive in turn in London, all in disguise to emphasise the secrecy of the plot, and they all meet at an inn on the outskirts of the city. After Bessy outlines her plan, the others all pledge money and men for the campaign.

Humphrey now goes to Brittany to deliver to Henry the gold (which is sewn into the saddles of three mules) and the messages of support. Henry is not immediately enthusiastic about the mission, but after a conference with his aides, he agrees to the plan, insisting that first he must apply for further help from the King of France. The French King will not support him, however, so Humphrey returns to England with some letters and some good wishes to Lady Bessy and the Stanleys.

Now the various armies collect together and move towards Bosworth. Bessy is deposited in Leicester; Lord Strange is sent to London to allay the King's suspicions. In a very full hundred lines (1047-1146) the troops move towards the battleground, meetings are held to decide responsibilities, Henry, who has by now arrived in England, is welcomed, and all is ready.

Next day's events are rushed through, with the exception of the escape from execution of Richard's hostage, Lord George Strange, whose noble sentiments as he approaches the block are clearly an important moment in the poem. Finally, however, Richard is hacked to death, his forces are defeated, and Henry is crowned by the Stanleys. Elizabeth is brought out for the celebrations, and is married to Henry.
b). SUMMARY OF Bosworth Feilde:

This starts with a leisurely look at past years of English history, attempting to set Henry Tudor's rebellion into perspective. When Henry arrives in England he immediately links up with the Stanleys. A long section follows in which Richard, recognising the power of the Stanley forces, collects his own army to oppose them, and also ensnares Lord Stanley into leaving Lord George Strange with him as a hostage. In a long catalogue (118 lines) we are told the names of some of the men on Richard's side.

Now the Stanley forces array themselves in opposition, with Lord Stanley and his brother, Sir William taking the leading roles. Henry arrives and, as in Lady Bessyie, he has a meeting with Sir William before advancing to link up with the rest of the Stanley forces near Bosworth. Battle positions are allocated and all is ready.

The action on the day is again fairly hectic, with Lord George Strange's near-execution and reprieve (which is narrated almost exactly as in Lady Bessyie) again being an important event. After Richard is hacked to death, the author lists the important dead before turning to general rejoicing.

c). SUMMARY OF Scotish Feilde:

After the introductory prayer the author wanders through some vaguely connected past events: Bosworth, Henry VII in France, Henry VIII in France, and the French King's appeal (May, 1513) to the Scottish King to attack England. These events are connected, however, by a clear cause-and-
effect chain the theme of which is, of course, the Stanley family. The poem is going to be about Flodden, where the Stanleys will gain great glory; the battle happened because the French king appealed to the Scottish King; the French King appealed because Henry VIII was in France; Henry VIII (and with him the Earl of Derby) was in France because Henry VII had been there before him, and Henry VII was Henry VII because of Bosworth, where the Stanleys had gained great glory. This series of connections is not entirely accurate (see pp. 99-100), but no-one would be too concerned about that.

James responds to the French appeal by sending a preliminary raid into Northumberland, but they are ambushed and sent running back into Scotland by Sir William Bulmer and a small band of Northumbrians. It is important to realise that this defence force was not nearly as big as it should have been: Lord Dacres, the man responsible for border security, had refused to do anything when informed of the raid. He is thus established early in the poem as unreliable and even, perhaps, cowardly.

Now there is the build-up towards the battle: James IV comes into England at the head of a huge Scottish army. The Stanleys are summoned, and they collect men from all over Lancashire and Cheshire before marching northwards. As the armies jockey for position, the English leaders are appointed, and a disastrous error is made by the Earl of Surrey (commander of the English army): he puts his own son in charge of a large force of Cheshire men who are only used to serving under
Stanleys.

When the battle starts, those Cheshire men turn and run; and Lord Dacres (already known to be a coward), stationed behind them in reserve, also flees. The tone here is, "What do you expect if you have idiots in charge?" James is encouraged by this preliminary success and asks his herald who make up the rest of the English army, whereupon the herald lists the many Stanleyite supporters he can see ranged ahead of them. Foolishly James decides to attack them, and is inevitably beaten by the heroic Stanley forces. A message is sent off to tell King Henry of the English victory, and the few remaining Scots are chased off home before the final "Thank God".

d). SUMMARY OF Flodden Feilde:

Although this poem is entitled Flodden Feilde ('Feilde' means "Battle"), none of its events takes place anywhere near Flodden. The scene for this poem is outside Tournai, a town in Northern France, which Henry VIII and his army (including the Earl of Derby and a group of men from Lancashire and Cheshire) were besieging while the Earl of Surrey and his English army were defeating the Scots at Flodden.

Indeed, as the poem starts, the Battle of Flodden has already happened, and the first we know is that a messenger arrives from the Earl of Surrey to announce the victory. Henry is delighted, and wants to know which forces fought particularly well (or badly). He is told that the Lancashire and Cheshire forces had all fled and behaved in a cowardly
fashion. Henry is furious at this, but an attempt is made by Egerton and Brereton, two of the Earl's supporters, to justify the Stanley cowardice by saying (as in Scotish Feilde) that Stanley troops needed to be commanded by Stanleys. When a man called Compton scorns this excuse, there is almost a riot, but Henry manages to keep the troops calm.

At this moment the Earl of Derby arrives at the King's side, and he repeats this defence of his men, but the King is unmoved, and deprives him of his command. Derby is understandably shaken, and indulges in a nostalgic farewell to all those people and places in Lancashire to which he must now be as a stranger. Consolation is offered by two of his friends, the Duke of Buckingham and the Earl of Shrewsbury, but he is inconsolable.

There now follows an incident involving one of the common soldiers in the Earl's forces. James Garsyde has been summoned before the King for fighting, and indeed for killing and wounding other soldiers in the camp. When the King asks him what he thought he was doing, he replies that these other soldiers had been taunting him about the cowardice of the Stanley forces at Flodden; Garsyde, fiercely loyal to his leader, had struck out wildly. In a further attempt to avoid the obvious punishment Garsyde reminds King Henry of an incident at Greenwich Palace some years back when he (Garsyde) had greatly impressed the King with his bowmanship. Stirred partly by this reminder and partly by Garsyde's sense of loyalty, Henry forgives him, and announces that there is to be
no more criticism of the Stanley forces.

At that moment a second messenger arrives from England bearing a letter from the Queen, again announcing the victory at Flodden, but this time saying that the Stanley forces had been the heroes and largely responsible for the English victory. Henry immediately walks - quite a way, apparently - to the Earl of Derby's tent to apologise and to return his command to him. He further promotes several Stanley men who were mentioned in the Queen's letter as having distinguished themselves.

The Earl is puzzled as to why the two reports have been so different, and the explanation is given that Surrey has deliberately tried to cause disfavour towards the Stanleys as a form of vengeance for the death of his own father (the Duke of Norfolk) at the Stanleys' hands at Bosworth. Derby asks that he may give sentence on Surrey for this slanderous attack, to which the King, now on the Stanleys' side, agrees. At which Derby, to demonstrate the difference between the noble Stanleys and the mean Howards, immediately forgives Surrey and exacts no retribution whatsoever. In a friendly and triumphant mood they all return to the siege of Tournai, and God's blessing is asked on everyone.

Using poetry as source material for history has, of course, a long pedigree. If one accepts, as one can hardly refrain from doing, that 'history' means different things to different people - or even peoples - we can say with
justification that poems from the time of Homer onwards, and perhaps even before that, have been ransacked in search of matter for historians. In English history the earliest records are often verse records, and our earliest historians, just as eagerly as our recent ones, made use of the information provided by poetry. Indeed, as H. Nicolas (1830, p. liv) observed,

That much historical information is often contained in productions of this nature is well-known, for of many events there are no other than metrical descriptions.

Where there has been no alternative, historians have been forced to rely on verse for their material. Where other sources have been available, however, verse, perhaps tainted with the aura of fiction, has often been ignored; yet, as G. R. Elton (1969, p. 199) says,

Imaginative literature - prose, poetry, drama - describe the reality that lies behind the record; it has its own literal rightness; and the historian will be wise to listen.

Because history means different things to different people, one should, if the treatment of the historical aspect of these four Stanley poems were to be complete, begin by asking E. H. Carr's question (1961, title) 'What is History?' But, as his book testifies, the question requires a very long answer, and this small chapter dealing with four smallish poems is not the place for major philosophical enquiry. His book
does, however, serve as a counterweight to those—and there have been enough of them—who persist in believing that there are things called 'the facts of history'. Which facts are 'historical' facts? Who chooses which facts count and which do not? Are such 'facts' objective? Carr replies (p. 114):

The facts of history cannot be purely objective. Objectivity in history cannot be an objectivity of fact. I reject as unhistorical the attempt to judge historical events by erecting an absolute standard of value outside history and independent of it. It is only the simplest kind of historical statement that can be adjudged absolutely true or absolutely false.

Carr's whole argument seeks to remind us of the dangers of attempting to determine that one source is 'right' while another is 'wrong'. Any judgment on the historical value of these, or any other, poems must be seen in that context, and that has not always happened.

In 1963 D.J. MacMillan attempted to assess the historical value of five ballads from the middle ages. His method was a model of what Carr warns against: he first divided the subject-matter of his ballads into chronological units of narrative action, so that each ballad was said to contain a certain number of 'events'. He then listed some—but not all—other accounts of the same historical material, not distinguishing between primary sources and modern history books. He then, by a series of tables with 'other sources' down the left and 'events' along the top, using a system of
ticks and crosses, came to his deductions about the historical reliability of his ballads.

Such a method naturally contains a great deal of subjectivity: his break-down of 'events' is the first obvious example: would every other analyst of the ballad have divided it up into the same (say) fifteen events? Is every event as important as every other event, or would a system of 'main' and 'subsidiary' events give a better picture? Are there some events so central to the whole issue that we would expect every source to agree on it, while variation in peripheral details is less serious? Likewise his list of sources is subjective because incomplete: he limits himself to a few primary sources and adds a few modern accounts, and the choice is his. The decision to include, for example, Sir Charles Oman's account of an event as having equal weight with a contemporary account would surely be questionable even if Sir Charles had universal and undisputed respect among historians, which is not the case.

As a method to follow, then, MacMillan's tables will not do; and yet, unless one is to resign from the problem before starting, one clearly has to engage in an investigation at least similar to his. Hoffman (1952, p 296 ) expresses the required method simply and obviously:

> to compare the ballad versions of historical experiences with the documentation of these events in official sources and eye-witness accounts.

There is, however, a world of difference between Hoffman's 'compare' and MacMillan's tables, and although it is
fashionable to present material in tabular, quasi-scientific form thus lending it an apparent objectivity, any statistician is aware of the limitations of such tables: tables in which the elements plotted against each other are subjectively selected have little value.

Even if one is not attempting to be too scientific in assessing sources, there are bound to be (and with the Stanley poems there certainly are) occasions where sources conflict. There are also occasions where an event occurs in only one source. On such occasions one has to attempt to assess the over-all standard of the varying sources before deciding how much credibility to allow them in their reports of the conflicting or otherwise unreported events. If one particular source is known to be a liar, trust in everything he says will be small; if a source is obviously prejudiced, his statements will be viewed with suspicion. These, however, are easy. What does one do with a source which, while getting several small details apparently 'wrong', seems on the whole to give an accurate representation of the material? And if such a source provides a whole area of otherwise unrecorded information, is it to be accepted as trustworthy? Such questions have more than an abstract philosophical significance, for three of the Stanley poems provide on a large scale information which occurs in no other sources. All of the poems demonstrate enough familiarity with the general run of events and with the details of the personalities involved to indicate that they are not complete fabrications, and yet they contain errors of detail,
some quite serious, which at least instil doubt as to their reliability.

Such problems as those outlined above presumably occur to historians of any period or event. The problems of assessing what is or is not historically valid in the reign of Richard III, where two of the Stanley poems are set, are perhaps especially severe. J.J. Bagley (1972, vol. i, p. 233) despairingly comments:

The Tudor historians ... obscured the truth so effectively that we can still only see Richard's reign through a glass darkly.

A. Hanham (1975, p. 191), on the other hand, prefers to see our difficulties as being 'due to the lack of fifteenth-century records'. She finds what writings there are unsatisfactory, grudgingly admitting (p. 194) that

The Crowland Chronicler ... has the best title to be considered a historian in the strictest sense, but even his account might more accurately be compared to a statesman's memoirs.

Of the City Chronicle, of Polydore Vergil, and of Sir Thomas More, she is less enthusiastic (p. 102): they 'ought all to bear the caveat, "Historians beware"'. The writers were almost entirely undiscriminating (p. 115), extremely gullible (believing, for example, the absurd notion promulgated by Rous that Richard had been retained in his mother's womb for two years and when he finally emerged had teeth and shoulder-length hair), and did not (p. 30) ask nearly enough questions.
There is, furthermore, the question of the reliability of eye-witnesses. Hanham (p. 105) is quick to point out this difficulty:

The idea that a person who lived at the time of the events that he reports is necessarily a reliable witness to them would not be entertained by any historian ... Conflicting rumours, misunderstood news, wishful thinking, and deliberate falsification may all play a part in distorting the record at any stage.

Further uncertainty derives from the fact that earlier historians do not consider it necessary to mention the sources of their information. Polydore Vergil tells us that much of his information came from eye-witnesses:

I betook myself to every man of age who was pointed out to me as having been formerly occupied in important and public affairs, and from all such I obtained information about events up to the year 1500.28

Unfortunately, however, he never tells us who that 'man of age' has been. Hanham (p.132, n.2) suggests that he might have discussed the reign of Richard III with Lord Stanley, but this is unlikely, as Henry VII did not commission Polydore Vergil to write his history until 1506,29 two years after Lord Stanley's death. (As Polydore Vergil had been in England since 1502 a meeting between him and Lord Stanley was possible, but it is unlikely that he would have deliberately 'obtained information'
from him so long before being commissioned.)

An example of the kind of difficulty that confronts the historian concerns, appropriately, Lord Stanley. Nearly all the chronicle accounts record that he had a meeting with Henry Tudor a day or two before the Battle of Bosworth (22 August 1485). Some sources suggest that it was a secret meeting owing to Lord Stanley's son still being kept hostage by Richard, but even so, they say, the meeting took place. And yet on 16th January 1486, Lord Stanley testified on oath before the Bishops of London and Worcester that he had known Henry since 24th August 1485, that is two days after the battle. Historians respond in opposite directions: S.B. Chrimes (1972, p. 45, n. 1) says:

It is inconceivable that Henry did not meet Lord Stanley until the second day after the battle, and it is preferable to suppose that Stanley's memory was consciously or unconsciously at fault.

A. Hanham (1975, p. 134), on the other hand, would rather trust Lord Stanley's sworn statement:

I suggest that it is perverse to prefer a chronicler's account, written from hearsay twenty-nine years later, to evidence given on oath before a Papal Commission only five months after the event, when the deponent had no discernible reason to lie.

Thus two historians take opposite sides on a matter of fact, with each argument seeming reasonable. Thus in one short
episode is illustrated Hanham's summary (p.102) of the problem facing historians of the reign:

Much of the fascination of the reign of Richard III derives from the need to make an individual decision about the relative weight to be allotted to one somewhat untrustworthy chronicler rather than another.

And yet, in spite of the difficulties, the effort must be made. The Stanley poems must somehow be judged for reliability by comparing their stories against those provided by the eight contemporary chronicles that we know of. 31

It is obviously important to find out as accurately as possible the date by which each of these Stanley poems was composed. If they were mid-sixteenth century, as has often been suggested (largely on the evidence of one word), then as source material for historians they will be valueless, being themselves works of history rather than of contemporary description. But there are indications that both Lady Bessiye and Bosworth Feilde are very early documents of the battle.
THE DATE OF Lady Bessiye:

All the manuscripts of Lady Bessiye are from periods considerably later than the events of the poem, the earliest being from around 1600 (see p. 20). It is usually acknowledged, however, that the poem was originally composed much earlier than the date of the earliest manuscript. This is especially likely to be so if, as has been suggested (p. 46), the poem's author was Humphrey Brereton.

Heywood (1829, p. v), the poem's first editor, assumes that 'the composition was probably co-eval with the events it describes.' Halliwell (1847, p. vii), the second editor, speaks of 'the antiquity of the poem'. Furnivall and Hales (1867-8, vol. iii, pp. 319-20), the most recent editors, suggest that 'the groundwork of the poem was probably laid early in the sixteenth century, or still earlier,' and defend their estimate with the accusation that the minute details of the poem can scarcely be attributed to the brilliant imagination of the writer. There are no signs apparent of any great talent of that kind. The style is that of a man who can relate soberly and steadily what he has seen. It is matter-of-fact, autoptic throughout.

Three commentators who have paid more than the usual scant attention to Lady Bessiye all give fairly early estimates of its date. A. Strickland (1843, vol. iv, p. 9) uses the word
'contemporary'; H. Nicolas (1830, p. lv) is 'prepared to concede' that the poem was 'written soon after the accession of Henry the Seventh'; J. Gairdner (1879, p. 401) allocates it to 'some time in the course of Henry VII's reign or perhaps the beginning of Henry VIII's'.

One of Nicolas's reasons (p. lv) is to do with the names the author uses to refer to his characters:

It was usual for early writers to allude to individuals by the designations borne by them at the time they wrote.

This seems to hold for the Stanley group of poems, where Lord Stanley is referred to as the Earl of Derby from the beginning of the poem, which deals with a time when he did not hold that title. (It was this that caused Nicolas to make his observation.) In Scotish Feilde Sir Edward Stanley is referred to throughout as Lord Monteagle, a title he did not get until after the battle. In Lady Bessiye James Stanley is called 'the Warden' even though he did not become Warden of Manchester until July 1485. If Nicolas is right, then the author must have known James Stanley as the Warden of Manchester, which would certainly put the poem before 1506, when he resigned his wardenship to become Bishop of Ely.

Secondly, there is the matter of subsequent deaths. If one of the heroes of a poem has died since the action of the poem, it would usually be mentioned: Scotish Feilde, for example, contains a short elegy for the Bishop of Ely as soon as he is mentioned in the poem. Lady Bessiye mentions the
death of Sir William Stanley, which would seem to place the poem after February 1495, the date of his death. But it does not mention the deaths of any of the other major contributors such as Lord George Strange (d. 1497), Elizabeth (d. 1503), or Lord Stanley (d. 1504). Nor, and this might be a puzzle, Sir John Savage (d. 1492).

A closer look at the stanza (lines 1312-5) in which Sir William's death is mentioned reveals that it is probably an interpolation. Its sudden bathetic effect at the moment of triumph is quite out of place and alters the tone considerably: the poem has been a paean of praise to Henry and the Lancastrian claim; Sir William's execution at Henry's behest caused, not surprisingly, ill-will between the Stanleys and the King, and the mention of William's death is therefore almost impossible as an 'original' part of the poem. The style of the stanza is also strange, being enigmatic and symbolist after the bludgeoningly straightforward style of the remainder. Any suggestion that the stanza is an ironic reversal is almost certainly ruled out by its position as the penultimate stanza of the poem: irony of that sort is, almost by definition, what comes early in the poem.

And if that stanza is, as it must surely be, a later interpolation, there are no other indications that the poem need be any later than January 1486, when the marriage took place. As the death of Sir John Savage is not mentioned, that would suggest that the poem was composed before 1492, and in view of the likelihood that the author was Humphrey Brereton,
it seems that Lady Bessiye should be regarded as very early indeed.

THE DATE OF Bosworth Feilde:
Like Lady Bessiye, Bosworth Feilde exists only in a late manuscript. The last line of the poem, a request for God's blessing, indicates that, at the time the manuscript was written, King James was on the throne of England; but, as Bishop Percy points out in a note on his manuscript (p. 443),

This poem was certainly written before the time of King James, but some transcriber applied the prayer to the reigning prince.

Furnivall and Hales in their edition (1867-8, vol iii, p. 233) concede that 'it may well belong to an earlier period.'

These estimates both seem to err on the side of caution, for, with the single exception of the word 'James' in the last line - nothing whatever to do with the events of the poem and almost certainly, as Percy suggested, a substitution - the poem has all the indications of having been composed very soon after the Battle of Bosworth by one who knew at first hand many of the details about which he was writing. The opening stanzas, indeed, are a hymn of welcome to the new King:

For we have cause to pray, both old and younge,
And say 'Welcome Henery, rightwise King.'

This theme of welcoming the new King is repeated several times as a sort of refrain.
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In the fifth stanza the author urges his audience to 'remember' the change that 'hath befall' - and the perfect tense suggests that the effects of the change are still with them - since 'the martyrdom of the holy King Henry' (Henry VI, d. 1471). Many men have died in the troubles since then, we are told, but now we are past all that, and can say, 'Welcome Henry'. This type of instruction must surely mean that the envisaged audience is at most one generation from 1471, and that Henry VII is a 'new' king.

Then the author includes himself (line 337) in the action at Bosworth:

Had we not need Jesu to pray?

and succeeding events appear to be narrated from an eye-witness point of view: he uses the word 'see' several times (lines 396, 415, 431, 435).

One of the most telling things is that he refers (line 488) to Richard III as 'our Kinge', but he also refers (e.g. line 400) to Henry as 'our Kinge', thereby suggesting that he lived in the time of Richard, but quickly identified himself with Henry when he arrived in England.

As in *Lady Bessie*, the heroes of the action are all presumed to be still alive by the fact that no deaths are recorded except of those who died in the battle. And the elegies of the two standard-bearers (lines 617-32) seem far too immediate and personal to have been composed decades later.

The end of the battle is signified (line 649) with the
line,

Now is this doubtfull day brought to an end,
which is hardly the language one would expect if the author were composing thirty or forty years after the event.

There seems hardly any reason to doubt that this poem may well be perhaps the earliest account of Bosworth that survives, and certainly a very early one.

If we accept these early dates for the composition of Lady Bessiye and Bosworth Feilde, there seems no reason why they should not be considered with other sources as accounts of the battle and the events leading to it. The fact is, however, that the majority of historians have either ignored them altogether or, after acknowledging their existence, denied their validity. The most common use these poems have been put to is to have the occasional one line quoted in support of some particular point the writer wishes to establish; but if a writer is prepared to allow that one line of the poem constitutes 'evidence', how can he justify ignoring its far greater contribution to the history of the campaign? J. Gairdner, for example (1897, p. 168-9 and 176), mentions Lady Bessiye twice, once to demonstrate that guns were used at Bosworth, and once to prove that a section of Henry's army was on the hillside. A. Makinson (1963, p. 248) quotes a stanza of Lady Bessiye to illustrate the death of Richard III, but in spite of all the poem's information about events leading up to Bosworth (the very subject of Makinson's article), he does not even mention what the poem has to offer. H. Nicolas (1830,
pp. liii-lvii) allows the poem more credibility than most historians do, but he refuses to believe that Richard could ever have wanted to marry Elizabeth, in spite of the fact that it is one of the main props in her argument to Lord Stanley as expressed in the poem. Indeed, Nicolas selects which parts of the poem to believe and which to reject on what seems an arbitrary basis.

The historians who take most notice of the poem are those with a particular interest in Elizabeth of York. A. Strickland (1843, vol. iv, p. 9) comments on the poem in detail, saying that we should pay attention 'cautiously' to what the poem says, while acknowledging that it is very valuable in the absence of regular information regarding the proceedings of Elizabeth from the time when she sat with Queen Anne royally attired at Westminster at Christmas 1484, till the death of Richard.

A. Plowden (1979, p. 16) summarises the poem, and suggests, vaguely, that 'it contains a number of authentic touches.' S. Tytler (1896, p. 153) refers to Elizabeth as 'Lady Bessy', but otherwise shows no familiarity with the poem at all. S. Wilmot (n.d. vol. i, pp. 192-3) records with some surprise that Elizabeth could read and write, 'an accomplishment which proved invaluable in her later years', which is probably a reference to her acting as Lord Stanley's scribe at the beginning of the plot. E. T. Cook (1926, pp. 140-1) mentions the poem, and summarises what it says about Elizabeth's part to
bring Henry over to England. N.L. Harvey (1973, p. 100), in her imaginative biography of Elizabeth, quotes the lines about the prophecy that Elizabeth would one day become Queen, but apart from that one brief mention, is silent about the poem. She informs us, however, that before going to Sheriff Hutton in 1485, Elizabeth went to stay with the Stanleys at Lathom, where she tried unsuccessfully to persuade Lord Stanley to join her revolt. This looks suspiciously like a paraphrase of the scenes at the start of *Lady Bessiye*, particularly as this information is provided on the same page of her book as the lines from the poem. It has, however, two obvious flaws: the meeting took place in London, and was not unsuccessful.

Of historians whose concern was mainly with the battle, W. Hutton (1788), the forefather of most later accounts, wrote before any of the Stanley poems had ever been printed. J. Nichols, however, includes among the additions forming the second edition of Hutton's work (1813, pp. 204-19), a printing of MS Harley 542 fol. 34, an account of the battle which is, as Hammond (1972, p. 16) has pointed out, simply a prose rendering of the poem *Bosworth Feilde*. Nichols offers no opinion as to the date of his addition, but the account (and therefore the poem) seems to contradict Hutton's assertion (p. 3) that 'This battle was never described by an eye-witness.' Hutton had also said, 'I am inclined to think that Richard had artillery, but this is not mentioned by any author.' Yet *Bosworth Feilde* (and MS Harley 542) clearly indicates (lines 487-96) not only that artillery was present, but also precisely how many and of what
P. Trollope's account of the battle does not mention any sources of information, but the suggestion (1870, p. 120) that while in France Henry received advice and money 'through the agency of Brereton' means that either he or one of his unnamed sources had some knowledge of Lady Bessye. Typical of the way these poems have been used by historians is that Trollope, while accepting as gospel that it was Brereton that went across to Brittany, tells us (p. 138) that it was Lord Ferrars of Chartley who secured the stay of execution on Lord Strange, information he may have borrowed from Hutton (pp. 92-4), who also refused to name his sources. Yet Lady Bessye says it was Sir William Harrington, a suggestion which Trollope totally ignores.

C.H. Firth (1908, pp. 26-7) gives a brief summary of the poem, and of its historical worth he has this to say:

Historians in general agree that it probably contains a certain number of true facts handed down by tradition, yet at the same time, owing to the scantiness of the other evidence about the conspiracy against Richard, it is impossible to determine exactly where the fact ends and the fiction begins.

A.L. Rowse (1967, pp. 253-5) also summarises the poem, quotes the lines Firth had quoted, and quotes Firth's assessment of the historical worth of the poem. A. Hanham (1975, p. 133), who bemoans the lack of fifteenth-century evidence, dismisses Lady Bessye as having 'very little resemblance to fact'. The fact
that her footnote refers to the summaries by Gairdner and Kingsford rather than to the poem itself is not encouraging. F. Espinasse (1874, p. 23, n. 2) says that the poem cannot be accepted as genuine autobiography or history, and beyond that it is at least partly apocryphal.

Although historical novelists are not normally regarded as legitimate historians, it is interesting that Margaret Campbell Barnes in her novel The Tudor Rose (1953, chapter 14) accepts most of the poem's information as true.

Perhaps the longest discussion of the poem occurs in an appendix to J. Gairdner's biography of Richard III (1879, pp. 401-19). It is again only a summary, with an introductory remark on its historical value:

Unfortunately it contains numerous anachronisms, besides some facts or circumstances which are certainly due to the writer's imagination ... There is, however, certainly a great deal of truth in the poem.

It is a pity that Gairdner does not list some of these 'numerous anachronisms'. The anachronisms of the names by which the characters are called has already been explained by Nicolas's observation (1830, p. 1v) that early writers use the designations with which they are familiar; the anachronism of the marriage taking place on the battlefield is excusable poetic licence; Richard's threat to kill his son Edward when
he was already dead (see note to line 151) seems anachronistic, but then, although Bessy, presumably talking early in 1485, says the offer was made 'not three days past', that must have been hearsay, as Brereton was not admitted to the first conversation between Bessy and Lord Stanley.

Nor does Gairdner list many of the 'facts and circumstances which are due to the writer's imagination': only Humphrey's being kissed by Elizabeth.

Comparison with other sources does, however (pace E.H.Carr) reveal that the author gets some things wrong:

1. Lady Margaret Beaufort is referred to (line 12) as a 'Duchess'. She was Countess of Richmond.

2. George Lord Strange is said (line 14) to be Lady Margaret's son, when he was Lord Stanley's son by his first wife, Eleanor nee Neville.

3. Richard is said (line 49) to have received deathbed instructions from his brother Edward IV. Other sources say Richard was in Yorkshire at the time of Edward's death and received these instructions via Lord Hastings.

4. The author seems unclear as to the names of Edward IV's sons: he refers to them (line 53) as the Duke of York and the Duke of Clarence, appearing to believe that the eldest son and heir to the throne was the Duke of York, who was in fact the younger son. The Duke of Clarence was Edward IV's and Richard III's brother.

5. The poet seems unaware (line 1118) that Brittany was not part of France.
6. In Lady Bessie Bessy was at Leicester while the battle was being fought; other sources say she was at Sheriff Hutton in Yorkshire.

7. The author lets George Lord Strange fall into Richard's hands while in London; other sources say in Nottingham.

8. Sir William Stanley marches from Stone to Bosworth on the day of the battle and then fights. (Maybe Atherstone?)

9. Lord Stanley is the first to join battle. This is very contentious, historians being divided as to whether Lord Stanley joined battle at all. They would certainly not think that he was first in.

Of these errors, none can be accounted to deliberate malicious falsehood. Only the presence of Elizabeth in Leicester to join in the celebrations at the end can definitely be called 'imaginative'. All other errors, none of which seems tremendously serious, can be put down to ignorance.

On the positive side, the poem accords with much that is recorded elsewhere, and the knowledge of the Stanley family and supporters is unimpeachable.

If we accept that the poem was an early and authentic account by Humphrey Brereton of events he was involved in, it seems there is every reason for further accepting that Lady Bessy did have a hand in devising the whole scheme with Lord Stanley. Brereton's journeys are described with such intricate detail that one could hardly suppose it was invention. We know that Lord Stanley was capable of being a double-agent; and we know that while Henry was in Brittany Elizabeth spent much of
her time with the Countess of Richmond, Henry's mother, who was also working towards her son's return.

The use historians have made of Bosworth Feilde has been much less, perhaps because the mention of James I in the last line has wrongly persuaded them that it is a late poem, although Furnivall and Hales, who have edited the only version of the poem, have tried to correct the impression that it is so late. Historians such as J. Gairdner (1897, pp. 168-9), who uses one line of Lady Bessiye, a poem he is not otherwise prepared to trust, to support his contention that there were guns at Bosworth, and ignores Bosworth Feilde, which gives precise details (lines 489 ff.) of the King's artillery, seem to miss an opportunity by so doing.

Trollope (1870, p. 134), in his rambling undocumented account of the battle, mentions in passing that there were bombards on the field, information which probably derives, directly or indirectly, from this poem. G. Temperley (1914, p. 22) quotes from the poem to show that Henry thought of himself as King before the battle, although there are several lines in the poem (e.g. line 64: 'when I am kinge') where it is clear he does not. Aside from this one erroneous quotation out of context, she completely ignores the poem, even though she calls it 'contemporary'.

In his study of memorials from the fifteenth century, W. E. Hampton (1979) makes regular use of MS Harley 542, but never refers to Bosworth Feilde, which is the source for the Harley version.
As an account of the events, *Bosworth Feilde* is more straightforward than *Lady Bessiye* which, as we have seen, provides a light on the events of early 1485 quite different from that provided by all other sources. *Bosworth Feilde*, being much shorter, and also less of a narrative and more of a eulogistic poem, has less room for divergent historical reporting. Nevertheless, there are one or two idiosyncracies:

1). The account of how George Lord Strange came to be a hostage is not as usually told: here Lord Stanley sets off for London but, being taken with the sweating sickness at Manchester, turns back and sends his son to London instead.

2). As in *Lady Bessiye*, Sir William's entry into battle is precipitated by a report that his brother is already fighting.

The main original contributions made by this poem are its lists of participants and artillery. For the artillery there is no other source, and for the men who fought for Richard III, this list of ninety-five participants is by far the fullest available. What the source of this list is, or how accurate it is, is hard to determine. The late P. Corbett, whose only published work is a small chart at the field centre at Bosworth, had been working for many years on the participants at Bosworth, their descents, and their heraldic devices, when he sadly died with his work all in note form. He had made tentative positive identifications of about two thirds of the names in either the *Bosworth Feilde* list or its copy in MS Harley 542. The fact that Corbett was able to identify so
many names on the list, many of them with independent corroboration of the fact that they fought at Bosworth, shows at least that the list is not a wild fabrication.

The poem also contributes a fairly detailed timetable and itinerary of the movements of the Stanley troops on the way to Bosworth. The following diagram represents the information suggested in the poem:

Sir Wm Stanley
leaves Holt Mon 15 Aug
arrives Stone Tue 16
goes to/from Stafford
to meet Henry Fri 19
goes to Lichfield Sat 20

Ld Stanley leaves
Lathom Mon 15 Aug
leaves Lichfield
Fri 19 Aug

Henry arrives Dale Aug 7
goes via Shrewsbury to
Stafford by Fri 19

KEY:
Sir Wm Stanley .......
Lord Stanley ---
Henry Tudor _____

The three armies
did not meet up
until the battle
The fullness and detail of the information given about men and marches in Bosworth Feilde suggests either a first-hand report or, at the least, a report from a reliable eye-witness. It has already been suggested (see pp. 50-52) that the author of the poem may have been a sort of herald in the service of the Stanleys, and details such as these are precisely the sort of information such a man would have been responsible for collecting and recording.

B.H. Bronson (1980, vol. ii, p. 71), writing about historical ballads, has this to say:

They may be based on a historical event, but the incidents related seldom correspond closely with verifiable fact, being distorted by bias, rumours, and hearsay. The report of a battle ... may be the reverse of the truth, as local partisanship and imperfect recollection may have worked together in the folk memory.

It is perhaps through fear that what Bronson says must be true of poems like Lady Bessiye and Bosworth Feilde that historians have almost universally rejected them as source material. I have tried to show that a). to class them as ballads and then make deductions from that classification is to ignore what the poems have to offer, b). they are among the earliest sources for the events leading up to and culminating in the Battle of Bosworth, and c). their authors are probably eye-witnesses to the events they describe. For these reasons, perhaps they should be among the first rather than the last works historians
of the Bosworth campaign should reach for.

The treatment by historians of the two Stanley poems connected with the Battle of Flodden (9th September 1513), is similar to that accorded to the two Bosworth poems: that is, they are ignored or rejected as reputable sources, but sometimes quoted in snatches to support minor points being made. Yet, as with the Bosworth poems, the case for their being regarded seriously is substantial.

THE DATE OF Scotish Feilde:

This poem was almost certainly composed not long after March 1515. Lines 283-94 of the poem are an elegy on the death - and the tone suggests the recent death - of James Stanley, Bishop of Ely, who died, as his stone in Manchester Cathedral records, 22nd March 1515. The twelve-line elegy occurs at a point in the poem where speed of action seems required, and it is the power of sadness still fresh in the author's mind that causes the unexpected delay.

The only possible reason for supposing that the poem was not composed so early consists in the repeated mention (lines 142 and 193) of a border raid led by a Scotish lord whose name is variously written as Mackesfeld (MS L) or Maxwell (MS P). The raid the poem is dealing with, called the 'Ill Roade', was led, according to every other source, by Lord Home.

There were some border raids in the late 1520s and the 1530s led by Lord Maxwell. Textual emendation by a later scribe from 'Home' to 'Maxwell' is very unlikely because...
letter in both lines is 'M'. The Lyme MS reading 'Mackesfeld' is likely, as in nearly every difference between the manuscripts, to be earlier, and 'Maxwell' as a corruption of Mackesfeld is fairly natural to a scribe who knew that Maxwell had made border raids; but that does not explain 'Mackesfeld'.

If the possibility of reading 'Maxwell' on the less reliable of the two manuscripts is the only reason for setting the date of composition later than 1515, the temptation should be resisted, for several reasons:

1). As in Lady Bessiéve, the negative inference to be drawn from the not mentioning deaths of major participants, particularly in view of the very strong mention of the death of the Bishop of Ely, suggests that we are looking at a date before 1521, when the 2nd Earl of Derby died. Lord Mounteagle died in 1523. Sir John Stanley died in 1530 and, given the author's clear personal warmth towards him it is inconceivable that the poem could have been composed after that date without mentioning that he is 'now' dead.

2). The style of the poem, being that unrhymed alliterative verse which formed what has become known as the Alliterative Revival, is a deliberate archaism any time after about 1450. Occasional poems in the style occur after that date, but none so late as Scotish Feilde. Even 1515 is a surprisingly late date; any time added on after that would promote surprise into near-miracle.

3). The immediate motive of the poem is not simply to narrate the events of the battle, nor even simply to lavish praise
on the Stanleys, but, as in Flodden Feilde, to clear the Stanleys' name from an accusation of cowardice that was made against them immediately after the battle. The need to exculpate the family from such an accusation would be pressing in the years immediately following the battle, but irrelevant twenty years later, and quite impossible after 1528, when the 3rd Earl of Derby married Dorothy Howard, thus healing (if it had not already been healed) the breach between the Stanleys and the Howards that these poems record.

For these reasons it seems that 1515 was the date of composition, and that 'Mackesfeld' or 'Maxwell' is an error.

THE DATE OF Flodden Feilde:

As with all these Stanley poems, the manuscripts of Flodden Feilde are late. Various erroneous reasons have led writers to place the poems far later than necessary. The first error is to assume, as A. Hume (1852-3, pp. 186-92) did, that because the last stanza of the poem requests a blessing on the queen, that the poem must have been composed between 1553 and 1603. But in fact only one of the three manuscripts reads 'prynces', the others 'prynce', and in any case it is almost certain that the same has happened as with the name 'James' in the last line of Bosworth Feilde: any scribe might well be expected, when copying the standard blessing on the monarch at the end of the poem, to adapt to his own circumstances at the time: we now sing 'God save the Queen' but the 'original' of
that line was 'God save the King'.

A second dating error also ensues from concentrating on only one manuscript. In the copy of the poem in the Percy Folio MS, which, in Furnivall and Hales' edition is by far the most likely one for a reader to come across, there is an extra 85-line section not in either of the other manuscripts, which describes a series of events which relate to the year 1544. Thus the poem is catalogued in the supplement to the Index of Middle English Verse (1967, p. 118) as 'post 1544'. But the 85-line section is clearly a late addition: other evidence sets the date of composition much earlier.

A third error also arises from not reading all the manuscripts and from assuming that a blessing-request is original. The last line of the poem asks for God's blessing either on the Earl of Derby or on the Earl's soul of Derby. This has led some writers like Ives (1970, p. 354) to assume that the poem was composed after 1521, the date of the Earl's death. But a later scribe might well alter the line, and, although the metre of the poem is very unreliable, the line is metrically far more regular without his soul.

Once those three errors are recognised as errors, there is nothing to stop this poem, like the others, being seen as a more or less contemporary account. As the poem is so hostile to the Howard family it must have been before the 1528 marriage. But also the poem is most probably before 1521, because in that date occurred the trial and execution of the Duke of Buckingham, and the Earl of Derby was one of the peers
who found him guilty. The tone of admiration and friendship between the two men in the poem is highly unlikely after such a rift.

One further indication of an early date is to be found by invoking once again H. Nicolas's dictum that writers always referred to men by the designations borne by those men at the time of writing. The Earl of Derby is several times in the poem called 'the King of Man'. Now the 2nd Earl was the one who, at some stage during his life (and unfortunately I have been unable to find exactly when) abandoned the title 'King' because, as J. Stanley (1735, p. 6) tells us, he 'preferred to be a great lord than a petty king'. (J. Stanley is not the most reliable writer; he tells us that the 2nd Earl (1504-21) gave up the title in the reign of Edward IV (d. 1483).) If Nicolas's dictum is right, the possibility is that the 'King' of Man was still known by that title soon after Flodden, but abandoned the title in the last years of his life, thus putting the likely date of composition as very soon after the battle. And, as with Scotish Feilde, the need for the Stanley family to be excused from the accusation of cowardice diminishes with each year that passes.

If these early dates for the poems are correct, they will be seen to stand, as with the Bosworth poems, among the earliest sources of the battle. The likely author of Scotish Feilde, a member of the family of Legh of Baguley, may well have been involved at Flodden himself: certainly there was a
Cheshire contingent of Stanley supporters which took the road northwards under the command of Sir Edward Stanley, and the author on several occasions uses the first person pronoun (e.g. lines 255, 316, 317, 327, 330, 379) while recounting the moves before and during the battle, though not, significantly, during the account of the border raid a month before the battle. This is what we would expect if he was a member of Sir Edward's contingent. The fact that in one line (92) the poet uses a first person pronoun during his description of what was happening in France is slightly disturbing, but can most easily be accounted for by seeing it as an example of the custom among historians at least since Julius Caesar of referring to the troops they support as 'we'. If the author was at either engagement it was certainly Flodden, and if he was not there, it is at least probable that some member or members of the Legh family were.

The poem deals with considerably more than just the Flodden campaign. Its first historical event is the Battle of Bosworth; it then mentions Henry VII, especially his 1492 invasion of France as a kind of prelude to the next event, Henry VIII's 1513 invasion of France. There is then a section correctly linking this French invasion with the Flodden campaign, showing how one was the cause of the other. Only after these events does the author begin to recount the events leading up to and including the battle.
It is convenient, and also, given the nature of the narrative, fairly logical, to divide the action of the poem into three main sections: the first being up to line 96, which contains all the material not directly related to Flodden; the second being lines 97-129, a link passage; and the third, lines 130-417, which deals with the Flodden campaign.

The first section also falls conveniently into three:

a). Bosworth (lines 5-36). This account of Bosworth, being approximately thirty years after the event, is obviously unimportant as a source. It does no more than indicate in the most general terms that Henry arrived in Wales, defeated Richard at the battle, and that Richard's body was taken to Leicester. It lists the four main Stanleyite contributors to Henry's victory as being the four who are given the glory in Lady Bessiye, which could be coincidence or could be continuing the literary tradition. Neither explanation indicates that it is not true. Three other names are also singled out for praise, one of whom, Sir John Biron of Clayton, is another local man, but the other two, Sir Edward Poynings and Sir James Blunt, are not; nor are they mentioned in Lady Bessiye or Bosworth Feilde.

b). Henry VII (lines 37-47). Most of these eleven lines are taken up explaining that Henry ruled for twenty-four years and then died. His 1492 invasion of France occupies three lines (40-2) and says no more than that he went there and they agreed to pay him 'tribute' and to call him 'lord'. This 'tribute' is
presumably the financial consideration contained in the Treaty of Etaples (3 November 1492) under the terms of which Charles VIII agreed to pay the arrears of the Treaty of Picquigny (1475) and to pay a further 620,000 crowns to defray the expenses of Henry's war-effort. The treaty also acknowledged that Henry was still officially King of France, which is presumably the explanation of the French calling him 'lord'.

c). Henry VIII in France, 1513 (lines 48-96). Being but a part of the prefatory material to the main matter, this campaign, like those of Bosworth and 1492, is not of such consuming interest to the author that he will want to treat it in much detail. It is vital that he mention the fact of the invasion for two reasons: it was at the least a primary cause of the whole Flodden campaign; and secondly the Earl of Derby was on that invasion, which explains why he was not at Flodden to lead his troops there.

A comparison of the account in this poem reveals that the author knew very little about the reasons for Henry's presence in France: he gives Henry blatantly imperialist motives for his invasion, as opposed to the highly complex Western European power struggle in which Italy, Venice, the Papal States, the Empire, the Spanish kingdoms, and England all combined to keep France in check. Henry's invasion was mainly in fulfilment of his obligation to this league of anti-French nations (known as the Holy League). But if Henry had any doubts about invading, the French attack on his navy lying off Brest (25th April 1513) spurred him into action.
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The details of the invasion are also erroneous. The English army was shipped to France in three divisions, the earliest in mid-May, the second in late May, and the third, under Henry himself, on 30th June. By the time this third contingent arrived at Therouanne on 4th August, the siege was already well under way. The challenge to Henry and his boast in taking up the challenge are a romantic fiction.

As with the Bosworth description, the poet mentions several men who took part in the campaign, including of course the 2nd Earl of Derby. As his predecessor was the main contributor to Henry's victory at Bosworth, we begin to see the poem's line of argument.

Within all this prefatory material, then, there is nothing of any historical value: its accounts are the merest summaries, and the reason for including them in the poem is not essentially as part of the historical background, but to provide a pleasing link (apparently) between the first and the second Great Stanley Occasions.

The Link Passage (lines 97-129).

The connection between the invasion of France and the Flodden campaign is real, but the author's version of it is over-simplified. Again this is hardly surprising, as he is unlikely to have had full cognisance of the negotiations between James IV of Scotland, Louis XII of France, and Henry VIII of England. He had probably heard - no doubt everyone had
- that the French had appealed to the Scots to invade England. He also knew that the French King's ambassador to Scotland was called de la Mothe. It seems, however, that from these two scraps of knowledge he concocted his story of bribes and challenges.

In fact, the last message de la Mothe is known to have delivered to James from Louis was written as early as 5th March 1513, over two months before the invasion began, although de la Mothe did return to France at the beginning of June, and died at Flodden, so he could possibly have brought a later message. In a letter written on 8th May Louis requested James to invade England as soon as the English army invaded France, but again that was before rather than, as the poet suggests, after Henry had invaded. James received one further letter from Louis written on 7th June, but this was delivered by Ogilvy, not de la Mothe. This letter has not survived, but may have been an appeal from Louis to James after the English had invaded. One contemporary account of the battle, Brian Tuke's letter from Tournai to Richard Pace, reports that Louis sent James a bribe of 25,000 crowns, but this would have been hearsay. Polydore Vergil (ed. 1950, p. 203 and 215) indicates that 'bribes and extortions' were used. In view of James' own letters, however, it seems that the decision to invade was his own, made out of enlightened self-interest, with his desire to help the French being a contributory, though not ultimately determining factor in his decision.
The Third Section: Flodden.

The poet's account of the Flodden campaign is a complex mixture of reporting - whether first- or second-hand -, invention, and propaganda. Soon after Henry VIII received James's last letter warning him of imminent invasion, the second week of August saw the Scots, under the leadership of Lord Home, embarking on a preliminary raid (the Ill Roade) into Northumberland. This raid was successfully repulsed by William Bulmer and John Heron with a comparatively small body of northern soldiers. If the author or his informer was, as has been suggested (pp. 97-8), among the Cheshire troops, he would have arrived on the scene much later than this initial foray, and he would have therefore only been able to hear about it. The rest of the narrative, once the Cheshire troops begin their march northward, is therefore the only section of the poem which can really be considered as a direct source for the battle, and even then there are large sections where he writes about, for example, the internal dealings within the Scots' army, for which his knowledge could not have been first-hand.

The author's task, as previously emphasised, is not only to recount the details of the battle, but to exculpate the Cheshire troops from the accusation of cowardice. In his letter Tuke specifically uses the word 'cowardice' when talking about the Cheshire troops, and the 'Trewe Encountre' (ed. 1870, p. 145) mentions Bold, Butler and Booth (all close friends of the 2nd Earl, as we can see from his mentioning them in Flodden Feilde) as men who fled. Hall (ed. 1809, pp. 557-8) adds the
names of Lawrens and Done. This cowardice must somehow be accounted for.

In Scotish Feilde there are two scapegoats, and, by casting the blame on them, the author creates the impression that the cowardice could have been avoided. The easiest scapegoat is the leader of the English right wing, Edmund Howard. The Howards and the Stanleys had not been friends since the Wars of the Roses. It was thought that Sir William Stanley had killed the Duke of Norfolk, as reported twice in Flodden Feilde (lines 142, 413). The author does not attack Edmund Howard for his cowardice; indeed, other sources report that he fought valiantly, being three times knocked down. But he blames Edmund's father, the Earl of Surrey, for putting Edmund in charge of a group of men who were only used to fighting under Stanley leaders. The implication has positive attractions, for it suggests that, if a Stanley had been in command, the men would, as usual, have fought gallantly.

The second scapegoat is Lord Dacres, who was in charge of the cavalry, which was stationed behind Sir Edmund's forces on the right of the English army. The author tells us that it was Dacres who led the retreat, and that the men in front of him, realising that all their support had gone, turned and ran after them. This accusation, when other sources are followed, is only half true. That the cavalry did retreat early in the battle is reported by Lord Dacres himself in a letter to the King: 42
At the battle his men not being strong enough to be a wing to my Lord Treasurer (Surrey), he assigned him Bamborough and Tynemouth, but they fled at the first shot of the Scottish guns, as my Lord Admiral can report.

Lord Dacres himself, however, with what remained of his own borderers, rallied to the defence of the few men who stayed on with Edmund Howard, and saved the day for the English right wing, as is reported by all sources except Hall.

During the account of Lord Home's preliminary raid, the author has prepared the ground for Dacres' later defection by suggesting that when the Scots first encroached into England, the English appealed to Lord Dacres, but that he refused to come and help them. Whether this is true or not is not recorded elsewhere, but it achieves its purpose as far as the Stanley author and audience are concerned.

Of the remainder of the battle, it is convenient to see the author's narrative as being roughly what one would expect from a man who saw little bits of action here and there and was attempting to fuse everything he had seen or heard into one complete narrative. He writes at more length and in more detail when he (or his informer) was directly involved or when the Stanley troops are concerned in the action, both circumstances usually, of course, occurring together. When he knows less, he writes less, or, as in the case of King James's deliberations with his herald just before the final onslaught,
invents. He knows, although he was not present, that James had captured Norham Castle; he might not have known that he had also captured three smaller castles of Wark, Etal and Ford - or he might have deliberately abridged the account because these details did not accord with his purpose.

The mustering of the English army is of interest to the author because the Stanley troops are involved. The Lancashire and Cheshire contingents all met up, he tells us, at Skipton in Craven, before embarking on the long march northwards. This might possibly have elicited a frisson of nostalgic pleasure from the Stanley audience, for Sir William Stanley, one of the heroes of Bosworth, who had later been executed at Henry VII's command, had previously been the owner of this land. As the troops spread out across the land (line 236),

"fowrtene thousand egill feete feteled in araye must have gladdened the audience's hearts.

The army then marched to Bolton in Glendale, near Alnwick in Northumberland, where Surrey made his mistake of putting his son in charge of the Stanley troops. As for the rest of the English army, the author mostly agrees with the 'Trewe Encounter' and Hall concerning which section people served in, although as those two sources do not always agree with each other, there can be no certainty who is 'right'. The author appears to know less about where people were stationed on the left of the English army, with Lord Clifford, Lord Lumley and Sir William Bulmer being placed differently from the
other sources. 43

The poet records the lack of water and the murmurings of impatience among the men, which also occur in other sources. He informs us that the Scots had taken up such a strong position against any army approaching from the south that it would have been foolish to attack them. The delay at Wooler Haugh is reported, though the author possibly did not know that Surrey was negotiating through heralds with James, trying to arrange a time and place for the battle. All this is reported in the 'Trewe Encountre' (ed. 1870, pp. 557-8) and in Hall (ed. 1809, pp. 558-60). The night before the battle they moved to Barmoor (incorrectly called 'Barwicke' by the author). From the English camp at Barmoor, the army marched, on the morning of the battle, northwards past the Scots on Flodden Edge, and appeared to be heading into Scotland. James, sensing danger, burnt his camp and set off northwards down the hill, at which the English wheeled left and pushed back towards the Scots. Thus the battle actually took place with the English heading south and the Scots north, which may well be a cause of the fact that several mistakes have been made by writers both early and late in the matter of 'left' and 'right': the author of Scotish Feilde, for example, makes such a mistake in line 266, but he is not alone in such an error.

As the two front lines came towards each other, the Scots would have been, as the author records, 'moving over the mountains' (line 318). There follows the report that the battle started, and that the Cheshire men fled - following Lord
Dacres. A list of local Lancashire and Cheshire men killed in the battle is provided, all of which names are also recorded in other sources. Then there is a final scrimmage in which the Scots' King is killed, according to the author by one of James Stanley's Ely men, and the battle ends.

One slightly surprising omission from the *Scotish Feilde* account of the battle is the crucial part played by Sir Edward Stanley and the English left wing. According to the other sources, Sir Edward's flanking manoeuvre round the outside and then up behind the Scots' right wing was the turning-point of the battle. Certainly King Henry thought so, for he raised Sir Edward to Lord Mounteagle, and wrote him (and the leader of the Middleton archers) special letters of commendation. The reason for omitting any account of this part of the action may, of course, be ignorance: if, as has been suggested, our source was on the right, how should he know what was going on on the left? But the poem was composed over eighteen months after the battle, and surely by then he would have found out what had happened. If ignorance is not the reason, tact may be: as far as the 2nd Earl of Derby was concerned, Sir Edward was *persona non grata* for most of the time between Flodden and the Earl's death in 1521, and the Earl's will gives a slightly enigmatic manifestation of the unpleasantness between the two men:

> And whereas I the said Erle have made an estate of the Castell and demaynes of Hornby to myne uncle Sir Edward Stanley Lord Mountegle in hope of his favour
towards me, which estate was made upon certain conditions and entents, now the said conditions being broken, I will that my said unkle have no profit by the said deed.

If the poem were to be recited before a Stanley audience, perhaps Sir Edward's contribution would have to be played down.

It cannot finally be said that Scotish Feilde makes any significant contribution to our knowledge of the Battle of Flodden, but as another contemporary account of the proceedings it can be seen as a document supporting, for the most part, what the other contemporary accounts have to say.

The poem Flodden Feilde, on the other hand, like Lady Bessiye, is an account of an action nowhere else reported, and, as with other Stanley poems, its contribution has been largely ignored by historians, with the exception of E. Ives (1970, p. 355), who accepts that 'in large measure the facts of the poem are correct.' And yet, like Lady Bessiye, it suggests enough familiarity with the surrounding details of what is happening for us not to reject out of hand what it has to offer.

Like Scotish Feilde, the poem has the propagandist purpose of exculpating the Stanley troops from the accusations of cowardice at Flodden, but its method is very different. The scene is set outside the French town of Tournai, which the English went on to besiege after Therouanne had capitulated as a result of the Battle of the Spurs on 15th August. The plot
hinges on the two letters Henry received, one saying that Lancashire and Cheshire had been cowards, one saying they had been heroes. It is a fact that Henry did receive two reports of the battle: in his own letter of 16th September to Maximilian, he writes of how

Surrey's first letters were forwarded by the Queen to Tournai and as yet no further details have reached the King. P.S. After writing the foregoing has received sure intelligence that the King of Scots perished in the battle.

This 'sure intelligence' may well have been the 'paludamentum' or cloak of King James, which was sent to the King as a token of James' death. The arrival of a second, more detailed account of the battle together with this 'paludamentum' is recorded in the letters of Tuke from Tournai, and of Spinelly from nearby Lisle. That the Queen sent on Surrey's first letter but not the 'paludamentum' is clear from her letter to Henry in which she enclosed Surrey's 'first' account to her.

What emerges from the above letters is that Henry did get two reports of the battle, but both of them reached him through the Queen. In the poem, however, only the second message comes from her, the first coming directly from Surrey himself. Although the surviving letters give no indication that yet a third message arrived (which would have in fact been the first received), it is more than likely that there was one.

For Surrey not to have sent directly to the King after the battle would have been undiplomatic, and it is reported in
Scotish Feilde (line 405-9) that he did send such a message. The two letters in Flodden Feilde would therefore seem to be this first letter, sent directly from Flodden via Surrey's own messenger; and Surrey's first, non-detailed report, with no 'paludamentum', sent on by the Queen. Surrey's messenger was the man asked by Henry who had fought well, and he may well have answered as he had seen things, which gave rise to the rumours of cowardice throughout the camp. Certainly Tuke's letter suggests that the first letter gave the idea of cowardice.49

Much of the framework of Flodden Feilde, then, accords with facts found elsewhere. Of the series of events within the body of the poem, the Earl's lament contains exactly the names of people and places we would expect; and for the Garsyde incident, the only corroboration is in the names of the persons mentioned, who certainly were at Tournai.50 Even the name 'Gartside' is not an invention.

Of the historical contribution of Flodden Feilde, then, one can say that what can be measured against other accounts is all in agreement, and that the central story may be true, although probably exaggerated for effect. Certainly the King's offer to Derby that he can pass sentence on the Earl of Surrey seems a bit unlikely.

Hall's report (ed. 1809, p. 564) of what happened at Tournai is interesting:

The Kynge had a secrete letter that the Cheshyre men fledde from Syr Edmond Hawarde, whyche letter caused
greate harte burning and many woordes, but the Kynge thankfully accepted al thynge, and would no man to be dispraysed.

If Hall found this out independently, it supports the story in the poem. It is, however, possible that Hall, unlike most historians, had read *Flodden Feilde* and taken seriously what it had to say.

One other historian who has read more than one of these poems agrees that they are unjustly neglected. A. Hume (1852-3, p. 192) thinks that the writers who have handed down to us such glowing and minute accounts of these events deserve a more enduring record than either history or tradition has preserved.
EDITORIAL PRINCIPLES
EDITORIAL PRINCIPLES

There is no manuscript version of any of these four poems that was written within fifty years of the presumed dates of composition (see p. 20). The scribes who wrote the surviving manuscripts (and also, if the printed versions are anything like representative, the scribe who wrote the lost MS B) suffered in varying degrees from the diseases which have always affected scribes, such as carelessness, lack of concentration, copying without thinking, lack of revision; but also from that equally crippling affliction, intelligence, the enthusiasm to illuminate that which is dark. Add to this the possibility emphasised by Kingsford (1913, p. 252) that some of these poems have also experienced the effects of being transmitted orally for the early part of their existence, and it becomes apparent that the texts as we have them could be but poor reflections of what their original authors intended: bits are unaccountably missing (e.g. MS L lines 255-77, MS B at line 957); bits are repeated apparently out of context (in Bosworth-Feilde (MS P), lines 29-32 = 37-40, 89-90 = 105-6, 174-6 = 182-4); bits have been lost (e.g. MS L lines 1-27); bits have been eroded by cuts and tears (MS P is constantly suffering from Percy's 'ignorant bookbinder' and from lateral folding); words which make no sense have been written down (e.g. 'liart', MS B line 875); obscure words which make good sense and fit rhyme or rhythm have been changed to more recognisable ones which do not (the scribe of MS P is particularly prone to this, as D.C.Fowler (1968, p. 118) has
shown); words have been added or subtracted which spoil any chance of metrical regularity, and in *Scotish Feilde*, especially in MS P, word-order has been changed resulting in the destruction of the traditional rhythm of the alliterative long line; whole sections have been added (e.g. the 44-line section immediately before the last stanza of *Flodden Feilde* in MS P, and probably the penultimate stanza of *Lady Bessiye*); proper names have been altered either from right to wrong or vice-versa (especially in MSS H and S of *Flodden Feilde*, where changes occur like Bidston/Beeston, Seton/Fitton).

From this multiplicity of confusions four texts must emerge. It would be a great boon to editors if there were a text-book detailing exactly how to proceed when editing Middle English Texts; but far from there being a simple set of agreed rules to follow, there are serious disagreements among those who have tried as to how it should be done. There are almost as many methods as there are editors, but Ann Hudson (1977, pp. 39-41) identifies three main strategies which have been advocated and practised:

a). those where a chosen scribe's text is regarded as almost sacrosanct, even as far as making line-divisions (in prose texts) at identical places;

b). those where an editor chooses what, for a stated reason, he considers the best available text, "which he prints with necessary emendations and to which other variants are subordinated" (p. 40). (R. Hanna (1987, p. 87) describes this process clearly: "He chooses one copy of the work as
qualitatively superior to all others, and follows that copy's readings with greater or less pertinacity." This "greater or less" adequately reflects the wide differences between what editors will and will not emend, yet also reflects that such editors are all in the same area of the park.)

c). the method adopted by such as Kane (1960), where at each point of variance he selects the reading most likely to have given rise to the other variants.

L. Patterson (1985, p. 55) distinguishes between what are often regarded as 'external', 'objective' readings, i.e. those provided by a manuscript source, and 'internal', 'subjective' readings, i.e. those an editor postulates as correct; but (p. 66) he rejects the distinction, claiming that "Neither internal nor external evidence is more objective than the other." He feels, therefore (p. 88), that a text which is "based on a single manuscript" is "incompletely edited". D. Brewer also argues for an editor's right to "Be bold!" on the grounds that (1982, p. 123) "the modern editor (is) in a position vastly superior to any scribe to reconstruct the author's original text."

The idea that one can, or should even try to, recover what Brewer calls "the author's original text" is not always approved of: D. A. Pearsall (1985, p. 100) is against "trying to find the perfect original text", preferring to see texts as shifting with each new rendering of them, often in ways which (p. 95) "might have been preferred by the poet himself had he thought of them". R. Hanna, while prepared to try, warns us
(1987, p. 90) that "the best text" is not the same as "the perfect text", preferring to emphasise (p. 88) "the best text which survives". D. Embree and E. Urquhart (1987, p. 52) also question whether it is really an editor's duty to "detect inferior readings and recover the original" in view of poets' known propensity to revise.

One of the deciding factors in choosing what to do, as Hanna (1987, p. 87) and Hudson (1977, p. 39) recognise, is the projected audience. Hudson (p. 41) acknowledges that, for an audience interested in content (rather than, say, philology), the second of her three identified methods is the best. Brewer, who thinks that in general it is wrong "to print a good manuscript with the minimum of emendation", nevertheless admits (1982, p. 123) that "if there are only one or two manuscripts, that might be the right thing to do."

As these Stanley poems are perhaps of more interest for their content, and as there are only a very small number of manuscripts to choose from, my aim with each poem will be to select a manuscript as a base-text, and to emend it in the case of obvious scribal error. Where alternative readings are available, they will appear in the apparatus, and discussions of the discrepancies will frequently appear in the commentaries. In addition, I have on a very few occasions chosen to provide a reading from another manuscript where although the base-text makes sense, the variant provides what seems a clearer insight into the poet's thought. In *Scotish Feildeline* 234, for example, the base-text informs us that on
their shields the Stanley soldiers had "there crowns ... of gold". It makes sense, but the variant reading "three crowns" provides the extra historical information that the Stanley crest had that number of crowns on it. Likewise, the more precise (and alliterating) variant reading "Nevills" (line 286) is in every way a more accurate and "helpful" reading than the base-text's unspecific and unalliterating "Duke". There are, perhaps, a dozen such emendations only, each one clearly indicated as an emendation and justified in the commentary.

It is very difficult to know at what point to stop emending. If the attempt were to be made to reconstruct the original in its entirety, one would be forced to reconsider rhythm, rhyme, and alliteration as well as orthography, meaning and sense, and here, as even Kane (1960, p. 158) has pointed out, one would be tempted to "correct" on the assumption that the poet obeyed all the rules, which would be an unjustifiable assumption. My text, then, will offer some possible routes towards the original while remaining more or less steadily on the safer highway of the scribe's version.

The problem arises, then, of which manuscripts to select. As MS P contains versions of all four poems, it was initially tempting to use that for all; but in fact where there is a choice of manuscripts (i.e. in all except Bosworth Feilde), MS P is never the best. The manuscripts chosen are as follows:

The three manuscripts of Lady Bessyve represent not one poem but two completely different poems which tell a
similar story. While MSS H and P are almost identical, MS B is only just recognisable as being of 'the same' poem. Approximately the same story is told, but the language is entirely different and the version in MS B is 230 lines longer than that in MSS H and P. It would be even more accurate to suggest that the three manuscripts represent one and a half versions of the poem, for up to line B438/HP244 there is hardly any linguistic similarity, and the narratives, though superficially dealing with the same plot, vary enormously in their treatment of it. After that line, however, the two versions become considerably more alike, and there is a considerable amount of linguistic similarity, though still one version has 836 lines and the other 874. However this split occurred, and C.L. Kingsford (1913, p. 252) believes it is due to oral transmission, we are left with two versions and three manuscripts to choose between. It is a fair assumption that the longer version is likely to be nearer the original, because if some part is, as Kingsford suggested, being re-created from memory, omission of some details is more likely than substantial addition. This is borne out by an examination of the variations in the versions of this poem, where the narrative of MS B is (with the exception of the missing lines at line 957) quite comprehensibly continuous and coherent, while that in MSS H and P misses sections of narrative out, resulting in awkward leaps and unexplained occurrences.

Against this is the fact that MS B is heavily 'modernised' by its seventeenth-century scribe, while MS H,
being far earlier and by a careful scribe, is linguistically far more authentic. As the emphasis in this thesis is on the literary and socio-historical more than on the philological significance of these poems, MS B, which tells the story most completely, must be preferred. Unfortunately, the MS is now lost, so the text must perforce be an edition of that provided by Heywood.

There is only one manuscript of Bosworth Feilde, MS P. Of Scotish Feilde the version in MS P is later than that in MS L, but is copied from a different source, because lines 255-77 are missing in MS L. MS L is also missing lines 1-27, the first strip of the MS having been torn off. In spite of its lack of completeness, however, there are several reasons for considering MS L as the better of the two manuscripts:

1. It is considerably older.
2. Its continued connection with the family of the probable author (see pp. 41-46) lends it authenticity.
3. The scribe of MS P is known to be a liberal emender, as has been shown by D.C. Fowler (1968, p. 118).
4. The vocabulary in MS L appears more deliberately archaic than that in MS P; it looks as though the scribe of MS P has tried to modernise or explain words he might not recognise, either by synonyms (e.g. 'held' for 'kidde' (line 29), 'hied' for 'piked' (line 100)) or by homoeographs (e.g. 'traine' for 'tene' (line 53), 'lordes' for 'leedes' (line 65)).
5. The alliteration in MS L is more regular than that in MS P,
with the normal pattern of a-a-a-x [a = stressed syllable alliterating; x = stressed syllable not alliterating] occurring more frequently. This is a side-effect of MS P's alterations. The alliteration in MS L rarely goes 'excessive', such as a-a-a-a, or even a-a-a-a-a, whereas these can be seen in MS P (e.g. line 44).

6. The word-order in MS L nearly always produces rhythmical patterns of the kind that K. Luick (1888), after his exhaustive study of alliterative rhythms, accepts as typical of the genre, while in MS P, with its alterations, there are many patterns which the alliterative poets avoided, which Luick names 'verkurzte vers' (e.g. lines 67, 93, 114, 158, 159).

The fact that the last two points tend towards circularity (This is what we would expect if the poet knew what he was doing, therefore it must be right) is acknowledged, but when added to other reasons, can reasonably be adduced in support of MS L.

In favour of MS P is its relative completeness (although there are also some lines in MS L which are absent in MS P, such as 32, 110-112), and a very small number of lines where the reading in MS P is simply preferable. But in the belief that what remains of MS L is a better representation of what the author intended than MS P, and attracted by its continued connection with that author's family, I have elected to use MS L where it is available, and to provide its missing lines from MS P.
It seems probable that MS S of Flodden Feilde was copied from MS H. In lines 325-6, MS H reads

Then I bad the bowe down his face
And geder up the bowe and brynge it to his Kynge.

The gap between 'the' and 'bowe' is filled, by the same hand but at a later time, with the word 'Scott', which completes the sense, and which is supported by MS P, the third manuscript, which comes from an independent source. At this point MS S reads

Then I layd the bowe on his face
And bade him gather upe the bowe and bring it to his king.

What has almost certainly happened is that the scribe of MS S misread 'bad' as 'laid' - a very plausible error - and then completed line 325 as well as he could; then he recognised that line 326 lacked a verb of speaking, so he added 'bade him', thus making the line far too long metrically, even for the irregular metre of this poem: it was so long that it over-ran, and was the only line to over-run, the ruled margin of his page. This sequence is only possible if the manuscript from which the scribe of MS S was copying was missing the word 'Scott', thus causing confusion between 'bowe' (verb) and 'bowe' (noun). And MS H has just such an omission.

Secondly, in MS H many of the proper nouns were originally written 'wrongly'. The scribe of MS S knew the correct forms of names like 'Birkenhead' (not 'Byrkhead'), 'Fitton of Gawseworth' (not 'Seton'), 'Fitzwater' (not 'Fighwater'), 'Ryce ap' (not 'up') 'Thomas', and these names
are all correct in MS S. In MS H, they are all written wrongly, but later corrected to exactly the forms of MS S. This is very possibly what would happen if the scribe of MS S were copying from MS H, because on the return of the manuscript, the 'errors' would be pointed out and corrected. The authenticity (that is, the earlier authority for the 'wrong' names) is shown by the fact that MS P, from an independent source, also has the names 'wrong'.

Several other factors support the contention that MS S is later. It often 'corrects' or modernises forms, such as 'came' for 'come' (line 284), which in MS H are older dialect forms. He corrects words he does not recognise either by synonyms (e.g. 'angerly' for 'tenyslye' (line 88)), or by homoeographs (e.g. 'marshallynge' for 'manratten' (line 391)). MS S, then is not considered as the base-text.

MS P is about 150 years later than MS H, and not copied from it, as can be deduced from the existence in MS P of the extra 85 lines immediately before the last stanza: these lines refer to an event from the year 1544, and are neither part of the poem as originally composed, nor an invention of the Percy scribe; they must therefore have been added at some time and yet not reached MS H.

In its forms, as is to be expected from a manuscript so much older, MS H retains a more original vocabulary and syntax. The scribe of MS P also tried for a few lines at the start of the poem to impose a regularly alternating tetrameter/trimeter rhythm on the lines, where MS H remains
doggedly near-tetrameter all through. MS P also corrects old past tenses such as 'bare', 'speake', 'bend' to the more recognisable 'bore', 'spoke', 'bent'; and MS H retains many older inflected endings, such as '-st' for the second person singular and '-eth' for the third person singular, while MS P usually modernises them. In the verb 'to be' MS H prefers the old third person plural 'bene', using 'are' only twice, while MS P always uses 'are' or 'be'. In pronouns, MS H nearly always uses 'ye', which MS P never does. The vocabulary of MS P is considerably modernised: 'radly' becomes 'readilye', 'bren' 'burne', 'schunte' 'shrink' or 'shun', 'leaver' 'rather', and many more. The formulae, or alliterative phrases, are sometimes needlessly altered in MS P (e.g. lines 20, 154, 168).

Against these, the number of instances where MS P seems superior to MS H are very few, amounting to three or four places where the scribe of MS H has written a word carelessly, such as 'your' for 'you' (line 56) or 'deed' for 'dyed' (line 93). There seems no possible reason to doubt the absolute superiority of MS H, and so this edition will be of that manuscript.

For the sake of legibility, the texts will be presented in Roman type except where alteration is made from the base-text, which means, for example, that in Scotish Feilde lines 1-27 and 255-77 will be in Roman type even though they are from MS P, as MS P is the base-text for those lines.

Emendations from other manuscripts will be underlined;
emendations without manuscript support will be in square
brackets. All substantive (as opposed to spelling) variants
from Scottish Feilde and Flodden Feilde will appear at the foot
of the page. Of Bosworth Feilde there are no variants, there
being only one manuscript.

The only way to reproduce the variants in Lady Bessiye
would be, as Halliwell did in his edition (1847), to print out
both versions of the poem, but this is an unnecessary extra.
Where MSS H or P have significant contributions, they will be
mentioned in footnotes.

To make the text as readable as possible, in matters
of orthography I have made the appearance completely modern:
all abbreviations (of which there are many in the manuscripts)
have been silently expanded; the letters 'v' and 'u', which
are reversed in some but not all the manuscripts, have been
'normalised' to their modern uses; some of the scribes use two
'f's at the beginning of a word to indicate 'F', and that too
has been silently changed.

The scribes had irregular, inconsistent, and often
inexplicable habits with regard to capital letters, some of
which I am convinced are matters of handwriting rather than of
policy: the scribe of MS L, for example, writes 'R' rather
than 'r' whenever the letter 'r' begins a word, so that one can
only deduce that he simply writes 'r' as 'R' in those
circumstances. To reproduce it as 'R' would be false to his
intentions. Likewise the scribe of MS P appears to begin
proper names with capital letters unless the letter is 'W'.
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when he appears to write 'w', and again, to reproduce, as Furnivall and Hales have done in their edition, 'sir william warcop' (Scotish Feilde line 342 in their numbering) is almost certainly false to that scribe's intentions, however accurately it may represent what is actually written. Some scribes begin each line of verse with a capital letter; others do not. In this edition, all capitalisation is in accordance with modern practice.

Punctuation, of course, is hardly in evidence on any of the manuscripts, and is entirely editorial. This is not simply a matter of dropping in the occasional comma or fullstop and putting inverted commas round speech: decisions on where punctuation should go will radically alter the sense of the narrative, especially in Scotish Feilde, where the syntax and word-order are so unlike modern syntax and word-order that it is often hard to recognise exactly where the stops should go (see, for example, note to lines 16-18). Nor is it always obvious whether direct speech has been entered (e.g. Lady Bessiye lines 476, 1093-4) and if so, who is speaking (lines 677-80, 835-6).

Lineation is another area where modern practice has been adopted. In the three rhyming poems, some scribes (e.g. S and H) have clearly recognised and reproduced stanzaic patterns, while others (e.g. B and sometimes P) have not. I have assumed, unlike its previous editors, that Bosworth Feilde is, in an eight-line stanza rather than a four-line stanza, for two reasons: first, the verbal repetition which occurs every
eighty-two eight-line stanzas thus identified, fifty-nine end with the word 'king' and eighteen with the word 'crowne', and within those broad groups are many further patterns. Secondly, the rhyme pattern, which at first sight seems to be a simple a-b-a-b, is actually a far more sophisticated eight-line pattern a-b-a-b-c-b-c. This pattern occurs in seventy-three out of eighty-two stanzas, with another five looking as though they want to be like that but have gone wrong somewhere, leading to patterns like a-b-a-b-a-c-b-c or a-b-c-b-b-d-b-d; thus leaving only four in the apparently unlinked a-b-a-b-c-d-c-d. These figures seem more than satisfactory to establish the case. (Some hand on the manuscript has evidently recognised this pattern and tried to number the eight-line stanzas, but has gone wrong at 5, 44-47 (understandably, because the eight-line verbal repetition inexplicably goes 'wrong' in that section), 57, 63 and 78.)

In Flodden Feilde, on the other hand, two scribes, H and S, set the poem out in eight-line stanzas, whereas the linguistic and metrical evidence would suggest that the poem is in a basic four-line stanza with the rhyme scheme about 60/40 in favour of a-b-c-b over a-b-a-b. Even fours are far from perfect, the rhyme-pattern of fours being maintained only by allowing an occasional six. In this edition, that is how Flodden Feilde will appear.

Lady Bessiye's earliest editors, Heywood (1829) and Halliwell (1847), printed the poem with no stanza divisions at all, but Furnivall and Hales (1867-8), who had only MS P,
printed it in four-line stanzas. MS B is also quite clearly in a four-line stanza pattern, with the rhyme scheme being mostly a-b-a-b. By looking at those stanzas that do not fit that pattern, one soon recognises that there are more sounds allowed as rhymes than a twentieth-century pronunciation would allow: the sounds as in 'try', 'tray', and 'tree' are frequently rhymed, so much so that the spelling is sometimes altered by a scribe to make the rhyme clear. Thus the past tense of the verb 'lie' is variously presented as 'lay', 'lee', or 'lye'; the frequent word 'Stanley' also rhymes either with 'tree' sounds or 'tray' sounds. This adds fifty-five to the number of a-b-a-b stanzas. Thirty-two more can be included by recognising that the author counts 'o' + any nasal sound as a rhyme (home, done, renowne, boone, son, ground, procession, towne, London, Richmond, Stone, upon), and that such sound-groups as -air -er -eer -oor; -en -ain; -ed -eed; -ast -est -ost; are also counted. An admittedly generous allowance of near-rhymes brings the figure to 299 stanzas a-b-a-b, 29 a-b-c-b, and one a-b-c-c. The four-line stanza goes wrong at line 957, where there are some lines missing, and at line 1219, where an extra line appears.

I therefore print Lady Bessiye and Flodden Feilde in four-line stanzas, Bosworth Feilde in eight-line stanzas.

Scotish Feilde is a poem in a completely different metre, the old unrhymed alliterative long line seen in the poems of the Alliterative Revival. The scribe of MS L, however, and J. Robson (1856), its editor, provide texts where
the long line is split into two. The scribe of MS P presents it 'correctly', and I have reproduced long lines with the caesura in each line marked by a short gap. There are, of course, no stanza divisions.

In the apparatus, if the variant is a simple and obvious one-word exchange, just the word is given. If there is any doubt, the words before the ] make clear where the alternative is available.
The main argument of this thesis is that these poems, and others like them, were originally composed to be read aloud. There is nothing surprising in this: as Ruth Crosby (1936) has demonstrated, most early poems were. That these Stanley poems should contain some of the more obvious features of orally delivered verse need not surprise us; indeed, the lack of them would be more surprising. Thus the fact that the authors describe their activity with words like 'say' (L761, 1089, 1303; B137, 385; S2, 419), 'tell' (L5, 926, 1085, 1300; B344, 485; S279; F3), 'talke' (L1116, B74, F1), 'min' or 'minge' (B454; S35), and 'carpe' (S5, 98); and their recipients' activity with words like 'listen' (L4), 'hear' (B223, 447, 487; S151, 192, 424) and 'hearken' (B343, 424), is what we would expect. Similarly, the fact that each poem asks for God's blessing on either its listeners or the reigning monarch or both at either the beginning or the end or both, is to be expected (see opening and closing lines of all poems except Flodden Feilde, where there is no request at the beginning). R. Crosby also identified the announcement of a pause as a sign of oral delivery, the assumption being there would now be a short interval. In Scotish Feilde this almost occurs twice (lines 151, 192), but in fact this poem is probably not long enough to require breaks in the telling, so this may be simply a narrative device.
In view of the fact that all these poems have at times been called 'ballads', one should also consider whether or not their performance was in any way musical, for descriptions of recitals in earlier poems occasionally refer to a musical accompaniment, and true ballads (if one can accept that such things exist) are always, as Entwistle (1939, p. 33) insists, sung. The fact that the verbs describing the reciter's actions are always 'say' and 'talke' rather than 'sing' suggests that the recital was without musical accompaniment, but the full title (see p. 14) in other manuscripts of what MS P (and this edition) calls Lady Bessiye contains the word 'Song', which might be a significant word. Not much reliability, however, can be placed on titles, as was emphasised on p. 13.

In spite of the near-certainty that the poems were composed for oral delivery, we should describe the poems as 'oral' only with caution, for, as R. Finnegans (1977, p. 33) has emphasised, oral poems can be oral in three ways: composition, delivery, and transmission. That the poems are intended for oral delivery is clear, but were they orally composed, in the way that A.B. Lord (1969) describes true oral poetry as being composed, or were they originally written? There are features in common with other poems which are thought to have been orally composed, especially those 'blocks' of narrative which are repeated with more or less the same action and speech happening, but different people involved each time. This is a very pronounced feature of Lady Bessiye, where we see
1. the letters being composed (lines 349-436), with each letter containing some detail of its recipient, some short incident from the past which will act as a guarantee of the message's authenticity, the request to come to London disguised as a local tradesman, and severe instructions on how to behave on the way.

2. the reception (lines 508-656) Humphrey Brereton receives when he visits each of the six recipients of the letters, where there is surprise, a question asking what he is doing there, the handing over of the letter with the instruction to read it, the surprised or amused response, and the promise, in spite of their concern, to help Lord Stanley in every way they can.

3. The arrival (lines 741-80) of each of the recipients in London, where first Humphrey sees them coming, then they tell their companions to go and have a drink somewhere, while they slip in to the inn where Lord Stanley and Lady Bessy are.

4. The speeches of the recipients (lines 789-828) to Lady Bessy, where each one promises to provide men and money for the enterprise.

5. The movements towards Bosworth (lines 1033-46) as each man gets himself and his men ready for action.

Flodden Feilde also has a repeated question and answer session between the King and a messenger (lines 1-44, 353-72) and the Earl of Derby's long lament (lines 145-238), where the names of the people and places change line by line, but the analysis of
how he will miss them is repeated again and again.

Another feature of poems orally composed is the frequent use of reach-me-down ideas which add little or nothing to the sense but which conveniently produce a rhyme and a pleasant rhythm at the end of a line. This is hardly observed at all in \textit{Bosworth Feilde}, where there is, comparatively speaking, a fairly careful approach to the words in which ideas are clothed, only occasionally in \textit{Flodden Feilde}, where 'trulye' occurs six times (lines 224, 240, 282, 386, 404, 412) and 'right' four times (lines 78, 83, 88, 121), but abundantly in \textit{Lady Bessiye}, where 'trulye' is used fourteen times (lines 18, 384, 432, 632, 655, 739, 839, 855, 896, 914, 947, 1053, 1061, 1184), 'certaine' ten times (lines 355, 540, 560, 838, 903, 913, 997, 1045, 1065, 1197), and there is a proliferation of meaningless expressions such as 'far and near' (lines 22, 198, 698), 'day and night' (26, 1135), 'it is no nay' (162, 592), 'to my degree' (12, 116), 'for all the gold in Christantye' (224, 268, 788, 1152); and then a vast number of lines that end with some vague adjective or adverb (and it is often difficult, though not worth the effort, to tell whether the word is being used adjectivally or adverbially) tacked on to the end. Words such as 'free', 'deare', 'bright', 'shene', 'clear', 'clene', 'anon', 'right', 'faire', 'faine' seem to occur every other line.

The alliterative long line has sometimes been thought of as a line well adapted for oral composition, and it is a
re-working of the old Anglo-Saxon style which M. Parry (ed. 1971) first demonstrated to have been orally composed. R. A. Waldron (1963) has examined the poems of the Alliterative Revival in the light of the oral-formulaic theory, and found that, although the poems were not actually composed during delivery like genuine oral productions, they had many features in common with poems composed in that way. Scotish Feilde is a very clear example of that: there is nothing to be gained from assuming that it was orally composed, but it has features, such as the rhythm-filling formulae in the second half-linelines, that Waldron particularly identified as a legacy of the oral-formulaic style. Scotish Feilde, in fact, contains the one really clear reference to a written mode of composition, when the author refers in line 292 to his pen failing him. It seems that this late resuscitation of an earlier style, like Walter Mitty's famous resuscitation, required a pen.

It is out of the question to think of Bosworth Feilde having been orally composed, because it has such a complex and interrelated rhyme-scheme (see p. 116).

As to oral transmission, Kingsford (1913, pp. 250-2) uses the fact of Lady Bessy Ye having two quite separate versions to illustrate what happens when a poem is orally transmitted. It is certainly hard to see how the same original poem could have become so widely divided if it were being copied from documents, so Kingsford must be right that at least some part of the history of that poem belongs to an oral tradition.
It is still quite possible, however, that the longer version, that contained in MS B, was from a written tradition - however many of its features are similar to poems from an oral tradition. With the other three poems, the only evidence we have, namely the manuscript variations, are only such as derive from accidental copying errors or deliberate scribal emendations. It seems that of the four poems, only Lady Bessiye could possibly be regarded as a genuine oral poem, and there is certainly no necessity to assume that it was.

Although the poems have all been seen so far as constituting a homogeneous group with a unified purpose, and now with an almost unified form of composition, delivery and transmission, each poem nevertheless has an individual as well as a corporate identity, which deserves some attention.

As Scotish Feilde is in the alliterative long line while the other three are in almost metrical mostly rhyming stanza-form, it is the most individual of the four, and will therefore be dealt with first.

Scotish Feilde is the very last poem of the Alliterative Revival, that group of poems unified only by their use of the alliterative long line, that began in about 1345. It is entirely fitting that the Stanleyite author should choose this form to praise the deeds of his liege lords, as it has three distinct merits which, according to J.R.Hulbert (1931), had attracted the poets of the mid-fourteenth century at the beginning of the revival: it was archaic, and therefore
embodied what seemed to be traditional values; it was English, as opposed to the French-influenced verse of the King's court; and it was in non-London English, thus representing the baronial families of the West and North. All those three advantages in the fourteenth century could still be seen to apply, though in different degrees, to a poem such as *Scotish Feilde* at the beginning of the sixteenth. Its archaic form emphasises the antiquity of the Stanley tradition, which the poem itself also glories in, with mentions of Brutus, the earlier Stanley triumphs, and the eagle motif, which is inherited from the very earliest moments of Stanley history. Its Englishness is relevant, as Henry VIII was at war with France. And its northernness is both to emphasise the Stanley excellence and to derogate the authority of those Southerners, the Howards, who are seen in these poems as enemies almost as hostile as the Scots. It is clearly a most fitting form in which to write such a poem.

The great period of the Revival was from 1345-1400, but as time went on, the alliterative style weakened, and was only rarely employed, even in the fifteenth century. The weaknesses of the late alliterative style, which are so evident in *Scotish Feilde*, grew, paradoxically from the strengths of the early style. These weaknesses are firstly metrical: the form may seem exceptionally free, requiring merely four stressed syllables per line with (usually) three of them alliterating, but K. Luick (1888) has shown that the pattern of unstressed syllables is by no means unlimited, and certain
stress-patterns are always shunned by the poets, patterns which Luick calls 'verkurzte vers'. To avoid these unwanted patterns, the poets of the early revival had to be very inventive with word-order and phraseology, and the invention of such phrases adds an air of vigour and spontaneity to their lines. Once these problems had been satisfactorily solved, however, with phrase-shapes which would fit the patterns now established, succeeding poets had merely to adapt what they wanted to say to the ready-made phrase patterns inherited from the early poems. One example from Scotish Feilde will make this tendency clear.

In alliterative verse, the events, the movement of the poem, tends to occur in the first half of the line (or 'a-line'), while the second half (or 'b-line') provides some descriptive comment of an adverbial or adjectival sort, frequently a relative clause. There are sixty-four b-lines in Scotish Feilde made up of relative clauses. If a poet of the early revival wanted to describe a soldier 'who was doughtie of deedes', he could do so without alteration, because the stress-pattern x / x x / (x) [\(x = \text{stressed syllable}; x = \text{unstressed}\] was not verkurzte vers. If, however, he wanted to say, 'who was strong in armes', he could not, because the pattern x x / x / (x) was verkurzte vers. Someone inverted the word-order, so that the halfline then read, 'that strong was in armes', creating a pattern x / x x / (x), which is allowable. This was an admirable solution to the problem, but unfortunately once the solution had been found, the new phrase-
shape became common property, and countless instances occurred of a b-line being made up of 'that' + adjective + 'was' + preposition + noun, so that the phrase is no longer vigorous but tired. In *Scotish Feilde* there are fourteen such phrases:

- that proved was of deeds (line 20)
- that fuerse ws in armes (57)
- that proved were in armes (111)
- that fuerse were in armes (126)
- that epe was of deedes (222)
- that sturne was of deedes (228)
- that proved was of deedes (275)
- that borne was at Lathum (283)
- that epe was of deedes (284)
- that sterne was of deedes (296)
- that borne were of mothers (310)
- that epe was of deedes (342)
- that kene is of deedes (361)
- that told were by tale (394)

These reversed relative clauses constitute only one example of the weakening: one could examine the use of oaths, asseverations of truth, infinitives preceded by their objects, superlative adjectives followed by 'of other', the intensive adverb 'ful' being slipped in before a final adjective to prevent the halfline being verkurzte vers, the phrase 'with' + noun + 'enough'. All these were once probably clever original inventions to overcome metrical problems, but by the time of *Scotish Feilde* they are easy escape routes for poets of limited skill.

A second weakness in late alliterative poetry is in its vocabulary. In the early years of the revival the demands of alliteration forced the poets to be inventive: one word for each concept would not suffice, and for commoner concepts such as 'man', or 'go', fifteen or twenty words would be required. They succeeded in finding this wealth of words by reaching back
into the vocabulary of earlier centuries, and their verbal dexterity and variety was one of the great achievements of the genre. As with the word-order and phraseology, however, once the words were available, they became common property. Even that might not have caused weakening if the poets had been more conscious of the original force of the words they were using; but once 'tulk', originally a spokesman, is discovered as a word for "man", it comes to mean simply "man"; 'carp', originally "boast", comes to mean simply "talk"; and almost all adjectives come to be selected not for their shades of meaning, but rather the opposite: as long as they are vaguely commendatory or derogatory and begin with the right letter, that will do. Thus in Scots Feilde we read of 'seemlie saints' (line 4) and 'worthy water' (line 261). When J.P.Oakden (1935, vol. ii, p. 83) complains that Scots Feilde is 'marred throughout by the constant repetition of stock phrases and tags', he is about right, but right only in a technical sense. The author has spirit and enthusiasm, generosity towards his enemies, and observes the progress of the campaign with a wealth of detail that has a cumulative effect on the reader. C.S.Lewis (1954, pp. 121-2) was also about right when he said that Scots Feilde was 'incomparably better battle-poetry than England was to produce for many centuries'.

The stylistic weaknesses in the poems may be the fault not so much of the poet as of the weakened tradition: he was technically competent, using his form flexibly and efficiently
on occasion, but it seems that the deadweight of accumulated stagnation within the form held him down. And after him, no one else tried.

The other three poems are all in approximately the same metrical form, which Bronson, (1980 p. 72) calls the alternative form of the ballad stanza. Metrically this means that each line has a basic iambic rhythm, with four stressed syllables, and alternate lines rhyming. Lady Bessiye, for example, begins:

For Jesus sake be merry and glad.
Be blythe of blood, of bone and ble,
And of your words be sober and sad,
And a little while listen to me.

As is immediately evident, the basic form is subject to massive amounts of variation. The regularity of metre is sporadic, with anapaests, anacrusis, short lines, long lines, all appearing occasionally. Often one can guess how an originally 'correct' line might have been 'corrupted' into its form in the manuscript, but, as Kane (1960, p. 158) has said, to make emendations on metrical grounds is a dangerous pastime, as it assumes a thoroughly competent original author, which may be an unjustified assumption. But one can see that line 4 above could be more regular if written

But listen a little while to me.
This kind of re-organisation of word-order could frequently re-establish 'lost' rhythm and rhyme, and if one needed to regularise the whole poem it could surely be done with little difficulty. One can never be certain, however, whether one has an author who can't quite count, or an incompetent scribe.

A second probable corrupting feature is the occasional writing in full of a proper name which, in the original, was probably in shortened form. Lines like
Your brother, Sir William Stanley, by parliament (L17)
Therefore help, good father Stanley, while you have space (L65)
Therefore, good father Stanley, grant my request (L119)
would all approach regularity with the word 'Stanley' omitted. To the presumed original audience, of course, the word would not have been necessary, and it seems that some later scribe, wanting to 'help' his readers, has added the surname, thus spoiling the metre in the same way that Silas Wegg's adding 'Mr Boffin' every now and then would spoil his.

The surviving text of Lady Bessiye is, nevertheless, a fairly regular performance, with most stanzas conforming approximately to the norm; but the author is far more concerned with content than with language. The poem races along, being thoroughly engaging especially for a committed audience, with suspense, excitement, irony (especially lines 665-702), pace, and climax all competently handled, but with the verse being the literary equivalent of jerry-built. Rhymes are created by the simplest possible methods, frequently by adding a superfluous adverb to the end of a sentence, like
'certaine', 'truely', or 'right'. Alliterative phrases are plundered, too, for simple endings: 'sober and sad', 'fair and free', 'might and main' (or 'main and might'), 'weal or woe', 'heavy in heart', 'fight and not flee', 'bone and blee', 'braine and blood', 'tarry this tide', and even 'banished full bare'. Little phrases which mean hardly anything, like 'night and day', 'far and near', 'more and less', 'to my degree', help to fill up stanzas without too much effort. Anything in the nature of imagery, wordplay, or subtle poetic effect is almost entirely absent, so that when in line 1173 Henry Tudor and the Earl of Oxford are referred to as 'the Red Rose and the Blew Boar' it stands out as an unusual phenomenon set amongst such mundane surroundings.

The same criticism is not nearly so true of Bosworth Feilde. As already shown it has a complex rhyme scheme, which continues almost uninterrupted throughout the poem, with its few 'failures' often simply explained: if the word 'neere', for example, is altered to 'nye' or 'nee' (both spellings used elsewhere), it would 'correct' the rhyme at lines 77, 89, 93, and 218, and there can be very little doubt, given the careful nature of the poem's construction, that that is what has happened. Line 313 needs the word 'land' adding; in line 386 'taken' would be better in its older (and common in these poems) form, 'tane'. These simple corrections would leave only six lines (205, 279, 339, 427, 531, and 619) as apparent failures, and with a small amount of ingenuity those could surely be 'solved' if necessary. In addition two stanzas (lines
489-96 and 553-60) appear not to have the linked rhyme-scheme.

The poem's metre is not nearly so regular, indeed there are times when one is reminded of William McGonagall, but its metrical intentions are clear. It, too, makes considerable use of alliterative phrases, so we read 'grounded with grace', 'deemed to dye', 'dowted and dread', 'tell with tongue', 'see with sight', 'witt the will', 'wise and wittye', 'sterne on steede', 'noble at need', 'stiffe to stand', 'fierce to fight', 'fell and farr' in addition to most of those listed above from Lady Bessiye. On the whole, however, this author does not sink into easy methods of achieving rhymes in the 'certaine' fashion of Lady Bessiye, and it can be seen that, even if the long list of participants in the battle (lines 217-336) is very dull verse, he is a craftsman from at least one division higher than the author of Lady Bessiye.

*Flodden Feilde* is another similar production, enthusiastic in praise of the Stanley family but not startling in its poetic inspiration. It, too, has a large number of lines where rhythm and rhyme appear to fail, but one feels that to reconstitute a regular version would be only half a morning's work.

There is no doubt, in summary, that these poems could not claim any laurels in literary competition, being linguistically crude, often tacked together, carelessly formed, often with scant attention to what phrases actually mean (What can the author of *Scotish Feilde* mean by the line
As boldlie as any burnes that borne were of mothers?),
lacking in verbal subtlety or originality. And yet when they
are read 'through the ears of' their original audience,
especially if one is aware of some of the intricate details of
the Stanley family, its friends and relations, its quarrels and
its delights, the poems are an undoubted success of a different
kind.
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THE FIRST POEM:

LADY BESSIE
For Jesus sake be merry and glad;
Be blythe of blood, of bone and ble,
And of your words be sober and sad,
And a little while listen to me:

I shall tell you how Lady Bessy made her moan,
And downe she kneeled upon her knee
Before the Earle of Darby her self alone.
These were her words, fair and free:

"Who was your beginner? Who was your ground?
Good father Stanley, will you tell me?
Who married you to the Margaret Richmond,
A dutchess of a high degree?

"And your son, the Lord George Strange,
By that good lady you had him by?
And Harden lands under your hands?
And Moules Dale also under your fee?

"Your brother, Sir William Stanley, by parliament
The Holt Castle who gave him truely?
Who gave him Brome-field, that now I ment?
Who gave him Chirk-land to his fee?

"Who made him High Chamberlain of Cheshire?
Of that countrey, far and near,
They were all wholly at his desire:
When he did call, they did appear.
"And also the Forrest of Delameer
To hunt therein both day and night
As often as his pleasure were,
And to send for baron and knight?

"Who made the knight and lord of all,
Good father Stanley — remember thee?
It was my father, that King royall:
He set you in that room so high!

"Remember Richmond, banished full bare,
And lyeth in Brittaine behind the sea?
You may recover him of his care
If your heart and mind to him will gree.

"Let him come home and claim his right,
And let us cry him 'King Henry';
And if you will maintain him with might,
In Brittaine he needeth not long to tarry."

"Go away, Bessy," the Lord said then.
"I tell thee now, for certainty,
That fair words oft make fools full faine
When they be found but vain glory."

"Oh, father Stanley, to you I call:
For the love of God, remember thee,
Since my father, King Edward, that King royall,
At Westminster on his death-bed lee,
"He called to him my unckle Richard,  
So did he Robert of Brakenbury,  
And James Terrill, he was the third;  
He sent them to Ludlow in the West Countrey,  

"To fetch the Duke of York and the Duke of Clarence,  
These two lords born of a high degree:  
The Duke of York should have been Prince  
And King after my father free,  

"But a balle-full game was then among,  
When they doomed these two lords to dye:  
They had neither justice nor right, but had great wrong.  
Alack! It was the more pitty.  

"Neither were they burried in St Maries,  
In church or church-yard or holy place:  
Alas! They had dolefull destines:  
Hard was their chance; worse was their disgrace.  

"Therefore help, good father Stanley, while you have space,  
For the love of God and mild Mary,  
Or else in time to come you shall - alas! -  
Remember the words of Lady Bessy."  

"Good Lady Bessy, be content,  
For tho your words be never so sweet,  
If King Richard knew, you must be shent,  
And perchance cast into prison deep.
"Then you had cause to waile and weep
And wring your hands with heavy chear.
Therefore, good lady, I you beseek
To move me no more in this matter."

"Oh good father Stanley, listen now and hear:
Heare is no more but you and I.
King Edward, that was my father dear,
On whose estate God had mercy,

"In Westminster as he did stand
On a certain day in a study,
A book of reason he had in his hand,
And so sore his study he did apply

"That his tender tears fell on the ground.
All men might see that stood him by.
There were both earls and lords of land,
But none of them durst speak but I.

"I came before my father, the King,
And kneeled down upon my knee;
I desired him lowly of his blessing,
And full soon he gave it unto me,

"And in his arms he coude me thring,
And set me in a window so high.
He spake to me full sore weeping.
These were the words he said to me:
"Daughter, as thou wilt have my blessing,
Do as I shall counsell thee,
And to my words give good listning,
For one day they may pleasure thee:

"Here is a book of reason; keep it well,
As you will have the love of me;
Neither to any creature do it tell,
Nor let no living lord it see,

"Except it be to the Lord Stanley,
The which I love full heartiley;
All the matter to him shew you may,
For he and his thy help must be.

"As soon as the truth to him is shown,
Unto your words he will agree,
For their shall never son of my body be gotten
That shall be crowned after me;

"But you shall be Queen and wear the crown -
So doth expresse the prophecye.'
He gave me tax and [there]to land,
And also diamonds to my degree

"To gett me a prince when it pleaseth Christ.
The world is not as it will be!
Therefore, good father Stanley, grant my request;
For the love of God, I desire thee.
"All is at your commandment down in the West,
Both knight and squire and the commentie:
You may choose, then, where you like best.
I have enough both of gold and fee.

"I want nothing but the strength of men
And good captains two or three."

"Go away, Bessy!" the Lord said then.

"To this will I never agree,
For women oft time cannot faine.
These words they be but vain glory
For, and I shoud treason begin
Against King Richard his royalty,

"In every street within London
The eagle's foot shoud be pulled down;
And as yet in his great favour I am,
But then shoud I loose my great renowne.

"I shoud be called traitor thro the same
Full soon in every markett towne.
That were great shame to me and my name -
I had rather spend ten thousand pounde."

"Oh father Stanley, to you I make my moane:
For the love of God, remember thee:
It is not three days past and gone
Since my uncle Richard sent after me
"A batchelor and a bold baron,
A doctor of divinitye,
And bad that I shoud to his chamber gone,
His love and his leman that I shoud be; 148

"And the Queen, that was his wedded feere,
He would her poyson and putt away;
So would he his son and his heir -
Christ knoweth he is a proper boy! 152

"Yet had I rather burn in a tunne
On the Tower Hill, that is so high,
Or that I woud to his chamber come.
His love and his leman will I not be! 156

"I had rather be drawn with wild horses five
Through every street of that citty
Or that good lady shoud loose her life,
Good father, for the love of mee. 160

"I am his brothers daughter dear;
He is my uncle, it is no nay.
Or ever I woud be his wedded feer
With sharp swords I will me slay. 164

"At his biding if I were then,
And followd also his cruel intent,
I were well worthy to suffer pain
And in a fire for to be brent. 168
"Therefore, good father Stanley, some pitty take
On the Earle Richmond and me,
And the rather for my fathers sake,
Which gave thee the Ile of Man so free.

"He crowned the with a crown of lead;
He holpe the first to that degree;
He set the crown upon thy head,
And made thee the Lord of that countrey.

"That time you promised my father dear
To him to be both true and just;
And now you stand in a disweare.
Oh Jesu Christ! Who may men trust?"

"Oh good lady, I say againe,
Your fair words shall never move my mind.
King Richard is my Lord and Sovraign;
To him I will never be unkind.

"I will serve him truely till I dye;
I will him take as I him find,
For he hath given to mine and me;
His bounteous gift[s] do me so bind."

"Yet, good father Stanley, remember thee,
As I have said, so shall it prove:
If he of this gift be soe free,
It is for fear and not for love,
"For if he may not to his purpose come,
You shall not live these years three,
For these words to me he did once move
In Sandall Castle underneath a tree:

"He said their shall no branch of the eagle fly
Within England, neither far nor nigh,
Nor none of the Talbots to run him by,
Nor none of their lineage to the ninth degree,

"But he woud them either hang or head,
And that he swear full grievously.
Therefore help, gentle Lord, with all speed,
For when you would fain, it will not be.

"Your brother dwelleth in the Holt Castle;
A noble knight, forsooth, is he;
All the Walsh men love him well:
He may make a great company.

"Sir John Savage is your sisters son;
He is well beloved within his shire.
A great company with him will come;
He will be ready at your desire.

"Gilbert Talbott is a captain pure.
He will com with main and might;
To you he will be fast and sure
Against my uncle King and knight."
"Let us raise an host with him to fight;  
Soon to the ground we shall him ding,  
For God will stand ever with the right -  
For he hath no right to be King."

"Go away, Bessy!" the Lord can say.  
"Of these words, Bessy, now lett be.  
I know King Richard woud not me betray  
For all the gold in Christantye.

"I am his subject, sworn to be true.  
If I should seek treason to begin,  
I and all mine full sore shoud rue,  
For we were as like to loose as winn.

"Beside that, it were a deadly sin  
To refuse my King and him betray.  
The child is yet unborne that might moan in time,  
And think upon that woefull day;

"Wherefore, good lady, I do you pray,  
Keep all things close at your hart root.  
So now farr past it is of the day  
To move me more it is no boot."

Then, from her head she cast her attire;  
Her colour changed as pale as lead;  
Her faxe that shoan as the gold wire,  
She tair it of besides her head,
And in a swoon down can she swye.
She spake not of a certain space.
The Lord had never so great pitty
As when he saw her in that case,

And in his arms he can her embrace.
He was full sorry then for her sake:
The tears fell from his eyes apace,
But at the last these words she spake:

She said, "To Christ my soul I betake,
For my body in Temms drownd shall be,
For I know my sorrow will never slake,
And my bones upon the sands shall lye.

"The fishes shall feed upon me their fill.
This is a dolefull destinye,
And you may remedy this and you will;
Therefore the bone of my death I give to thee."

And ever she wept as she were woode.
The Earle on her had so great pitty
That her tender heart turned his mood:
He said, "Stand up now, Lady Bessye.

"As you think best, I will agree,
Now I see the matter you do not faine.
I have thought in this matter as much as yee,
But it is hard to trust women,"
"For many a man is brought into great woe
Through telling to women his privity.
I trust you will not serve me so
For all the gold in Christantye."

"No, father, he is my mortall foe;
On him fain wrooken woud I bee:
He hath putt away my brethren two,
And I know he woud do so by me.

"But my trust is in the Trinity.
Through your help we shall bale to him bring,
And such a day on him to see
That he and his full sore shall rue."

"O Lady Bessy," the Lord can say,
"BETWIXT US BOTH FORCAST WE MUST
HOW WE SHALL LETTERS TO RICHMOND CONVEY:
NO MAN TO WRITE I DARE WELL TRUST,

"For if he list to be unjust
And us betray to King Richard,
Then you and I are both lost;
Therefore of the scribes I am afraid."

"You shall not need none such to call,
Good father Stanley: hearken to me,
What my father, King Edward, that King royall,
Did for my sister, Lady Wells, and me:
"He sent for a scrivener to lusty London -
He was the best in that citty -
He taught us both to write and read full soon.
If it please you, full soon you shall see.

"Lauded be God I had such speed
That I can write as well as he,
And alsoe indite and full well read,
And that, Lord, soon shall you see,

"Both English and alsoe French,
And also Spanish if you had need."
The Earle said, "You are a proper wench!
Almighty Jesus be your speed,

"And give us grace to proceed out
That we may letters soon convey
In secrett wise and out of doubt
To Richmond, that lyeth beyond the sea.

"We must depart, lady," the Earle said then,
"Wherefore keep this matter secrettly,
And this same night betwixt nine and ten
In your chamber I think to be.

"Look that you make all things ready.
Your maids shall not our counsell hear,
For I will bring no man with me
But Humphrey Brereton, my true esquire."
He took his leave of that lady fair,  
And to her chamber she went full tight,  
And for all things she did prepare:  
Both pen and ink and paper white.  

The Lord unto his study went,  
Forecasting with all his might  
To bring to pass all his intent.  
He took no rest till it was night;  

And when the starrs shoone fair and bright,  
He him disguised in strange mannere.  
He went unknown of any wyght,  
No more with him but his esquire,  

And when he came her chamber near,  
Full privily there can he stand.  
To cause the lady to appeare  
He made a signe with his right hand,  

And when the lady there him wist,  
She was as glad as she might be.  
Char coals in chimneys there were cast;  
Candles on sticks standing full high.  

She opned the wicket and let him in,  
And said, "Welcome, Lord and knight soe free!"  
A rich chair was set for him,  
And another for that fair lady.
They ate the spice and drank the wine;
He had all things at his intent.
They rested them as for a time,
And to their study then they went.

Then that lady, so fair and free,
With rudd as red as rose in May,
She kneeled down upon her knee,
And to the Lord thus can she say:

"Good father Stanley, I you pray,
Now here is no more but you and I:
Let me know what you will say,
For pen and paper I have ready."

He saith, "Commend me to my son, George Strange:
In Latham Castle there he doth lye.
When I parted with him his heart did change:
From Latham to Manchester he road me by;

"Upon Salford Bridge I turned my horse again;
My son George by the hand I hent.
I held so hard, forsooth, certaine,
That his formest finger out of the joint went!

"I hurt him sore; he did complain.
These words to him then did I say:
'Son, on my blessing, turn home again.
This shall be a token another day.'"
"Bid him come like a marchant of Farnfield,
Of Coopland or of Kendall, wheather that it be,
And seven with him, and no more else
For to bear him company.

"Bid him [al]way watch and ward,
And take no heed to mynstrels glee;
Bid him sit at the lower end of the board
When he is amongst his meany;

"His back to the door, his face to the wall,
That comers and goers shall not him see;
Bid him lodge in no common hall
But keep him unknowne right secretly.

"Commend me to my brother, Sir William, so dear:
In the Holt Castle there dwelleth hee
Since the last time that we together were
In the Forest of Delameere, both fair and free;

"And seven harts upon one hearde
Were brought to the back sett to him and me,
But a forester came to me with a whoore bearde
And said, 'Good sir, a while rest ye:

"'I have found you a hart in Darnall Park,
Such a one I never saw with my eye.'
I did him crave; he said I shoud him have;
He was brought to the broad heath truely.
"At him I let my gray hound then slipp,
And followed after while I might dree.
He left me lyeing in an ould moss-pitt;
A loud laughter then laughed hee.

"He said, 'Rise up and draw out your cousin;
The deer is dead - come you and see.'
Bid him come as a marchant of Carnarvon,
Or else of Bew-morris, whether it be,

"And in his company seven Welsh men,
And come to London and speak to me.
I have a great mind to speak with him;
I think it long since I him see.

"Commende me to Sir John Savage, that knight.
Lady, he is my sisters sone.
Since upon a Friday at night
Before my bed he kneeled downe;

"He desired me, as I was [his] uncle dear,
Many a time full tenderly,
That I would lowly King Richard require
If I might get him any fee.

"I came before my Soveraigne Lord
And kneeled down upon my knee:
So soon to me he did accord;
I thanked him full courteously.
"[I] gatt him an hundred pounds in Kent
To him and his heirs perpetually;
Alsoe a manor of a Duchy rent:
Two hundred pounds he may spend thereby;

"And High Sheriff of Worcestershire,
And alsoe the park of Tewksbury.
He hath it all at his desire -
Therewith dayley he may make merry.

"Bid him come as a marchant man
Of West Chester, that fair city,
And seven yeomen to wait him on -
Bid him come to London and speak with me.

"Commend me to good Gilbert Talbott;
A gentle esquire, forsooth, is he.
Once on a Fryday, full well I woot,
King Richard called him a traitour high;

"But Gilbert to his fawchon prest -
A bold esquire, forsooth, is he!
Their durst no sarjant him arreast,
He is called so perlous of his body.

"In the Tower Street I met him then,
Going to Westminster to take sanctuarie.
I light beside my horse I was upon;
The purse from my belt I gave him, truely."
"I bad him ride downe into the North West:
Perchance a knight in England I might him see.
Wherefore pray him at my request
To come to London to speak with me."

Then said the royal Lord, so just,
"Now have you written, and sealed have I,
There is no messenger that we may trust
To bring these writeings into the West Countrey,

"Because our matter it is so high,
Least any man woud us descry."
"Humphrey Brereton," then said Bessye,
"Hath been true to my father and me.

"He shall take the writeings in hand
And bring them into the West Countrey.
I trust him best of all this land
On this message to go for me.

"Go to thy bed, father, and sleep full soon,
And I shall wake for you and me.
By tomorrow at the rising of the sune
Humphrey Brereton shall be with thee."

She brings the Lord to his bed, so trimly dight,
All that night where he shoud lye,
And Bessy waked all that night:
There came no sleep within her eye.
In the morning, when the day can spring,
Up riseth young Bessye,
And maketh hast in her dressing:
To Humphrey Brereton gone is she.

But when she came to Humphreys bower bright,
With a small voice called she.
Humphrey answered that lady bright,
Saith, "Who calleth on me so early?"

"I am King Edwards daughter right,
The Countess clear, young Bessy.
In all hast with mean and might
Thou must come speak with the Earle of Darby."

Humphrey cast upon him a gowne
And a pair of slippers upon his feet;
Forth of his chamber then they are gone,
And went with that lady fair and sweet.

She brought him to the bedd side
Whereas the Earle was laid to sleep;
When the Earle Humphrey saw at that tide,
"I know thou canst secrett counsell keep."

[He] said, "My love, my trust, my life, my land,
All this, Humphrey, doth lye in thee:
Therefore, that thou mayst understand,
In secret wise I will tell thee."
"Thou mayst make, thou mayst marr all;
Thou may undo both Bessy and me.
Take these six letters in thy hand withal,
And bring them into the North Countrey.

"They be written on the backside
Whither the letters brought shoud be,
For in every countrey where thou doest ride
I pray thee take no company."

He received these letters six full right;
Into the West wind woud hee;
Then met him that lady bright.
She said, "Humphrey, abide and speak with me.

"A poor reward I shall give thee:
It shall be but pounds three.
If I be Queen, and may live, surely
Better rewarded shall thou be.

"A little witt God hath sent me:
I pray thee take some councell of me:
When thou ridest into the West Countrey,
I pray thee take no company.

"Sit not too long, nor drink the wine,
Least in heart thou be too merry.
Such words thou mayst cast out that time
Tomorrow forthought that it may be."
Humphrey at Bessye received these nobles nine;
With a bowle of wine she coud him away.
He took his leave of this lady shene;
Straight to the Holt Castle he took the way.

When Sir William Stanley did him see there,
He said to himself, "Benedicitel
Humphrey Brereton, what makes thou here
That here dost ride so hastily?

"How fareth that Lord, my brother dear
(That lately was made the Earle of Darby)?
Is my brother dead, so life and deare,
Or with King Richard what counsell is he?

"If he be suspected of crime or faulte,
And taken into the Tower so high,
London gates shall tremble and quake
But my brother borrowed shall be.

"Tell me, Humphry, without letting,
Why hither thou ridest so hastily?"
"Break that letter," said Humphrey to him;
"Behold, sir, then, and you may see."

When Sir William looked the letter upon,
He stood full still in a study then.
Answer to Humphrey he woud give none,
But still he gawres upon a staves end.
He pulled the letter in pieces three;
Into the water he could it fling.
"Have here, Humphry," said the knight so free,
"I will give thee an hundred shilling.

"Thou shalt not tarry here this tide:
Straight to Latham wind must [y]e."
"Alas," said Humphrey, "I may not ride:
My horse is tired, as you may see.

"Since I came from London city,
Neither night nor day, I tell you plain,
There came no sleep within my eye.
On my business I thought certaine."

"Lay thee down, Humphrey," he said, "and sleep.
I will give space of hours three.
A fresh horse, I thee bee-hyte,
Shall bring thee through the West Countrey."

Humphrey slept not hours two,
But on his journey well thought hee.
A fresh horse was brought him tooe,
To bring him through the West Countrey.

Then Humphrey Brereton with mickle might
Hard at Latham knocketh hee.
"Who is it," said the porter, "this time of night,
That so hastily calleth on mee?"
The porter then in that state
That time of night riseth hee
And forthwith opned me the gate
And receieved both my horse and me.

Then said Humphrey Brereton truely,
"With the Lord Strange speak woud I faine
From his father, the Earle of Darby."
Then was I welcome that time certaine.

A torch burned that same tide,
And other lights that he might see,
And brought him to the bedd side
Where as the Lord Strange lee.

The Lord mused in that tide,
Said, "Humphrey Brereton, what makest thou here?
How fareth my father, that noble Lord,
In all England that hath no peer?"

Humphrey took him a letter in hand
And said, "Behold, my Lord, and you may see."
When the Lord Strange looked the letter upon,
The tears trickled downe from his eye.

He said, "We must come under a cloud;
We must never trusted bee;
We may sigh and make great moane:
This world is not as it will be."
"Have here, Humphrey, pounds three;  
Better rewarded may thou bee.  
Commend me to my father dear;  
His daily blessing he woud give me."

He said also in that tyde,  
"Tell him also thus from me:  
If I be able to go or ride,  
This appointment keep will I."

When Humphrey received the gold, I say,  
Straight to Manchester rideth hee.  
The sun was light up of the day.  
He was aware of the Warden and Edward Stanley.

The one brother said to the other  
As they together their mattins did say,  
"Behold," he said, "my owne dear brother,  
Yonder comes Humphrey Brereton, it is no nay,  
"My fathers servant at command:  
Some hasting tydeings bringeth hee."  
He took them either a letter in hand,  
And bad them behold, read and see.  

They turnd their backs shortly tho,  
And read those letters readily.  
Up they leap, and laughed toe,  
And also they made game and glee:
"Fair fare our father, that noble Lord!
To stirr and rise now beginneth hee.
Buckingshams blood shall be wroken,
That was beheaded in Salsbury.

"Fare fall that Countesse, the Kings daughter,
That fair lady, young Bessye!
We trust in Jesus in time hereafter
To bring thy love over the sea.

"Have here, Humphrey, of either of us shillings ten;
Better rewarded may thou bee."
He took the gold of the two gentlemen;
To Sir John Savage then rideth hee.

He took him then a letter in hand,
And bad him behold, read and see.
When Sir John Savage looked the letter upon,
All blackned the knights blee.

"Womans wisdom is wondrous to hear, loe!
My uncle is turned by young Bessye.
Whether it turn to w[e]ale or woe,
At my uncles bidding will I bee."

To Sheffield Castle at that same tide
In all the hast that might bee,
Humphrey took his horse and forth could ride
To Gilbert Talbott, fair and free.
He took him a letter in his hand;
"Behold," said Humphrey, "read and see."
When he the letter looked upon,
A loud laughter laughed hee.

"Fare fall that Lord in his renowne there!
To stirr and rise beginneth hee.
Fair fall Bessye, that Countesse clear,
That such councell coud give truely!

"Commend me to my nephew, nigh. of blood,
The young Earle of Shrewsbury.
Bid him neither dread for death nor good
In the Tower of London if he bee.

"I shall make London gates to tremble and quake
But my nephew borrowed shall bee.
Commend me to the Countesse, that fair make,
King Edwards daughter, young Bessy.

"Tell her I trust in Jesu, that hath no pear,
To bring her love over the sea.
Commend me to that Lord, to me so dear,
That lately was made the Earle of Darby.

"And every hair of my head
For. a. man counted might be
With that Lord without any dread.
With him will I live and dye.
"Have here, Humphrey, pounds three.
Better rewarded may thou bee.
Look to London gates thou ride quickly
In all the hast that may bee.

"Commend me to that Countesse, young Bessy;
She was King Edward[s] daughter dear.
Such a one she is, I say truely,
In all this land she hath no peer."

He took his leave at that time.
Straight to London rideth he;
In all the hast that he could wind
His journey greatly he did apply.

But when he came to London, as I weene,
It was but a litle before the evening.
There was he warr walking in a garden
Both the Earle and Richard the King.

When the Earle did Humphrey see,
When he came before the King,
He gave him a privy twink then with his eye,
That downe falls Humphrey on his knees kneeling.

"Welcome, Humphrey," said the Lord.
"I have missed thee weeks three."
"I have been in the West, my Lord,
There born and bred was I,
"For to sport and play me certaine
Among my friends far and nigh."
"Tell me, Humphrey," said the Earle then,
"How fareth all that same countrey?"

"Of all the countreys, I dare well say,
They be the flower of chivalry,
For they will bycker with their bowes,
They will fight and never fly."

"Tell me, Humphrey, I thee pray,
How fareth King Richard his commenty?"
When King Richard heard him say so,
In his hart he was merry.

He with his cap that was so dear
He thanked that Lord most courteously,
And said, "Father Stanley, thou art to me near:
You are the cheif of our poor commenty.

"Half England shall be thine:
It shall be equall between thee and me.
I am thine and thou art mine,
So two fellows will we bee.

"I swear by Mary, that mild maiden,
I know no more such under the skye.
When I am King and wear the crown, then
I will be cheif of the poor commenty."
"Task nor mize I will make none
In no countrey, farr nor nigh.
If their goods I shoud take and pluck them downe,
For me they woud fight full faintly.

"There is no riches to me so rich
As is the love of our poor commenty."
When they had ended all their speeches,
They take their leave full heartiley.

And to his bowre King Richard is gone;
The Earle and Humphrey Brereton
To Bessys bowre anon were gone.
When Bessy Humphrey did see, anon

She took him in her arms and kissed him times three.
"Welcome," she said, "Humphrey Brereton!
How hast thou spedd in the West Countrey?
I pray thee, tell me quickly and anon."

Into a parlour they went from thence;
There were no more but he and shee.
"Humphrey," said Bessy, "tell me ere we go hence,
Some tideings out of the West Countrey.

"If I shall send for yonder Prince
To come over the sea for the love of me,
And if King Richard shoud him convince,
Alas! it were great ruthe to see;
"Or murthered among the Standleys blo[od to be,
Indeed, that were a great pity.
That sight on that Prince I woud not see
For all the gold in Christianie.

"Tell me, Humphrey, I thee pray,
How hast thou spedd in the West Countrey?
What answer of them thou had, now say,
And what reward they gave to thee."

"By the third day of May it shall be seen
In London all that they will bee.
Thou shalt in England be a queen
Or else, doubtless, that they will dye."

Thus they proceed forth the winter then;
Their counsell they kept close all three.
The Earle he wrought by prophecy certaine
In London he woud not abide or be,

But in the suburbs without the city
[An] ould inn chosen hath hee:
A[nd] drew an eagle foot on the door truely
That the Western men might know where he did lye.

Humphrey stood on a high tower then;
He looked into the West Countrey.
Sir William Stanley and seven in green
[Came rideing into the city].
He was ware of the eagle drawne.
He drew himselfe so wonderous nigh,
And bad his men go into the towne
And drink the wine and make merry. 748

Into the same inne he went full prest
Whereas the Earle, his brother, lay.
Humphrey full soon into the West
Looks over a long lee. 752

He was aware of the Lord Strange and seven in green
Come rideing into the city.
When he was aware of the eagle drawn,
He grew himself so wonderously nigh; 756

He bad his men go into the towne certaine,
And drink the wine and make merry.
And he himself drew then
Where as his father in the inne lay. 760

Humphrey looked into the West, I say,
Sixteen in green then did he see:
He was aware of the Warden and Edward Stanley
Come rideing both in one company. 764

When they were aware of the eagle drawne,
The gentlemen, they drew it nee,
And bad their men go into the towne
And drink the wine and make merry; 768
And did go themselves into the same inn full prest
Where the Earle, their father, lay.
Yet Humphrey beholdeth into the West,
And looketh towards the North Countrey:

He was aware of Sir John Savage and Sir Gilbert Talbot
Came rideing both in one company.
When they were aware of the eagle drawne,
Themselves grew it full nigh,
And bad their men go into the towne
To drink the wine and make merry.
They did go themselves into the same inn
Where as the Earle and Bessy lye.

When all the lords together were,
Amongst them all Bessye was full buissy:
With goodly words Bessy then said there,
"Fair lords, what will you do for me?

"Will you relieve yonder prince
That is exiled beyond the sea?
I wou'd not have King Richard him to convince
For all the gold in Christentye."

The Earle of Darby came forth then.
These were the words he said to young Bessye:
"Ten thousand pounds will I send,
Bessy, for the love of thee,"
"And twenty thousand eagles feet  
The Queen of England for to make thee."

Then Bessy most lowly the Earle did greet,
And thankt his honor most heartiley.

Sir William Stanley came forth then.
These words he said to fair Bessy:
"Remember, Bessy, another time,
Who doth the most, Bessy, for thee.

"Ten thousand coats that shall be red, certaine,
In an hours warning ready shall bee.
In England thou shalt be our Queen
Or doubtlesse I will dye."

Sir John Savage came forth then.
These words he said to young Bessye:
"A thousand marks for thy sake, certaine,
I will send thy love beyond the sea."

Sir Gilbert Talbott came forth then.
These were the words he said to Bessye:
"Ten thousand marks for thy sake, certaine,
I will send to [thy love] beyond the sea."

The Lord Strange came forth then.
These were the words he said to Bessy:
"A little money and few men
Will bring thy love over the sea."
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"Let us keep our gold at home," said he,
"For to wage our company;
For if we shoud send it over the sea,
We shoud but put our gold in jeopardie."

Edward Stanley came forth then.
These were the words he said to Bessye:
"Remember, Bessye, another time,
Who that now doth the best for thee;
"For there is no power that I have,
Nor no gold for to give thee.
I will be under my fathers banner if God me save,
There either to live or dye."

Bessye came forth before the lords all,
And downe she falleth upon her knee.
"Nineteen thousand pound of gold I shall
Send my love behind the sea;
"A love letter and a gold ring
From my heart root rite will I."
"Who shall be the messenger the same to bring,
Both the gold and the writeing over the sea?"

"Humphrey Brereton," said Bessy,
"I know him trusty and true, certaine;
Therefore the writeing and the gold truely
By him shall be carried to Little Brittaine."
"Alas!" said Humphrey, "I dare not take in hand
To carry the gold over the sea:
These galley ships, they be so strange
They will me nigh so wonderously.

"They will me robb, they will me drowne,
They will take the gold from me."

"Hold thy peace, Humphry," said Bessye then.

"Thou shalt carry it without jeopardye.

"Thou shalt have no caskett nor any male,
Nor budgett nor cloak sack shall go with thee:
Three mules that be stiff and strong withall
Sore loaded with gold shall they bee,

"With saddles side skirted I do tell thee,
Wherein the gold sowe will I.
If any man faine whose is the shipp truely,
That saileth forth upon the sea,

"Say it is the Lord Lislay:
In England and France well beloved is he."

Then came forth the Earle of Darby.
These words he said to young Bessy:

He said, "Bessye, thou art to blame
To appoint any shipp upon the sea.
I have a good shipp of my owne
Shall carry Humphrey with the mules three.
"An eagle shall be drawne upon the mast top
That the Italians may it see;
There is no freak in all France
The eagle that dare come nee.

"If any one ask whose shipp it is, then
Say it is the Earles of Darby."
Humphrey took the three mules then;
Into the West wind woud hee.

Without all doubt at Liverpoole
He took shipping upon the sea.
With a swift wind and a liart
He so saild upon the sea
To Beggrames Abbey in Litle Brittain,
Where as the English Prince lie.
The porter was a Cheshire man;
Well he knew Humphrey when he him see.

Humphrey knocked at the gate truely,
Where as the porter stood it by
And welcomed me full heartily
And received then my mules three.

"I shall thee give in this breed
To thy reward pounds three."
"I will none of thy gold," the porter said,
"Nor, Humphrey, none of the fee."
"I will open thee the gates, certaine,  
To receive thee and the mules three,  
For a Cheshire man born am I, certaine,  
From the Malpas but miles three."

The porter opned up the gates that time  
And received him and the mules three.  
The wine that was in the hall that time  
He gave to Humphrey Brereton truely.

"Alas!" said Humphrey, "how shoud I doe?  
I am strayed in a strange countrey.  
The Prince of England I do not know:  
Before him I did never see."

"I shall tell thee," said the porter then.  
"The Prince of England know shall ye:  
Low where he siteth at the butts, certaine,  
With other lords two or three.

"He weareth a gown of velvet black,  
And it is cutted above the knee.  
With a long visage, and pale and black -  
There by the Prince know may ye.

"A wart he hath," the porter said,  
"A little also above the chinn;  
His face is white, his wart is redd,  
No more than the head of a small pinn."
"You may know the Prince, certaine,
As soon as you look upon him, truely."
He received the wine of the porter then;
With him he took the mules three.

When Humphrey came before the Prince,
He falleth down upon his knee;
He delivereth the letters which Bessye sent,
And so did he the mules three.

A rich ring with a stone,
Thereof the Prince glad was hee.
He took the ring of Humphrey then
And kissed the ring times three.

Humphrey kneeled still as any stone,
As sure as I do tell to thee.
Humphrey of the Prince answer gott none,
Therefore in heart was he heavy.

Humphrey stood up then, full of skill,
And then to the Prince said he,
"Why standest thou so still at thy will
And no answer dost give to me?"

"I am come from the Standleys blood so dear,
King of England for to make thee;
A fairer lady then thou shalt have to thy fair
There is not one in all Christantye."
"She is a countesse, a kings daughter," Humphrey said.

"The name of her it is Bessye.

She can write and she can read;

Well can she work by prophecy.

"I may be called a lewd messenger

For answer of thee I can gett none.

I may sail home with a heavy cheare.

What shall I say when I come home?"

The Prince, he took the Lord Lee,

And the Earle of Oxford was him nee;

The Lord Ferris woud not him beguile, truely:

To councell they are gone, all three.

When they had their councell taken,

To Humphrey then turned he:

"Answer, Humphrey, I can give none truely

Within the space of weeks three."

The mules into a stable were taken anon;

The saddle skirts unopened were:

Therein he found gold great plenty

For to wage a company.

[lines missing here]

He caused the abbott to make him cheare:

"In my stead now let him be.
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"If I be King and wear the crowne,
Well acquitted, Abbott, shalt thou be."

Early in the morning they made them knowne
As soon as the light they cou ld see.

With him he taketh his lords three,
And straight to Paris he took his way.

An herriott of arms they made ready:
Of men and money they cou ld him pray,

And shipp s to bring him over the sea.

"The Stanleys blood for me hath sent
The King of England for to make me,
And I thank them for their intent;

"For if ever in England I wear the crowne,
Well acquitted the King of France shall be."

Then answered the King of France anon,
"Men nor money, he getteth none of me,

"Nor no shipp s to bring him over the sea.
In England if he wear the crowne,
Then will he claim them for his ow ne, truely."

With this answer departed the Prince anon,

And so departed the same tide
And the English lords three.
To Boggrames Abbey soon cou ld they ride,
There as Humphrey Brereton then lee.
"Have, Humphrey, a thousand mark here;
Better rewarded may thou be!
Commend me to Bessy, that Countesse clear:
Before her never did I see.

"I trust in God she shall be my feer:
For her I will travell over the sea.
Commend me to my father, Stanley, to me so dear;
My owne mother married hath he.

"Bring him here a love letter full right,
And another to young Bessye:
Tell her I trust in Jesus, full of might,
That my Queen that she shall be.

"Commend me to Sir William Stanley,
That noble knight in the West Countrey:
Tell him that about Michaelmas, certaine,
In England I do hope to be;

"At Milford Haven I will come inn
With all the power that make may I.
The first towne I will come inn
Shall be the towne of Shrewsbury.

"Pray Sir William Stanley, that noble knight,
That night that he will look on me.
Commend me to Sir Gilbert Talbott, that royall knight,
He [is] much in the North Countrey;
"And Sir John Savage, that man of might —
Pray them all to look on me,
For I trust in Jesus Christ, so full of might,
In England for to abide and bee."

"I will none of thy gold, Sir Prince," said Humphrey then,
"Nor none, sure, will I have of thy fee.
Therefore keep thy gold thee within
For to wage thy company.

"If every hair were a man,
With thee, Sir Prince, will I be."
Thus Humphrey Brereton his leave hath tane,
And saileth forth upon the sea.

Straight to London rideth he then,
There as the Earle and Bessy lay;
He took them either a letter in hand,
And bad them behold, read and see.

The Earle took leave of Richard the King,
And into the West wind woud he.
He left Bessye in Leicester then,
And bad her lye in privitye,

"For if King Richard knew thee here, anon
In a fire burned must thou be."
Straight to Latham the Earle is gone
There as the Lord Strange then lee.
He sent the Lord Strange to London
To keep King Richards company.
Sir William Stanley made anone
Ten thousand coats readily,
Which were as redd and any blood,
There on the harts head was set full high,
Which after were tryed both trusty and good
As any could be in Christantye.
Sir Gilbert Talbot ten thousand doggs
In one hours warning for to be,
And Sir John Savage fifteen white hoods
Which would fight and never flee.
Edward Stanley had three hundred men:
There were no better in Christentye;
Sir Rees ap Thomas, a knight of Wales, certain,
Eight thousand spears brought he.
Sir William Stanley sat in the Holt Castle
And looked over his head so high:
"Which way standeth the wind, can any tell?
I pray you, my men, look and see."
"The wind it standeth South East,"
So said a knight that stood him by.
"This night yonder Prince, truely,
Into England entereth hee."
He called a gentleman that stood him nigh:
His name was Rowland of Warburton.
He bad him go to Shrewsbury that night
And bid yonder Prince come inn.

But when Rowland came to Shrewsbury,
The port-culles it was let downe;
They called him Henry Tydder in scorn, truely,
And said in England he shoud wear no crowne.

Rowland bethought him of a wyle then,
And tied a writeing to a stone,
And threw the writeing over the wall, certaine,
And bad the baliffs to look it upon.

They opned the gates on every side
And met the Prince with procession,
And woud not in Shrewsbury there abide,
But straight he dressed him to Stafford towne.

King Richard heard then of his comeing:
He called his lords of great renowne:
The Lord Pearcy he came to the King
And upon his knees he falleth downe:

"I have thirty thousand fighting men
For to keep the crown with thee."
The Duke of Northfolk came to the King anone,
And downe he falleth upon his knee.
The Earle of Surrey, that was his heir,
Were both in one company:
"We have either twenty thousand men here
For to keep the crown with thee."

The Lord Latimer and the Lord Lovell,
And the Earle of Kent, he stood him by;
The Lord Ross and the Lord Scrope, I you tell,
They were all in one company.

The Bishop of Durham, he was not away;
Sir William Bonner, he stood him by;
The good Sir William Harrington, as I say,
Said he woud fight and never fly.

King Richard made a messenger
And sent him into the West Countrey,
[Said] "Bid the Earle of Darby make him bowne,
And bring twenty thousand men unto me
"Or else the Lord Strange his head I will him send,
And doubtless his son shall dye,
For hitherto his father I took for my friend,
And now he hath deceived me."

Another herald appeared then:
"To Sir William Stanley, that doughty knight:
Bid him bring to me ten thousand men
Or else to death he shall be dight."
Then answered that doughty knight,
And spake to the herald without letting:
"Say upon Bosseworth Field I mind to fight
Upon Monday early in the morning."

"Such a breakfast I him behight
As never did knight to any king."
The messenger home can him gett
To tell King Richard this tydeing.

Fast together his hands then coud he ding,
And said the Lord Strange shoud surely dye,
And putt him into the Tower of London,
For at liberty he shoud not bee.

Lett us leave Richard and his lords full of pride,
And talk we more of the Stanleys blood,
That brought Richmond over the sea with wind and tyde
From Litle Brittain into England over the flood.

Now is Earle Richmond into Stafford come,
And Sir William Stanley to litle Stoone.
The Prince had rather than all the gold in Christentye
To have Sir William Stanley to look upon.

A messenger was made ready anone,
That night to go to litle Stoon.
Sir William Stanley, he rideth to Stafford towne
With a solemn company ready bowne.
When the knight to Stafford was comin
That Earle Richmond might him see,
He took him in his arms then,
And there he kissed him times three:

"The welfare of thy body doth comfort me more
Then all the gold in Christantye."

Then answered that royall knight there,
And to the Prince these words spake he:

"Remember, man, both night and day,
Who doth now the most for thee.
In England thou shalt wear a crown, I say,
Or else doubtless I will dye.

"A fairer lady then thou shalt have for thy feer
Was there never in Christanty:
She is a countesse, a kings daughter,
And there to both wise and witty.

"I must this night to Stone, my Soveraigne,
For to comfort my company."

The Prince, he took him by the hand
And said, "Farewell, Sir William, fair and free!"

Now is word come to Sir William Stanley there,
Earley in the Monday in the morning,
That the Earle of Darby, his brother dear,
Had given battle to Richard the King.
"That woud I not," said Sir William anone,
"For all the gold in Christantye,
That the battle shoud be done
Unless that [I] at the battle shoud be."

Straight to Lichfield coud he ride
In all the hast that might be,
And when he came to Lichfield that tyde,
All they cryed, "King Henry!"

Straight to Bolesworth can they go
In all the hast that might be;
But when he came Bolesworth Field unto,
There met a royall company:

The Earle of Darby thither was come,
And twenty thousand stood him by;
Sir John Savage, his sisters son,
He was his nephew of his blood so nigh:

He had fifteen hundred fighting men
That woud fight and never flye.
Sir William Stanley, that royall knight, then
Twenty thousand red coats had he.

They woud bicker with their bows there;
They woud fight and never flye;
The Red Ros[e] and the Blew Boar,
They were both a solemn company.
Sir Rees ap Thomas, he was thereby
With ten thousand spears of mighty tree.
The Earle of Richmond went to the Earle of Darby
And downe he falleth upon his knee;

Said, "Father Stanley, full of might,
The vaward, I pray you, give to me,
For I am come to claime my right,
And faine revenged woud I bee."

"Stand up," he said, "my son, quickly!
Thou hast thy mothers blessing, truely.
The vaward, son, I will give to thee,
So that thou wilt be ordered by me.

"Sir William Stanley, my brother dear,
In the battle he shall bee.
Sir John Savage, he hath no peer,
He shall be a wing then to thee.

"Sir Rees ap Thomas shall break the array,
For he will fight and never flee.
I my seife will hove on the hill, I say.
The fair battle I will see."

King Richard, he hoveth upon the mountaine;
He was aware of the banner of the bould Stanley,
And said, "Fetch hither the Lord Strange, certain,
For he shall dye this same day."
"To the death, Lord, thee ready make,
For I tell thee certainly
That thou shalt dye for thy uncles sake,
Wild William of Standley."

"If I shall dye," said the Lord Strange then,
"As God forbid it shoud so bee,
Alas for my lady, that is at home:
It shoud be long or she see me.

"But we shall meet at Dooms day
When the great doom shall be."
He called for a gent in good [f]ay
Of Lancashire, both fair and free:
The name of him it was Lathum.
A ring of gould he took from his finger,
And threw it to the gent then
And bad him bring it to Lancashire,
To his lady, that was at home;
At her table she may sit right;
Or she see her lord it might be long.
"I have no foot to fligh nor fight:
I must be murdered with the King.

"If fortune my uncle Sir William Stanley loose the field,
As God forbid it shoud so bee,
Pray her to take my eldest son and child
And exile him over behind the sea."
"He may come in another time
By feild or fleet, by tower or towne;
Wreak so he may his fathers death in fyne
Upon Richard of England that weareth the crown."

A knight to King Richard then did appeare,
The good Sir William of Harrington:
"Let that Lord have his life, my dear
Sir King, I pray you grant me this boone.

"We shall have upon this field anon
The father, the son and the uncle, all three;
Then shall you deem, Lord, with your own mouth then
What shall be the death of them all three!"

Then a block was cast upon the ground,
Thereon the Lords head was laid.
A slave over his head can stand,
And thus that time to him thus said:

"In faith there is no other booty tho
But need that thou must be dead."
Harrington in his hart was full woe
When he saw the Lord must needs be dead.

He said, "Our ray breaketh on evry side;
We put our feyld in jeopardie."
He took up the Lord that tyde:
King Richard after did him never see.
Then they blew up the bewgles of brass 1251
That made many a wife to cry, "Alas!"
And many a wives child father-lesse.
They shott of guns then very fast.

Over their heads they coud them throw;
Arrows flew them between
As thick as any hayle or snowe
As then that time might plaine be seene.

Then Rees ap Thomas with the black raven 1255
Shortly he brake their array.
Then with thirty thousand fighting men
The Lord Pearcy went his way.

The Duke of Northfolke would have fledd with a good will 1259
With twenty thousand of his company.
They went up to an wind millne upon a hill,
That stood soe fayre and wonderousse hye.

There he met Sir John Savage, a royall knight, 1263
And with him a worthy company.
To the death was he then dight,
And his son prisoner taken was he.

Then the Lord Alroes began for to flee, 1267
And soe did many other moe.
When King Richard that sight did see,
In his heart he was never soe woe:
"I pray you, my merry men, be not away,
For upon this field will I like a man dye,
For I had rather dye this day
Then with the Standley prisoner for to be."  

A knight to King Richard can say there
(Good Sir William of Harrington),
He said, "Sir King, it hath no peere
Upon this field to death to be done,
"For there may no man these dints abide.
Low! Your horse is ready at your hand."
"Sett the crown upon my head that tyde;
Give me my battle-ax in my hand;
"I make a vow to mild Mary, that is so bright,
I will dye the King of merry England."
Besides his head they hewed the crown down right,
That after he was not able to stand.
They dunge him downe as they were woode;
They beat his bassnet to his head
Until the braine came out with bloode.
They never left him till he was dead.
Then carryed they him to Leicester,
And pulled his head under his feet.
Bessye mett him with a merry cheere
And with these words she did him greete:
"How like you the killing of my brethren dear? Welcome, gentle uncle, home!"
Great solace it was to see and hear
When the battle it was all done.

I tell you, masters, without lett,
When the Red Ros[e] so fair of hew
And young Bessy together mett,
It was great joy, I say to you.

A bishopp them married with a ringe,
The two bloods of great renowne.
Bessy said, "Now may we singe,
Wee two bloods are made all one."

The Earle of Darby he was there,
And Sir William Stanley, that noble knight.
Upon their heads he set the crown so fair,
That was made of gold so bright.

And there he came under a cloud
That some time in England looked full high,
But then the hart he lost his head
That after no man coud him see.

But Jesus, that is both bright and shine,
And born was of mild Mary,
Save and keep our noble [Queen],
And also the poor commentie.

Amen.
THE SECOND POEM:

BOSWORTH FEILDE
God, that shope both sea and land,
And for all creatures dyed [on] tree,
Save and kepe the realme of England
To live in peace and tranquillitye!

St George, to us a sheild thou bee!
For we have cause to pray, both old and younge,
With a stedfast hart full devatlye,
And say "Welcome, HENERY, right wise King!"

Welcome, right wise King and joy royall,
He that is grounded with grace!
Welcome the fortune that hath befall,
Which hath beene seene in many a place!
Who wend that England as itt was
Soe suddenlye changed shold have beene?
Therefore lett us thanke God of his grace
And say, "Welcome, Henery, right wise King!"

[N]ow had wee need to remember and to our minds call
How England is transported miraculouslye
To see the great mischeefe that hath befall
Sith the martyrdome of the holy King HENERY!
How many lords have beeene deemed to dye,
Young innocents that never did sinn!
Therefore lett us thanke God hartilye
And say, "Welcome HENERY, right wise King!"
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Some time a king raigned in this land;
That was Edward of hye felicyte.
He was dowted and dread, as I understand,
Through all the nations in Christentye.
He served Jesus full heartilye:
These examples may be taken by him
Which hath prevailed him with royaltye
To weare the crowne and be our King.

For with tounge I have heard it told,
When HENERY was in a far cuntrye,
That 3 times he was bought and sold
Throughe the might of gold and fee.
He served Jesus full hartylye:
This example may be said by him
Which prevailed right royallye
To weare the crowne and be our King.

They banished him over the flood,
Over the flood and streames gray;
Yett his right in England was good,
As herafter know you may.
There was hee banished over the floode,
And into a strange land they can him bring.
That time raigned Richard with royaltye:
He ware the crowne and was our Kinge.
That was well seen at streams stray,
Att Milford Haven, when he did appeare
With all his lords in royall array,
He said to them that with him weare:
"Into England I am entred heare;
My heritage is this land within.
They shall me boldlye bring and beare,
And loose my liffe, but Ile be King.

Jesus, that dyed on Good Fryday,
And Marry mild, thats full of might,
Send me the love of the Lord Stanley!
He marryed my mother, a lady bright.
That is long sith I saw her with sight;
I trust in Jesu wee shall meete with winne,
And I shall maintaine her honor right
Over all England when I am Kinge.

Had I the love of that Lord in rich array,
That hath proved his manhood soe well att need,
And his brother, Sir William, the good Stanley -
A better knight never umstrode steede!
That hath beene seene in mickle dred:
Much was the worshipp that happened him;
A more nobler knight att neede
Came never to maintaine kinge."
Now leave wee HENERY, this Prince royall,
And talke of Richard in his dignitye,
Of the great misfortune did him befall:
The causer of his owne death was hee.
Wicked councell drew Richard neere
Of them that had the prince in their guiding,
For wicked councell doth mickle deere,
That bringeth downe both emperour and king.

The Lord Stanley both sterne and stout -
He might be called the flower of flowers - man dye.
That was well seene without doubt
Att Barwicke walls with towers hye:
When all the lords of England let itt bee,
That castell wightclye can hee winn.
Was there ever lord in England, fare or nere,
That did such jorney to his Kinge ?

Then Richard bade a messenger to fare
Soe far[r] into the West Countrye,
To comfort his knights, squiers, lesse and more,
And to set good rule amongst his comintye.
Then wicked councell drew him neere;
These were [the] words they said to him:
"Wee thinke yee worke unwittylye
In England and yee will continue King,
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For why the Lord Stanley is left in this land,
The Lord Strange, and the Chamberlaine, these 3.
They may show upon a day a band
Such as may noe lorde in Christentye.
Lett some of them under your bondage bee
If any worshipp you thinke to winn,
Or else short while continue shall yee
In England to be our Kinge."

Then they made out messengers with maine and might
Soe farr into the West Countrye
To the Lord Stanley, that noble knight;
They kneeled downe upon their knee
And said, "Richard, that raignes with royaltke,
Emperour of England, this day within
He longeth you sore, my Lord, to see.
You must come and speake with our Kinge."

Then [the] Lord busked him upon a day
To ryde to King Richard with royaltke,
And hee fell sicke att Manchester by the way -
As the will of God is, all things must bee.
The Lord Strange then called [he] him nee;
These were the words hee said to him:
"In goodlye hast now ryde must yee
To witt the will of Richard our Kinge."
Then this Lord bowned him full right
To ryde to King Richard hastilye.
When hee came before his Soverraigine in sight,
He kneeled downe upon his knee.
"Welcome, Lord Strange, and kinsman nye!"
These were the words he said to him:
"Was there ever any baron in England of ancetrye
Shold be soe welcome to his Kinge?"

Alas that ever he cold soe say,
Soe froward a hart as hee had under!
That was well seene after upon a day:
Itt cast him and his crowne assunder,
And brought his body into bale and blunder,
These wicked words he cold begin.
Thus falshood endeth in shame and wonder,
Whether itt be with emperour or king.

Of itt heere is no more to say,
But shortlye to ward comanded was hee.
New messengers were made without delay
Soe farr into the West Countrye,
To the Lord Stanley, soe wise and wittye.
These were the words the sayd to him:
"You must raise those that under you bee,
And all the power that you may bringe.
Yonder cometh Richmond over the flood,
With many allyants out of far countrye,
Bold men of bone and blood;
The crowne of England chalengeth hee.
You must raise those that under you bee
And all the power that yee may bringe,
Or else the Lord Strange you must never see,
Which is in danger of our King."

In a studye this Lord can stand,
And said, "Deere Jesus, how may this bee ?
I draw wittenes to him that shope both sea and land
That I never delt with noe trecherye.
Richard is a man that hath no mercye:
Hee wold mee and mine into bondage bringe.
Therfore cleane against him will I bee,
Of all England though hee bee King."

Then another messenger he did appeare
To William Stanley, that noble knight,
And saith, "Richard, that weareth the crowne soe cleare,
And in his empire raigneth right,
Willeth you to bring your power to helpe him to fight,
For all his trust itt is you in."
Then answered that gentle knight,
"I have great marveill of your king:
"He keepeth the[r]e my nephew, my brothers heyre.
A truer knight is not in Christentye.
That Richard shall repent full sore
For any thing that I can see.
Bidd him array him with royaltye
And all the power that hee may bringe,
For hee shall either fight or flee
Or loose his liffe if hee bee Kinge.

"I make mine avow to Marye that may,
And to her sonne, that dyed on tree:
I will make him such a breakefast upon a day
As never made knight any king in Christentye.
Tell thou King Richard these words from mee:
For all the power that he may bringe,
In the feild he shall either fight or flee,
Or loose his liffe [if] hee be Kinge."

Then this messenger forth hee went
To carry to King Richard with royaltye,
And saith, "In yonder countrye I have beene sent,
Soe greeved men are not in Christentye,
For love of the Lorde Strange that in bale doth bee."
These were the words hee sayd to him:
"You must either fight or flee,
Or loose your liffe if you bee Kinge."
Att that King Richard smiled small,
And swer, "By Jesu, full of might,
When they are assembled with their powers all,
I wolde I had the Great Turke against me to fight,
Or Prester John in his armor bright,
The Sowdan of Surrey with them to bringel
Yett with manhood and with might
In England I shold continue King.

"I sweare by Jesu, that dyed on a tree,
And by his mother, that mayden blythe,
From the towne of Lancaster to Shrewsburye
Knight nor squier Ile leave none alive.
I shall kindle their cares [rife]
And give their lands to my knights keene.
Many a man shall repent the while
That ever they rose against their King.

"From the Holyhead to St Davids land,
Where now be towers and castles hye,
I shall make parkes and plaine feilds to stand,
Frythes faire and forrests free.
Ladyes "Well away!" shall crye,
Widdowes shall wepe and their hands wringe.
Many a man shall repent that day
That ever they rose against their Kinge."
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Then he made out messengers with maine and might
Throughout England, farr and neere,
To duke, erle, barron, and knight,
And to every man in his degree.
You never heard tell of such a companye
Att sowte, seege, nor noe gatheringe.
Part of their names heere shall yee
That came that day to serve their Kinge:

Thither came the Duke of Norffolke upon a day,
And the Erle of Surrey, that was his heyre;
The Erle of Kent was not away;
The Erle of Shrewsbury, breme as beare;
The Erle of Lincolne wold not spare;
The Erle of Northumberland ready bowne;
The Erle of Westmoreland great othes sware:
All they said Richard shold keepe his crowne.

[There] was my Lord Zouch, sad att assay;
My Lord Mattrevis, a noble knight;
Young Arrundell dight him upon a day;
The Lord Wells, both wise and wight;
The Lord Gray Cotner in his armour bright;
The Lord Bowes made him bowne;
The Lord Audley was feirce to fight,
And all said Richard shold keepe his crowne.
There was my Lord Bartley, sterne on a steede;
The Lord Ferryes of Chartlye, the Lord Ferryes of Strobe;
The Lord Bartlye, noble att neede:
Chamberlaine of England that day was hee.
The Lord Pittz Hugh and his cozen nye;
The Lord Scroope of Upsall, the Lord Scroope of Bolton;
The Lord Dacres raised all the North Cuntrye,
And all said Richard shold keepe his crowne.

There was many nobles mustered to fight:
The Lord Audley and the Lord Lumley;
The Lord Graystocke in his armour bright,
He brought with him a noble companye.
He sware by Jesus, that dyed on a tree,
That his enemyes shold be beaten downe:
He was not [in] England, farr nor neere,
That shold lett Richard to weare his crowne.

There was Sir John Spencer, a noble knight;
Sir Raph Harebottle in rich array;
Sir William Ward, alwayes that was wight;
Sir Archeobald, the good Rydley;
Sir Nicholas Moberly was not away,
Nor yet Sir Robert of Clotten;
Alsoe Sir Oliver, the Hend Horsley:
All said Richard shold keepe his crowne.
There was Sir Henery Percy, sterne on steede;
Sir Roger Bowmer in his companye;
Sir Richard Manners, noble att neede;
Soe was Sir Henery, the hend Hatteley;
Sir Robert Conway in companye;
Sir Raphe Smyth and Sir Roger Akerston,
And Sir William, his cozen nye;
And all sayd Richard shold keepe his crowne.

There was a noble knight, Sir John the Gray,
And Sir Thomas of Mountgomerye;
Sir Rodger Sanfort was not away;
From London came Sir Robert Brackenbury;
Sir Henery Bowdrye was not away,
Nor yet Sir Richard, the good Chorlton;
Sir Raphe Robbye made him yare;
All sayd Richard shold keepe his crowne.

There was Sir Marmaduke Constable, a noble knight:
Of King Richards councell hee was nye;
Sir William Conyous, allwayes that was wight;
Sir Robert Thribald with his meanye;
Soe was Sir Martine of the Wardley,
And Sir Richard, the good Hortton,
And Sir Richard Rosse sware smartlye
That King Richard shold keepe his crowne.
There was Sir Robert, the sterne Sturley;
Sir John of Melton, thither came hee;
Sir Garvis Clyfton in rich array;
Sir Henery Perpoint in his degree;
Sir Thomas North with royaltye,
And alsoe Sir John of Babington;
Sir Humphrey Stafford sware certainelye
That King Richard shold keepe his crowne.

There was Sir Robert Ryder, a man of might;
Sir Robert Utridge in his dignitye;
Sir John Huntington was feirce to fight;
Soe was Sir John Willmarley;
Sir Robert Swayley with royalltye,
And alsoe Sir Bryan of Stableton,
And Sir William, his cozen nye,
And all said Richard shold keepe his crowne.

There was Sir Richard Ratcliffe, a noble knight:
Of King Richards councell was hee;
Sir William, his brother, was feirce to fight,
And Sir Thomas - they were brethren 3;
And Sir Richard the Mallivere,
And Sir John, the good Hortton,
And Sir Thomas, the good Mallyvere,
And all said Richard shold keepe his crowne.
All the North Wales, for the most partye
The flower of Cheshire with him hee did bringe:
Better men were not [in] Christentye
That ever came to maintaine their King.

Erly upon Twesday att morne
Sir William Stanley, that noble knight,
Removed from Nantwiche to the towne of Stone.
By then was Henery come to Stafford straighth.

He longed sore to see him in sight
And straight to Stafford towne is gone,
And kneeled downe anon right,
And by the hand he hath him tane.

Hee said, "I am full glade of thee."
And these were the words he said to him:
"Through the helpe of my Lord thy [bro]ther and thee
I trust in England to continue Kinge."

Then he hent that noble Prince by the hand
And said, "Welcome, my Soverraigne King HENERY!
Chalenge thy herytage and thy land
That thine owne is and thine shall bee.
Be eger to fight and lothe to flee;
Let manhood be bredd thy brest within;
And remember another day who doth for thee,
Of all England when thou art Kinge."
BOSWORTH FEILDE

Had wee not need Jesu to pray,
That made the world, the day and night,
To keepe us out of bale and woe?
2 shires against all England to fight
And maintaine HENERY that came for his right
And in the realme of England was ready bownel
Freinds, and yee will hearken me right,
I shall tell you how Henery gott his crowne:

The Lord Stanley, sterne and stout,
That ever hath beene wise and wittye,
From Latham Castle withouten doubt
Uppon a Munday bowned hee
With knights and squiers in companye:
They had their banners in the sunn glitteringe;
They were as feirce as fawcon to flye,
To maintaine HENERY, that was their King.

Then this Lord bowned him upon a day
With noble men in companye;
Towards Newcastle under Line he tooke the way
And told his men both gold and fee.
Sir William Stanley, wise and wight,
From the castle of Holt with holts hye
To the Nantwich hee rydeth straight
And tooke his men wages of gold and fee.
All the North Wales, for the most partye
The flower of Cheshire with him hee did bringe:
Better men were not [in] Christentye
That ever came to maintaine their King.

Erly upon Twesday att morne
Sir William Stanley, that noble knight,
Removed from Nantwiche to the towne of Stone.
By then was Henery come to Stafford straighth.

He longed sore to see him in sight
And straight to Stafford towne is gone,
And kneeled downe anon right,
And by the hand he hath him tane.
Hee said, "I am full glade of thee."
And these were the words he said to him:
"Through the helpe of my Lord thy father and thee
I trust in England to continue Kinge."

Then he hent that noble Prince by the hand
And said, "Welcome, my Soverraigne King HENERY!
Chalenge thy herytage and thy land
That thine owne is and thine shall bee.
Be eger to fight and lothe to flee;
Let manhood be bredd thy brest within;
And remember another day who doth for thee,
Of all England when thou art Kinge."
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After, there was noe more to say,
But leave of the Prince he hath taken,
And came againe by light of the day
To the little prettye towne of Stone.

Early upon Saturday att morne
To Lichfeild they remove, both old and younge.
Att Woosley Bridge them beforne
There had they a sight of our Kinge;

And to Lichfeild they ridden right,
With answerable army came royallye.
To number the companye that was with the knight,
Itt was a goodlye sight to see.

Guns in Lichfeild they cracken on hye
To cheere the countye both more and min,
And glad was all the chivalrye
That was on Heneryes parte, our Kinge.

Throughout Lichfeild rydeth the knight;
On the other side there tarryed hee.
A messenger came to him straight
And kneeled downe upon his knee,
And saith, "The Lord Stanley is his enemy nye,
That are but a little way from him.
They will fight within these houres 3
With Richard, that is Englands Kinge."
"That wold I not," the knight can say,
"For all the gold in Christentye!"
Toward Tamworth he tooke the way
And came to Hattersey and neighed nye
Where the Lord Stanley in a dale cold bee,
With trumpetts and tabours tempered with him.
Itt was a comelye sight to see
As ever was to maintaine kinge.

All that night there tarryed they
And upon the Sunday Gods service did see.
Toward the feild they did them array:
The vaward the Lord Stanley tooke hee;
Sir William Stanley the rereward wold bee,
And his sonne, Sir Edward, with a winge.
The did remayne in their array
To waite the coming of Richard King.

Then they looked to a forrest syde;
They hard trumpetts and tabours tempered on hye;
They thought King Richard had comen there,
And itt was the noble Prince, King HENERYE.
Over a river then rydeth hee;
He brake the ray and rode to him.
Itt was a comelye sight to see
The meeting of our Lord and Kinge.
Then in their host there did fall affray
A little time before the night.
You never saw men soe soone in their array
With fell weapons feirce for to fight.
Upon a keene courser that was wight
Other lords with him hee cold bringe;
Thus in array came ryding straight
HENERY of England, our noble Kinge.

He lowted low and tooke his hatt in his hand,
And thanked the states and cominaltye:
"To quitt you all I understand;
I trust in Jesu that day to see."
Many a cry in the host that night did bee,
And anon the larke began to singe.
Truth of the battell heere shall yee
That ever was betweene king and king.

King HENERY desired the vaward right
Of the Lord Stanley, that was both wise and wittye,
And hee hath granted him in sight,
And saith, "But small is your companye."
4 of the noble knights then called hee;
Their names to you then shall I mingle.
He bade array them with their chivalrye
And goe to the vaward with our Kinge:
Sir Robert Tunstall, a noble knight,  
And come of royall anceytree;  
Sir John Savage, wise and wight,  
Sir Hugh Persall - there was 3 -  
Sir Humphrey Stanley the 4th did bee 
That proved noble in everye thinge. 
They did assay them with their chivalrye  
And went to the vaward with our Kinge. 

The Lord Stanley, both sterne and stout,  
2 battells that day had hee  
Of hardye men withouten doubt: 
Better were not in Christentye.  
Sir William, wise and worthye,  
Was hindmust att the outsettinge. 
Men said that day that dyd him see,  
Hee came betime unto our King. 

Then he removed unto a mountaine full hye  
And looked into a dale full dread: 
5 miles compasse no ground they see 
For armed men and trapped steeds.  
Theyr armor glittered as any gleed; 
In 4 strong battells they cold forth bring. 
They seemed noble men att need 
As ever came to maintaine king.
The Duke of Norfolke avanted his banner bright;
Soe did the younge Erle of Shrewsburye
To the sun and wind right speedylye dight;
Soe did Oxford, that Erle, in companye. 484
To tell the array itt were hard for me,
And [the] noble power that they did bring;
And of the ordinance heere shall yee
That had that day Richard our Kinge.

The had 7 scores sarpendines without dou[b]t,
That were locked and chained uppon a row;
As many bombards that were stout:
Like blasts of thunder they did blow. 492
10,000 morespikes with all
And harquebusyers, throwlye can the thringe
To make many a noble man to fall
That was on HENERYS part, our Kinge. 496

King Richard looked on the mountaines hye
And sayd, "I see the banner of the Lord Stanley."
He said, "Feitch hither the Lord Strange to mee,
For doubtlesse hee shall dye this day. 500
I make mine avow to Marye, that may,
That all the gold this land within
Shall not save his liffe this day
In England iff I be Kinge."
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Then they brought the Lord Strange into his sight.
He said, "For thy death make thee readye."
Then answered that noble knight
And said, "I crye God and the world mercye!"
And Jesus, I draw wittnesse to thee
That all the world from woe did winn:
Since the time that I borne did bee
Was I never traitor to my Kinge."

A gentleman then called hee
(Men said Latham was his name):
"And ever thou come into my countrye,
Greete well my gentlemen eche one;
My yeomen, large of blood and bone,
Sometimes we had mirth att our meetinge!
They had a master and now they have none,
For heere I must be martyred with the Kinge."

There he tooke a ring of his fingar right,
And to that squier raught itt hee,
And said, "Beare this to my lady bright,
For shee may thinke itt longe or shee [me] see.
Yett att Doomes day meete shall wee -
I trust in Jesu, that all this world shall winn -
In the celestyall heaven upon hye,
In presence of a noble king.
And the feild be lost upon our partye -
As I trust in God itt shall not bee -
Take my eldest sonne, that is my heyre,
And flee into some farr countrye.
Yett the child a man may bee:
Hee is comen of a lords kinn,
Another day to revenge mee
Of Richard of England if he be King."  

Then to King Richard there came a knight,
Saith, "I hold noe time about this to be:
See yee not the vawards begining to fight?
When yee have the father, the unckle, all 3,
Looke what death you will have them to dye;
Att your will you may them deeme."
Through these fortunate words eskaped hee
Out of the danger of Richard the Kinge.  

Then the partyes countred together egerlye.
When the vawards began to fight,
King Henery fought soe manfullye;
Soe did Oxford, that Erle soe wight;
Sir John Savage, that hardy knight,
Deathes dints he delt that day,
With many a white hood in fight,
That sad men were att assay.
Sir Gilbert Talbott was not away,
But stoutly stirred him in that fight;
With noble men att assay
He caused his enemyes lowe to light.
Sir Hugh Persall with sheild and speare
Full doughtylye that day did hee:
He bare him doughtye in this warr
As a man of great degree.

King Richard did in his army stand:
He was n[umbred to 40,000 and 3
Of hardy men of hart and hand
That under his banner there did bee.
Sir William Stanley, wise and worthie,
Remembered the brea[k]fast he hett to him;
Downe att a backe then cometh hee,
And shortlye sett upon the Kinge.

Then they countred together sad and sore:
Archers they lett sharpe arrowes flee;
They shott guns both fell and farr;
Bowes of vewe bended did bee;
Springalls spedd them speedylye;
Harquebusiers pelletts throughly did thringe;
Soe many a banner began to swee
That was on Richards partye, their King.
Then our archers lett their shooting bee;
With joyned weapons were growden full right.
Brands rang on basenetts hye;
Battell axes fast on helmes did light.
There dyed many a doughtye knight:
There under foot can the thringe.
Thus they fought with maine and might
That was on HENERYES part, our King.

Then to King Richard there came a knight,
And said, "I hold itt time for to flee,
For yonder Stanleys dints they be soe wight,
Against them no man may dree.
Heere is thy horsse att thy hand readye.
Another day thou may thy worshipp win,
And for to raigne with royalitye,
To weare the crowne and be our King."

He said, "Give me my battell axe in my hand;
Sett the crowne of England on my head soe hye,
For, by him that shope both sea and land,
King of England this day I will dye.
One foote will I never flee
Whilst the breath is my brest within."
As he said, soe did itt bee,
If hee lost his liffe if he were King.
About his standard can the light;
The crowne of gold the hewed him froe;
With dillfull dints his death the dight;
The Duke of Norfolke that day the slowe;
The Lord Ferrers and many other moe
Boldlye on bere they can them bringe;
Many a noble knight in his hart was throwe
That lost his liffe with Richard the King.

There was slaine Sir Richard Ratcliffe, a noble knight:
Of King Richards counsell [he] was full nye;
Sir William Conyas, allwayes that was wight,
And Sir Robert of Brakenburye.
A knight there dyed that was full doughtye:
That was Sir Richard, the good Chorlton;
That day there dyed hee
With Richard of England that ware the crowne.

Amongst all other knights, remember,
Which were hardy and therto wight,
Sir William Brandon was one of those;
King Heneryes standard he kept on height,
And wanted itt with manhood and might
Untill with dints hee was dr[i]ven downe,
And dyed like an ancyent knight
With HENERY of England, that ware the crowne.
Sir Percivall Thriball the other hight,
[A] noble knight, and in his hart was true;  
King Richards standard hee kept upright
Untill both his leggs were hewen him froe;
To the ground he wold never lett itt goe
Whilst the breath his brest was within;
Yett men pray for the knights 2
That ever was soe true to their King.

Then they moved to a mountaine on height;
With a lowde voice they cryed, "King HENERY!"
The crowne of gold that was bright
To the Lord Stanley delivered itt bee;
Anon to King HENERY delivered itt hee,
The crowne that was soe delivered to him,
And said, "Methinke ye are best worthye
To weare the crowne and be our King."

Then they rode to Leister that night
With our noble prince, King HENERYE.
They brought King Richard thither with might,
As naked as he borne might bee,
And in Newarke laid was hee,
That many a one might looke on him.
Thus fortunes raignes most marvelouslye,
Both with emperour and with king.
Now this doubtfull day is brought to an end;
Jesu now on their soules have mercy!
And hee [that] dyed this world to amend
Save Stanleys blood where soever they bee,
To remaine as lords with royaltye,
When truth and conscyence shall spread and spring,
And that they bee of councell nye
To James of England that is our King!

FINIS
THE THIRD POEM:

SCOTISH FEILDE
Grant, gracious God, grant me this time
That I may say, or I cease, thy selven to please,
And Mary, his mother that ma[k]ed all this world,
And all the seemlie saints, that sitten in Heaven.
I will carpe of [a] king that conquered full wide,
That dwelled in this land, that was al[wa]yes noble:
Henery the Seaventh, that Soveraigne Lord;
How he moved in at Milford with men but a few.
There were lite lords in this land that to that Lord longed
But of Derby that deare Earle, that doughty hath beene ever,
And the Lord Chamberlaine, that was his cheeфе brother;
Savage, his sisters sonne, a sege that was able;
And Gylbert, the gentle, with a jollye meanye.
All Lancashire these ladds the ledden att their will,
And Cheshyre hath them chosen for their cheeфе captaine.
Much worshipp have the woone in warre; their was of their names
In France and in fe[le] lands, soe fayre them behappen!
Sith Brute heere abode and first built up houses.
Sir James Blunt, that bold knight, he bowed to their hands;
Soe did Sir Edward Poynings, that proved was of deeds;
Sir John Biron was never afrayd for no burne livinge:
A more manfull man was not of this mold maked.
Thus with a royall retinewe raked the forwarde.
On this side Bosworth in a bancke the bred forth their standards
With a dragon full derffe, that dred was sone after,
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Rayled full of redd roses and riches enoughe.
There he bekered with a bore that doughtie was called,
Richard, that rich Lorde, in his bright armour;
He kidde himself no cowarde, for he was a king noble.
He fought full fuerslye his formen amonge,
Till all his bright armour was blodye beronen,
For he would not flie for feare of no foe.
Thus was he dongen to death with many derffe strokes,
And cast him on a capull, and carryed him to Liester,
And naked into Newarke. I will mine him no more,
But let Drough[ten deale with all as him dere liketh.

Then had Richmond this realme, with all the royall cuntrye,
And raigned with royaltie and riches enough,
Fully fower and twenty yeeres in this faire lande.
He made Frenche men aferde of his fell deedes:
They paid him tributes many tolde thowsands
That they might live in their lande and him their Lord call.
But death at him drove that die must he needes.
Thus went he forth of this worlde, this worchifull Lord,
To the celestiall blisse with saints enough.
I will meddell with this matter no more at this tyme,
But he that is makeles of mercy have mynde of his soule!

Then succeded his sonne, a soveraigne most noble,
That proved was for a prince most peerless of other:
That was Henry theight, our most dreade Lorde.
When his father, that furse freake, had finished his daies,
He made Frenche men aferde, and faire him besoughte
That he would take their tributes, and tene them no further;
But he nicked them with nay, and none of yt woulde,
For he would see under their signorie some of their sure townes.
Thus he graythes him godly with a greate oste,
Fullie fowertie thowsande, that fuerse was in armes,
For to fare into Fraunce at theire free will.
Then left he in this land a lede that was nouble:
Of Surrey that sure Erle, the saddest of all other,
As Lord and Levetenaunt to looke this land over
Yf any aliaunt in his absence durst aventure himself
To visite or invade his most valiant realme.
Then he dressed him to Dover, our most dred King,
With many leedes of this land — our Lord geve them joye!
Of Buckingham that bold Duke, he was a burne noble;
And of Derby that deare Erle, that doughtie hath bene ever;
And Shresburie, the sure Erle, the saddest of all other:
As a warriour full wise he wyndeth with the vawarde;
The noble Erle of Northumberland with others full manye,
The wynd at their will, wrought as they liked.
Thus the glenten to Calice with greate shippes of warre,
And many semely sailes were seene on their masts.
When they to Calice come, all this comely meany,
Our King, full of couradge, carped theis wordes,
Calleth his counsell him till to weet of their will
On what wise was best his warres to beginne.
Some set him to a citie that was sure walled,
And told him to Turwin, a towne that was noble,
That oft had been assaied both with emperour and other;
Yet would it never be wonen in warr for no [wee] upon lyve:
There was no wight in the world that wynne it ne might,
Yt was so deepe dolven with ditches aboute.
Then our King, full of coradge, carped theis wordes,
Saith, "I will sedge it aboute within this seaven daies,
And wynne it or I hence wynde - with the helpe of our Lorde,
Or leave here my life, le[ed]es, I you heete."
Thus he promised to the Prince that Paradice weldeth.
There were cariades with carts and many kene weapons.
Oure vaward full valiantly avance d them selven;
With trumpetts and taberetts forward they wenten.
Beside the towne of Tirwin our tents downe we tilden,
And sedged it surely on sides all aboute,
And many a gaping gunne was girde to the walles;
There they fell at the first shotte, many a fell fothir
Of stones that were never stirred—so stoutlie they shotten.
Now leve we our King lying at the sedge,
And carpe of the Frenche King—care him behappen!
When he heard how unkindly his townes they were halched,
He piked him to Parice for things that might happen.
There called he his counsell for to knowe their myndes
On what wise was best to worcke his warres to beginne:
He durst not counter with our King, he was so kene holden,
For all the glaring goulde under God of heaven.
Then his counsell full kenely carped in this wise,
Saith, "Make furth a messenge to the mighty King of Scotts,
And profferre him a present all of pure goulde,
And byd him enter into England and aunter himselven:
He may wynne it in warre and welde as him liketh:
There are no ledes in that lande to looke him against:
All bene faren into Fraunce that fayre were in armes:
But milners and massepreists there bene no men ells."
Then the King called an erle that was a lord noble:
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Sir de la Mote, that dere Duke, that doughtie was ever.
He bid buske and bowne him to go on his message:
He was as wise of his wordes as any [wee] ells.
Then that knight full curtislye kneled to the grounde,
Sayeth, "I am bowne to goe, as ye bid me woulde,"
And tooke his leave of the King, and the letter he taketh,
Shott into a sure shipp, and shoggeth over the water
Into Scotland, I you heete, and there the King findeth,
And proffered him a present of poundes many thowsand
For to wynde to that warre and weld as him liketh,
And enter into England, and weld yt for ever:
There is no lede in that lande to looke him against:
All bene faren into Fraunce that fuerse were in armes.
The King was glade of that golde that the gome brought,
And promised him full perty his part for to take,
That his cossen, the Frenche King, full sone should yt knowe.
The sumonned he his sedges in sondry places,
That they should be at Blacator in their best weedes,
By the viii\textsuperscript{th} day of August to knowe their Kings mynde.
They come at his comaundement, kettricks full many:
From Orkenche, that strange ile, there came a greate oste;
From Galaway a gay lord with a greate meany;
All Scotland theder come to knowe their Kings mynde:
Many Scotts and kettericks bowed to his hande.
Suche an ost of that nation was never sene before:
Their names were nombred to nyne score thousande
Truly, by their owne tounge, as it was tolde after.
Then they light at a lotte, the King and his lordes,
That the mightie Lord'Mackesfelde should move them before
With tenne thousand by tale that were tried of the best,
To see whether any sedge durst sett him against.
Thus they rested in that realme, the ri[nck]s all togeder,
Till the heard of that [hattell], how yt with him happed.
Then he bowneth him boldlie over the brode waters,
And manly him marketh to the mylne feild.
He robbes like'a rebell, the right him against,
But all light on his ledes at the later ende,
For killed they were like caitives, as ye shall heare after.
When the comons of the country of there com[ing] wisten,
Then fledde they for feare, soe cruelly the ferden,
& made a wee to wynde to tell my Lord Dakers
What mischeefe the fomen made in the marche endes;
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But he kepeth him at Carleile, and kere woulde no further:
He would not medle with this me[any] for no mans will.
Then a knight of that cuntrey, that knowen was full wyde,
One Sir William Bowmer, that bolde hath bene ever,
He moveth toward [t]his meany with men but a fewe:
Not fullie five hundreth that the freake followed.
Then mett he with a man that had foure hundreth:
Yt was bold bastard Hearne, that bashed was never,
A warriour full wise and wittie of deedes.
When they were sumoned and sene, those sedges altogether,
they were numbered nyne hundreth - that was the highest nomber,
And the were X thousand by tale upon the other partie.
Full unmette were they matched - Mary them speede!
Thus the faren over the feilde there foemen to seeke.
Never rest would those r[inck]s, but alway rayled forwarde
Till they had sene that sedge that they had sought after,
All those s[catell] Scotts, that all the scathe deden.
Then nighed the night, that byde must they neden.
Every rincke to his rest radly him dressed,
Not the mountenance of a myle from their most enemies.
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The sonne shott up full sone, and shone over the hilles;
Brydes brayden to the bowes and boldlie they songen.
It was a solace to see for any sedge living.
Then every burne full boldly bowneth him to his weapon; 180
Full radly in array royallie them dressed.
Our Englishmen full egerly attilde them to shoott,
Skochen the cruell Scotts with their kene arrowes.
Many a horse in that heape hurlde down his maister.
Then they fetteled them to flye, as false be they ever. 185
That serveth not, for sothe, who so trulie telleth.
Our Englishmen full egerly fast followed after,
And tooke prisoners prest, and home againe wenten.
There were killed of the Scotts moe then xii scower,
And as many prisoners were put to their ransome. 190
Thus were they beaten at the first braid, all that brawling
...[people,
And likewise in the later ende, as ye may heare after.
Then the mightie Lord Mackesfeld over the mountaines fleethe,
And kyred to his King with carefull tithindes,
Telleth him the truthe, and tarieth he no longer, 195
Sayeth, "I am beaten back, for all my bigge meany,
And there bene killed of the Scotts I knowe not how many."
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Then the Scottishe Kinge full nighe his witt wanteth,
And saide, "On who was thou mached, man, by the southe?"
And he promised him pertely they passed not a thousand.
"Ye bene cowards," quod the Kinge, "care mote ye happen!
I will wynde you to wreke, wees, I you heete,
And, lying within that land the length of three weekes,
Distroy all aright that standeth me before."
Thus he promised to the Prince that Paradice weldeth.
Then he sumoned his sedges and set them in order.
The next way to Norham anone then he taketh;
He umclosed that castell clene round aboute,
And they defended fast, the folke that were within.
Without socour come sone there sorow is the more!
The Erle of Surrey himself at Pomfret abideth,
And heard what unhapp all those harlotts didden;
He made letters boldlie all the land over:
Into Lancashire belive he caused a man to ride
To the Bishopp of Eley, that bode in those parts,
Curteslie commaunded him, in the Kings name,
To somon the shire and set them in order.
He was put in more power then any prelate ells.
Then the Bishop full boldlie bowneth furth his standart
With a captain full kene, as he was knowen after. 220
He made a wee to wynde to warne his dere brother
Edward, that egar knight, that epe was of deedes,
A stalke of the Standles, stepe of himselven.
Then full radlie he rayseth rincks tenne thousandes;
To Skypoton in Crane then he come belive. 225
There abideth he the banner of his dere brother
Till a captaine with it come that knowen was full wide:
Sir John Stanley, that stowte knight, that sterne was of deedes,
With foure thousand furse men that followed him after.
They were tenants that they tooke, that tenden on the Bishopp,
Of his houshold, I you hete, hope ye no other.
Every burne had on his breast, browdered with goulde,
A fote of the fairest foule that ever flowe on winge,
With 3 crownes full cleare, all of pure goulde.
Yt was a semely sight to see them togeder: 235
Fowrtene thousand egill feete feteled in araye.
Thus they costen throw the cuntrey to the New Castell;
Proclamation in that place was plainely declared
That every hatell should him hie, in hast that he might,
To Bolton in Glendowre, all in godly haste. 240
There mett they at a muster men many thousande,
With knights that were kene, full well knowne in their cuntreys,
And many a lovely lorde upon that land light.
Then the moved towards the montains those meany to seche,
Those skatell Scotts, that all the scath diden. 245
They would never rest, but alway raked forward
Till they had sene the sedges that they had sought after;
But they had gotten them a ground most ungracious of other
Upon the toppe of a high hill, I hete you for sothe.
There was no wee in this worlde might wynd them againe 250
But he should be killed in the cloes or he could clyme the montains.
When the lordes had on them loked as long as them liked,
Every captaine was commaunded their companie to order.
Though we were bashed of theis burns, I blame us but littell.
Then we tild downe of your tents, that told were a 1000. 255
At the foot of a fine hill they fettled them all night.
There they lyen & lodged the length of 4 daies,
Till every captaine full keenlie callen to their lords,
Bid them [f]ettle them to fight or they wold fare homeward:
There company was clemmed and much cold did suffer; 260
Water was a worthy drinke, win it who might.
Then the Lord Lieuetenat looked him about,
And boldly unto battell busked he his meanye.
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The Lord Howard, the hende knight, have shold the vanwarde,
With 14,000 feirce men that followed him after;
The left winge to that ward was Sir Eward Howarde:
He chose to him Cheshire – theire chance was the worse –
Because they knew not their captaine theire care was the more,
For they were wont att all warr to wayte uppon the Stanleys.
Much worshipp they woone when they that w[ee] served,
But now lanke is their losse – our Lord itt amend!
The right wings, as I weene, was my Lord Lumley,
A captaine full keene, with St Cutberds banner;
My Lord Clifford with him came, all in cleare armour;
Soe did Sir William Percy, that proved was of deeds;
And Sir William Bawmer, that bold hath beeene ever,
With many captaines full keene, who soe knowes their names.
And yf I reckon the rewarde, I rest must to longe,
But I shall tell you the best frekes that thereupon tenden:
The Erle of Surrey himself surelie it guided;
The Lord Scroupe full comely, with knights full many;
Yf ye would witt the wings that to that ward longed,
That was a bishop full bolde, that borne was at LATHUM:
Of Ely that ylke Lorde, that epe was of deeds,
An egg of that bold Erle that named was Standley,
Nere of nature to the Nevills, that noble have bene ever;
But now death with his dart hath driven him awaye:
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It is a losse to the lande - our Lord have his soule!
For his witte and his wisedome and his wale deedes,
He was a piller of peace the people amonge.
His servaunts they maie syke and sorow for his sake,
What for pitie and for paine my pen doth me fayle.
I will medle with this matter no more at this tyme,
But he that is makles of mercie have mynd on his soule!
The he sent with his company a knight that was noble:
Sir John Standley, that stoute knight, that sterne was of deedes.
There was never burne borne that day bare him better.
The left winge to that reward was my Lord Mounteegle,
With many leedes of Lanchashire that to him longed,
Which foughten full fuerslie whiles the feild lasted.
Thus the reward in aray rayked ever after,
As longe as the light daie lasted on the grounde.
The the sonne full sone shott under the clowdes,
And yt darkened full dymly and drew toward the night.
Every ryncke to his reste full radlie him dressed,
Beten fires full fast, and feteled them to sowpe
Besides Barwick in a banck within a brode woode.
Then dayned the daie, so dere God it ordeyned:
Cloudes cast up full clerely like castells full hie.
SCOTISH FEILDE

Then Phebus full faire florished out his beames, 310
With leames full light all the land over.
All was damped with dewe the daysies aboute;
Flowers florished in the feildes, faire to beholde;
Brides brayden to the bowes and boldly the songen:
It was solace to heare for any sedge living. 315
Then full boldlie on the brode hills we busked our standarts,
And on a soughe us beside there seene we our enemies
Were moving over the mountains; to matche us they thoughten,
As boldlie as any burnes that borne were of mothers;
And we egerlie with ire atylde them to meete. 320
Then trompetts full trulie they triden togeather;
Many shalmes in that shawe, with their shrill notes.
Heavenly was their melady, their myrthes to heare,
How they songen with a showte all the shawes over.
There was gurding furth of gunnes with many greate stones; 325
Archers uttered out their arrowes and egerlie they shotten.
They proched us with speares and put many over,
That the bloud out brast at their broken harnes.
There were swinging out of sweords and swapping of heddes.
We blancked them with billes through all their bright armor,
That all the dale dynned of their derffe strokes.

Then betide a checke that Cheshire men fledden.

In wynge with those wees was my Lord Dacars:

He fled at the first brade, and they followed after.

When their captaine was away, their comfort was gone;

They were wonte at all warres to waite upon the Standles:

They never fayled at no forward that tyme that they were.

Now lost is their losse - our Lord yt amende!

Many swyers full swifterlie were swapped to the deathe:

Sir John Both of Barton was brought from his life:

A more bolder burne was never borne of woman;

And of Yorkshire a yong knight that epe was of deedes:

Sir William Warkehoppe, as I wene, was the wees name;

Of the same shire Sir William, that was so fuerse holden:

Besides Raderam that rinck his resting place had;

The Barne of Kinderton full kenely was killed them beside;

So was HONFORDE, I you hete, that was a hynde swyer;

Fullsewise full fell was fallen to the grounde;

Christopher Savadge was downe caste, that kere might he never;

And of Lancashire John Lawrens - our Lord have their soules!

Theis freaks would never flee for feare that might happen:
They were killed like conquerours in their Kings service.

When the Scottes and the ketericks seen our men sketer,
They had greate joy of their joyning and jolily came downwarde.
Then the Scotts King calleth to him a heralde, 355
Biddeth tell him the truth, and tary no longer:
Who were the baners of the burns that bode in the valey?
"They are the standarts of the Standlees that stand by them selven.

Yf he be faren into Fraunce the Frenche men to feere,
Yet is his standart in that steede with a styffe captaine:
Sir Henry Kighley is called, that kene is of deedes.
Sir Thomas Jared, that jollie knight, is joyned thereunder
With Sir William Molynex with a manfull meany.
Theis freakes will never flee for feare of no weapon,
But they will sticke with their standarts in their stele weedes.
Because they bashed them at Berwick, that boldeth them the more.
Lo, how he baters and beates, the bird with his wings!
We are ferde of yonder foule, so fuerslie he fareth;
And yonder streymer full streight, that standeth him beside,
Is the standart of St [Audrey], trow ye noe other. 370
That never beaten was in battaile for burne upon lyve;
The third standart in that stidde is my Lordes Mountegle,
And of Yorkshire full epe, my young Lord Dakars,
With muche pusance and power of that pure shire."

Then the Skottishe Kinge carped theis wordes:
"I will fight with yonder freakes that are so fuerse holden;
And I beate those burnes, the battell is ours."

Then he moved toward the montains and manly came downwarde;
We mett him in the midway and matched him full even;
Then there was dealling of dents, that all the dales ronge;
Many helmes with heddes were hewen all to peeces.
This layke lasted on the lande the length of fower howers.
Yorkshire like yorne men egerly they foughten;
So did Darbyshire that day deyred many Scotts;
Lancashire like lyons layden them aboute.
All had bene lost, by our Lorde, had not those leedes bene;
But the care of the Skotts increased full sore,
For their Kinge was downe knocked and killed in their sight
Under the banner of a bishopp: that was the bold Standley.
Then they fetiled them to flye as fast as they might,
But that served not, for sothe, who so truth telleth.
Our Englishmen full egerly after them folowed
And killed them like catiffes in clowes all aboute.
There were killed of the Skotts, that told were by tale,
That were found in the feild, fiftene thousand.
Lo, what it is to be false and the finde servel
They have broken a booke othe to their blessed King,
And the truce that was taken for the space of two yeares.
All the Scotts that were scaped were scatered farre asonder;
The removed over the more upon the other morninge,
And their stoode like stakes and stirre durst no further,
For all the lordes of their land were laft them behinde.
Beside Brymstone in a brick bretheles the lyen,
Gaping against the mone, their ghosts were awaye.
Then the Erle of Surrey himself calleth to him a heralde,
Bad him fare into Fraunce with theis faire tithandes:
"Commende me to our Kinge theis comfortable wordes:
Tell him I have rescowed his realme, so right required.
The King of Scotts is killed with all his cursed lordes."
When the Kinge, of his kindnes, heard theis wordes,
He saith, "I will singe him a souleknell with the sound of my gunnes."

Such a noyse, to my name, was never heard before,
For there was shott at a shotte a thousand at ones,
That all rang with the rowte, rocher and other.
Now is this fuerse feilde foughten to and ende;
Many a wee wanted his horse and wandered home [on] fote.
All was long of the march men — a mischeffe them happen!
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He was a gentilman, by Jesu, that this jest made,
Which said but as ye see, for soth, and no other.
At Baguley that burne his biding place he had;
His auncetors of old time have yerded their longe,
Before William Conquerour this countrey inhabited.
Jesue bring them to thy blisse, that brought us forth of bale,
That have hearckened me here, and heard well my tale.
THE FOURTH POEM:

FLODDEN FEILDE
Nowe let us talke of the mounte of Floden,
Forsythe suche is our fortune and chaunce;
And let us tell of what tythandes the Earle of Surrey
Sent to our Kynge into Fraunce.

The Earle he hathe a wryting made
And surlye sealed yt with his hande.
From the Newcastell upon Tyne
The herott passed from the land;

And after at Calyce he aryved
Like a nowble leede of great degree,
And then to Tyrwyn sone he hyed:
There he thoughte to have founde Kynge Henry;

But there the walles were beaten downe,
And our Englishe sowdiers therin layne.
Sythe to Torney the way he nome,
Wher as laye the Emperour of Almayne;
And there he founde the Prynce of England -
Blessed Jesu preserve that name!

When the herott came before our Kynge,
Lowlye he kneled upon his knee,
And said, "Christe, Christean Kynge, that on the crosse dyed,
Nowble Henry, this day thy speede may bee!"

The firste worde that the Prynce did mynge
Said, "Welcome, herott, out of England to me!
Howe fares my leedes? How fares my lordes?
My knyghts and swyers in their degree?"

"Here gretteth you well your own leavetenaunt,
The honourable Earle of Surrey:
He byddeth you in Fraunce to venter your chaunce,
For slayne is your brother, Kynge Jame,
And at lovlye London yee shall hym fynde,
My comlye Prynce, in the presence of the."

Then bespeke our comlye Kynge,
And said, "Whoe did fighte and whoe did flee?
And whoe bare them beste upon the Mounte of Floden?
And whoe was false and whoe was true to me?"
"Lancashire and Cheshire," said the messenger,
"Cleane they bene bothe fledd and gone.
There was never a man that longed to the Earle of Derbye
That durste looke his enemye upon."

Still in a studye stoode our nowble Kynge,
And he toke the wryting in his hande.
Shortlye the sealle he did unclose,
And radly red as he yt founde.

Then bespeake our nowble Kynge,
And he caled upon his chevallrye,
And said, "Whoe will feche me the Kinge of Man,
The honourable Thomas, Earle of Derbye?

"He may take Lancashire and Cheshire bothe,
That he hath caled the cheefe of chevalrye!
Nowe falslye are they fled and gone:
Not one of them is true unto me."

Then bespeake Sir Rauphe Egerton, the knyghte,
And lowlye kneled upon his knee,
And said, "My Sovereigne Kynge Henrye,
Yf it like you, my Sovereigne Lorde, to pardon me:
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"Yf Lancashire and Cheshire bene fled and gon,
Of those tythandes we may be unfayne:
But I dare laye my lyve and lande
Yt was for wante of their captayne:

"For yf the Earle of Derbye our captayne had bene,
And us to leade in our arryeye,
Then noe Lancashire man nor Cheshire
That ever woulde have fled awaye."

"Soe yt proved well," said our nowble Kynge,
"By hym that dearlye dyed on tree!
For nowe, when we had the greatest neede,
Falslye then served they to me."

Then spake Wylliam Breerton, knyghte,
And lowlye kneled his prync before,
And said, "My sovereigne Kynge Henry theighte,
And your Grace sett by us soe lytill store,
"Wherssoever ye come in feilde to feighte,
Sett the Earle of Derbye and us before.
Then shall ye see whether we fighte or fllee;
True or falsse whether we be borne."
Compton rowned with our Kynge anon,
Said, "Goe we and leave the cowards righte!"
"Here is my glove," quoth Egerton,
"Compton, yf thou be a knyghte."

"Take my glove and with me feighte
Man to man, yf thou wylte turne agayne;
For yf our Prynce were not present righte,
The one of us two shoulde be slayne,

"And never a foote besyde the ground gon
Untyll the one dead shoulde bee."
Our Prynce was moved there at anon
And returned hym righte tenyslye;
And to him came one the other syde
The honourable Earle of Derbye
And, when he before our Prynce came,
Lowlye he kneled upon his knee
And said, "Jesu Chryste, that on the crosse dyed,
This day, nowble Henry, thy speede maye bee!"
The firste wurde that our Kynge speake
Said, "Welcome, Kynge of Man and Earle of Derbye!"
"Howe lykest thou Lancashire and Cheshire bothe, Which was cownted cheefe of chevallrye? Falsly are they fled and gon: Never one of them ys true to me."

"Yf that be soe," said the Earle then, "My Leige, therof I am not fayne. My comlye Prynce, rebuke not me: I was not there to be theire captayne."

"Yf I had bene theire captayne," the Earle said then, "I durst have layed bothe lyffe and land He never came out of Lancashire nor Cheshire That woulde have fled a foote beside the grounde."

"But yf yt like your nowble Grace A lyttill boun to graunte yt me, Lett me have Lancashire and Cheshire bothe - I desyre noe more helpe trulye.

"Yf I fayle to bren up all Scotteland, Take and hange me upon a tree. I shall conquer all to Parys gate, Both the comlye castylls and towers heigh."
"Where as the walles they bene soe stronge, 
Lancashire and Cheshire shall beate them downe."

"By my fathers sowle," then said our Kynge, 
"And by hym that dyed on the roode,"

"Thou shalte never have Lancashire and Cheshire righte 
At thine owne obedyence for to be. 
Cowards in a feilde fellye will feighte 
Agayne to wynn the victory."

"We were never cowards," said the Earle, 
"By hym that derlye dyed for me! 
Whoe broughte in your father at Melforde Haven ?"
(Kynge Henry the Seaventh, forsothe, was hee.)

"Throughe the towne of Fortune we did him brynge, 
And soe conveyed hym to Schrewesburye, 
And soe we crowned hym a nowble kynge, 
And Richard that day we demed to dye."

Our Prynce was greatlye moved at that worde 
And returned hym hastelye in same. 
To comforte the earle came on the other syde 
The doughtye Edward, Duke of Bokingham:
"Plucke up thy harte, brother Standley,
And lett noe thynge greve the,
For I dare lay my lyffe to wedde
Yt is a false wryting of the Earle of Surrey:

"Synce Kynge Richard feylde he never loved the,
For thyne uncle slewe his father there,
And dearlye demed hym to dye.
Sir Christofer Savage his standarte away did beare."

"Alas, brother," said the Earle of Derbye,
"Woe be the tyme that I was made knyghte,
Or were ruler of any land,
Or ever had manhoode in feilde to feighte!

"Soe boulde men in battell as were they,
Forsoothe, had neyther lorde nor swayne.
Farewell, myne uncle, Sir Edward Standley,
For well I wott that thou arte slayne.

"Surlye whiles that thy lyffe woulde laste
Thou wouldest never schunte besides the playne;
Nor Sir John Standley, that childe soe yonge,
Well I wott nowe that thou arte slayne.

"Farewell Kyghley: coward was thou none,
Olde Sir Henrye, the goode knyghte!
I lafte the ruler of Lathum
To be my deputye bothe day and nyghte.

"Farewell Towneley, that was soe true,
And the nowble Hashton of Mydelton,
And the sad Sothewarke, that ever was sure,
Farewell - I wott that thou arte gon.

"Farewell Hashton under Lyne,
And manyle Mollenax, for thou arte slayne,
For dowteles whilst your lyves woulde laste
Ye woulde never schunte beside the playne.

"Farewell Aderton with the leaden mall:
Well I knowe thou arte demed to dye.
I may take my leave nowe at you all;
The flowre of manhoode is gon from me.

"Farewell Sir John Boothe of Barton, Knyghte:
Well I knowe that thou arte slayne,
For whiles thy liffe woulde laste to feighte
Thou woulde never [schunte] beside the playne.
"Farewell Butteler and Sir Bode:
Sure ye have bene ever to me,
And soe I knowe that still you woulde
Unslayne nowe yf you had bee.

"Farewell Christofer Savage, the wighte:
Well I know that thou arte slayne,
For whiles thy lyffe woulde laste to feighte
Thou wouldest never [schunte] beside the playne.

"Farewell Dutton and Sir Downe:
Ye have bene ever true to me.
Farewell the Baron of Kynderton:
Beside the feilde thou woulde not filee.

"Farewell Seton of Gowsewurthe:
Other thou arte taken or slayne,
For dowteles whiles thy lyffe woulde laste
Thou wouldest never [schunte] beside the playne."

As they stoode talking together there,
The Duke and the Earle trulye,
Came to comfort hym the true Talbott,
[The] nowble Earle of Schrewesburye.
"Plucke up thy harte, sonne Thomas, and be merrye,
And lett noe tythands greve the!
Am I not godfather to our Kynge?
Myne owne godson, forsothe, is hee."

He toke the Duke of Buckingham by the arme
And the Earle of Schrewesburye by the other:
"To parte with you yt is my harms.
Farewell my father and my brother.

"Farewell Lancaster, that lytill towne,
Farewell now for ever and aye!
Many poore men may praye for my sowlle
When they lye weping in the lane.

"Farewell Lathum, that bright bower:
Nyne towers thou bearest on hye,
And other nyne thou bearest in the utter walles.
Within the may be lodged kyngs three.

"Farewell Knoweslay, that lityll tower
Under nethe the holtes soe whore:
Ever when I thinke on that bright bower
Wyte me not thoughe my harte be sore."
"Farewell Tockestaffe, that trustie parke,  
And the fair rever that renneth there beside: 
There was I wonte to chasse the hynde and harte. 
Nowe therin I wyll never abyde.  

"Farewell boulde Byrkhead: there was I borne  
Within the abbey and that monestrye. 
The sweete covent for me may morne. 
I gave to you tythe of Beeston trulye.  

"Farewell Westchester for ever more,  
And the Water Gate - it is myne owne. 
I gave a mase the Sergeant to weare 
To wayte on the mayor, as yt is knownen.  

"Wyll I never come that cetye within,  
But, sonne Edward, thou maiest yt clayme of righte. 
Farewell Westharden - I may the myn. 
Knyght and Lorde I was of greate myghte.  

"Swete sonne Edward, white bokes thou make,  
And ever have petye on the poore comyntye. 
Farewell Hope and Hopes Dalle, 
Moulde and Mouldes Dale: God be with the!  
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"I may take my leave with a heavye cheare,  
For within the wyll I never bee."  
As they stood talking togeder there,  
The Duke and the lordes trulye,  
Came James Garsyde, a yeoman of the garde,  
That had bene broughte up with the Earle of Derbye.  
Lyke the dyvell with his fellowes he had fared:  
He stycked two and wounded three.  
After, with his sword drawn in his hande,  
He fled to the nowble Earle of Derbye.  
"Stande up, Jame," the Earle said,  
"Theis tythandes nothynge liketh me.  
"I have seene the daye I could have saved the  
Suche xxxtie men yt thou had slayne;  
And nowe yt I shoulde speake for the,  
Sure thou were for to be slayne.  
"I wyll ones desire my brethren echon  
That they will nowe speake for the."  
He prayed the Duke of Buckingham  
And also the Earle of Schrewesburye;
Also my Lord Peighwater soe wyse,
And the gude Lorde Wyllabe;
Sir Ryse up Thomas, a knyghte of pryce,
And all they spake for longe Jame.

They had nott standen but a lytlyl whyle there,
The Duke and the earles in their talking,
Streighte to the Earle came a messenger
That came latlye from the Kynge,

And bad that longe Jame shoulde be send;
There shoulde nether be grythe nor grace,
But on a boughe he shoulde be hanged
In mydest the feylde before the Earles face.

"Yf that be," said the Earle of Derbye,
"I trust our Prynce wyll better bee
(Suche tythandes maketh my herte full heavye)
Affore His Grace when that we bee."

The Duke of Buckingham toke Jame by the on arme
And the Earle of Schrewesburye by the other.
Affore them they putt the Kynge of Man:
Yt was the Earle of Derbye and non other.
The Lorde Feighwater he followed faste,
And soe did the Lorde Wyllabye;
The comfortable Cobham made greate haste:
All went with the nowble Earle of Derbye.

The hynde Hassall hoved on faste
With the lustye Lealand truelye;
Soe did Sir Alexander Osboston
Came in with the Earle of Derbye.

Thy ryall Ratcliffe, that rude was never,
And the trustye Trafforde, kene to trye,
And wighte Werberton out of Chesshire:
All came with the Earle of Derbye.

Sir Ryse up Thomas, a knyghte of Walles,
Came forthe even with a feirce meny.
He bend his bowes on the bent to abyde,
And cleane unset the gallowes tree.

When as they came affore the Kynge,
Lowlye they kneled upon their knee.
The firste wurds that our Prynce did myn
Said, "Welcome, dukes and earles, unto me!"

"But the moste welcome hither of all
Is our owne traytour, long Jame.
Jame, howe durest thou be soe boulde
As in our presence for to bee ?

"To slaye thy brethren within their houlde!
Thou waste sworne to them and they to the.".
Then began long Jame to speake boulde:

"My leige, yf it like your Grace to pardon me,
"When I was to my sopper sett,
They caled me cowarde to my face,
And of their talking they woulde not lett,
And thus with them upbrayded that I was.

"They bad me flee from them apace
To that coward the Earle of Derbye.
When I was lytell and had smalle grace,
Hee was my helpe and souccoure trulye.

"Hee tooke me from my father deare
And kepted me within his woun
Tyll I was able of my selfe
Bothe to shoote and picke the stone.
"Then after, under Grenwiche upon a daye,
A Scottishe mynstrell came to the
And broughte a bowe of vewe to drawe,
And all the guarde myghte not stirre that tree.

"Then the bowe was gyven to the Earle of Derbye,
And the Earle delivered it to me.
Seaven shottes before your face I shotte,
And at the eighte in sunder yt did be.

"Then I bad the Scott bowe downe his face,
And geder up the bowe and brynge it to his Kynge.
Then yt liked your nowble Grace
Into your guarde me to brynge.

"Sythen I have lyved a merye lyffe
(I thanke Your Grace and the Earle of Derbye),
Butt to have the Earle rebuked thus,
That my brynger up, forsothe, was hee,

"I had leaver suffer deathe," hee said,
"Then be false to the Earle that was true to me."
"Stand up, Jame," said our Kynge.
"Have here my charter - I gyve yt the.
"Lett me have noe more feighting of the
Whyles thou arte within Fraunce lande."
"Then one thinge ye muste grante," said Jame,
"That your wurde theron may stand:

"Whoe soe rebuketh Lancashire or Chesshire
Shortelye shall be demed to dye."
Our Prynce commaundd a crye, I wys,
To be proclaymed hastelye.

"Yf the dukes and earles knele on their knees
Yt setsthe on sterre the comynalite."
"Yf that we be upbrayded thus,
Many a man is like to dye."
The Kynge said, "He that rebuketh Lancashire or Chesshire
Shall have his judgment on the next tree."

Then soe they were styll at reste
For the space of a nyghte as I wene.
And on the other day, without leassing,
There came a messenger from the Queene,
And when he came before our Kynge,
Lowlye he kneled upon his knee,
And said, "Christe the save, our nowble Prynce;
This, our nowble Kynge, thy speede may bee.

"Here gretteth you well your lyffe and liking,
Your honourable Queene and faire ladye,
And bydeth you in Fraunce for to be glad,
For slayne is your brother in lawe, Kynge Jame,
And at lovelye London he shall be founde,
My comelye Prynce, in the presence of the."

Then bespeke our comlye Prynce,
And said, "Whoe did feighte and whoe did flee ?
And whoe bare them beste upon the Mounte of Floden ?
And whoe were false and whoe were true to me ?"

"Lancashire and Chesshire," said the messenger,
"They have done the deede with their hande.
Had not the Earle of Derbye bene to the true,
In great adventure had bene all England."
Then bespake our Prynce on highe:
"Sir Rauphe Egerton, my Marshall I make the;
Sir Edward Standley, thou shalt be a lorde:
Yea, Lord Mounteigle shalt thou be.

"Yonge John Standley shall be a knyghte,
As he is well wurthye for to bee."
The Duke of Buckingham the tythands harde,
And shortlye ran to the Earle of Derbye:

"Plucke up thy harte, brother, and be merye,
And lett noe tythandes greve the!
Yesterdaye thy men cowardes called were,
"And this day have wonne the victorye."

The Duke tooke the Earle by the arme,
And thus they leaden to the Prynce trulye.
Seaven rowdes of grounde the Kynge he came
And said, "Welcome, Kynge of Man and Earle of Derbyel
This thynge that I have from the taken
I give it agayne to the whollye:
"The Manratten of Lancashire and Cheshire bothe
At thy bydding ever for to bee,
For these men bene true, Thomas, indeede;
They beene true bothe to the and me."

"Yett one thinge greveth me," said the Earle,
"And in my harte maketh me heavye:
This day to heare they wan the feilde,
And yesterbaye cowardes for to bee."

"Yt was a wronge wryting," said our Kynge,
"That came from the Earle of Surrey;
But I shall hym teache his Prynce to knowe
And ever we come in our countrye."

"I aske noe more," said the nowble Earle,
"For all that my men have donne, trulye,
But that I gyve judgment my selfe
Of that nowble Earle of Surrey."

"Stande up, Thomas," said our Prynce.
"Lord Marshall, that I shall make the,
And thou shalte gyve the judgment thy selfe,
And as thou saiste, soe shall yt bee."
"Then is his lyffe saved," said the Earle.  
"I thanke Jesu and your Grace trulye.  
Yf my uncle slewe his father deare,  
He woulde have venged hym on me."

"Thou arte very patient," said our Kynge.  
"The Holye Ghoste remayneth in the.  
On the south syde of Torney thou shalte stand  
With my godfather, Earle of Schrewesburye."  

And soo to that seige fourthe they went,  
The nowble Schrewesburye and the Earle of Derbye,  
And they layde seige unto the walles  
And wan the towres within dayes three.  

Thus was Lancashire and Chesshire rebuked  
Throughe the polycie of the Earle of Surrey.  
Nowe God, that was in Beathlem borne,  
And for us dyed upon a tree,  
Save our nowble Prynces that weareth the crowne,  
And have mercye on the Earles sowle of Derbye!

Finis Flowden Feilde
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SOME FAMILY TREES
AND A MAP
COMMENTARIES

The commentaries attempt to fulfil three functions:

1). To discuss textual problems where choices have been made outside the base-text, or where attractive but ultimately rejected alternatives exist in other manuscripts;

2). To elucidate meaning at difficult points. This cannot be done with much confidence, particularly in Scotish Feilde, where the syntax is often so unusual (by modern practices) that to render precisely what the lines mean can be almost impossible; but it is usually possible to see what the authors are getting at;

3). To provide background information on the people, places and events mentioned in the poem. This will often include details of marriages between the various families of the area, the intention of which is to emphasise the closely bound society which prevailed in the Lancashire/Cheshire area at the time.

Because this geographical and genealogical closeness is so frequently mentioned, the commentaries are preceded by three family trees and a map.
THE STANLEY FAMILY
c.1400 - c.1530

John Stanley m. Isobel Lathom
King of Man

John Stanley, founder of Tynwald

Thomas, 1st Lord Stanley

Thomas

John m. Douce Legh of Baguley

HUMPHREY

Edmund Tudor, Earl of Richmond

MARGARET BEAUFORT, COUNTESS OF RICHMOND

THOMAS, 2ND LORD STANLEY, 1ST EARL OF DERBY

WILLIAM OF HOLT

Catherine m. Sir John Savage

[1] EDMUND IV, Elizabeth Woodville

[2] JACQUETTA LORD STRANGE OF KNOKIN

HENRY VII m ELIZABETH OF YORK

JOAN m GEORGE LORD MOUNTAEGLE

EDWARD, LORD STRANGE

JAMES, BISHOP OF ELY

WILLIAM HANDFORD

SIR JOHN SAVAGE

HENRY VIII

THOMAS 2ND EARL OF DERBY

JOHN (1) Margaret (2) Urion Brereton (....family of author of LB)

Jane m Edward Legh (....family of author of SF)
CHART showing the Lancastrian and Yorkist branches of the English Royal Family, and how the Stanleys are related. They are related to the Yorkist branch through Lord Stanley's first wife (via the Neville connection), and to the Lancastrian branch through his second wife.
CHART showing the connection between the families of STANLEY and HANDFORTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>John m(1)</th>
<th>Margaret m(2)</th>
<th>Edmund Savage</th>
<th>Trafford</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HANDFORTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ada</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Bp James Stanley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth m(2)</td>
<td>John m(1)</td>
<td>Margaret m(2)</td>
<td>Urian Brereton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harington</td>
<td>Stanley</td>
<td>Handforth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne</td>
<td>Joan m</td>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>John m Ellen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley</td>
<td>Stanley</td>
<td>Halsall</td>
<td>S'ley Fitton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References to above people in Scottish Feilde and Flodden Feilde

| Bp James Stanley | S215 |
| William Handforth | S347 |
| Savage (Sir John) | S12, 349, F181 |
| Trafford         | F286 |
| Sir John Stanley | S228, F155 |
| Brereton         | F69 (and ?author of Lady Bessiye) |
| Halsall          | F281 |
| Fitton           | F189 |
| Legh             | ?author of Scottish Feilde |
MAP showing the places referred to in the text.

LANCASHIRE

* OSBALDESTON
  * SOTHEWORTH OF SAMLESBURY
  * TOWNELEY
  * HALSALL
  * LATHOM PARK
  * MOLYNEUX OF SEFTON
  * KNOWSLEY PARK
  * TOXTETH PARK
  * BIDESTON
  * BIRKENHEAD PRIORY
  * BOLD
  * DUTTON
  * LEALAND OF MORLEYS
  * BUTLER OF BEWSEY
  * WARBURTON
  * BOOTH OF BARTON
  * TRAFFORD
  * LEIGH OF BAGULEY
  * HANDFORTH

CHESHIRE

* MOLD
  * HAWARDEN
  * CHESTER
  * DONE OF UTKINTON
  * VENABLES OF KINDERTON
  * BRERETON
  * MACCLESFIELD
  * FITTON OF GAWSEWORTH

WALES

* RIDLEY
  * HOLT
  * EGERTON
COMMENTS ON

LADY BESSIYE
2: blood, bone and ble: This phrase, as with so many in all these poems, is adopted for rhyming, metrical and alliterative purposes rather than for its specific meaning.

3: Be sober and sad: The "speaker" wishing to get a hearing, appears to be asking his "audience" to moderate their noise. 'Sad' is a synonym for 'sober' (MED).

4: Listen: A clear indication of the oral nature of this poem.

5: Lady Bessy: The eponymous heroine of this poem, better known as Elizabeth of York, eldest daughter of King Edward IV. Heywood in his edition (1829, p.viii) erroneously states that her mother was Edward's first 'wife', Lady Grey, but she was the daughter of his 'real' wife, Elizabeth Woodville. She was born in 1466 and died in 1503. Her marriage in 1486 to King Henry VII effectively put an end to the strife between the houses of Lancaster and York. See family tree, p. 273.

6: With the large number of "-ee" rhymes, this line is an easy reach-me-down for the poets, and occurs frequently.

7: the Earle of Darby: Thomas, 2nd Lord Stanley, born 1435. He married first Eleanor Neville, sister of the Earl of Warwick, and she was the mother of all his children. He then married Margaret Beaufort, the mother of Henry Tudor,
later to become King Henry VII. During the reign of Richard III (1483-1485) Stanley's position was precarious, largely owing to his stepson being a focus of rebellion, and in 1483 he was arrested, along with Hastings; unlike the unfortunate Hastings, however, he escaped execution because, according to the Great Chronicle of London (ed. 1938, p. 231), Richard feared the power of Stanley's son Lord Strange to avenge such an act. In spite of the known collaboration of his wife and sons in Buckingham's rebellion of 1483, Lord Stanley appears to have satisfied Richard that he (Stanley) was not involved. Indeed, Richard tried to court Lord Stanley's favour by making him Constable of England and a Knight of the Garter. It seems, however - and these poems attempt to state quite unambiguously - that his loyalties were with his stepson. His contribution at the Battle of Bosworth (22 August 1485) is a matter of debate: his son, Lord George Strange, was being held as a guarantee of good behaviour by Richard, so Lord Stanley had to tread delicately. Some think that he played a large part in the victory nevertheless, and in both Lady Bessiye and Bosworth Feilde we are told that it was he who first entered the battle. At any rate, in recognition of his help and as an encouragement to future support, he was created Earl of Derby on 27 October 1485. He later stood as godfather to King Henry VII's eldest son, Arthur. Lord Stanley died in 1504 and was buried in the family vaults at Burscough, and a monument was erected to
him at Ormskirk.

It is sometimes thought that the title is derived from the hundred of West Derby, where the family home of Knowsley is situated (see Flodden Feilde line 213), but according to the Complete Peerage (ed. Gibbs, V., (London, 1916) vol. iv, pp. 206-7 n.) this is not so, the title being a simple resuscitation of the discontinued earldom from the 14th century. Although the title was not conferred until some months after the battle, the poet always refers to him as Earl of Derby throughout the poem, but this form of anticipation is common: Shakespeare makes the same error throughout his play Richard III. The reason is most clearly explained by N.H. Nicolas (1874, p. lv): "It was usual for early writers to allude to individuals by the designations borne by them at the time they wrote."

See D.N.B., J.Seacome (1793), W.Pollard (1868), D.Ross (1848), B.Coward (1968), P.Draper (1864), F.Espinasse (1874, vol i, pp. 1-49), Bagley (1985). Also BL MS Add 6113 fol 17b; Ricardian 9, p. 13; 19, p. 15; 39, p. 20; 43, pp. 10-11; 69, pp. 218-9 for discussion of his part at Bosworth.

8: fair and free: A vaguely commendatory phrase, usually used to describe people rather than words; but undoubtedly its main virtue is its alliteration and its rhyme.

9: beginner / ground: Bessy tries to claim that Lord Stanley is under an obligation to her because without the helping
hand of her father the Stanleys would still be 'nobodies'.

10: **father**: This is clearly a term of respectful endearment no more suggesting actual relationship than Shakespeare's 'coz', a modern trade unionist's 'brother', or even 'mate', and many children today have aunts and uncles who are styled in this way from politeness rather than from relationship. Both Richard III (line 687) and Henry VII (989, 1179) also refer to him as 'father'. D.C. Fowler (1968, p. 149) assumes from the evidence of this poem that he really was her father. The nearest relationship between them was that her cousin was married to his son. See family tree p. 273. Henry's appellation was slightly nearer the mark: Lord Stanley was his stepfather.

11: **Margaret Richmond**: Margaret Beaufort, Countess of Richmond (not Duchess, as is claimed in the next line, although, her father having been Duke of Somerset and she being his heiress, she could perhaps claim the title) and mother of Henry Tudor, the future King. b. 1443, d. 1509. It was through her descent from John of Gaunt rather than through his father's line that Henry made his claim to the throne. She was married three times: first to Edmund Tudor, second to Henry Stafford, son of the Duke of Buckingham, and third to Lord Stanley. She seems to have been a fairly constant thorn in Richard's side, having been married to the son of the leader of one revolt and to the father of the leader of another.
13: Lord George Strange: Eldest son (of those who survived longer than a year) of Thomas, 2nd Lord Stanley, 1st Earl of Derby, by his first wife, Eleanor Neville. He married Joan, daughter of Lord Strange of Knockin and of Jacquetta Woodville, Edward IV's sister-in-law (see family tree, p. 273). From his father-in-law he inherited the title Baron Strange of Knockin. According to a letter in the Plumpton Correspondence (ed. T. Stapleton, 1839, p. 20), Lord Strange took a leading part in Buckingham's rebellion of 1483, having, so the letter says, 10,000 men in the field. Although this number, like most early estimates of army numbers, is probably exaggerated, it nevertheless suggests that he was a man of enormous influence in the area, and Richard's fear of him (see note to line 7) may well have been justified. As reported in both Lady Bessyse and Bosworth Feilde, he was held hostage by Richard III during the Battle of Bosworth and only just escaped execution, but see Ricardian 69 (1980), pp. 218-9 for some doubt on this. He died in 1497 (Heywood, in his edition of the poem (1829, p. 56) incorrectly says 1503) possibly poisoned at a dinner. As he therefore pre-deceased his father, the earldom passed in 1504 to his eldest son, Thomas. See Ross, C.D., (1979, p. 61).

14: that good lady: This seems to suggest that Lord Strange's mother was Margaret of Richmond, Lord Stanley's second wife. All his children were in fact from his first wife;
indeed, if D. Ross (1848 p.13) is to be believed, part of the marriage contract between Lord Stanley and Lady Margaret stipulated that there should be no sexual contact.

15: Harden Lands: This refers to Hawarden nine miles west of Chester. The locals still pronounce it "Harden", and in Chester there is a Harden Street. The claim that the Stanleys received Hawarden from Edward IV is rather dubious: the Inq. P.M. on Sir Thomas (1st Lord) Stanley confirms that the Castle, Manor and Lordship were received by fine from Richard Neville, Earl of Salisbury, in 1455 (Mun 1/15 p.2). That date was under Henry VI, not Edward IV. In 1469, however, the grant was confirmed on the 2nd Lord Stanley by Edward IV (Mun 6/11, p.17), which may be what Bessy was thinking of. See R. Stewart-Brown (1920); Anon, The History of Hawarden (n.d.), pp. 20-21.

15: under your hands: There is clearly a question missing, such as 'Who gave', but once these poets get a series of similar ideas going, the one verb (in this case 'married') will do for all verbs which mean 'did you a service'.

16: Moules Dale: Mold (a corruption of 'Montalt') in the area now known as Clwyd, and the dales surrounding it. The association with the Stanleys is also apparent from Flodden Feilde line 236. Tweddale (1869, p. 39) claims that Mold was first given to the Stanleys by Henry IV, but this grant, like that of Hawarden (see note to line 15), was
also confirmed by Edward IV in 1469 (Mun 6/17, p. 17).

16: **under your fee**: "into your control".

17: **Sir William Stanley**: Second son of Thomas, 1st Lord Stanley, and brother to the Earl of Derby. During the Wars of the Roses, William Stanley managed to keep his head whichever side was in power, but was perhaps more inclined to the Yorkists. Certainly he was greatly favoured by Edward IV, who in 1462 gave him extensive lands at Skipton in Craven (CPR 1461-7, pp. 115-6), but see note to Scotish Feilde line 225). It was under Edward IV that he received his lands at Holt, Chirk, and Bromyard. H.T. Evans (1915, p. 210, n.2) says that it was after Buckingham's rebellion in 1483 that he was made Chamberlain of Cheshire, in which case Bessy's claims for him would not be entirely justifiable, but M.K. Jones (1988, p. 4) says that he was made Chamberlain of Chester in 1461. He is generally assumed to have played a major part in the events leading up to Bosworth, and to have swayed the balance of the battle by leading his troops in on Henry's side. As a reward for his services he was appointed Chamberlain of the Household (Patent Rolls 1485-94, 11, pp. 39-40), which is perhaps what is meant when he is referred to in line 11 of Scotish Feilde as the "Lord Chamberlain". (In Bosworth Feilde there is also a reference to the Lord Chamberlain, which is obviously Sir William Stanley again - although a note in Furnivall and Hales' edition (p. 239) insists that
John de Vere, Earl of Oxford is meant. In Lady Bessive, however, we are told (line 946) that the Earl of Oxford was in France with Henry Tudor, so he could not have been the one referred to.) He later became very rich and powerful, but on 16 February 1495 he was accused of being involved in the plot to make Perkin Warbeck king and was summarily executed. Polydore Vergil, ever loyal to his Tudor sponsors, suggests that William's affections were turned because he thought he should have been better rewarded; but the Stanley historians all prefer to see his execution as stemming from Henry VII's greed for more money and lands.

He had in ready money and plate at his castle of Holt 40,000 marks besides jewels, household stuff and stock of battle, had in lands −3,000 pr.ann. of an old rent, but having aspired to petition King Henry for the Earldom of Chester was not only denied but grew obnoxious to that monarch. His lands and goods were all confiscate to the King.

(BL MS Add 6298 fol 306)

Four months after the execution, King Henry was a guest at Lathom House with the Earl of Derby and was lavishly received and entertained, even if, as legend has it, some employee of the Earl cried out "Tom, remember Will". For the fullest account of his life, see M.K. Jones (1988).

18: Holt Castle: In the area now known as Clwyd, Sir William's main home.

19: Bromefield: M.K. Jones (1988, p. 8) says that he received the Welsh lands of Bromfield and Chirk in exchange for Skipton, and was 'agent' of Bromfield.
23: They: In these poems the name of a county often stands for the men of the county, particularly in Scotish Feilde lines 383-385. The suggestion here emphasises the Stanleys' enormous influence in the region.

25: Forest of Delameer: The rangership of this forest in Clwyd was given to Lord Stanley in the first year of Edward IV's reign, but in 1473 he passed it to his brother Sir William (CRO DAR/A/3/5).

29: knight: Thomas Stanley was made KG in 1483 just before Edward died.

31: my father: This time Elizabeth states who her father really is (see note to line 10).

32: room: i.e. in that exalted position.

33: Richmond: Henry Tudor, Earl of Richmond, Lancastrian claimant (through his mother - see note to line 11) to the throne, and later Henry VII. He had been forced to flee the country during the Yorkist years, and was, at this time in 1485, residing in Brittany.

33: full bare: This phrase is simply an intensifier (MED), useful here for its rhyme.

34: lyeth: One of many examples of an abrupt and ungrammatical
change of subject. 1980s sports commentators frequently change subject with the conjunction 'and', but one does not expect such a form as early as in these poems.

34: Brittain: The area we now call Brittany, formerly known as Britain or (as in line 840) Little Britain.

35: recover him of his care: i.e. rescue him from his misery.

36: gree: Another word (= agree) chosen for its rhyme. The sense is "if you are in favour of his cause".

39: mantaine him with might: A phrase common with writers in the alliterative tradition, it means "throw all your power into his cause".

43: make fools full faine: Lord Stanley implies that he is not such a fool as to be made glad by the kind of fair words Lady Bessy is offering.

44: "When the words are revealed as empty promises".

48: lee: among the many forms of the past tense of 'lie' given by the M.E.D. and the O.E.D., 'lee' is not included, although it is used several times in this poem. In fact the writer of this poem uses whatever past tense of 'lie' will accord with his requirement for a rhyme.

49: unckle Richard: Richard of Gloucester, soon to be Richard III, who was in the North of England preparing for an
expedition against the Scots at the time (Polydore Vergil (ed. 1894), p. 173).

50: **Brakenbury**: Governor of the Tower of London. According to Sir Thomas More he and Tyrrell (see 1. 51) were responsible for the murder of the princes.

51: **Terrill**: vice-constable under Edward IV. Polydore Vergil (p. 188) says that it was he who actually carried out the murder.

52: **West Countrey**: The area of Stanley influence, which comprises South Lancashire, Cheshire, North Wales and part of Shropshire, which we would refer to as North West Midlands, is nearly always referred to in this poem as the West Country, a title we now reserve exclusively for the South-West. The Stanley area is also referred to as the North (line 484) and the North-West (line 433).

53: **York, Clarence**: There has often been confusion over precisely whom Richard of Gloucester fetched from Ludlow. In fact it was Edward V and his half-brother, Richard Grey. The common error is to think that it was Edward and his 'real' brother, Richard, Duke of York, but our author gets both wrong: George, Duke of Clarence, was Richard of Gloucester's elder brother (see family tree, p. 273), whom Edward had had executed (in a butt of Malmsey).
56: King: Clearly the poet thinks that Prince Edward was the Duke of York. The title belonged to his younger brother Richard.

free: a survival of the vague alliterative commendatory phrase 'fair and free'. Its vague meaning is probably somewhere near the Chaucerian sense of 'generous-hearted'.

57: "A tragic game was being played."

58: doomed to dye: a common phrase of the alliterative poets, it means not 'sentenced to death', but 'killed'.

61: St Maries: The most famous St Mary's was St Mary Overies (now Southwark Cathedral), but Bessy is probably just using a typical name for any church, her complaint being (like Medea's) that her brothers had not been properly buried. Sir Thomas More records that the princes' bodies were buried at the foot of a staircase in the Tower, where two similar bodies were later discovered, but the identification of those bodies is hotly disputed.

64: disgrace: Their burial was, because not in holy ground, lacking 'grace'.

73: you had cause: = you would have cause.

93: coud me thring: i.e. embraced me.

94: window: This strange phrase may perhaps mean that he took her to some place far from listening ears, but it defies
thy help must be: Here Bessy exerts heavy emotional pressure on Lord Stanley by suggesting that Edward's dying instruction to his daughter was to ensure that Lord Stanley 'helped' her in the family's struggle.

prophecy: Edward was apparently a believer in prophecies, and Polydore Vergil (p.197) reports that

A report was even then spread amongst the common people that the King was afeard, by reason of a soothsayers prophecy, and so became incensyd agaynst his broother George, which prophecy was that, after King Edward, should raigne somme one the first letter of whose name should be G.

Shakespeare was aware of this rumour, for he refers to

a prophecy which says that G
Of Edward's heirs the murderer shall be.
(I,i,39-40)

The part of the prophecy which says that Bessy shall be Queen is recorded only in this poem.

thereto land: The MS apparently reads 'toland', a word not known to M.E.D. or O.E.D. What is needed is some form of wealth to add to the 'tax' (money) and diamonds which must form her dowry when the time comes. Perhaps the line should read 'tax and thereto land'. The word 'thereto' is used to mean "also" in line 1142.

to my degree: "as appropriate to a woman in my position".

This doom-laden thought occurs again at line 576 when Lord Strange bemoans the changing times.
commentie: The implication here is that the Stanleys had influence with all levels of society, a point of which Richard III later shows himself to be aware (lines 688 ff.)

cannot faine: "Women are not very good at pretending" (MED feinen).

eagle's foot: The original Stanley crest was the eagle and child, after the legend of the rescue of Sir John Stanley's son and the original connection with the Lathom family. It later became an eagle's foot, which is also mentioned in Scotish Feilde line 236. See Bagley (1985, ch. 1) and the Stanley Poem.

his love: There has been much debate about the suggestion that Richard tried to seduce or marry Bessy: The Croyland Chronicle (quoted Hanham, 1975, p. 52) and the Great Chronicle (p. 234) both agree with her accusations, the Croyland Chronicle stating that

It became common gossip that the King was bent on marrying Elizabeth at all costs: he could see no other way of confirming himself as King.

Whether the accusation is true or not has been debated in the pages of the Ricardian (25, p. 4 and 26, p. 11); but that journal is as biased in favour of Richard as Bessy was against him.

the Queen: Anne, nee Neville, formerly wife of Henry VI's
son Edward, Prince of Wales. She was ill during the early months of 1485, and died in March that year. The rumours of Richard's threat to poison her were taken seriously by Rous, who states (quoted in Hanham, 1975, p. 121) that "Lady Anne, his Queen, he poisoned."

151: **his son**: Richard's only son, Edward, died on 4 April 1484. It looks as if the chronology is simply wrong in suggesting that Richard offered to get his son out of the way, unless his pursuit of Elizabeth began much earlier than is usually thought, and indeed is stated in this poem: "not three days past" (line 143).

155: **or**: = before. Also lines 159 and 163.

162: **uncle**: Bessy is emphasising that, being her uncle, Richard is too closely related to be allowed to marry her. See H.A. Kelly (1967).

162: **it is no nay**: "There's no denying it."

167: **I were**: = I would be.

172: **The Isle of Man**: Like so many other places mentioned by Elizabeth, the Isle of Man was originally given to the Stanleys by Henry IV: On 19 Oct 1405 it was granted to Sir John Stanley for life (CPR (Pat) 1401-5, 253, 400), and on 6 Apr 1406 to his descendants for ever (CPR 1405-8, 201-2).

173: **crown**: Since 1393 the Lord of Man had been allowed to
style himself King of Man, a custom which continued until it was dropped by the 2nd Earl of Derby. (See Heywood, 1825, p. 65)


188: gifts: Bateman's reading 'gift' must be for 'gifts' but the Bateman scribe does occasionally get his agreements wrong. The plural is more likely because Lady Bessy has been listing several of these 'gifts' that Lord Stanley has received.

192: for fear: Richard's caution out of respect for the Stanleys' military potential has already been mentioned; see note on line 13.

195: these words: Richard's threats to the Stanleys are also mentioned in Bosworth Feilde (lines 201-4). In The Stanley Poem he actually attacks them near Preston. Relations between Lord Stanley and the King must have been precarious.

196: Sandall Castle: near Wakefield, Yorkshire, the property, at the time, of the Dukes of York. Hammond (Ricardian, 39 (1972), pp. 12-13) suggests that owing to the enormous expenses lavished on this castle by both Edward IV and Richard III, they possibly had it in mind as their
principal residence in the North.

197: eagle: See note to line 134.

199: the Talbotts: The family name of the Earls of Shrewsbury. Sir Gilbert Talbot of Sheffield is later seen as one of the main instruments in Richard's defeat. See A.J.Pollard (1968).

204: fain: This line suggests that if Lord Stanley does not move right now, he will regret it later, when he will greatly (fain) wish he had done.

205: your brother: Sir William, see note to line 17.

207: Walsh men: E.W. Jones (1979) has much to say about the Welsh contribution to the Lancastrians' success at Bosworth. Sir William Stanley's Welsh supporters are mentioned on p.46.

209: Sir John Savage: Sir John Savage of Clifton, nephew of Lord Stanley (See family tree, p. 272). The family was also related by a marriage in 1442 to the Leghs of Baguley, one of whom was probably the author of Scotish Feilde. A major contributor to Henry's success, he was awarded lands near Macclesfield, and was made Mayor of Chester. He died at the siege of Boulogne in 1492, as mentioned by Polydore Vergil (p. 59). See Ormerod (1875-82), vol. ii, pp. 713-4.

sisters son: As C.S.Lewis points out (1954, p. 121), the
relationship between a man and his sister's son held special significance for the Anglo-Saxons. Perhaps this significance is echoed here (and in Scotish Feilde line 12).

213: Talbott: Sir Gilbert Talbot, K.G., 3rd son of John Talbot, 2nd Earl of Shrewsbury, and uncle of the 4th Earl mentioned so frequently in Flodden Feilde. He lived at Sheffield Castle (see line 621). He was made Sheriff of Shropshire in 1485 (Hutton (1788, p. 44)). His contribution to the victory, particularly stressed in Lady Bessiye, is mentioned in all four poems. See A.J.Pollard (1968).

220: no right: If matters had been as simple as that, there would have been no Wars of the Roses. Certainly Henry VII's 'right' was no more secure, being based on his mother's descent from John of Gaunt, which, at least in those days, hardly counted. Perhaps Bessy wishes to imply that her brothers had a greater 'right' than her uncle.

221: can say: = said, as occurs frequently in all these poems.

224: The first occurrence of this very convenient phrase, which appears several times in all the poems except Scotish Feilde.

228: were: = would be, as occurs frequently.

231: unborne: Lord Stanley means that such an action will have
dire consequences for future generations.

236: no boot: a useless endeavour.

237: Having failed to engage Lord Stanley's support by argument, Bessy now resorts to dramatic and hysterical means - and immediately succeeds. This scene thus has similarities with Macbeth (IV, iii), where Malcolm, wholly committed to MacDuff's pronounced cause but deeply cautious because he knows that double-dealing and spying happen all the time under an unstable regime, refuses all verbal inducements, only admitting his true inclination when MacDuff breaks down and talks of suicide.

255: and/and: 'and' the first time; 'if' the second.

256: bone: In spite of the tempting association between 'death' and 'bone', this clearly means 'boon' or 'gift': Lady Bessy is trying to make Lord Stanley feel responsible for her projected suicide.

266: to women: Lord Stanley repeats a traditional medieval notion of women. Delilah, as a symbol of woman's propensity to elicit and then tell secrets, has been no friend to the feminist cause down the ages.

280: no man to write: The fact that Lord Stanley appears to need a scribe to write his letters for him is perhaps responsible for the assertion by Agnes Strickland (1843, vol iv, p. 12) that he was "illiterate". S. Wilmot
(n.d., vol. i, pp. 192-3) makes the same assertion, adding that the ability to read and write was "rare among the nobility in those unsettled times". It is later implied, however (lines 1021-22), that the Earl can read.

288: Lady Wells: Cicely, daughter of Edward IV, married to Lord John Wells.

291: write and read: This small hint has been taken up and elaborated by historians such as Wilmot (n.d., vol. i, pp. 192-3), who says, "She could read and write English, too, which proved invaluable to her in later years."

297: French: Henry was bi-lingual, and had been living in France for several years. It is not necessary to assume, however, that her communication with him was in French. Her multi-lingual literacy is the point here, not her need to write in French.

301: proceed out: A phrase invented to rhyme with 'out of doubt'.

312: Humphrey Brereton: We meet here Humphrey Brereton, who is possibly the author of the poem (see pp. 46-9).

my true esquire: Lord Stanley quite clearly states that he (Brereton) is his (Lord Stanley's) esquire, and repeats the assertion in line 324, but Halliwell in his introduction (1847, p. vi) says that he was Bessy's true esquire. Furnivall also (1867-8, vol. iii, p. 320) make
the same error, and Firth (1908, p. 25) calls him "an old servant of King Edward's". Halliwell mysteriously adds that he was "in the service of Lord Stanley". Later in the poem (443-452) Lady Bessy appears to refer to him as her man, but she might still have suggested Brereton for the task if he was Lord Stanley's esquire.

314: **full tight**: another more or less meaningless phrase which fills up the line.

322: **disguised**: The need for disguise adds to the air of danger and secrecy surrounding the whole venture.

350: **Lathom Castle**: Lathom Castle, near Ormskirk in Lancashire, was the chief home of the Stanleys from the year (1385) of the marriage between Sir John Stanley and Isobel Lathom, until it was destroyed on Cromwell's orders during the Civil War, when they transferred to their present home, Knowsley. The castle is briefly described in Flodden Feilde lines 209-212.

351: **his heart did change**: This refers to a mysterious illness which caused Lord Strange to return home when they had got as far (on the way to London) as Manchester. In Bosworth Feilde there is a similar incident, also in Manchester, where Lord Stanley fell sick and had to return. Whether these are two separate incidents or a confusion about the same incident is not known.
360: a token: This incident, and the three following incidents described in lines 375-390, 399-416 and 423-434 are all included in the letters as 'tokens', that is, incidents of such a personal nature that only the person relating the incident would know of its existence; it therefore guarantees the authenticity of the letter, and such a guarantee was obviously necessary given the treasonable contents of the letter and the known propensity of Richard to send out decoys. Again we see the dangerous nature of the whole undertaking.

361: Farnfield: Farnsfield is near Mansfield, but in view of the location of the other two suggested places, perhaps the author meant Farnworth, which is in Lancashire, or even Farndale in North Yorkshire.

362: Coopland: Copeland Forest, in the Lake District.
Kendall: a medium-sized town on the southern end of the Lake District.

365: [alwjay: The MS reads "Bid him lay away watch and ward", which as it stands means exactly the opposite of what is clearly intended here, so an emendation is necessary. 'Watch' and 'ward' are both available as verbs or nouns, which not only helps the emendation, but also may explain the error.

366: mynstrels glee: This rather contemptuous reference to the kind of entertainment he might expect to come across on
his journey adds weight to the argument that these poems are not "mynstrels glee" (see pp. 41-49).

373: Sir William: See note to line 17.

376: Delameere: See note to line 25.

378: back sett: M.E.D. provides (meaning 1) the explanation that a set is an area of land set aside for hunting. Presumably there were more than one, and here the 'back' set is stipulated.

381: Darnall Park: in Cheshire.

386: and followed: This, from the sense of the next line, means that it was the greyhound, not Lord Stanley, who was following the hunt.

dree: to suffer hardship (MED 3). If Lord Stanley was lying in a pit while the others were hunting, it certainly was unpleasant.

389: draw out: These words must be spoken to some other relation standing at the top of the moss-pit; but the next line - and the key to the 'token', is spoken to Lord Stanley.

391: Carnarvon, Bew-morris: A further association between Sir William Stanley and Wales. M.K.Jones (1988, p. 6) records that these lands were granted to Sir William in 1469. (Bew-morris = Beaumaris, Anglesey.)
397: Sir John Savage: See note to line 209.

399: since: This conjunction hardly makes sense here: perhaps the adverb "once" is a better reading.

401: his: om. MS.

403: lowly: This is presumably an adverb rather than an adjective!

404: any fee: i.e. any post or lands which would generate some income.

409: [I]: The MS read 'A'. The emendation is obvious.

in Kent: Harley MSS 433 pp. 90-94 records that Sir John Savage was in the Commission of Peace in Kent. For the other gifts, the D.N.B. records that Richard III gave Savage "much preferment".

416: dayley: The implication here is that Sir John is tremendously rich and can live a very comfortable life 'daily' - all thanks to Lord Stanley. So now he can do a favour in return.

418: West Chester: The old name for Chester (of which Sir John Savage was several times Lord Mayor). J.M.Dodgson explains: "As lying more westerly than less distinguished Chesters elsewhere, it was sometimes called West Chester." ("Place-names and Street-names at Chester", Journal of the Chester Archaeological Society lv (1968), p. 29)
421: Talbott: See note to line 213.

425: prest: This is usually an adverb, meaning "quickly", but here is obviously the verb, with the sense of "attacked" (MED).

428: called: 'known to be' (MED): also in Scotish Feilde (e.g. line 27) we have several lines ending 'that doughty was called'.

435: wherefore: As with Sir John Savage, one good turn apparently deserves another: as Lord Stanley had facilitated Talbot's escape from Richard's henchmen (and perhaps even seen him back into public life - which appears to be what line 434 suggests), Talbot can now return the favour.

465: King Edward's daughter: The fact that Bessy introduces herself to Humphrey in this way should put paid to Halliwell and others' contention that Brereton was in her service (see note to line 312).

476: secrett councell: The Ordinance of Eltham (p.155) says that esquires should be "meete and able to be sent on familiar messages". Humphrey appears to fit the bill.

483: six letters: Lord Stanley dictated only four letters, but Brereton does eventually deliver six. In the Harley and Percy versions of the poem, there are only four letters:

a). Sir William Stanley at Holt
b). Lord George Strange at Lathom

c). Edward and James Stanley in Manchester

d). Sir John Savage and Sir Gilbert Talbot.

That does not solve the problem either, for it does not explain why Sir John Savage and Sir Gilbert Talbot should be found at the same address.

496: better rewarded: This promise, which occurs with each recipient of a letter and later with Henry Tudor, is perhaps similar to the Anglo Saxon scop's constant emphasis on the generosity of kings. If Humphrey is, as has been supposed, the author of the poem, he could here be 'reminding' various members of his potential audience that they promised to pay him better if things turned out all right.

504: forthought it may be: = 'it may be regretted'.

506: coud him away: The most likely explanation is that a word such as 'send' has been omitted here.

514: lately made: This could be a simple error on the author's part, getting Sir William Stanley to say that his brother was made Earl of Derby before Bosworth when in fact it was not until after (see BL Add. 6113 fol. 176); and Henry Tudor apparently repeats the same error in line 644. A far more pleasing solution is that the lines are little asides from the narrator to his audience. This, of course, would greatly enhance the case for the poem's
having been composed very soon after the events it describes.

516: what counsell: i.e. How is his present standing with the King? This reinforces the idea that relations between Lord Stanley and the King were always precarious, and people knew it.

526: still in a study: this common alliterative phrase, which means 'stunned into silence', appears also in Bosworth Feilde (line 153) and in Flodden Feilde (line 41). The Percy MS scribe alters the expression to something else every time he meets it.

530: fling: or, as in the Harley MS, sling. There is so little difference in sense that it hardly matters which word one selects.

534: [ye]: The MS reads 'he', but 'ye' is clearly needed. This is not the only time there is confusion between direct and indirect speech.

543: bee hyte: This was possibly copied from dictation by someone who did not recognise the old word 'behight', promise.
555: me: This (with 'my' and 'me' in the next line) is one of the sections of the poem where the use of first person pronouns and adjectives suggests that Humphrey Brereton was the author. See pp. 46-9.

563: and brought: another abrupt change of subject introduced by 'and' (see also note to line 34).

573: under a cloud: Lord Strange is sad that the family's good name will be besmirched, but he has no doubt what he must do.

583: if I be able: Lord Strange, as has already been mentioned (line 381) is suffering from some illness which has prevented his completing the journey to London, and he is recuperating at home. His doubt as to whether he will be fit enough to make the journey makes his success even more noble when it happens. (The Harley MS version makes his illness seem more or less terminal, with its reading, "For and I live another yeare". As he lived until 1497, this caution is perhaps unnecessarily pessimistic.)

587: light up: indicating that it was not long after dawn.

588: the Warden: James Stanley, sixth (surviving) son of Lord Stanley, who was made Warden of Manchester in 1485 (see F.R. Raines, "The Rectors of Manchester and the Wardens of the Collegiate Church of that town", Publications of the Chetham Society n.s. v (1885), 34-44.) He later (1506)
became Bishop of Ely, as which he takes a significant part in the poem Scotish Feilde (see note to line 215 in that poem for later information about him).

Edward Stanley: 5th son of Lord Stanley, later Lord Mounteagle. Like his brother James, he was much more influential in the Flodden campaign, and a fuller note on him appears on Scotish Feilde line 222.

595: either: see note to line 483 for the question of how many letters Lord Stanley dictated and Humphrey delivered.

600: game and glee: another common alliterative coupling, indicating a general sense of well-being.

601: fair fare: In view of all the other greetings from all the other contestants, perhaps this should also be "fair fall", but "fair fare" makes sense.

602: stirr and rise: the phrase used by several of the contestants to mean that Lord Stanley is getting things moving.

603: Buckinghams blood: The first rebellion against Richard had been led by the Duke of Buckingham, and had been heavily supported by both Lady Margaret, Countess of Richmond, and George Lord Strange. Buckingham had been caught (not without a serious attempt at getting away in disguise) and executed in Salisbury on 2nd November 1483. The Croyland Chronicle anticipates this poem by insisting that the plan
to bring Henry Tudor back to England, to marry him to Bessy, and to crown him as King, all stemmed from Buckingham. Whether or not it was his idea in the first place, the Stanleys now see him as a symbol of their opposition to Richard, and therefore want "his blood" to be "wroken". See C. Rawcliffe (1978).

618: turned: Savage appears to think that Lord Stanley has been unadvisedly seduced into this scheme by a "wise" (i.e. devious) woman - but even so, he never thinks about refusing his support.

633: my nephew: Gilbert Talbot seems, for some reason, to be very concerned about his nephew, George Talbot, 4th Earl of Shrewsbury (who was later to play an important role in the poem Flodden Feilde). He asks to be "commended" to him, and then vows to "borrow" him from the Tower if he should happen to have been imprisoned. This precisely echoes Sir William's response when Humphrey first arrived at his house (lines 513-520), and all these poets are very fond of echoes (e.g. Flodden Feilde 33-40 and 365-372), but there is still no obvious reason for the Earl to have been imprisoned by Richard.

644: lately made: See note to line 514.

647: without any dread: As the last of the contestants willingly agrees to sign up for Lord Stanley's cause, it becomes apparent that all these men have a great sense of
loyalty and love for the man they regard as their benefactor and their lord. Lord Strange was ill— but agreed to come; Sir John Savage thought he was ill-advised— but agreed to come; and Sir Gilbert now vows to "live and dye" for him.

657: he: Although the change is not stated, this is obviously Brereton rather than Sir Gilbert.

663: was he warr: = he became aware of.

667: privy twink: This scene depends on that enjoyable sensation experienced when one conversation is interpreted in two quite opposite ways: Lord Stanley asks how his people in the West are, and Humphrey replies that they are in fine form and ready to fight—without saying for whom they are ready to fight. Lord Stanley, who has directed the whole conversation by giving his 'twink', immediately recognises the full import of Humphrey's message, while Richard is also delighted to hear what Humphrey says— for entirely the wrong reason.

677: With no punctuation to guide us, it is possible that this quatrain is still part of Lord Stanley's question rather than Humphrey's answer. It is far preferable to see it as Humphrey's answer because that sets up the irony which would be missing if it were part of the question; but also because exactly the same feature—a reply without any indication like "Humphrey replied"—occurs in lines
729-732 when Bessy asks him how things are down in the West.

684: merry: This introduces more irony into the scene, as Richard now claims the loyalty of the common people; but it is Lord Stanley and not Richard who has (as we have emphatically seen) the ability to inspire loyalty, and not Richard. Furthermore, Richard engages Lord Stanley (lines 687-92) in a peculiarly friendly agreement that the two of them will work together - while all the time Lord Stanley is plotting against his life and his crown.

695: when I am King: This would suggest that Richard is not yet king; "While I am King" would make better sense.

697: task nor mize: Richard vows to impose no hardships on the common people: their love for him is worth more than any money they could pay him. From Richard's mouth, this sententious stuff must be wicked-uncle talk.

712: tell me: Bessy's agitation to know of Humphrey's success is clearly seen by her chatting away and having to ask the question three times before he can get a word in.

719: convince: This must be in the sense of 'defeat', which M.E.D. gives as a possible meaning. It would be an attractive alternative if the word could mean 'to capture or imprison' (from Latin vincio, I bind, rather than from vinco, I conquer), but MED does not support this.
among the Standleys: Perhaps Bessy thinks that some of the Stanleys will turn against Henry and murder him; but it could also mean that she hopes that neither he nor any of the Stanleys will be murdered.

third of May: Such precise knowledge supports the contention that Brereton was the author of the poem.

prophecy: We have already seen Edward IV acting on a prophecy (line 111), and now it seems Lord Stanley also listened to soothsayers.

an/and: these are reversed on the MS.

an ould inn: S.A. Moore (Hist. p. 114) supposes this to have been the Swan at Golding, which was owned (Close Roll, 13 Edward IV) by Sir William Stanley. If the poet had been aware of this, he would surely have mentioned it.

an eagle foot: See note to line 134.

This line is missing from Heywood's edition (and Halliwell's, copied from it); but as they printed the poem without stanza divisions, the absence of a line would not have been so easily spotted. As the same formula occurs three more times, it is justifiable to suppose that it was also intended here.

The line makes sense as it is, but in view of the
formulaic repetition of lines 755, 765 and 775, it seems probable that "When" is missing here.

752: This line also fails to keep up the formulaic repetition. It just about makes sense, but "Looks towards the West Countrey" is what one would expect.

756: grew: The formula wavers between 'drew' and 'grew' (an indication of dictation?) (see lines 746, 749, 766, 776). They would possibly be better all the same and all as 'drew', but the emendation is not necessary.

787: convince: See note to line 719; here the meaning 'capture' seems even more attractive.

793: Twenty thousand eagles feet: This idea is clearly inspiring to the Stanleyite audience, and is repeated even more vigorously (if in slightly lower numbers) in Scottish Feilde line 236.

800: who doth the most: This (and the same challenge at line 823 and again in Bosworth Feilde line 383) appears at first sight to be a competition between the Stanleys to see who can provide most, but it cannot mean that, for William provides less than his brother, and Edward (line 823) provides nothing at all. What each one means is, "Remember that it is the Stanleys who are your greatest supporters."

812: to [thy love] beyond: The MS omits the words 'thy love',
but sense and rhythm demand something, and to judge by line 808 and the fact that these conversations tend to be almost identical, it appears that 'thy love' are the words omitted.

817: **at home:** Lord Strange counsels caution. He was not all that keen on joining the venture in the first place (line 573), and now to part with money without an obvious return on his investment seems unwelcome to him.

824: **who doth the best:** See note to line 800.

831: **nineteen thousand pound:** from the amounts so far promised, and assuming the mark to be worth 13s 4d, the total is 17,333, 6s 8d. The figures in the Harley MS version are all different and reach a different total.

835: **who shall be?:** With no punctuation it is impossible to be sure who asks this question: maybe Lord Stanley or one of his supporters; maybe Bessy is asking the question of herself. As conversations frequently exist without announcement of a change of speaker, I have assumed that it is someone else.

841: **I dare not:** Perhaps Humphrey has never travelled, or perhaps there really were pirates roaming the seas for him to be frightened of. Either way, Humphrey comes out of it well when he eventually agrees to go, and so does Lord Stanley for 'guaranteeing' his safety.
855: 'faine': MS reads 'faine', to be glad, but a verb meaning 'ask' is clearly required. The failure to read an omission mark would account for this error.

857: Lord Lislay: The name is almost certainly a corruption of Lord de Lyle, otherwise Edward Grey, Bessy's uncle by her mother's first marriage: she would probably have known him well enough to take this liberty with his name.

862: appoint: This must mean to hire or charter. The point is that he has a perfectly good ship waiting to be used.

866: Italians: In spite of the Percy MS reading of this word as "out-allyants", there is no difficulty in understanding it: Lord Stanley is taking the opposite line to Bessy, who wants Humphrey to go as anonymously as possible; Lord Stanley wants to make his presence so clear that the crest on his ship can be seen from the far end of France.

873: Liverpoole: The other MSS read 'Hyron', but with contractions and misreadings that is not so far away from the same word. Certainly Liverpool is by far the most likely place for Lord Stanley to have berthed his ships, if only for convenience in going to the Isle of Man.

875: liart: This word is clearly unsatisfactory: the word 'lyart' means 'grey', or even 'a grey (horse)' but that neither makes sense in context nor rhymes. I am unable to suggest a workable alternative reading.
876: he so saild: Humphrey must have sailed at the beginning of July, for there are time-limits to both the beginning and the end of his visit to Brittany. It is reported (line 946) that the Earl of Oxford was there when he arrived, and Polydore Vergil (p. 208) tells us that the Earl fled from Hammes to be with Henry Tudor at the end of June. Humphrey then had to wait three weeks while Henry tried his luck with the French King (lines 963-982), sail back and get everyone ready before meeting up with Henry Tudor a couple of days before the Battle of Bosworth, which was on August 22nd. Things are moving quickly.

877: Beggrames Abbey: This is likely to have been Begars Abbey on the North-West tip of Brittany, which, according to Heywood (1829, p. 75), had connections with the Earl of Richmond's estates.

883: me: The second section of the poem where Humphrey moves into first person narrative.

892: Malpas: The town in Cheshire where the Breretons lived.

929: full of skill: Humphrey's conversation does not show any great 'skill', but the word provides a rhyme.

935: then: = than.

fair: = fere, or wife.

945: Lord Lee: I have been unable to identify this lord, but it may be that the text is corrupt anyway, for line 948.
records that "all three" went "to councell", and Henry Tudor, the Earl of Oxford and Lord Ferris are three already without "Lord Lee", but this is reversed when in line 963 he takes three lords with him to Paris.

946: The Earle of Oxford: John de Vere, 14th Earl. He was married to Margaret Neville, and was thus brother-in-law to Lord Stanley. As already mentioned (note to line 876), he only arrived in Brittany in late June.

947: Lord Ferris: Thomas Grey, Marquis of Dorset, son of Elizabeth Woodville by her first marriage.

954: unopened: This must mean 'opened'.

955: From here for the next few lines the text is obviously corrupt: the rhyme scheme fails; the stanza scheme fails; an abbott mysteriously jumps into the narrative with no explanation; and it is not at all clear who 'him' is in line 958. One can, however, guess the intervening part of the story. Henry decides to appeal for help to the King of France; he asks the Abbott at Begars if it is all right for Humphrey to stay there while he goes to Paris, promising him suitable rewards if he helps them; and the Abbott agrees.

961: made them knowne: i.e. appeared.

965: herriott of arms: Presumably one of the French King's close attendants, whom Henry uses to pass his request to
the King. By making him "ready", they primed him with the questions they wanted him to ask.

966: him: either the herald, or, indirectly, the King, who would actually provide the men and the money.

967: him: Henry.

989: father: or, more correctly, stepfather.


999: Millford Haven: Henry did in fact arrive at Dale, a small cove near the mouth of the estuary which is known as Milford Haven.

999: the first towne: i.e. the first place he will go to in England (as opposed to Wales).

1002: Shrewsbury: This was in fact the route Henry took, but whether it was part of the plan from such an early date or whether the poet is being wise after the event, we cannot know.
1006: he [is] much: A word is clearly missing from the MS.

1011: none of thy gold: As has happened several times already, Humphrey establishes that generosity is a virtue, one which he shows, and one which he expects others to show in return.

1015: if every hair were a man: When Sir Gilbert Talbot used this expression (lines 645-6) it meant something; here it is rather baffling.

1025: Leicester: This conflicts with all other sources, which say that Elizabeth of York was left by Richard III at Sherriff Hutton Castle in Yorkshire, where she stayed until after the battle. The suggestion in the poem could well be a genuine case of poetic licence, for it does make a fitting conclusion to have Bessy scorning the naked and defeated body of the dead King her uncle, and then immediately marrying her distant cousin the new King.

1031: he sent: The story of Lord Strange being a hostage and being almost executed is well known. What is not well known is how Richard ever got hold of such a valuable possession. Polydore Vergil (p.212) has his explanation:

When he (= Stanley) wold have gone into his countrie, for pleasure as he sayd, but indee that he might be ready to receave erle Henry as a friend at his cooming, the King forbad him, and wold not suffer him to depart before he had left George Lord Strange his soone as a pledge in the court.
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Here, however, Lord Strange is not in London to be 'left'; rather Lord Stanley 'sends' him to London from Lathom - a strange thing for a man to do who is just about to go to war against the King. There are, however, doubts about the whole story, for which see Ricardian vol 5, 19 (1980), 218-9.

1036: harts head: Sir William's crest, which is later used (line 1313) to stand for Sir William himself.

1037: tryed and trusty: i.e. tried and found to be trusty.

1039: doggs: The Talbot is a breed of dog, and is the Talbot family crest. The syntax of the sentence has to be completed by supplying the same information as in the previous stanza.

1041: fifteen: An error for fifteen hundred - see line 1167.

white hoods: the uniform adopted by Sir John Savage's men, as also seen in Bosworth Feilde line 551.

1045: Sir Rees ap Thomas: born 1449, a Welshman, as is obvious from the name, who was one of the first to join up with Henry when he landed in Wales. The Welsh have a song:

Cwncweriodd y King Hari

Y mnes drwy nerth ein meister ni.

(King Henry conquered the field through the strength of our master.) quoted by W. Evans p. 222. Sir Rhys was knighted after the Battle of Bosworth, and later took
part in Henry VIII's campaign in France - see Flodden Feilde line 259. For more details of his life, see Cambrian Register, i (1850), pp. 49-144.

1051: **south-east**: i.e. an ideal wind to carry Henry from Brittany to Milford Haven.

1054: **into England**: This indicates that for the poet there is no distinction to be observed between England and Wales, which makes the guess of Michaelmas Day (see note to line 997) even less accurate.

1061: **Tydder**: Clearly this word is seen as some form of insult. O.E.D. gives a noun 'tudder' which means a youngster, which might be an appropriate insult to Henry, but there is also a verb, to 'tidder' which is connected with genital activity, a far commoner and more hurtful form of insult.

1063: **a wyle**: The story of the invading army's inability to enter Shrewsbury is variously told, but the end result is always the same: they got in. This version, where Rowland of Warburton ties a message to a stone, is as good as any, even if the author does not trouble himself to inform us what was contained in the writing.

1069: **and**: again this operates an unannounced change of subject.
1073: Lord Percy: Henry Percy, 4th Earl of Northumberland, Warden of the East Marches. It has been questioned whether he was absolutely loyal to Richard, but this poem assumes he was.

1077: The Duke of Northfolk: John Howard, 1st Duke of Norfolk, who commanded Richard's vanguard at Bosworth, and was killed in the battle, as it has been supposed, by Sir William Stanley, a notion mentioned in Flodden Feilde line 142. He was known as 'Jocky of Norfolk'.

1079: the Earle of Surrey: Thomas Howard, son of the above Duke of Norfolk. After Bosworth he was attainted and imprisoned, but by 1489 he was in favour with Henry VII, and after the Battle of Flodden, where he led the English army, the title of Duke of Norfolk was re-instated for him. He died in 1524.

1083: Lord Latimer: Richard Neville, 2nd Baron Latimer, 1468-1524.

1083: Lord Lovell: Francis Lovell, Viscount Lovell, 13th Baron Lovell of Tichmarsh, 1454-1487. After Bosworth he continue to fight against Henry, and was a keen supporter of Lambert Simnel.

1084: Earl of Kent: Edmund Grey, 1st Earl of Kent, d.1489.

1085: Lord Ross: probably Lord Roos of Helmsley.

1085: Lord Scrope: John le Scrope, 5th Baron Scrope of Bolton,
1435-1498.


1088: **Sir William Bonner**: This could be Sir William Bulmer, later noted in *Scotish Feild* line 159. Certainly he had close association with the Bishop of Durham.

1089: **Sir William Harrington**: This is the man who, according at least to this poem, later played such a great part in saving the life of Lord George Strange (line 1228). The Harrington lands at Hornby later entered the Stanley possessions when Sir Edward Stanley married the daughter of Sir John Harrington (CPR 1485-94, 267).

1091: **a messenger**: Richard now tries to exert pressure on all the Stanley supporters to join his side.

1093: **[said]**: The MS reads 'and', which is an understandable error, as 'bid' could be past tense or present imperative. 'Said' clears the confusion between direct and indirect speech (again).

1102: **he**: This could be Sir William himself who is threatened with death, but in view of the threats being offered elsewhere it is more likely to refer to Lord George Strange again.

1105: **upon Bosseworth Field**: It is far more likely that the author is being wise after the event than that Sir William issued such a precise challenge.
Precisely the same threat is seen in lines 179 (and also in the poem *Musselborough Field*). It may have been a common threat, or a poetic invention, or maybe Sir William really did say it.

The whereabouts of Lord George Strange are not at all clear. He is reported here to have been sent to the Tower, but he was clearly on the field of battle waiting to be executed (line 1197), while other sources would have it that he was kept prisoner in Nottingham.

For details of the movements of the various participants immediately before the Battle of Bosworth, see p. 91.

The triple kiss seems to have been a standard greeting, as in Belgium today. If so, Gairdner's complaint about its use (1879, p. 411) is ill-founded.

by nature perhaps. He had no royal connections, even if his brother was married to the future king's mother.

As before (see note to line 799) this is not a personal but a family contribution Sir William is attempting to imprint on Henry's mind.
then: = than.

word come: This part of the story of Bosworth is the least well agreed among historians. It is the usual theory that, owing to Lord Strange being a hostage, neither Stanley army entered the battle until it was well joined, and there is even doubt as to whether Lord Stanley entered at all. Here, however, and in Bosworth Feilde, we are told that Lord Stanley began the conflict, and that William was quick to follow. The Harley MS version is even further ahead, suggesting that Lord Stanley began the conflict on Sunday evening.

Heywood (1829) gives 'he', which makes a muddle between direct and indirect speech.

be: Heywood (1829) reads 'be done', which is clearly an error: the rhyme is lost, the sense is lost, and the word 'done' has been mistakenly copied from the line above. Halliwell (1847), who was copying from Heywood, omitted the line altogether.

they cried: Heywood (1829) punctuates these lines as follows:

"All they," cried King Henry,
"Straight to Bolesworth can they go
In all the hast that might be."

This assumes that 'can' means modern 'can', but in this poem it usually means 'did', and clearly does here, because Heywood's version would mean that Henry was at
Lichfield, which he clearly wasn't. Halliwell (1847) recognised what had happened and punctuated accordingly, and the Harley MS and Percy MS versions of the story, with all their completely different words, agree.

1162: met: It makes sense as it stands, the 'royal company' now being the complete Stanley army; but if we read 'met he', it makes 'met' transitive, which would have been more usual. It would hardly affect the scansion.

1165: sisters son: See note to line 398.

1171: bicker with their bows: a common alliterative phrase interestingly altered in Scotish Feilde line 27.

1173: rose: Heywood (1829) reads "Ross", but as we are clearly looking at standards, Henry's Red Rose is obviously what is meant.

blew boar: Heywood (1829, p. 82) supposes this to be an error for the white boar of King Richard's standard, but throughout this section of the poem we are looking only at the Lancastrian army, and Richard's standard would be doubly out of place. What is undoubtedly referred to is the Earl of Oxford's blue boar.

1176: ten thousand spears: Either Sir Rhys ap Thomas has brought along more men than he promised, or the author has forgotten the number he fixed on first time: see line 1046.
of mighty tree: This pleasant transfer presumably means that the soldiers were mighty and had strong spears, not that the spears came from any special tree.

1180: I pray you: Henry clearly accepts, both here and in Bosworth Feilde (line 449) that Lord Stanley is the Commanding Officer.

1183: my son: as Henry calls him 'father', so Lord Stanley responds.

1184: thy mothers blessing: Henry has not seen his mother for years. Even in the heat of battle, Lord Stanley remembers to pass on her love to her son.

1186: so that: in the usual Chaucerian sense of 'as long as'.

1188: battle: This is not the conflict, but the main-battle or centre part of the army. The word could have either meaning, for in line 1194 it means 'conflict'.

1191: break the array: This means 'lead the assault'.

1195: hoveth: Lord Stanley has already 'hoved' with his army onto a hill, and it is possible that Richard could be doing the same, but at this point in the story the language of Bosworth Feilde comes very close to that of this poem, and there we read (line 497) 'King Richard looked on the mountaines hye', which makes better sense.

1196: the bould Stanley: This is clearly Sir William and not
Lord Stanley (who is anyway always referred to as the Earl of Derby), because in line 1201 Richard tells Lord Strange that he must die 'for thy uncles sake'.

1197: Lord Strange: The account of the near execution and last-minute reprieve of Lord Strange is told in very similar language in Bosworth Feilde lines 497-544. The Harley MS version, which is usually only a paraphrase of this one, is also very close over these lines.

1209: [flay: The MS reads 'say', but a noun is required.

1211: Lathum: In the Earl of Derby's will (Holgrave, 14), there was a family servant named Ralph Lathom.

1219: Something has happened to the text here, as this line is clearly an extra. However, this 'hiccup', with the slight hiatus at lines 957-962 does not justify denying, as Heywood (1829) did, the essential stanzaic nature of the poem.

1220: if fortune: i.e. if it should happen that.

1222: my eldest son: Thomas Stanley, later 2nd Earl of Derby, and the hero of Flodden Feilde.

1236: a block was cast: It appears that Harrington's suggestion is not taken up; in Bosworth Feilde, however, it is that suggestion rather than the imminence of battle which
saves Lord Strange (line 543).

1240: **no other booty**: i.e. nothing else for it.

1242: **full woe**: For some reason Harrington seems to want to save Lord Strange, and indeed he spirits him away a few lines later (line 1246). The link between the Harrington and Stanlay families was not made till much later.

1244: **our ray breaketh**: The battle has started and Richard's front line is cracking.

1256: **the black raven**: Sir Rhys ap Thomas's standard.

1259: **went his way**: Here, as in some other accounts, the Earl of Northumberland refuses to fight when the moment of battle comes.

1264: **Sir John Savage**: The death of the Earl of Norfolk is more usually ascribed to Sir William Stanley, as, for example, in *Flodden Feilde* (line 413), although by stretching relationships slightly, Sir John Savage could almost be regarded as the 2nd Earl's uncle: he was in fact his father's cousin.

1267: **his son**: Thomas Howard, Earl of Surrey.

1268: **Lord Alroes**: This name is, as it stands, a mystery. It is most likely, given the way the narrative is going, that it will be one of King Richard's supporters who was mentioned earlier (lines 1073-1090), and the nearest
approximation to that name so far unmentioned would be Lord Roos.

1278: *it hath no peere*: i.e. nothing will be gained by it. ('Pere' = thing of little worth (MED).)

1285: *I will dye*: Richard's noble sacrifice here contrasts strongly with Shakespeare's version, in which Richard utters his most famous line, "A horse, a horse, my kingdom for a horse!" Here, by contrast, Sir William Harrington offers him a horse but he refuses.

1293: *head under his feet*: Richard was stripped naked, and thrown on a horse with his head one side and his feet the other, and taken to Newark (part of Leicester).

1294: *Bessye met him*: As previously mentioned (note to line 1025), Bessy was probably in Sherriff Hutton Castle at the time of the battle, but it is known (see Nokes and Wheeler p. 3 n. 15) that after the battle Henry summoned Edward Earl of Warwick from Sherriff Hutton to come and view Richard's body. Perhaps Elizabeth came too.

1298: *solace to see*: a typical alliterative phrase - see, for example, *Scotish Feilde* line 179.

1304: *married*: The marriage actually took place on 18th January 1486, but events are telescoped for dramatic effect.

1310: *he*: Which brother actually 'set the crown' on Henry's head is not clear in this poem, where either one could be
referred to, although, as the more recently mentioned,
Sir William is a more logical choice, and it is often
said (perhaps on the evidence of this line?) that it was
Sir William. However, in Bosworth Feilde (lines 635-637)
it is unambiguously said that it was Lord Stanley, who,
as leader of the forces, is surely a more likely choice.

1314: the hart: This stanza refers to the execution of Sir
William Stanley in 1495, but, as is explained on p. 79,
it is most probably a later addition. C. Ross (1981, p. 7) also argues strongly that it is a later addition.

1318: [Queen]: The B MS reads 'King', but the rhyme here
demands 'Queen', the reading of the Harley MS version.
It is likely (see Flodden Feilde line 427) that the
scribe would adjust the sex of the monarch mentioned in
the final blessing to suit present circumstances. It has
been assumed by editors of other manuscripts that the
Queen here intended was Queen Elizabeth I. Assuming,
however, that the poem was composed very soon after
Bosworth, the Queen would be none other than Lady Bessy
herself, the heroine of the poem. The rhyme-word 'shene'
has already been used to describe her (line 507), and
nothing could possibly be a more fitting conclusion to
the poem.
COMMENTARY ON

BOSWORTH FEILDE
1: **shape**: old strong preterite of 'shape'. The expression is used again at lines 155 and 595.

2: **on**: the MS appears to read 'ont' but that is clearly an error. It is most likely (at some stage in its history) a misreading of a descender from above. Line 201 'dyed on a tree' gives another possible emendation.

5: **thou bee**: an inversion of the imperative for the sake of rhyme (as in the hymn 'Be thou my guardian and my guide') rather than an unusual present indicative.

8: **Welcome Henery!** This expression (repeated in lines 16 and 24) has the appearance of an immediate welcome, and adds to the weight of evidence that the poem is early.

**rightwise**: Bishop Percy glosses this as 'righteous', a meaning Furnivall and Hales (1867-8, vol. iii, p. 236) endorse with an etymological reference to Anglo-Saxon 'rihtwis'; but the MED meaning 'legitimate' is surely more likely. See also line 43.

11: **grounded with grace**: Although this is a common alliterative phrase of the sort that one gets used to reading without paying close attention to meaning, perhaps here the author is suggesting that Henry's claim to the throne is well 'grounded' and has God's approval or 'grace'.
13: wend: an old strong preterite from 'wene', to think. Here, we must take the meaning as "Who would have thought ...?"

17: [Now]: The MS reads 'How', but that is also in the next line. 'Now' makes far better sense over the two lines.

had: not an auxiliary verb indicating past tense, but in the sense 'we had better ...'

18: is transported: No author writing many years after the event is going to make this sort of comment: it is a direct expression of gratitude that the bad days are over.

20: martyrdom: This reference to the death of King Henry VI (1471) as a 'martyrdom' is a further indication of the author's stance in this poem. It has been supposed, and Shakespeare adds his weight to the supposition, that Henry VI was killed by Richard of Gloucester (later Richard III).

21: demed to dye: an alliterative phrase which (as in Lady Bessiye line 58) simply means 'kill'.

26: Edward: When the author holds up King Edward who reigned 'some time' as a role-model for Henry VII, the question "Which King Edward?" springs to mind. His virtues as a soldier and a religious man are extolled, which suggests perhaps Edward III. It could be almost any Edward from the Confessor to Edward IV, although Edward IV is less likely, as the author claims that his knowledge is second-hand (line 27), whereas Edward IV would have been (if the poem
was composed early) of very recent memory. Furthermore, Edward IV could hardly be said to have a world-wide reputation for military success.

30: **these examples**: i.e. Henry VI and Edward, whichever one it was.

**him**: Henry VII.

35: **bought and sold**: This could refer to the attempts made by Edward IV and Richard III to persuade with bribes the French King to extradite Henry Tudor, for which see Polydore Vergil (ed. 1894, pp. 164, 191 and 205).

36: **gold and fee**: These words always seem to go together (Lady Bessiye lines 886-7, 1011-2, Bosworth Feilde line 356) like pounds, shillings and pence.

37: **he**: who? As this stanza is more or less a repeat of lines 29-32, maybe it shouldn't be here at all. If it is here, who served Jesus, and who should say (which must mean 'take') this as an example? If Henry VI was the one who was 'bought and sold' (and there is nothing to say that he was) then why should Henry VII take that as an example? It is easier to assume that lines 37-40 have been re-copied in error in the wrong place, and carry on.

42: **gray**: an adjective apparently chosen for its rhyme; line 49 offers 'streames stray' which is palaeographically confusible but no more sensible.
50: **Milford Haven**: See note to **Lady Bessye** line 999.

51: **all his lords**: When Henry landed he had only a small army, which included, according to **Lady Bessye** lines 945-7, three lords (Earl of Oxford, Lord Ferris, Lord Lee).

**royal**: This adjective could be careless, simply meaning splendid (as Sir William Stanley in **Lady Bessye** is several times referred to as 'a royal knight'), or it could be a deliberate choice indicating Henry's determination (see line 56) that he is the true 'royal' person.

53: **England**: As in **Lady Bessye** (line 1054) Milford Haven is spoken of as being in England. Carelessness over the names of countries has always happened, and still does: most people still refer to U.S.S.R. as 'Russia' and to U.S.A. as 'America', and for some reason 'England' often includes Wales (but not Scotland).

56: **and loose**: As was observed many times in **Lady Bessye**, the subject frequently changes unannounced. Here Henry clearly means "I'll become King if it kills me".

59: **the love of the Lord Stanley**: The author of this poem is clearly unaware of the details recounted in **Lady Bessye** (although it has been suggested that the two poems are different versions of the same poem). Here Henry is unaware of Lord Stanley's commitment to his cause, and later (line 67) that of his brother Sir William Stanley,
whereas in Lady Bessiye the whole venture was begun by the Stanleys. Furthermore, the author here calls Lord Stanley by his more correct title. For details of Lord Stanley, see note to Lady Bessiye line 7.

60: he marryed my mother: See note on Margaret Countess of Richmond, Lady Bessiye line 11.

65: had I: With a full-stop after line 64 (as Furnivall prints it), 'had I' must here mean 'Would that I had', for there is otherwise no apodosis. Without such a full-stop, however, the apodosis could be "I shall maintain" (line 63). The sense then is: "I shall succeed in looking after my mother if I have the Stanleys on my side", which is the sort of thing these poems frequently say.

65: array: For the pronunciation of Stanley as Stan-lay see p. 117.


75: misfortune: This poet, like the Anglo-Saxon poets, likes to forecast doom where it is due.

77: It could be that Richard drew near wicked counsel or that wicked counsel drew near Richard. As Richard is supposed to be responsible for his own misfortune (line 76), the former is preferable, but normal usage suggests the latter.

82: man dye: Bishop Percy glosses this as Lord Stanley being condemned to death: man dye = maun (must) dye, but it
is unlikely to mean that Richard condemned him: merely that the 'wicked counsel' advised it. Richard, aware of the power of the Stanleys, sends messages to Lord Stanley telling him what he wants, but never condemns him to death. These two stanzas deal with Lord Stanley's excellent qualities, such as his triumph at the siege of Berwick in 1482 (also mentioned in Scotish Feilde line 366).

83: well seene: i.e. that Lord Stanley was 'the flower', not that he must die.

90: the West Countrey: See note to Lady Bessiye line 52.

96: and ye will: = if you want to.

97: is le[f]t: MS reads 'lent', which Halliwell (Furnivall and Hales (1867-8, vol. iii, p. 239, n.) glosses as 'dwells', but 'is left' captures the sense of annoyance exactly.


98: the Chamberlaine: G.E.A[dams] notes (Furnivall and Hales, 1867-8, vol. iii, p. 239) that this was John de Vere, Earl of Oxford. But see note to Lady Bessiye line 21, which explains that Sir William Stanley is the one intended.

99: upon a day: = one day sometime in the future.

114: with royaltye: This could be Lord Stanley riding with royalty (i.e. splendour), or Richard's royalty to which he is riding.
115: he fell sicke: In Lady Bessiye (lines 351-359) it was Lord Strange who fell sick at Manchester and returned home to Lathom, but that was at a different part in the story a long time before Bosworth. Either there are two separate journeys, or the same story has become confused in the mind of one or other of the authors. In both poems Lord Strange is sent from Lathom to King Richard, whereas some sources have it that Lord Stanley was only allowed home from London if he left Lord Strange there.

120: to witt the will: (to find out what Richard wants), a phrase also found in Scotish Feilde line 76.

129: The following two stanzas contain a serious moral reflection on Richard's devious conduct, which would be surprising if this were a 'normal' ballad. See also Scotish Feilde lines 396-399.

138: to ward: to be kept under guard: the subject has imperceptibly changed from Richard back to Lord Strange.

152: in danger of our King: i.e. his life is in the King's hands.

153: in a study: This means 'stunned with amazement', as in Lady Bessiye line 526 and in Flodden Feilde line 41.

156: noe trecherye: If the events of the early part of Lady
Bessiye are anything to go by, Lord Stanley is in peril of his soul! Alternatively this could be another indication (see note to line 59) that the author of this poem was unaware of Lady Bessiye. Yet the similarity of the sections describing Lord Strange as hostage and his speech to his servant Lathom puts this in doubt.

159: against him: In Lady Bessiye Lord Stanley is secretly against Richard from early on, but stays apparently on his side until the end of the battle. Here he is only forced against Richard by the threat to kill Lord Strange, but then becomes openly hostile.

168: your King: Sir William apparently announces to the messenger that Richard is no longer King as far as he is concerned.

176: if he bee Kinge: He is King, but Sir William means that if he wants to go on being King he is going to have to fight for it. The same applies to lines 184 and 192.

177: may: = maiden.

179: breakfast: See note to Lady Bessiye line 1007.

186: with royaltye: Again (see note to line 114) the alliterative association of 'Richard' and 'royaltye' has proved more powerful than the sense: is it Richard or the messenger who displays royalty? Presumably Richard is intended, but the syntax suggests otherwise.
188: so greeved men are not: i.e. "You won't find men more put out anywhere in the world."

196: the Great Turk: Richard now threatens to take on all comers, the Great Turk, Prester John, and the Sultan of Syria if necessary - but he will stay King.

203: Lancaster to Shrewsburye: Just the area where the Stanleys influence was strong. Richard's threat to remove the Stanleys is also mentioned in Lady Bessiye (lines 197-8), but that refers to a time considerably earlier than this.

205: [rife]: The MS reads 'riffe', but 'rife' restores something of the rhyme, and (with ther sense of 'great' (MED)), makes good sense.

209: Holy-head to St David's: i.e. the whole of Wales, from Anglesey in the north to Pembrokeshire in the south. The Stanleys had power in the north through Sir William's lands there, but not in the south. Wales, however, was always against Richard and in favour of Henry, whom they saw as fulfilling the ancient prophecies about the heirs of Cadwallader (See E.W.Jones, 1979). Sir Rhys ap Thomas had already collected a large Welsh contingent and was marching alongside Henry Tudor, as reported in Lady Bessiye lines 1175-6.

(225-336 THE LIST OF RICHARD'S SUPPORTERS)
Most of the already identified names on this list are in W.E. Hampton (1979). CFR (1485-1509, 1-7) provides a certain amount of independent corroboration of the names of those who fell in the battle. The most detailed examination of this list has been made by P. Corbett, who has identified about two thirds of the names, but his work is not yet published. As this edition is biased in favour of the Lancastrians, no further details of this long list are given.

340: 2 shires against all England: This is one of the key ideas contained in all four poems, that the Stanley supporters are a breed apart, far stronger and more capable than any amount of opponents. The two shires are, of course, Lancashire and Cheshire.


355: Newcastle (and all other towns mentioned over the next stanzas): For the routes leading to Bosworth, see p. 91.

358: Holt: Sir William Stanley's home in what is now called Clwyd. See note to Lady Bessiye line 18.

369: he/him: Sir William/Henry.


373: he: Henry or Sir William. Either was glad to see the other.

375: thy [bro]ther: The MS reads 'father', but as Adams pointed out (Furnivall and Hales, 1867-8, vol. iii, p. 249, n. 2) 'this should be "brother"', Sir William's father having been dead since 1458. The man referred to is, of course, Lord Stanley.

376: continue king: Henry clearly regards himself as being king already, and so (line 378) does Sir William, who, as we have already seen (line 168), does not acknowledge Richard as his king.

383: who doth: see note to Lady Bessiye line 800.

389: Saturday: 20th August.


394: answerable : a poor word, which probably means 'up to the job', but is chosen for its alliteration.

398: min: to rhyme with 'Kinge' one might expect 'minge' (see, for example, line 454), but both words mean 'to say', whereas here the word 'min' means 'less' (MED). C. Ross (1981, p. 237) uses this word (on the authority of Prof. V.J. Scattergood) to support an early date for the poem.

405: his enemy nye: This messenger, still on Saturday (the
battle was not until Monday) is a little premature in his estimate for the start of the fighting.

409: That would I not: Sir William's response on hearing that his brother is just about to start the battle is identical in Lady Bessiye: line 1151.

417: that night: Still Saturday 20th August.

422: his sonne: Lord Stanley's son, not Sir William's.

Sir Edward: See note on Lady Bessiye line 588. The line-up is approximately as in Lady Bessiye except that there Sir John Savage is in command of the wing rather than Sir Edward Stanley.

430: brake the ray: led the charge.

433: affray: This would seem to suggest that there was a small squabble between Henry's men and the Stanleys' men, but it obviously came to nothing.

443: I understand: it would make more sense but less good rhyme for Henry to say 'I undertake'.

444: that day: i.e. the day on which the people would be 'quitt' or avenged, when Henry became undisputed King.

449: desired: As in Lady Bessiye (line 1180), Henry acknowledges Lord Stanley's superiority and asks him for a position.

459: Sir John Savage: See note to Lady Bessiye line 397. According to Lady Bessiye (line 1190) Sir John Savage had command of a wing of the army, but here he is in the centre.

461: Sir Humphrey Stanley: This is Lord Stanley's second cousin, Sir Humphrey Stanley of Elford (Staffordshire), d. 1505.

473: he: It looks as though this should be Sir William, as he was the one mentioned in the previous stanza, but when, in line 497, Richard looks at the mountain, he sees the banner of the Lord Stanley. In Lady Bessiye it is definitely Lord Stanley who goes to the mountain (line 1193), but when Richard looks there he sees the 'banner of the bold Stanley' which is probably (see note to Lady Bessiye line 1196) that of Sir William Stanley. If one of these poets is using the other's lines, he is not always clear which Stanley is which, and, with all the confusions over 'he' and 'him' and 'his', it is not surprising.

479: at need: as was necessary? The phrase does not need to mean anything as long as it rhymes with 'gleed'.

482: Erle of Shrewsburye: Adams (Furnivall and Hales, 1867-8, vol. iii, p. 253,n.) says that he fought on Henry's side. As Sir Gilbert Talbot was a chief Lancastrian supporter it is likely that his nephew (Shrewsbury) also was. But the author of Bosworth Field thinks he was on Richard's side.
484: Oxford: The Earl of Oxford was on Henry's side and fought alongside Henry in the van (see note to Lady Bessiye line 1174). He had been in Brittany with Henry (see Lady Bessiye line 946 and Polydore Vergil p. 208).

488: Richard our Kinge: The author is not consistent over who is King, for in line 496 he refers to 'Henery our Kinge'.

499: Lord Strange: This section is very similar to the section describing this event in Lady Bessiye, often using the same words. The similarity is such that either the one must have read (or heard) the other or there must be a common source for this part of the narrative.

524: [me]: MS reads 'may', possibly confused from earlier in the line. 'Me' is correct here.

531: my eldest sonne: Thomas, later 2nd Earl of Derby.

537: a knight: This man is named in Lady Bessiye (line 1089) as Sir William Harrington.

551: white hood: These are the crests of Sir John Savage also mentioned in Lady Bessiye line 1041.

556: lowe to light: to land low, a periphrasis for 'die'.

561: his army: Harley MS 542 (an account of the battle copied from Bosworth Feilde) reads 'a marris', which is probably what has given rise to the legend that the battle took
place on boggy ground.

562: 40,000 and 3: This is to be understood as 43,000 rather than 40,000, although with estimates of army numbers being as inaccurate as they were, neither figure is guaranteed to be anywhere near the actual size of his army.

566: breakfast: Remembered from line 179 (and see Lady Bessie line 1107).

567: downe att a backe: i.e. he went 'round the back' of Richard's army (see MED 'at a bak').

569: sad and sore: A phrase chosen for its alliteration, but 'sad' is likely to be adverbial ('vigorously' (MED)) and 'sore' in its emphatic sense, as in 'he was sorely tried'.

571: fell and farr: another alliterative phrase. 'Fell' means 'strong(ly)'.

575: swee: not a word known to O.E.D. or M.E.D., but C.Ross uses it (see note to line 398) to support the case for an early date.

576: their King: Not so long ago (line 488) Richard was 'our' King; now he is defeated, he becomes theirs.

577: our archers: Up to now the author has been moderately detached from the action, but now, as Richard has become 'their' King (line 576), so the Lancastrian archers have
become 'our' archers.

578: were growden: However it comes to mean it, this line must mean that the archers abandoned their bows, and engaged in close combat. Furnivall and Hales (1867-8, vol. iii, p. 256) suggest that the weapons were 'grounded', which is a possible emendation. It does not, however, explain the word 'with', the grammar of which suggests that the archers 'were growden with' weapons, rather than that the weapons were 'grounded'. Also the word 'joyned' suggests that the weapons being spoken of are not the wooden bows, which may well have been grounded, but the metal ones which 'ring' on their opponents' helmets.

582: can the thringe: They (Henry's men) knocked them (Richard's men) to the ground.

585: a knight: Lady Bessiye identifies this knight as Sir William Harrington again (line 1277). Salazar claims (Wheeler and Nokes, 1972, p. 2) that it was himself.

600: if/if: One 'if' too many: the sense must be, 'If he lost his life, at least he lost it as King'.

605: Lord Ferrers: According to line 242, there were two Lord Ferrers who fought for Richard. Lord Ferrers of Chartley died at Bosworth.

606: boldlye: An adverb chosen for its alliteration: boldness is no longer needed when the battle is over.

612: Sir Robert Brackenbury: of Denton, Durham. Constable of the Tower (see Lady Bessiye line 50). Other sources (e.g. Croyland Chronicle, and Harley MS 433 fol. 56) also record that he died at Bosworth.

614: Sir Richard Chorlton: born in Edmonton, died at Bosworth (Hampton, p. 114). According to J.W. Hardwick-Jones (Hardwicke of Hardwicke and Burcott (1911), p. 33) a Richard Chorlton was the son of Robert Chorlton of Apley Castle, Sheriff of Shropshire, but Hardwick-Jones says that he fought for Henry at Bosworth.

619: Sir William Brandon: Henry's standard-bearer (See p. 50).

625: Sir Percivall Thriball: Richard's standard-bearer (See p. 50).

636: Lord Stanley: In Lady Bessiye it is not clear whether Lord Stanley or Sir William actually placed the crown on Richard's head, and the fact that it could be read as meaning Sir William has led to other claims that Sir William it was. This version makes quite clear what the other is not so clear about.

645: Newarke: Part of Leicester where the Greyfriars' Abbey was. It is also mentioned in Scotish Feilde (line 35) as the place where Richard was taken after the battle. As
for where he was buried, J. Gairdner (1892) p. 310 quotes five contemporary references which agree that he was buried in Leicester, but according to J. Thompson (Liverpool and Its Neighbourhood in Ye Olden Times (Liverpool, 1894), p. 46), Richard's bones were dug up about fifty years later and dumped in the river.

647: thus fortunes raignes: The same tone ("That's what happens if you don't behave properly") is seen also in Scotish Feilde lines 396-9.

656: James: See p. 80.
COMMENTARY ON

SCOTISH FEILDE
COMMENTARY - SCOTISH FEILDE

1-2: The syntax of these lines admits of several possible interpretations: is 'this time' adverbial or is it the direct object of 'grant'? Depending on the answer to that question, is 'that I may say' a noun clause object ("Grant that I may say"), or is it a clause of purpose ("Give me time, so that I may say")? Is the infinitive 'to please' dependent on 'say' ("May I speak in order to please you"), or on 'cease' ("before I cease to please you")? Furthermore, does 'say' mean 'say' ("recite this poem"), or does it mean, as suggested in a marginal note by Bishop Percy (fol. 79), 'assay' ("try")? These problems are typical in the loose syntax, obscure vocabulary, and idiosyncratic style of alliterative poems (see pp. 132-5). As it must be assumed that these lines are the opening to a recitation, the most satisfactory way to understand them is something like: "Lord, provide this time for me so that I can recite this poem which will, by the time I have finished, be pleasing to You."

3: his mother that: "the mother of him who"

5: a king: The MS abbreviation K would usually be expanded to 'king'. Previous editors have read it as 'kings', but that is as much of an emendation as to read 'a king', and 'a king', partly in view of the verb 'was' in line 6, and partly because for the immediate future the author does only talk about one king, seems the better expansion.
6: that was: The antecedent of 'that' is still 'king' rather than the nearer 'land'.

8: Milford: Milford Haven, Pembrokeshire. For details of Henry's landing, see note to Lady Bessiye line 999.

9 - 10: lite ... but ...: The sense is: "There were hardly any ... apart from ..."

10: Derby: Thomas Stanley, 2nd Lord Stanley, 1st Earl of Derby. For details of his life and contribution to the victory at Bosworth, see note to Lady Bessiye line 7.


12: Savage, his sisters sonne: Sir John Savage of Clifton, son of the Earl's sister Catherine Stanley (see family tree, p. 272). The Savage family was related by a marriage in 1442 to the Leghs of Baguley, one of whom (see pp. 41-6) was probably the author of the poem. For more details of Sir John Savage, see note to Lady Bessiye line 397.

13: Gylbert: Sir Gilbert Talbot, third son of the 2nd Earl of Shrewsbury and uncle to the 4th Earl, who is mentioned in line 68 and frequently in Flodden Feilde.

10 - 14: The selection of these four men for their part in Henry's victory is almost certainly a legacy from Lady Bessiye, where these same four are the ones who master-mind
the invasion.

14: all Lancashire: The object of the sentence, 'these ladds' the subject.

att their will: i.e. at the will of the people of Lancashire. This, together with line 15, emphasises the popularity of these leaders, with the intention that their position as leaders may be favourably contrasted with that of Edmund Howard (lines 266-271), who was (as the author would suggest, ill-advisedly) put in charge of the Lancashire troops at the Battle of Flodden.

16-17: The syntax of these lines is difficult. Somehow it must mean that the Lancashire troops had won great fame in many lands so that their name was honoured. (The emendation 'fele' for the MS 'few', suggested by Child (Furnivall and Hales, 1867-8, vol. i, p. 213, n.) seems important.) As it stands the line can be understood as "They have won much worship in war; there was (much worship) of their names." A further possible emendation would be to omit the words 'have the woone', which would not only clear the syntax, but also help the rhythm.

18: Brute: A common feature of alliterative historical poems is an attempt to relate the material back to Britain's
earliest 'history', the founding by Brutus after the siege of Troy. Brutus is here forced into the poem rather unnaturally, as the fame of four sixteenth-century soldiers is unlikely to have been bruited that long ago. What it probably means is, "No-one has ever been as famous since ..."

19: Sir James Blunt: Third son of Walter Blount, 1st Baron Mountjoy, Sir James Blount was captain of the castle at Hannes in Northern France in 1485, until he left there to join Henry Tudor in Brittany. Polydore Vergil (ed. 1950, pp. 208-213) records that he left his wife at Hannes, which Richard III then attacked. Henry sent a force to relieve the inhabitants, 'and especially the wife of Sir James, the captain thereof'. Sir James died in 1493.

bowed to their hands: "put himself under their command". The phrase is seen again at line 137.

20: Sir Edward Poynings: Born in 1459, he had 'proved' his Lancastrian sympathies early by leading a rising in Kent in support of the Duke of Buckingham's insurrection of 1483. He fled to France when the rebellion failed, and joined Henry in Brittany. He continued to play an important part in public life after Bosworth, and is particularly remembered for his dealings in Ireland. He died in 1521.

21: Sir John Biron: The Biron family were from Clayton,
Manchester, and later settled at Newstead, Nottinghamshire. Sir John was a direct ancestor of the poet Byron.

afrayd: Constructions after verbs of fearing are notoriously wayward in English of all ages. In these poems the negative (like Latin 'timeo ne ...') is frequently, but not invariably used. We would say "never afraid of any ..."

25: dragon: a dragon gules is one of the supporters of Henry's crest. One of his badges is the Red Dragon, emblem of Cadwallader, the last British King, who was consoled for the loss of his lands by a prophecy that one day his descendants would rule England. Rouge Dragon, one of the four pursuivants of the College of Arms, is named after this emblem. After the Battle of Bosworth, Henry offered three standards in St Paul's Cathedral, one of which was a fiery red dragon beaten upon a white and green sarcenet, the livery colours of the House of Tudor. Here, as in line 27 and in Lady Bessie line 1173, the emblems stand for the men. (Information from Scott-Giles and Brooke-Little (1966, pp. 210-11); Franklyn (1967, p. 349); Pine (1952, p. 41); Cussans (1893, p. 226); Millington (1858, p. 307).)

26: rayled: It is not clear whether it is the bank, the men, the standards, or the dragon which were 'rayled with roses'. Perhaps it is best to assume it was the bank.
27: bore: One of Richard III's badges was 'boar argent, unguled and bristled, or'. Among the supporters of his crest are 'two boars, argent'. At his coronation he ordered 13,000 boars wrought in fustian for his retainers. The modern society dedicated to clearing his name from the accusation that he murdered the Princes in the Tower was until recently known as the White Boar Society. (Information from Cussans (1893, p. 225); Scott-Giles and Brooke-Little (1966, p. 210); Millington (1858, p. 306); Pine (1952, pp. 34-5).)

called: This is a frequent phrase in alliterative poems, and means "was known as ..."

28: Richard: Richard III, brother of Edward IV, reigned 1483-5 (see family tree p. 273). He is the 'villain' of Lady Bessyye and Bosworth Feilde.

29: kidde: A better reading than the Percy 'held', partly because it maintains the alliteration better, but also because of the older vocabulary in a poem which deliberately strives for archaism.

30: formen: Furnivall conjectures 'foemen', but 'formen' ("men in the front line") is satisfactory. See, however, line 169.

31: beronen: The Percy MS reads 'berouen', which Furnivall takes to mean "riven", but the precedents for 'beronen' should persuade us of its correctness: J.P. Oakden (1935
vol. ii, p. 269) lists fourteen other instances.

32: This line is not in the Percy MS at all, and, although it is visible with effort on the Lyme MS, no previous editor mentions its existence, the reason for which can be found on p. 24. Miss Hugon (unpublished papers in the Bodleian Library), who was looking at it eighty years ago when it might have been more legible, suggests that the last word may read 'forwarde', but 'foe' completes the sense.

34: and cast him: The subject has imperceptibly changed after 'and'. This happens frequently not only in this alliterative poem, but in the other three as well (see, for example, Lady Bessive line 563).

Liester: Polydore Vergil (p.226) reports that Richard's body was stripped, flung on a horse with the arms and legs hanging down, and taken to the Franciscan house in Leicester.

35: Newarke: See note to Bosworth Feilde line 645.

36: deare: Could be adjectival, qualifying 'him', but the word-order suggests adverbial.

37: had: The Percy MS reading 'said' is meaningless. Furnivall's suggestion 'seized' is worth consideration, but such a long, strong syllable would unbalance the half-line and not accord with the alliteration. The Lyme MS reading 'had' is satisfactory.
38: **riches enough:** This could be the innocent comment it appears, but it could be a veiled reference to Henry's seizure of all Sir William Stanley's wealth and lands in 1492 (see p. 79).

39: **fower and twenty yeeres:** 1485-1509.

40: **Frenche men:** The details of Henry VII's French campaign are dismissed briefly in three lines, being of little interest to the Stanleyite audience. It is mentioned, apparently, to account for Henry VIII's presence in France in 1513, but in fact the second invasion had no connection whatever with the first (see pp. 99-100). The suggestion that it did, however, forges a link between the first moment of Stanley triumph at Bosworth and the second at Flodden.

41: **tolde:** past participle of 'tell', to add up, not a main verb.

44: **lord:** The reading 'wight' suggested by Bishop Percy and adopted by Furnivall would result in excessive alliteration. 'Lord' is better.

45: **with saints enough:** The phrase 'with ... enough' is a common alliterative line-filler, and a meaning, as here, is often vague.

50: **Henry Thight:** 1509-1547. The H of 'Henry' alliterates the with vowel of 'eight'.
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52: *and faire*: Again (see note to line 34) the subject imperceptibly changes after 'and'.

53: *tene*: The Percy MS reading 'traine' makes no sense, while the Lyme reading is a good traditional alliterative word. Robson says that the Lyme MS reads 'lem', and Oakden provides a note on the word, but the word is 'tene'.

55: *their/their*: the English/the French. This reason for Henry's refusal to make peace is not the real one: see p 100.

*sure*: The initial letters 's' and 'f' are easily confused by scribes (see, for example, note on 'settle' and 'fettle', line 256), and the Percy MS reading is preferable here owing to both sense and alliteration.

57: *was*: The almost indiscriminate use of 'was' and 'were' is puzzling, but common in all the poems (see, for example, *Flodden Feilde* lines 146-7).

*fowertie thowsande*: Attempts to estimate the sizes of mediaeval armies are notoriously inaccurate. Whether the Lyme 40,000 is any more accurate than the Percy 15,000 is doubtful. The confusion could have arisen by mistaking XLM for XVM (or vice versa).

58: *at theire free will*: a doubtful assertion, but again (see note to line 14) the insistence on an army's willingness to serve good leaders will emphasise the contrast when the
Stanley troops are asked to serve under a Howard (line 266).

60: **Surrey**: Thomas Howard, Earl of Surrey, born 1443, died 1524, fought at Bosworth (see note to Lady Bessiye line 1079), where he was captured and attainted, but re-instated by 1489. He became one of Henry VII's more valued statesmen, being sent, for example, in 1503 to arrange the marriage between Henry's daughter Margaret and King James IV. In 1510 he was made Earl Marshall, and in 1514, after his success at Flodden, he was given the title of Duke of Norfolk and recovered his father's possessions. His tomb is at Thetford Priory, Norfolk. (See Brenan and Statham (1907, vol. i, pp. 60-116) and M.J.Tucker (1964).)

saddest of all other: This formula, 'of all other' after a superlative adjective, is common alliterative practice.

62: **yf**: "in case".

63: **his**: The common practice in alliterative poems of keeping paratactic phrases going over several lines favours the retention of the Lyme reading 'his' rather than the Percy 'our'.

66: **Buckingham**: Edward Stafford, 3rd Duke of Buckingham, born 1478. His mother was Catherine Woodville, sister-in-law of Edward IV. His father had led an unsuccessful rebellion in 1483 and been executed. The 3rd Duke served with distinction in France, and is, with the Earl of Shrewsbury,
the main supporter of the Earl of Derby in *Flodden Feild*. In 1521 he was tried for treason by his peers, including the Earl of Derby and the Duke of Norfolk (ex-Earl of Surrey) whose own son was married to Buckingham's daughter, found guilty, and executed on 17 May 1521. (See D.N.B., and C.Rawcliffe (1978).)

66 - 67: These lines are examples of how the Lyme MS maintains the 'real' alliterative word-order while the Percy MS does not.

67: **Derby:** Thomas Stanley, 2nd Earl of Derby, son of George Lord Strange, grandson of the 1st Earl. Lord Strange died in 1497, pre-deceasing the 1st Earl by seven years. Little is apparently known about the 2nd Earl: Pollard (1868, p. 33) says, 'Beyond his being a courtier there is nothing particularly noteworthy in the Earl's career.' He was in France with the English army in 1513, where he was the 'hero' of the poem *Flodden Feild*. At Knowsley Hall there is a poem in manuscript in which it is stated that the Earl was very kind to the poor and helped soldiers who were in difficulty; whether *Flodden Feild* is the source of that information or merely an example of it, we cannot know. Having taken part in the shameful trial of his friend the Duke of Buckingham (see note to line 66), the Earl died soon afterwards: 23 May 1521. At his death his titles were: Earl of Derby, Viscount Kynton, Lord Stanley, Lord Strange, Lord of Knockyn, Mohun, Basset, Burnal, Lacy, Lord
of Man and the Isles. He had dropped the title 'King of Man' preferring, as it was said, 'to be a great Lord than a petty king'.

68: **Shresburie:** George Talbot, 4th Earl of Shrewsbury, born 1468, died 1538. He held important positions under Henry VII, serving with him in France in 1492. Under Henry VIII he rose to greater heights, being commander of the English forces in France in 1513 until the arrival of the King himself. He plays an important role in *Flodden Field*.

69: **wyndeth with the vaward:** Shrewsbury left for France in charge of the first division of the English army in May, 1513. The other divisions followed later in May and in June.

70: **Northumberland:** Henry Algernon Percy, 5th Earl of Northumberland, born 1478, died 1527. He was known as 'The Magnificent' because of his colourful dress and expensive entourage. In 1503 he went with Surrey to Scotland to arrange the marriage between Margaret, Henry VII's daughter, and King James IV. See Brenan (1902, vol. i, pp. 136-71).

71: **at their will:** Another mention of the willingness of English soldiers to serve under good leaders (see notes to lines 14 and 58).

**them:** As the impersonal construction is favoured on every other occasion in the poem (e.g. lines 109, 123), the Percy
MS reading 'them' is to be preferred to the Lyme MS 'they'.

73: sailes: As this word is clearly more sensible than the Percy MS reading 'saylor', the rest of the Lyme line is also adopted.

74: comely: The Lyme MS reading maintains the alliteration, so is preferred. The Percy MS reading 'seemly' is probably copied in error from the previous line.

79: to: The Lyme MS reading adds force to the verb, and indicates "suggested he went to", while the Percy 'of' is ordinary.

Tirwin: Therouanne, a town in the department of Pas de Calais about twenty miles south of St Omer.

80: assaied with emperour: a reference to the year 1479, when the town was besieged by the Emperor Maximilian I. Although he won an infantry battle outside the town, he lost so many men that he had to abandon the siege and withdraw into Flanders.

81: [wee]: The MS reading 'Weede' is almost certainly an error for 'wee', the normal Lyme word for 'man' when the alliterating letter is 'w'. The Percy MS varies its vocabulary, most often using, as in this line, 'way'.

85: seaven daies: This boast is probably an invention of the author's, as is King James's answering boast (line 203) that he will conquer England within three weeks. Such a
boast, or gilpcwide is a common device of epic poetry, pleasantly grafted onto this more recent event.

86: The Percy MS reading of this line is meaningless.

helpe: Although the Percy MS reading 'leave' maintains the alliteration better, it is not necessary, as the line already has some alliteration, and the Lyme MS 'helpe' makes far better sense.

87: leedes: This emendation seems to be a 'solution' to the MS argument: it could easily lead to the Lyme MS reading 'letees', and the Percy scribe often substitutes the synonym 'lord', which he recognises, for 'leede', which he apparently doesn't (as in line 65 and several times in Flodden Feilde). Previous editors have preferred 'lord' singular, possibly persuaded by the singular in the following line; but there is certainly an 's' on the MS, and line 205 provides another example.

90: The Percy MS reading of this line is meaningless.

92: our: The author uses 'we', 'our', 'us' of the English armies both in France and in Scotland (but see p. 98). It is a typical feature of all military writing from Caesar onwards (see, for example Bosworth Feilde line 577).

93: The Lyme MS maintains the traditional word-order - and therefore the traditional rhythm - in the b-line.

95 - 96: These lines are problematical: if 'fell' is the
transitive verb 'fell' ("knock down"), it would be easier, but surrounded as it is by several verbs in the past tense a sudden present tense seems unlikely (perhaps it is an error and we could read 'felde'). Or it could be the past tense of the intransitive verb 'fall', in which case the stones would be the subject. This would improve the case for accepting the Percy 'there' rather than the Lyme 'they', but as the lines stand they can be understood (just about) to mean: "There a large amount of stones that had never moved before (they) fell, so strongly (i.e. noisily) did our men shoot."

never: This is the expansion of an abbreviation which could easily be (and by the Percy scribe frequently was) mistaken for 'new'.

98: the Frenche Kinge: Louis XII, 1498-1515.

100: piked: The Lyme MS retains the alliteration. The Percy scribe has again translated from this ancient word to a word he recognises.

for things: This vague phrase could mean "to arrange things" or "in case bad things" or "to see what things".

103: counter: The Lyme MS retains the alliteration.

106: King of Scotts: James IV, born 1473. His reign was characterised by continual scuffles along the border; furthermore, he had been a supporter of Perkin Warbeck.
In 1503, as an attempt to heal the rift between the two countries, a marriage was arranged between James and Margaret, daughter of King Henry VII. From 1511 onwards, however, it became clear that war was inevitable. After the Battle of Flodden (where he was killed), James's body was taken to London, and buried at Shene in Surrey — although there were rumours, as there often are, that he had escaped alive after the battle. According to an account by a contemporary observer, Don Pedro de Ayala, he was a reckless and extravagant man, which could easily (Don Pedro guesses) account for his failure to capitalise on all his advantages at Flodden. (See Mackie (1958).)

110 - 112: There seems no reason why an extra (or a missing) line should occur here, but somehow the two manuscripts, for the only time in the poem, diverge significantly.

111: fayre: The Lyme scribe appears to have written a formulaic b-line, and the Percy reading is clearly right. This constant emphasis on the weakness of the English forces serves to emphasise the glory of the eventual victory.

114: Sir de la Mote: M. de la Mothe de Fenelon was the French ambassador to Scotland in 1512, and he went there three times to attempt to cement the crumbling relationship between France and Scotland. The message he was sent with on 5 March 1513 was actually asking James to delay his attack on England; it was Scotland's ambassador to France, James Ogilvy, who brought a letter of 8 May asking for re-inforcements and suggesting that James attack
England. By that time no English soldiers were yet in
France, so the author, who assumes that Louis' request was
because his towns were being 'halched' (line 99), has got
his chronology wrong. M. de la Mothe actually fought (for
Scotland) and died at Flodden. (See Brewer (1862,
no. 3412); Wood (1933, pp. 44-69).)

115: bid buske and bowne: A common alliterative phrase (see
Oakden (1935), vol. ii, p. 272). The link is seen in
Bosworth Feilde lines 113 and 121.

119: the letter: Clearly better than the Percy MS reading.

123: weld: The Percy reading 'worke' is not senseless, but
'weld' ("rule") is more attractive as a bait to James.

125 - 126: Again (see note to line 111) the emphasis on how
unprepared the English defences were.

127: the gome: The Percy reading 'he gan' is likely to be the
error.

129: cossen: This is not quite the Shakespearian use of the
word, when it can refer to anyone: James and Louis were,
in fact, second cousins.

131: should be: The Percy reading (for once) has the better
word-order, and also contains the idea of waiting until
the army is ready, but L is satisfactory.

Blacator: Previous editors have taken this to be
Boroughmuir, near Edinburgh, where other sources say the Scots troops mustered. Bishop Percy noted, however (fol. 82), that Blackwater was a place in the Merse (South-east Scotland), and it was known as 'Blacator'. Lord Home's son Sir David Home, who was killed at Flodden, was known as 'The Laird of Blacater'. There is a river Blackadder which runs through Berwickshire, which probably gave rise to the name. (See Brenan (1902, vol. i, p. 164); Brewer (1862, no. 805).)

133: they come: Although the Percy reading 'there came' is what we would be more likely to say, the alliterative poets frequently provided a pronoun as subject and elucidated it later. The past tense 'come' for 'came' is also well-attested. The inference must be that the Percy scribe has once again modernised his text.

134: Orkenche: The Percy reading 'Orkney' is obviously the place referred to, but the ancient word 'inch' ("island") is an attractive reason for preferring the Lyme reading (which is 'Orkenche' and not 'Akenche' as Robson reads).

135: a gay lord: This will have been Archibald Douglas, 5th Earl of Angus, known as 'Bell the Cat', one of whose titles was 'Lord of Galloway'. He deserted just before the battle. His son, the 6th Earl, became Queen Margaret's second husband. (See Maxwell (1902, vol. i, p. 181).)
nyne score thousande: There are so many estimates of the size of the armies at Flodden that it is impossible to know how inaccurate this one is. It is certainly one of the largest, but it is in the interest of the English author to make the Scots army seem as daunting as possible.

tounge: The Lyme MS reading 'towne' is clearly an error.

light at a lotte: The precise meaning of this phrase is obscure, but it clearly has the approximate meaning "decided". Oakden, in his note (p. 19), examines the possibility of 'lotte' being a decision arrived at by lot, but remains unconvinced by his own conjecture.

Lord Mackesfelde: The person who actually led the Ill Roade (or Ill Raid), as this small expedition was called, was Alexander, 3rd Baron Home. How the name became corrupted to 'Mackesfelde' (which for the Lancashire/Cheshire audience would only mean the town of Macclesfield) or 'Maxwell' (Percy MS reading) is something of a mystery. There were border raids conducted by John, 4th Baron Maxwell, but they were not until the late 1530s, and all other evidence points to a date of composition far earlier than that (see pp. 93-4). The m-alliteration of the line more or less guarantees the authenticity of a name which at least begins with M.

move: The Lyme reading 'meane' is clearly unsatisfactory.
145: They rested: 'They' are the remainder of the Scots army who did not go with Lord Home.

rincks: As with 'leedes' in line 87, this word 'solves' the differences in the manuscripts: in 16th century hands, 'k' and 'r' (written more like our 'R') are very similar, and once it is assumed that the word being copied begins with 'k', the word looks approximately like 'knights' and that word makes good sense. The Percy scribe reads 'r', but is unfamiliar with the ancient word 'rincks', so writes 'riggs'. This problem occurs four times in the poem (here and lines 170, 174, 224), of which the Percy scribe gets it 'wrong' twice and the Lyme scribe twice.

146: hattell: an obvious emendation. The words 'him' and 'he' in the next line obviously signify a person rather than an event, not to mention the alliteration.

150: light on: This phrase usually has a neutral tone. Here, however, it is loaded with a sense of doom.

152: com[ing]: The manuscript reading 'comon', is possibly attracted from 'comons' earlier in the line. What is needed is the verbal noun 'coming'.

153: they/they: the Scots/the English.

154: Lord Dacres: Thomas, Lord Dacres of Gilsland, Warden of the Marches between England and Scotland, and therefore
the man responsible for border security. His cowardice here 'sets him up' for his later role as the scapegoat when the Cheshire men flee in line 332. Flodden was not the last time Lord Dacres was involved in border incidents. He died in 1523. (See Brewer (1862), nos. 2029, 2035; Ferguson (1890), p. 245.)

157: those men: The incomplete Lyme reading of this line could have been 'this meany', as in line 160.

159: Sir William Bowmer: Sir William Bulmer of Brancepeth. He probably fought for Richard III at Bosworth (the name Sir William Bonner (Lady Bessiye line 1088) has not been otherwise identified). As the Earl of Surrey was engaged in gathering troops in Yorkshire, he (Surrey) asked Bulmer to deal with Lord Home's raid. Bulmer set an ambush and attacked the raiders, who thought they had been most successful, as they were returning to Scotland. Before the Battle of Flodden he was one of the signatories to Surrey's letter to King James, an indication of his importance in the English army. After the battle he was made Sheriff of York. (See Brewer (1862), nos. 696, 4457, 4460; Weber (ed.) The Battle of Floddan Field, 162.)

that bold hath bene ever: As frequently happens, the Lyme MS word-order retains the old alliterative pattern while the Percy changes to natural word-order.

160: this: Combines the best features of both readings. See
also line 157.

163: **Hearne**: John Heron of Ford Castle (The Bastard Heron), was implicated in the murder of Sir Robert Ker, a Scots border guard, and James IV had unsuccessfully applied for his extradition. He helped Bulmer to see off the Ill Roade, and also took his part in the victory at Flodden. (His exploits are described in The Battle of Floddan Field, ed. Weber (1808), lines 410-27 and notes pp. 140, 190-1.)

*bashed*: The Percy MS reading 'bastard' is clearly wrong, as he definitely was illegitimate - but he had never been 'bashed' ("defeated").

166 - 167: The numbers are probably, as usual, exaggerated.

168: **they**: The subject of this sentence is the English forces, not, as might appear, both armies. This becomes clear when we read of the request for Mary's blessing on them in the b-line.

170: **rincks**: See note to line 145.

172: **s[catell]**: Neither of the MS words is known, so the word 'scatell', also used in 245, is adopted here. Perhaps 'schachlech' is a pun of sorts on the name 'Schlochlach', from which comes the Breretons of Schochlach and Malpas?

176 - 9: A short nature-description like this is a typical feature of alliterative battle-poetry. It is repeated, with additions, at lines 308-15.
182: **egerly:** The Lyme reading maintains the (vocalic) alliteration.

183: **skochen:** The Lyme reading has the advantages of an older word and a more precise alliteration with 'sk'.

193: In the Percy MS there is a break and the second Fitt starts at this line. The existence of the phrase 'as ye may heare after' is not necessarily the indication of a break (see, for example, line 151), although it is the sort of phrase which precedes breaks in some of the longer poems. In such poems, however, the fitts are much longer than 192 lines.

**Mackesfeld:** See note to line 142.

196: **my:** The Lyme reading 'his' gets the comparative sizes of the armies confused: 'my' must be correct.

198: **witt wanteth:** The Lyme text appears to provide a participle where a main verb is required, so the Percy reading is adopted. It is just possible, though difficult, to understand the Lyme reading as long as 'and' in the next line is omitted and 'wanted' is understood to mean 'wonted'. The Percy reading has the added advantage of better rhythm.

199: **the sooth:** an obvious emendation from a phrase which might mean something in a different context but is completely out of context here.

368
201: care mote ye happen! "May trouble come to you!"

202: wynde you to wreke: "go to avenge you". The Percy reading seems to understand 'send' rather than 'wend' (or 'wynde').

203: And lying: What James means is that it won't take him long: he will only have to 'lie' (i.e. remain) in England for three weeks.

207: Noram: Norham Castle was the northernmost outpost of the Bishop of Durham. It had been unsuccessfully attacked by the Scots in 1497, and was thought to be virtually impregnable. In 1513 it capitulated within six days (23 to 29 August). After taking Norham, James also captured the local castles of Ford, Etal, and Wark, 'dallying', according to the famous legend, with the mistress of Ford Castle before moving on to Flodden.

210: This line appears to be a cliff-hanger: Will they be rescued in time? In fact, the next time we see James, the castle has already capitulated and his army is on Flodden Edge ready for battle.

214: into: As the man is riding from Yorkshire, 'into' seems a necessary emendation.

a man: Ralph Purser was 'the man' who rode from 'Pomfret' into Lancashire. The letter is in Pat. Henry VIII, vol ii, m. 8d (also printed in Baines (1888), vol i,
p. 200). (See Brewer (1862, no. 4375).)

215: The Bishop of Ely: James Stanley, 6th son of the 1st Earl of Derby. (For his contribution to the Bosworth campaign, see note to Lady Bessiye line 588.) He was made Warden of Manchester in 1485 and Bishop of Ely in 1506. He built the rectory at Somersham, near Ely, where, according to the vitriolic account of him by Bishop Godwin of Exeter, he spent most of his time with 'a certain woman'. This woman was Ada Ireland of the Hut (in Lancashire), by whom the Bishop had two children, John, much mentioned in this poem, and Margaret, who married first Henry Halsall and second John Osboldston, both mentioned in Flodden Feild (lines 281, 283). Rev. E. F. Letts tries to find an alternative and legitimate line of ancestry for Sir John Stanley, but to no avail. The Bishop seemed to spend most of each winter in Lancashire with either his father or one of his brothers, hence his presence there at the time of Surrey's summons. (See Letts (1888); Godwine (1601, pp. 223-4); Timbs and Gunn (1940, pp. 152-3); Raines (1885, pp. 34-44).)

219: standart: The standard of Ely would have been that of St Audrey (see note to line 370).

220: a captaine: As explained in line 228, this was Sir John Stanley, the Bishop's son.

222: Edward: Sir Edward Stanley, 5th son of the 1st Earl of
Derby. For his contribution to Bosworth, see note to Lady Bessiye line 588. He was appointed Sheriff of Lancaster in 1501, a position he held until his death. He was an early favourite with Henry VIII, who was attracted, says Seacome, 'by his martial spirit'; he was also very musical. After his exploits at Flodden he received a letter from Henry VIII and was elevated to the peerage as Lord Mounteagle. Towards the end of his life he had differences with his nephew, the 2nd Earl. He founded a religious house at Hornby, Lancashire, where he died in 1523. (See Seacome (1793), pp. 111-7.)

epe: The word 'rape' (a possible misreading if there was an omission mark) is meaningless, and the Percy 'epe' is used several times, alliterating both with vowels, as here, and with 'y', which betrays its origin as 'yepe'.

223: A difficult line, whatever reading one adopts. It is, firstly, an odd metaphor to talk of Sir Edward as a 'stalk'; perhaps it is a branch of the metaphor we use when we talk of a family tree, simply implying that he was a member of the family. 'Stepe' seems to be a noun (Robson suggests that it could mean "stalk" from the Latin 'stipes') denoting some kind of relationship, in which case 'him selven' must refer to the Bishop.

225: Skypton in Crane: Skipton in Craven, in West Yorkshire, formerly the property of Sir William Stanley (see note to line 11), but at the time of Flodden owned by Lord
Clifford.

228: Sir John Stanley: Natural son of James Stanley, Bishop of Ely, and of Ada Ireland. He led the Bishop's troops at Flodden, after which he was knighted. He seems to be a particular favourite of the author's, which may stem from his association with the family of Handforth, which is obviously special to the author (or the scribe) as it is in capital letters on the manuscript (line 347). He died in 1530.

234: 3: Although the Lyme text makes sense, the Percy reading here undoubtedly provides exactly the meaning that is required, and must be preferred: the Stanley crest was an eagle's foot with three crowns. For the origin of the crest see note to Lady Bessiye line 134.

239: hatell: The Percy MS reading 'batell' adds weight to the argument in the note to line 146.

in hast that he might: "as quickly as he could"

240: Bolton in Glendowre: The three villages of Bolton, East Bolton, and West Bolton, are situated in Glendale to the west of Alnwick, Northumberland. This, according to Hall, is where the English army assembled, and where Admiral Thomas Howard, who had sailed round the coast, joined the army.

246: raked; The Lyme reading 'dayled' loses the alliteration
and is meaningless in context. 'Rayled' is an emendation of which Kane would approve, providing grounds for both variants, but The Percy MS 'raked' is the usual verb of 'going' alliterating with r, and is therefore preferred.

248: ungracious: The O.E.D. gives a meaning 'unfortunate', quoting this line as an example. The point, however, is that their position on Flodden Edge was not so much unfortunate as unfair: it was so good that the English could not possibly attack it from the front. As Sir Charles Oman said (1937, p. 301), 'The only defect of the Scottish position was that it was too strong for any reasonably-minded enemy to attack.' The choice of such a ground in a pre-arranged battle is surely 'ungracious' in the most normal sense of the word.

250 - 1: This is one of very few sentences in the poem with a dependent clause structure. It means: "Nobody could attack the Scots without being killed before he could get to the top of the mountain."

252: them: This pronoun is ambiguous: it could refer retrospectively to the 'sedges' of line 247, or, less likely, to the 'cloes' of line 251.

254: "If we had been beaten by those men, I would not have blamed us." This is a rather more subtle form of literary preparation than usual, and similar to the form used occasionally in Anglo-Saxon poems.
255: our: see note to line 92.

256: fettled: The confusion between 'settle' and 'fettle' is one that occurs several times in the manuscripts, best resolved by reading according to the alliteration. Here, 'settled' would suggest that they slept well, whereas 'fettled', the preferred reading, would mean they spent the night in a state of readiness.

258: There is no other evidence to support this threat by the captains.

259: [f]ettle: See note to line 256.

261: who might: The syntax is cloudy, though the meaning is obvious: "He was a lucky man who could get any water to drink."

264: Lord Howard: Thomas, eldest son of the Earl of Surrey, born 1473. When his brother Edward, Admiral of the English fleet, was killed off Brest in April 1513, Thomas replaced him as Admiral. He had been largely responsible for the mission against the pirate brothers Barton in the North Sea, which was one of the causes of James's declaration of war. After Flodden, when his father became Duke of Norfolk, he became Earl of Surrey. He died in 1544.

266: Sir Ewarde Howarde: Sir Edward Howard was, as stated above (note to line 264) killed in action off Brest in April
1513. The person meant here is Edmund Howard, third son of the Earl of Surrey. He is to be the scapegoat for the later retreat of the Cheshire forces, whence their lamentation at his appointment as their leader (lines 267-271 and Flodden Feilde line 60). He died in 1538.

267 - 8: The punctuation of these lines offers several possibilities, but it is easiest to take 'theire chance was the worse!' as a parenthetical interjection.

270: w[ee]: The (Percy) MS reading 'way' is the usual Percy substitution for 'wee', which is therefore adopted.

271: lanke: This could be from 'lang', "long-standing", implying that the decision to appoint Sir Edmund Howard as leader of the Cheshire troops caused long-term losses; Oakden, in his note on the line, says that the line means "Now meagre is their fame", but that is not what the Stanley poet would want to say, nor does it appear to be what the words say.

272: Lord Lumley: John, 6th Baron Lumley, born 1493. Lumley Castle is at Chester-le-Street, County Durham. His grandmother was the natural daughter of Edward IV by Lady Elizabeth Lucy. Hall mentions that he was at Flodden, but not that he carried St Cuthbert's banner. In 1536 Lord Lumley carried the banner in the Pilgrimage of Grace, so maybe the family had some right in the matter. (See Hutchinson (1785-94, vol ii, pp. 399-409); Benham (1904,
273: **St Cutberd's banner**: In Bishop Ruthal's letter to Wolsey after the battle, he says, 'All believe that it (the victory) was wrought by the intercession of St Cuthbert.' Certainly the banner had a history of successful intervention, for C.F. Battiscombe (1956, pp. 70-71) says of it:

> It was dedicated to holy St Cuthbert of intent and purpose that the same should always be after presented and carried to any battle as occasion should serve, and which was never carried or shewed at any battle but by the especial grace of God Almighty and the mediation of holy St Cuthbert it brought home the victory.

It is also recorded that the banner was successful at Falkirk (1298), and Neville's Cross (1346). The banner was supposed to be impervious to fire, but when Mrs William Whittingham, wife of the dean in 1536, put it to the test, she found that it was not.

274: **Lord Clifford**: Henry de Clifford, 14th Lord Clifford, 10th Baron of Westmoreland, 1st Lord Vesci, born 1455. When Edward IV achieved his triumph in the Wars of the Roses, the Clifford lands at Skipton were confiscated because the Cliffords had been staunch Lancastrians, and given to Sir William Stanley. They were returned under Henry VII. Because of the Yorkist victory, young Henry Clifford spent his childhood as a fugitive, mostly at a farm in Threlkeld, Cumbria. He is said to have grown up more or less illiterate, but made up for this in later life by
retiring as a recluse to his home in Barden, Yorkshire, and devoting his time to study. He fought at Bosworth and, at the age of sixty, he led the Craven troops to Flodden, from which he carried off the Scots' most famous ordinance, the 'Seven Sisters'. He married Joan Stanley, sister of the 2nd Earl of Derby. He died in 1523. (See Brewer (1862), nos. 1179, 4439, 5561; Ward (1891), pp. 43-48; Dawson (1882), pp. 218-224; Whitaker (1878), pp. 324-7; Nicolson and Burn (1777), pp. 285-7.)

275: Sir William Percy: Second brother of the Earl of Northumberland, who was in France with Henry VIII (see line 70). He was a signatory of Surrey's letter to James IV. Holinshed reports his presence at the battle, and that he was knighted afterwards. He was greatly admired by the Percy historian, who calls him 'honest William'. (See Brenan (1902), vol. i, pp. 150-151 and 168.)

276: Sir William Bawmer: See note to line 159.

279: freekes: The Percy MS reading 'tokens' looks like an attempt to increase alliteration. It makes little sense in this context.

280: Surrey: See note to line 60.

(See Hutchinson (1785-94), vol ii, p. 383; Whitaker (1823) vol i, pp. 378-83; Foster (1874, vol ii).

282: yf ye: This is a conditional clause which the Percy MS fails to conclude.


283: Lathum: The chief seat of the Earls of Derby until it was burnt down in the Civil War (when Charles Stanley, the famous 7th Earl, was executed). See note to Lady Bessiye line 350. The word 'Lathum' is one of two words (Honforde in line 347 being the other) which appears in capital letters on the manuscript. It would not be surprising for there to be some connection between the poet and the house, it being the chief seat of the Stanley family. (See Thompson (1894), pp. 42-3.)

285: an egg: An odd image, but a possible one, meaning, by metaphorical extension, "an offspring". The transferred alliteration (as if 'a negg') is not uncommon in alliterative poems. The Percy reading 'and nere of blood' is fairly attractive as an alternative, but the repetition of 'nere of' in the next line weighs against it.

286: the Nevills: James Stanley's mother was Eleanor Neville, sister of the Earl of Warwick ('the Kingmaker'). The Percy reading must be preferred, partly because of the maintained alliteration, but also because of its historical precision.
296: Sir John Stanley: See note to line 228.

298: Lord Mounteagle: Sir Edward Stanley. See note to line 222.

302: grounde: The Percy reading 'land' results in excessive alliteration.

304: night: The Lyme scribe is this time the one who sleeps (see note to line 173).

307: besides Barwick: This is Barmoor Wood, four miles east of Flodden, where, according to most sources, the English army spent the night of Thursday 8th September.

308 - 15: A longer version of the nature interlude already seen in lines 176-9. That it is a literary convention is seen in the fact that other sources record that the weather was dreadful.

309: cast up: 'God' is the subject of this verb, 'cloudes' the object.

311: leames: The Percy reading retains the alliteration.

316 - 20: The precise order of events is not clear from the poem. What other sources say actually happened is that the English marched northwards; the Scots, persuaded that the English were going to ravage the south of Scotland, burnt camp and set off after them; having succeeded in drawing the Scots down off the hill, the English then
wheeled round to face them.

319: mothers: This appears to be a hurried, careless, unpoetic phrase. But it could be an abbreviated form of the praise bestowed by Hrothgar on Beowulf (lines 942-6).

320: and we: The Lyme MS retains a subject for the sentence, which is lost in the Percy reading.

322: shalmes this word appears at first to be a subject, but the syntax only 'works' if it is the second object of 'triden'.

325: gunnes: Oman (1937, p. 314) says, 'There can have been only a few rounds fired on either side.'

326: arrowes: Oman (1937, p. 320) says, 'Arrows played no part in the decision. It is more often suggested that archers were almost wholly responsible for the victory, e.g. Churchill (1956, vol. ii, p. 29): 'The English archers once again directed upon these redoubtable masses a long, intense and murderous arrow-storm.'

327: put many over: This could mean that they put many spears over (i.e. threw them), or that they put many men over (i.e. killed them). In view of the next line, the second meaning seems better.

328: harnes: The Percy reading, 'harnish', seems to be an attempt at the word 'harness', but what is intended, and understood by the Lyme scribe, is the ancient word
'harnes', meaning "brains".

332: a checke: This is the crucial moment of the battle, and, more importantly, of the poem.

333: was: The Percy 'with' is redundant.

335: away: The Percy reading, 'kered away', is attractive, but 'kere' is not usually transitive. It seems like a scribal attempt to regularise the alliteration.

339: swyers: This could be a form of 'squires', as the Percy reading obviously believes, but the better interpretation is that here we have an old word 'swyers' meaning "necks".

swapped: A better reading than the Percy 'snapped' because the alliteration is on the consonant cluster. Ironically, the meaning of 'snapped' fits well with "necks", but as 'swapped' means "cut off" that is even better.

340: Sir John Booth: The Booths held the manor of Barton (on the north-west edge of Manchester) from 1292 until 1550. Sir John was the second son of Sir Thomas Booth. The first son, Thomas, married Anne Assheton (under Lyne), and their son, Robert, was the last Booth of Barton. The hall was demolished in 1879. Sir John Booth married Eleanor Byron of Newstead. He died at Flodden. (See Brewer (1862, no. 4462); W. Booth, 'The Booths of Barton' unpublished thesis now in the Public Library in Eccles.

343: Sir William Warkehoppe: This is probably an error for Sir
Robert Warcop, who was certainly at Flodden. Sir Robert was Receiver General of Crown Lands in Westmoreland and Cumberland in 1513.

344: Sir William: The prefix 'fitz', perhaps because of its improper associations, seems to cause some difficulty with scribes: even in the State Papers (Brewer (1862, no. 4632)), we read Fythwilliam. The Scotch Feilde variants, 'Sir' and 'f igh' are further examples, as also are the attempts at the name 'Fitzwater' in Flodden Feilde, lines 257 and 277. Referred to here is undoubtedly Sir Thomas Fitzwilliam of Aldwark, Wadworth and Steveton. Wadworth is just east of Rotherham, which helps the identification. He is mentioned in the Commission of Array for the West Riding, and died at Flodden. (See Brewer (1862, nos. 3735, 3358); Foster (1874, vol i, sub. 'Fitzwilliam').)

346: the barne of Kinderton: Sir Thomas Venables of Kinderton (east of Middlewich, Cheshire). The Venables family was one of the oldest in Cheshire, and was related to many of the other families. Sir Thomas was married to a daughter of Sir John Dutton (Flodden Feilde line 185). He and his son, William, were both killed at Flodden. (See Ormerod (1882, vol. iii, pp. 187-200).)

347: HONFORDE: The capital letters of this name in the Lyme MS are an indication of something significant, perhaps the author's own connection with the family. His obvious
favouring of Sir John Stanley could be important, for Sir John married Margaret, daughter of William Handforth of Handforth (south of Manchester). In Cheadle Church there is a window commemorating Sir John and Margaret, and two effigies thought to be of Sir John (Stanley) and Sir William Handforth, although the identity of the second is debated. An inscription reads, 'Pray for the souls of Sir John Stanley, knight, and the Lady Margaret, his wife, and William Hanford ... 1530.' Sir William's father, John Handforth, married Margaret Savage of Clifton, so the family was doubly related to the Stanleys. Sir William Handforth was born in 1476 and died at Flodden. (See Ormerod (1882, vol. ii, p. 686); Croston (1883, pp. 185-6); Moss (1894, pp. 76-9). And see family tree, p. 272.)

348: Fullsewise: J. Croston (1887, pp. 178-9) is convinced that this is Robert Fouleshurst of Crewe, who was killed at Flodden. He was married to Joan, sister of William Molyneux of Sefton. (See Croston, p. 189.)

349: Christopher Savadge: The fifth of nine brothers of whom the eldest was Sir John (line 12). Christopher was Mayor of Macclesfield in 1513, and he and many of the men he took with him from that town were killed at Flodden. (See Croston (1887, pp. 178-9).)

350: John Lawrens: Probably John Lawrens of Dun, noted in Trewe Encountre as having been at Flodden.
our Lord have: The Percy reading of this line lacks both rhythm and alliteration.

351: for feare that: The syntax is cloudy but the meaning is clear. See note to line 21.

354: joyning: This could be the joining of two divisions of the Scottish army; or maybe the Percy reading 'joyinge', suggesting exuberance, would be better.

355: King: The Percy addition 'keenlie' is possibly through concern for alliteration, but the word 'Scotts' already provides the alliterating sound.

355 - 74: The listing of standards of the opposing forces is a feature of Wynnere and Wastoure (lines 143-87).

362: Sir Thomas Jared: Sir Thomas Gerard of Bryn (near Wigan). He was distantly related to the Stanleys, his mother being Margaret Stanley of Hooton. Foster (1873, sub. 'Gerard') records that

At Flodden, both armies engaging, Sir Thomas behaved himself with great valour, and to the Lancashire archers the fame of that great victory is generally owned.

His son married Jane Legh of Lyme, and his three daughters married into the families of Legh of Lyme, Bold of Bold, and Assheton of Middleton. Sir Thomas died in 1523.

363: Sir William Molynex: of Sefton (Liverpool), born 1483, son of Thomas Molyneux and Anne nee Dutton. William was one
of the signatories to Surrey's letter to James IV just before Flodden. He was clearly a hero in the battle, for he was one of few who received personal letters from the King. The inscription to him in St Helen's Church, Sefton, reads,

William Molyneux Knight and Lord of Sefton was thrice sent against the Scots when Henry VIII was King in England, and acquitted himself bravely, but especially at Flodden, where he captured with his own hands two standards of arms of the Scots who were most strongly resisting.

He had two sons and two daughters, who by their several marriages united with the families of Ratcliffe, Bold, Osboldeston, and Halsall (all mentioned in Flodden Feilde as being families close the Earl of Derby). (See Horley (1893, pp. 22-32); Lofthouse (1972, pp. 61-6.)

for feare of no weapon: The double negative again (see note to line 21) with verbs of fearing.

365: sticke: The Percy reading 'strike' is passable, but 'sticke' in the sense of "stay" is better.

366: bashed: As this refers to the previous military engagement at Berwick (1496) and not to Barmoor Wood, which was erroneously called Berwick in line 307, the Lyme reading is clearly preferable to the Percy 'busked' ("prepared").

367: the bird: This is the subject of the sentence, the elucidation of the pronoun 'he' in the a-line. The Percy scribe, apparently thinking it was the object of 'baters and beates', changed the sex of the bird and wrote 'her
370: **St [Audrey]:** Previous editors (Oakden, and Robson, who because of his peculiar numbering is commenting on what he calls line 546) have tended to assume that this is a simple error for the standard of St Cuthbert (mentioned in line 273). A more logical explanation is that St Towder and St Tander are misreadings of St Audrey ('St' is often written as 'S'), whose banner was the standard of the Bishop of Ely.

372: **Mountegle:** Sir Edward Stanley. See note to line 222.

373: **Lord Dakers:** As Lord Dacres of Gilsland has already received such a bad press (lines 154 and 333), it is unlikely that the author is now going to heap praise on him. This is possibly a reference to Sir Thomas Darcy, a Yorkshire knight who distinguished himself at the battle. (See Brewer (1862), nos. 4439 and 4441.)

389: **the banner of a bishopp:** This (see note to line 370) would have been the banner of St Audrey from Ely. Tradition has it that King James was killed by Thomas Stanley, son of Sir Edward Stanley.

394-5: The scribes appear to have understood the syntax of these lines differently; the Percy version is right.

397: **their blessed King:** This line appears to refer to a truce between James and Henry.

403: **Brymstone:** An error (or a pun?) for Branxton, the actual
site of the battle.

406: tithandes: It was this message, sent by Surrey to King Henry in France, that caused the anguish in Flodden Feilde.

408: rescowed: the Percy reading 'restored' is attractive, but not a necessary emendation, as 'rescowed' makes good sense.

410: of his kindness: "out of kindness". The Lyme reading, which omits 'of', could be for 'his highness'.

412: to my name: Furnivall assumes this is part of the King's speech, and that the 'noise' was 'to my name', i.e. to the glory of Henry's name, which is perhaps safe; but the verb in the next line is in the past tense, which suggests that it may be the author describing the noise that was made, with 'to my name' meaning "to my knowledge". The verb 'nimen', to understand (MED) is a possible source for this (?) corruption.

419: ye see: If this text is right (and the Percy reading is quite different but no more explicit), the word 'see' suddenly suggests the poem is to be read rather than heard; it is an isolated example, and all other internal information tends to suggest oral delivery.

421: old: The Lyme reading 'long' is probably attracted from the same word later in the line. The Percy reading is better sense and also maintains the alliteration.
COMMENTARY ON

FLODDEN FEILDE
COMMENTARY - FLODDEN FEILDE

1: **nowe**: As with the longer poem *The Battle of Floddan Field* (ed. H. Weber (Edinburgh, 1808)), the opening lines appear to be a continuation from somewhere else. It could perhaps be that both poems were originally parts of longer poems, but this assumption is not necessary, particularly if the poem is to be read aloud.

**the mounte of Floden**: Flodden Edge, the hill which has given its name to the battle, although the battle actually took place on Branxton Hill. These hills are in Northumberland about five miles from the Scottish border.

2: ** suche**: It is not clear what this refers back to. If the poem is, as line 1 suggests, a continuation, the previous lines could have been discussing previous successes, in which case the line could mean, "We had the same sort of luck there." If the poem is not a continuation, the reciter might be saying, "Now we have an opportunity to talk about it."

3: **tythandes**: This is probably intended to be the message that Surrey sent at the end of *Scotish Feilde* (line 406). It even repeats the word 'tythandes' from that line. There are reports that a wrong account of the battle did reach Henry at Tournai, but there is no evidence that Surrey was the man responsible for that account. It is also possible that the report in other sources is based on this poem. The letter that did come from Surrey, and which was
forwarded with the Queen's letter (whereas this poem says
the Queen's letter arrived later to correct the false
impression given by Surrey's letter—see lines 354-372),
is in Brewer (1862, no. 4451).

the Earle of Surrey: See note to Scotish Feilde line 60.

4: our Kynge: Henry VIII, who was, at the time of the Battle
of Flodden, fighting a different campaign in Northern
France. We tend to think of Henry VIII as the large,
middle-aged man of the Holbein painting and the six wives,
and it is salutary to remember that while leading this
campaign in France he was but twenty-two years old.

9: after: This at first appears to be a conjunction, but must
be an adverb.

11: Tyrwyn: Thérouanne, near St Omer in the Pas de Calais
departement. That town had surrendered on 13th August, and
the English army had moved on to besiege Tournai, a fact
apparently unknown to the English army at Flodden, for
there is mention of 'Tirwin' in Scotish Feilde (lines 79,
92), and after the battle, Surrey sends his report to the
King at Thérouanne.

14: layne: past tense of 'lie' rather than past participle of
'lay'. Previous editors, who had (incorrectly) read
'tayne' in one of the manuscripts, seemed to think that
these were English soldiers who had been captured; but the
English had won the town, so the soldiers there would
probably have been a garrison.

15: Torney: Tournai, the second town the English besieged. All the action in this poem takes place outside the walls of this town.

16: the Emperour of Almayne: Maximilian I, born 1459, son of Frederick III. He became King of Germany in 1486, Emperor in 1493. In 1511 he joined the Holy League, in which most Western European countries united against France. In 1513 he helped Henry VIII to win the Battle of the Spurs. Whether Maximilian was in (or outside) Tournai in 1513 is not known. He died in 1519.

19 - 20: This phrase becomes a formula: see lines 54, 91-2, 293-4, 355-6.

20 - 21: "May Christ be your speede (i.e. help) this day!"

25: fares: Furnivall says that this is an old northern plural. What happens in several of these poems is that instances occur where we would say there is lack of grammatical agreement. It may be a dialectal feature.

29: to venter your chance: "to give it a try". Now that James has been defeated at Flodden, there is nothing to hold Henry back if he should need to stay longer in France.

30: brother: James IV of Scotland had married Henry VIII's sister Margaret in 1503. In earlier times the distinction between blood relations and in-laws was not always as
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rigorously upheld as nowadays. Even so, later in this poem (line 362) the precise nature of the relationship is given. For details of James IV, see note to Scotish Feilde line 106.

31: at London: Although there is some doubt as to the final resting-place of James IV's body, Shene Abbey near Richmond in Surrey is the best attested.

32: in the presence of the: ('the' for 'thee', as usual). This harmless-looking phrase cannot mean what it says: by the time Henry returns to England, possibly many months later, James's body will not still be in his 'presence', either in the normal sense of the word, or in its technical sense: 'presence' is a room in a palace. It seems more likely that the phrase is a respectful nod from the messenger, similar, perhaps, to our 'saving your presence'. The phrase occurs again in line 364.

34 - 6: These questions are precisely repeated when the second messenger arrives (lines 366-8). The whole of this scene carries an ironic tone, as the slur here cast on the Lancashire and Cheshire troops will be entirely reversed in the later scene. This technique of playing a scene twice, the second time with variations, is used several times.

37 - 8: For an explanation of what actually happened at Flodden, see pp. 103-8.

39: the Earle of Derby: Thomas Stanley, 2nd Earl of Derby, the
hero of the poem. For details of his life, see note to 
Scotish Feilde line 67.

41: still in a study: This phrase, commonly used in Lady 
Bessiye, means "astounded".

44: red: R.H.Evans (1810, note to this line) glossed this as 
'blushed', but it means "read".

47: Kynge of Man: See note to Lady Bessiye line 172 for an 
explanation of the Stanleys' connection with the Isle of 
Man.

53: Sir Rauphe Egerton: Ralph Egerton (pronounced 
'Edge-erton'), second son of Philip Egerton of Egerton 
(Cheshire). He was a successor to Sir William Stanley as 
Ranger of Delameer Forest, served with great 
distinction in France, being knighted at Tournai, and was 
given the office of Standard-Bearer to the King for life. 
J. Croston (1887, pp. 134-6) unaccountably uses this line 
of this poem as evidence that Sir Ralph fought at Flodden. 
In 1514 he was granted the lands of Ridley (near Egerton), 
a gift which is the foundation of the 85-line interpolation 
in the Percy MS version of this poem. He was married to 
Elizabeth Warburton and died in 1528. (See Brewer (1862, 
nos. 3885, 4237, 4306, 4307, 4314, 4636, 4468, 4748); 
Ormerod (1882, vol. ii, p. 301 and pp. 620-8).)

60: for wante of their captayne: This excuse for the flight of 
the Cheshire troops is also used in Scotish Feilde
lines 336-7.

61 - 64: The syntax here is loose, but the sense of the stanza is fairly clear.

65: so yt proved well: The King seems to have a taste for sarcasm in this poem: see also lines 96-8.

69: Wylliam Breerton: Son of Andrew Brereton, brother and heir of the previous William Brereton of Brereton (near Middlewich, Cheshire). He was knighted at Tournai, and in 1516 was made Chief Justice and Lord High Marshal of Ireland. He died in 1541. His cousin, Sir Randle Brereton of Malpas, was also at Flodden. Randle's brother, Humphrey Brereton of Malpas, is the probable author of Lady Bessiye. Randle's son Urian married Sir John Stanley's ex-wife Margaret (nee Handforth), thus founding the Breretons of Handforth. (See Ormerod (1882, vol. ii, p. 686 and vol. iii, pp. 81, 639); Angus-Butterworth (1932, p. 18.)

74: and: There is a strong case for omitting this word, as it is the Earl's leadership rather than the soldiers' courage which is in question; but as all three manuscripts have the word, and as some sense can be made of the line, it is perhaps safer to retain it.

76: borne: We still use the idiomatic expression 'true-born'; here we see its opposite, 'false-born'.

77: Compton: Sir William Compton, a Warwickshire gentleman and
a very successful man under Henry VIII. When he died he held land in eighteen counties. He was knighted at Tournai.

78: righte: This word is used three times within twelve lines with three different meanings.

85: a: Inserted partly on the grounds of sense, but also because the phrase is repeated at line 108. It also appears in The Hunting of the Cheviot line 121.

besyde the ground: This much repeated phrase means "from the spot".

88: returned hym: Reflexive, with the meaning "turned on his heel".

89: came: The Earl of Derby now arrives, conveniently breaking up the quarrel, in response to the King's summons delivered in line 47.

112: noe more helpe: The Earl asks for an opportunity to wreak destruction on these places with no forces other than his own Lancashire and Cheshire men.

120: on the roode: However the stanzas are divided, and however one looks for simple emendations, the rhyme fails here. Only a major alteration could rescue it.

123 - 4: "Even cowards will fight bravely if the rewards are great enough." Henry refuses the Earl's offer, believing
that the test would not be under fair conditions.

127: The Earl now recalls the most famous previous exploit of the Lancashire and Cheshire men, that of Bosworth, well celebrated, as far as the Stanleys were concerned, in Lady Bessiye and Bosworth Feilde. For Henry's landing at Milford Haven, see note to Lady Bessiye line 999.

128: It seems easiest to take this line as a parenthetical explanatory comment by the author rather than as part of the Earl's speech: Henry VIII would hardly need to be reminded of his own father's name, whereas an audience might.

129: **towne of Fortune**: Previous editors have suggested emending this to 'turn of Fortune' (Weber even calls the emendation 'obvious'); but there is no need or justification for this: it is clear that a geographical description of the route from Milford Haven to Shrewsbury is being given, however much of a double meaning 'Fortune' may, whether intentionally or not, suggest. Furnivall & Hales and Evans both mention Forton in Staffordshire, but that would be a very roundabout way from Wales to Shrewsbury. As there is a small town called Forton just west of Shrewsbury, it is hard to see why it has caused such a problem.


**demed to dye**: = "killed".
134: returned hym: As in line 88, this means "stalked off". 'In same' ("together", MED), presumably with his entourage.


137: brother: However many times Buckingham calls Derby 'brother' (lines 145, 204, 381), it is not so.

138: thynge: In view of the further repetitions of this phrase (lines 198, 382), where 'tithandes' is the word used, it is probable that 'tithandes' was the original word in this line. It is easy to see how 'tithandes' could have led to 'tythings' and that to 'things'.

141: King Richard feylde: The field of King Richard, i.e. Bosworth, where it is supposed that Sir William Stanley ('thyne uncle') killed the Duke of Norfolk ('his father').

144: Sir Christofer Savage: The Savage who played the most important role at Bosworth was Sir John, Christopher's eldest brother, who may be meant here, but it may be that Sir Christopher was the one to capture the standard. He received a reward from Henry after the battle, as is recorded in W. Campbell, (1873, vol. i, p. 10). He is
mentioned later in this poem for his likely death at Flodden (line 181) and also in Scotish Feilde line 349.

away: The Percy reading 'always' is a nonsense, as it would imply that Savage was on the other side.

151: Sir Edward Stanley: See note to Scotish Feilde line 222.

157: Kyghley: See note to Scotish Feilde line 361.

159: Lathum: See note to Scotish Feilde line 283.

161: Towneley: Sir John Towneley of Towneley (east of Burnley, Lancashire), son of Sir Richard Towneley and Jane nee Southworth (of Samlesbury). He married Anne Radcliffe. Lofthouse (1972, p. 213) records that he was knighted on the field of battle. He died in 1540. (See Espinasse (1877, p. 240); G.C.Yates, (1892), pp. 86-91.)

162: Hashton of Mydelton: The Assheton family had held Middleton (northeast of Manchester) since 1438, when the manor was conveyed by marriage to Ralph, known as the Black Knight of Ashton. Sir Richard Assheton, the one referred to here, is a direct descendant of the Black Knight. Foster (1873, sub. 'Ashton') writes that Sir Richard received the honour of knighthood for his valiant bearing on the celebrated field of Flodden, where he took the Scottish standard-bearer's sword and made Sir James Foreman, the Scots' monarch's serjeant-porter, prisoner.

Sir Richard also received from the King a letter of thanks.
(now in the Chester archives) for the contribution the
Middleton archers made to the victory. In 1524 Sir Richard
re-built Middleton Church as a thank-offering, consecrating
it, relevantly, on 9th September. The window to the south
of the altar commemorates the victory, and bears the
inscription:

Omate pro bono statu Ricardi Assheton et eorum qui
hanc fenestram fieri fecerunt, quorum nomina et
imagines ut supra ostenduntur. Anno domini
MCCCCCV.

(Pray for the good estate of Richard Assheton and
of those who caused this window to be made, whose
names and pictures are shown as above. A.D. 1505)
The date is, for some reason, quite wrong and should read
MCCCCCXXIV. Sir Richard and his wife and eighteen named
archers appear represented on the window. There are in
the church a banner, reputedly carried at Flodden but now
thought to be more modern; and a helmet thought to have
been captured from a Scottish soldier. Sir Richard was
born in 1484 and died in 1549. (See J. Dean, A History of
Middleton (Oldham, n.d.), and the many relics, charts and
documents in St Leonard's Church, Middleton.)

163: Sothewarke: The Southworth family occupied Samlesbury Hall
(east of Preston), but Moore (1894, p. 5) records that it
was granted to the Earl of Derby in 1488 and also (p. 10)
that it was among the properties of the 2nd Earl at his
death. At Flodden were Sir John Southworth, son of Sir
Christopher Southworth and Isabel nee Dutton; and Sir
John's 16-year-old son Thomas, who later married Margaret
165: **Hashton under Lyne:** Sir Thomas Assheton, son of Sir John Assheton and Mary nee Biron (of Clayton). Of him W. Bowman (1960, pp. 253-5) writes:

> It may be that Sir Thomas Assheton IV, last of his line, led his brave Ashton men to meet the Scottish invaders. There can be little doubt that Sir Thomas would join forces with his relative Richard Assheton of Middleton, ..., and that they would lead their respective tenantry to meet Earl [sic] Stanley's gathering of Lancashire and Cheshire troops at Hornby.

He was knighted in 1492. His daughter Elizabeth married Ralph Assheton of Middleton. He died in 1516. (See W. Bowman, (1960), pp. 252-5; W. Glover, (1884), pp. 48-73; Ormerod (1882, vol. iii, pp. 866-7).)

166: **Mollenax:** See note to **Scotish Feilde** line 363.

169: **Aderton:** In view of the reading 'Anderton' in MS S, which is nearly always right on names when he corrects from H, there is a possibility that this is a reference to one of the several Anderton families of the area. However Hope (1892, pp. 3-4) explains that 'Atherton' has many spellings, of which 'Aderton' and 'Adderton' are two. Robson originally suggested (Furnivall and Hales, 1867-8, vol. i, p. 325 n.) that Atherton was the place meant here. In 1513 Atherton Hall was the property of George Atherton of Atherton, who married first Anne, daughter of Richard Assheton of Middleton, and second Anne, daughter of Sir
Thomas Butler of Bewsey. He died in 1518. A second possibility is that the Earl of Derby is referring to Sir Thomas Atherton of Bickerstaffe, who must have been a close friend of his, being named as an executor of his will. (See Draper (1864, p. 42); T.H. Hope, (1892, pp. 3-4.).)

'mall': The B MS reading 'wall' is an obvious error. The 'mall' or hammer is, according to Hope, the Athertons' armorial device.

171: at: To take leave 'at' has dictionary support as an alternative to 'of', but a copying error is possible, as in early hands the words 'of' and 'att' are very similar.


176: schunte: This formula occurs five times (lines 154, 168, 176, 184, 192) but the verb, which is necessary to the sense, is unaccountably omitted from the manuscripts on the last three occasions. It must be replaced.

177: Butteler: Sir Thomas Butler of Bewsey, 15th Baron of Warrington, a cousin of the 2nd Earl of Derby: his mother, Margaret, was a sister of the 1st Earl. His father was the Sir John Butler who caused such a stir in the annals of the Stanleys by his quarrel and near murder, as recorded in the poem Sir John Butler. Sir Thomas founded the Boteler Grammar School in Warrington, and in the early records of that establishment is mention of the
fact that he fought at Flodden. By the marriages of his children his family became related to the families of Legh, Bold, Booth, Brereton, Atherton, Southworth, and Keighley. He died in 1522. (See W. Beamont (1872, pp. 333-421).)

Sir Bode: Sir Richard Bold of Bold (north of Widnes). He married Margaret, daughter of Sir Thomas Butler, so the associating of the two names in one line has more than alliterative conjunction. His son married a daughter of Sir Thomas Gerrard of Bryn. He died in 1529. (See M. Gregson, (1824, p. 188).)

180: This line must mean, "If you were still alive", but the scribes found it difficult to understand, to judge by their different readings.

181: Christofer Savage: See note to line 144.

185: Dutton: Sir Piers Dutton of Dutton, who, as Mayor of Chester in 1513, would have been required to furnish troops for the battle. The Dutton family is one of the most important in the early history of Chester. He died in 1546. (See Ormerod (1882, vol. i, pp. 643-51 and vol. ii, pp. 792-6); R.H. Morris, (1894, pp. 581-2); G.B. Morgan, (1901, pp. 17-29).)

Sir Downe: Sir Richard Done (pronounced to rhyme with 'stone') of Utkinton, Cheshire. The Dones of Utkinton had been for many years Foresters of Delamere, and were,
according to Moss (1901, p. 20), a savage and vindictive family. Sir Richard fought at Flodden, married into the family of Gerard of Bryn, and died in 1517. (See Ormerod (1882, vol. ii, pp. 136 & 244, and vol. iii, p. 898); R.H. Morris, (1894, p. 66.))

187: the Baron of Kynderton: See note to Scotish Feilde line 346.

189: Seton of Gowsewurthe: This, as is correctly indicated in MS S, should be Fitton of Gawseworth (south-west of Macclesfield). The reference is to Edward Fitton, who was captured at Flodden by the Scots. The Fittons apparently had a reputation as soldiers, for they were known as 'The Fighting Fittons'. (See Ormerod (1882, vol. iii, pp. 547-52); Beamont (1872, p. 387; R. Richards, (1957, p. 20); H.E. Polehampton, (1924, p. 149.).)

194: the Duke and the Earl: Still the Duke of Buckingham and the Earl of Derby, whose conversation has now been going on for over fifty lines.

195: Talbot: See note to Scotish Feilde line 68.

196: and: All the manuscripts include this word, but as 'Talbot' and 'the Earl of Shrewsbury' are one and the same person, it must be an error which entered the manuscript history at an earlier date.

197: sonne: As in line 137 (and elsewhere), relationship is
claimed without justification.

199 - 200: The Earl of Shrewsbury tries to comfort the Earl of Derby by suggesting that he will be able to use his special influence with Henry to regain favour for him.

201: he: The Earl of Derby.

205: Lancaster: In 1488 the lands in Lancaster of Viscount Lovell, which had been forfeited to the crown, were given to the Earl of Derby. The 2nd Earl, at his death, held two manors there. (See Moore (1894, pp. 6-10).)

208: There is apparently some corruption in this line. The rhyme fails, but so does the sense. Robson (see Furnivall's note on this line) suggests 'When in the lane they weeping lie', which restores the rhyme, but does not explain why poor people should be lying in the lane (or lawn, if the B MS reading is preferred).

209: Lathum: See note to Lady Bessyie line 350.

212: This would seem to mean that there have been three royal visits there rather than that the house is large enough to accommodate three kings at once.

213: Knoweslay: Knowsley Hall, now the principal seat of the Earl of Derby, but in 1513 of second importance after Lathom. Knowsley had been a possession of the Lathom family, and the Stanleys gained it in 1385 when Sir John Stanley married Isabella de Lathom. The Hall and the Park
lie between Liverpool and St Helens.

217: Tockestaffe: Toxteth Park, which gives its name to one of the Liverpool parliamentary constituencies, is now mostly Sefton Park, the Molyneux family having at one time owned it. The custody of the park was in 1447 given to Sir Thomas Stanley, and this office descended in the family until 1596. It was among the possessions of the 2nd Earl of Derby at his death. (See Moore (1894, p. 10); H.O. Aspinall, (1923, p. 9).)

218: rever: The only river running near Toxteth is the Mersey, but there is a large lake in the grounds of the park.

221: Byrkhead: R. Stewart-Brown (1925, pp. 93-4) quotes these lines from Flodden Feilde about the Earl of Derby being born in Birkenhead, but says he can find no other evidence to support it. He surmises that it may have been a port of call on the regular journey between Lathom and Bidston, but that is only conjecture. According to a Royal Commission of 1534 the Earl of Derby was the founder of the Abbey, but Stewart-Brown thinks this too may not be true.

224: Beeston: Although there is a Beeston in Cheshire, it has no connection with the Earls of Derby, and this reference is almost certainly an error for 'Bidston', now a small village north-west of Birkenhead, but in 1513 the most important hunting-manor of the Earls of Derby. Sir John
Stanley purchased the manors of Bidston, Moreton, and Saughall Massie from the Strange family of Knockyn (ironically the title inherited by the 2nd Earl's father) in 1397. (See R. Stewart-Brown, op. cit.; J. Brownbill, (1936, pp. 177-86; Moore (1894, p. 8).)

225: **Westchester**: = Chester. See note to Lady Bessiye line 418.

226: **the Water Gate**: Chester has four main gates as well as several minor ones, and these gates used to be privately owned. Water-Gate, the main gate on the west side of the city, was owned by William de Doncaster in 1345 and in the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries (until 1788) by the Earls of Derby, but as R.H. Morris explains (1894, p. 225), 'It is not known how it passed from the Doncaster family to the Stanleys.' (See also F.Simpson, (1910, p. 36).)

227: **sergeant**: The duties of the Sergeant of the Water-Gate included accompanying the Mayor on his visits westward. (See Morris (1894), p. 225.)

230: **Edward**: Later Edward, 3rd Earl of Derby, born 1509. He married Dorothy Howard in 1528, thus, one assumes, healing (if it hadn't already been healed by then) the rift between the Stanleys and the Howards which is such a feature of these two Flodden poems.

231: **Westharden**: = Hawarden. See note to Lady Bessiye line 15.
myn: This is a perfectly well attested verb meaning "call", but that does not necessarily invalidate the reading of the Percy scribe, 'may call thee myn', where 'myn' becomes a possessive adjective, although the most obvious explanation for that reading is that the scribe is following his frequent practice of emending what he fails to understand.

233: white bokes: O.E.D. says these are books of official records, bound in white, from mediaeval Latin 'liber albus'. It seems that in this context the books have some charitable connection.

235: Hope: The manor of Hope (also known as 'Hope Owen', north of Wrexham, North Wales) was granted to Sir John Stanley in 1401, and this grant was confirmed by Richard III in 1484. See Moore (1894, p. 3); S.G. Jarman, (1909, pp. 13-14).)

236: Mould: = Mold, North Wales. See note to Lady Bessiye line 16.

241: James Garsyde: No mention of this incident occurs in any other source, and the whole incident may be the author's invention, although there certainly was a yeoman of the (King's) guard called James Gartside. (see Brewer (1862, nos. 3254, 3468.) The incident shows the Earl of Derby in an excellent light, and is thus in tune with the mood and purpose of the poem. At Knowsley Hall there is an
unpublished MS poem (in the volume entitled 'Stanley MSS') in which we are told that the 2nd Earl used to help soldiers who were in difficulty. It is possible that Flodden Feilde and the Garsyde incident in particular may have been responsible for that remark.

249 - 50: "There was a time when I could have got you off any punishment even if you had killed thirty men."

257: Feighwater: Robert Radcliffe, born 1483, son of John Radcliffe, Lord Fitzwater, who had been executed in 1496. Young Robert was a childhood friend of the young Henry VIII, and perhaps because of this the barony, forfeited in 1496, was returned to Robert, now therefore Lord Fitzwater, in 1505. He married the widow of George Lord Strange, and was thus, by 1513, the Earl of Derby's step-father. In early 1513 he was in charge of the ships at Brest, but he was also with the King at Therouanne and Tournai. He died in 1542. (See Brewer (1862, nos. 3750, 4070, 4253, 4347, 5137); C.P. Hampson (1940, pp. 47-50).)

258: Wyllabee: William, Lord Willoughby of Eresby, fought with distinction in France in 1513. (See Hon. E. Willoughby, (1896, pp. 79-82).)

259: Sir Rhys ap Thomas: See note to Lady Bessiye line 1045.

271: This line is to be regarded as in parenthesis, so the sense of the sentence is: "I hope he is not in such a thunderous mood by the time we catch up with him."
279: Cobham: Thomas Brooke, 8th Baron Cobham, was with the King at Tournai, and was knighted in 1514. He was later one of the judges at the trial of the Duke of Buckingham. He was related to the Stanley family through his connection with the Strange family of Knockyn. (See Brewer (1862, nos. 4070, 4253, 4468); Burke's Peerage; unpublished 'Stanley MSS' at Knowsley.)

281: Hassall: Probably Sir Henry Halsall of Halsall (near Ormskirk, Lancashire), son-in-law of James Stanley, Bishop of Ely. The Stanley family was further connected to the Stanleys in that Sir John Stanley's daughter Joan married Sir Henry Halsall's son Thomas. (See Ormerod (1882, vol. iii, pp. 294-7).)

282: Lealand: Probably Sir William Leyland of Morleys Hall (near Leigh, Lancashire), son of Sir John Leyland and Eleanor nee Molyneux. He was knighted in 1513, and died in 1547. (See J. Gillow, (1907, p. 46.)

283: Sir Allexander Osboston: Sir Alexander Osboldeston of Osboldeston (north-west of Blackburn). He was knighted in 1513 and married Anne, daughter of Sir John Southworth of Samlesbury. His son, Sir John Osboldeston, married twice, both times to grand-daughters of the 1st Earl of Derby. His daughter married the son of Sir Henry Keighley. He was appointed Sheriff of Lancaster after Sir Edward Stanley (Lord Mounteagle), a post he held until his death in 1543. (See Abram (1877, pp. 601-2); 'Stanley MSS' at
Knowsley, p. 36.)

285: **Ratcliffe**: Sir Alexander Radcliffe, son of Sir John Radcliffe of Ordsall and of Elizabeth nee Brereton. He married Alice Booth (of Barton). He grew up and served his military training with his cousin Robert Lord Fitzwater (line 257). He served with distinction in France and was knighted at Lille after the Battle of the Spurs in 1513. (See C.P. Hampson, (1940, p. 148).)

286: **Trafforde**: Probably Edmund Trafford, son of Edmund Trafford and of Elizabeth nee Savage, widow of Sir John Handforth. Edmund Trafford father died in August 1513, so although he could not have fought at Flodden, the Earl of Derby would not have known that. This would be a very realistic touch - or the Trafford referred to could be his son (also Edmund), born 1485, married the sister of Sir William Handforth, dies 1533. (See Lofthouse (1972, pp. 30-1).)

287: **Werberton**: Sir John Warburton of Warburton (east of Warrington) and Arley (south-east of Warrington, Lancashire), son of Sir Piers Warburton and of Ellen nee Savage. He was knighted in 1504 and married Jane Stanley of Holt (Sir William's grand-daughter). He fought in France in 1513 and died in 1524. J. Croston (1887, pp. 227-8) criticises *Flodden Feilde* for saying that Sir John was at Flodden. Not for the first time it appears that Croston did not read the poem very carefully. (See
also N. Warburton, (1970, p. 59).)

292: unsett: Sir Rhys ap Thomas's action here seems rather dangerous in view of Henry's mood at the time and his reputation.

297: Again (see note to line 65) the King shows a taste for sarcasm.

308: that: The word is syntactically redundant.

317: Grenwiche: Greenwich Palace was originally built by Humphrey Duke of Gloucester in the reign of Henry VI. Henry VIII was born there, and it remained a favourite home of his. N. Williams (1971, p. 19) says:

Most of the significant events of his early life took place within its walls, and in the first half of his reign he was in residence here for longer periods than at any other palace.

324: be: This seems not the correct word to describe a bow breaking, as the scribes of MSS S and P recognised; but neither of their readings is a great improvement.

336: my charter: The King unreservedly forgives the young yeoman.

345 - 8: This stanza seems mis-placed here: the punctuation is difficult, but as it stands it is intended to indicate a brief disagreement as to what will happen if the King does (or does not) make a pronouncement about teasing Lancastrians (which apparently was then, as it is now, a dangerous game). The narrative would proceed far more
easily if this stanza were omitted.

354: the Queene: Katharine of Aragon, the first of Henry's six queens, who had been left as Regent while Henry was in France. The letter referred to came from the Queen at Woburn on 16 September 1513. With her letter she enclosed one from the Earl of Surrey. (See Brewer (1862, no. 4451).)

364: in the presence of the: See note to line 32.

366 - 8: A repeat of lines 34-6, but how different the reply! This is the technique the author uses to extract maximum glory for the Stanleys.

369 - 72: For a discussion of the truth of this statement, see pp. 103-5.

386: leaden: There should be an intransitive verb here, as the A scribe seems to have recognised.

402: and ever: The King's 'if' is really a threatening 'when'.

413: yf: The Earl is not applying a condition to the fact of the killing as much as to the trial itself: "If he were trying me in the same circumstances ..."

427: prynces: This is likely to be a scribal emendation made because at the time he was copying a queen was on the throne, and is not to be used as evidence of the date of original composition, for which see p. 95.
428: sowle: For the same reason as that in the note to line 427, the scribe, writing long after the Earl of Derby died (1521), prays for his soul, whereas the original writer would have prayed for the Earl himself.
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## INDEX NOMINUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. ROYALTY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILLIAM I (1066-1087)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HENRY VI (1422-1471)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDWARD IV (1461-1483)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passim (x 8)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke of Clarence (brother)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bessy (daughter)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passim (x 51)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lady Wells (daughter)</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke of York (son)</td>
<td>53,55,271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDWARD V (1483-1483)</td>
<td>271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHARD III (1483-1485)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passim (x 30)</td>
<td>Passim (x46)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne (wife)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward (son)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HENRY VII (1485-1509)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passim (x 14)</td>
<td>Passim (x 23)</td>
<td>7,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Richmond (mother)</td>
<td>11,990,1184</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L.B.</td>
<td>B.F.</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>F.F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HENRY VIII (1509-1547)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Passim (x1)</td>
<td>Passim (x5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine (wife)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>354,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES VI (1603-1625)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES IV (1488-1513)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Passim (x 0)</td>
<td>30,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHARLES VIII (1483-1498)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>972,973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOUIS XII (France)(1498-1515)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98,113,119,129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habsburg Empire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXIMILIAN I (1459-1519)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. STANLEY FAMILY</th>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stanleys (in general)</td>
<td>721,933,968,1116 587,652</td>
<td>223,336,358</td>
<td>Passim (x 31) Passim (x 13)</td>
<td>10,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, 1st Earl of Derby</td>
<td>Passim (x 26)</td>
<td>230,233</td>
<td>Passim (x 22) Passim (x 8)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, 2nd Earl of Derby</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Passim (x 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward, 3rd Earl of Derby</td>
<td>Sir William (Ld. Chancellor)</td>
<td>Passim (x 22) Passim (x 8)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>142,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir Edward (Ld. Mounteagle)</td>
<td>588,763,821,1043 422</td>
<td>222,298,372</td>
<td>151,375-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir James (Bishop of Ely)</td>
<td>588,763</td>
<td>215,283,389</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>228,296</td>
<td>155,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord George Strange</td>
<td>Passim (x 14) Passim (x 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir Humphrey Stanley</td>
<td>461</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. OTHERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aderton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alroes, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td>1268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashton - see Hashton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audrey, St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawmer - see Bowmer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biron, Sir John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blunt, Sir James</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bode, Sir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonner, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth, Sir John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowmer, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>159,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackenbury, Sir Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>276,612</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breerton, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breerton, Humphrey</td>
<td>Passim (x 66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brutus</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckingham, 2nd Duke of</td>
<td></td>
<td>603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckingham, 3rd Duke of</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Passim (x 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamberlain, Lord - See Stanley, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobham, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77,80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuthbert, St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>273</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakars, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td>373</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakers, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td>154,333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de la Mote (Fr. ambassador)</td>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby, Earl of - See Stanley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downe, Sir Richard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham, Bishop of</td>
<td></td>
<td>1087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutton, Sir Piers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egerton, Sir Ralph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53,79,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ely, Bishop of - See Stanley, Sir James</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feighwater, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>257,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferris, Lord</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>242,605</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fighwilliam, Sir Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitton - See Seton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullsewise, Sir Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garsyde, Jame</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerard - see Jared</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert - see Talbot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handforth, see Honforde</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.P.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harrington, Sir William</td>
<td>1089,1229,1242,1277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hashton (of Ashton-u-Lyne)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hashton (of Middleton)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hassall, Sir Henry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearne, John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, Sir Edward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, Thomas (1) - See Norfolk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, Thomas (2) - See Surrey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jared, Sir Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent, Earl of</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinderton, Baron Venables of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyghley, Sir Henry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latham</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td></td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>L.B.</td>
<td>B.F.</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latimer, Lord</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrens, John</td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lealand, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Lord</td>
<td>945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant, Lord — See Surrey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lislay, Lord</td>
<td>857</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovell, Lord</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumley, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td>272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackesfeld, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td>142,193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molynex, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td>363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mounteagle, Lord — See Stanley, Sir Edward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevills</td>
<td></td>
<td>286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk, Duke of</td>
<td>1077,1260</td>
<td>225,481,604</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland, Earl of</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>L.B.</td>
<td>B.F.</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osboston, Sir Alexander</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford, Earl of</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>484,518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearcy, Lord</td>
<td>1073,1259</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percy, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persall, Sir Hugh</td>
<td></td>
<td>460,557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poynings, Sir Edward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratcliffe, Sir Richard</td>
<td>305,609</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratcliffe, Sir Alexander</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhys (or Rees) ap Thomas,</td>
<td>1045,1175,1191,1256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, Lord</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savage, Sir Christopher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savage, Sir John</td>
<td>Passim (x 11)</td>
<td>459,549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrope of Bolton, Lord</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrewsbury, Earl of</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>228,482</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shrewsbury, Earl of
## INDEX NOMINUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sothewarke, Sir John</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Passim (x 5)</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrey, Earl of</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passim (x 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talbots (in general)</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talbot, Sir Gilbert</td>
<td></td>
<td>553</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrill, James</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thriball, Sir Percival</td>
<td></td>
<td>625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trafford, Edmund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunstall, Sir Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td>457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venables - See Kinderton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warburton, Sir John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warburton, Rowland</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>1063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warkehoppe, Sir William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyllabye, Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>258, 278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### INDEX LOCORUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baguley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barwick (Barmoor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barwick (Berwick, q.v.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeston (Bidston)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beggrames Abbey</td>
<td></td>
<td>877,981</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berwick</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bew-morris (Beaumaris)</td>
<td></td>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacator (Blackwater)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolton in Glendowre (Glendale)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosworth</td>
<td></td>
<td>1105,1159,1161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brittany</td>
<td></td>
<td>34,840,877,1118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromefield</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brymstone (Brankston)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## INDEX LOCORUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byrkhead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calais</td>
<td></td>
<td>72,74</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carleile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnarvon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>391</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester - see West Chester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chirkland</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coopland</td>
<td></td>
<td>362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darnall Park</td>
<td></td>
<td>381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delameer Forest</td>
<td></td>
<td>25,376</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnfield</td>
<td></td>
<td>361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,35,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortune (Forton)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galaway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## INDEX LOCORUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gowsewurthe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenwiche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harden (Hawarden)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hattersey (Atherstone)</td>
<td></td>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Passim (x 5)</td>
<td>358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holyhead</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall</td>
<td>362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knoweslay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster</td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latham</td>
<td>Passim (x 5)</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>159,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leicester</td>
<td>1025,1292</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichfield</td>
<td>1155,1157</td>
<td>390,393,397,401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>L.B.</td>
<td>B.F.</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liverpool</td>
<td>873</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>Passim (x 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludlow</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpas</td>
<td>892</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man (Isle of)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>352,586</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milford Haven</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mold</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nantwich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark (part of Leicester)</td>
<td>645</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle (on Tyne)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle (under Lyme)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### INDEX LOCORUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L.B.</th>
<th>B.F.</th>
<th>S.F.</th>
<th>F.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orkenche (Orkney)</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomfret</td>
<td></td>
<td>211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raderam (Rotherham)</td>
<td></td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St David's Land (Pembroke)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Maries (church)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salford Bridge</td>
<td>353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salisbury</td>
<td>604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandall Castle</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheffield</td>
<td>621</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrewsbury</td>
<td>1002,1057/9,1069</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipton in Crane (Craven)</td>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stafford</td>
<td>1070,1119,1125/7</td>
<td>368,370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone</td>
<td>1120,1124,1143</td>
<td>267,388</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamworth</td>
<td>428</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temes (R. Thames)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tewksbury</td>
<td>414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilwain (Tilouanne)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tockstaife (Toxteth)</td>
<td>217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torney (Tourai)</td>
<td>154,429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower of London</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watergate (in Chester)</td>
<td>418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Chester</td>
<td>48,81,430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westinister</td>
<td>413</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wooleys Bridge</td>
<td>79,92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcestershire</td>
<td>391</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GLOSSARY

In this glossary I have tried to give, for each entry, up to eight pieces of information, though not all are relevant or necessary for each entry:

1). the entry-word, with all spelling variants, as found in the text(s);

2). the part of speech:

- n = noun
- pn = pronoun
- adj = adjective
- adv = adverb
- p = preposition
- c = conjunction
- v = verb
- vpt = verb in past tense
- vpp = past participle of verb
- va = verbal adjective (present participle)
- vn = verbal noun (gerund);

3). the word, if not already obvious, under which the entry-word may be found in a dictionary (given in brackets);

4). the spelling by which the entry word might be recognised by a modern reader (signified by = );

5). the modern meaning;

6). if a verb, whether (if confusion might occur) it is used transitively, intransitively, reflexively, or impersonally;

7). if the OED has any unusual information about the entry-word;

8). its location in the poems:

- L = Lady Bessiye
- B = Bosworth Feilde
- S = Scotish Feilde
- F = Flodden Feilde
If a word is used more than three times in any poem, the sign 
'&' follows the number of the first occurrence.

By the very nature of these poems, where the author of
Scotish Feilde is constantly searching for synonyms which will
accord with his alliteration, and where the other authors, none
of them wordsmiths of anything above average power, are
constantly searching for words which will accord with their
rhyme, precision in verbal analysis is neither necessary nor
possible. The writer of Scotish Feilde, for example, needs a
great many words for 'man', 'go', 'bold', 'said' and other
ideas which must of necessity occur frequently while describing
a military action. It would perhaps be a very scholarly
practice to distinguish shades of meaning among these words,
but it would be scholarly activity for its own sake rather than
for the sake of illuminating the poems. The fact that 'carp',
for example, means, in its strictest sense, "boast", is not
only unhelpful: it genuinely obstructs understanding. The
author saw it as just a word meaning 'talk' that happened to
begin with the sound 'k', and that is a far more 'correct'
understanding of the word than whatever its etymology may
demand. In this glossary, therefore, if a word means "man", it
is glossed as 'man'.

Likewise, the authors of the rhyming poems 'solve' their
rhyming requirements by some fairly devious means: 'fair and
free' is a pleasant alliterative phrase to describe a person,
or even a place, but these authors use it to describe almost
anything. To suggest that in such a context 'free' means "not bound" or "generous" would again obstruct understanding rather than aid it. 'Fair and free' simply means "nice".

The most difficult word to define has been 'right', which occurs extremely frequently. I began by trying to distinguish among the many possible meanings offered by the dictionary, trying as well to get clear in my mind whether it was being used as an adverb or an adjective (and sometimes it is a noun, especially in Bosworth Feilde), but as new meanings multiplied I began to worry that perhaps the one twenty lines back should also come under that heading, and even perhaps ... But the attempt to be precise was, as with the alliterative vocabulary, unhelpful, futile, and in the end positively obstructive. The author wanted a rhyme for 'fight' or 'sight', and some vague phrase with 'right' was good enough. The word 'right' does not, therefore, appear in the glossary.
GLOSSARY

A, pn, he, L739

adventure, n, danger/chance of loss, F372

affore, p, before, F272, 275, 293

affray, n, disturbance, B433

aliaunt/allyaunt, n, alien, B146, S62

ancetrye, n, high rank, B127

and, c, if, L131, 255, B96&, S377, F72, 402

anon/anonright, adv, immediately, B371, S207, F77

answerable, adj, sufficient, B394

apply, v, = apply himself to, L660

aright, adv, straightaway, S204

array, v, set out, B173

array/arraye, n, martial order, B51, F62

as, c, = as if, L257

assaied, vpp, attacked, S80

assay, n/v, = attack, B233&

attilde/atylde, vpt (atyl), made preparations (reflexive), S182, 320

aunter/aventure, v, take a chance, S62, 108

avanted, vpt, advanced (transitive), B481

avow, n, = vow, B177, 501

backe, n, see note to B567

bale, n, destruction, L274, B133, 189, 339, S423

bancke, n, earthwork, S24, 307

bare, adv, 'intensifier' (MED) L33

bare, vpt (bear), behaved (reflexive), S297, F35, 367

barne, n, = baron, S346

basenets/bassnets, n (basinet), military helmets, L1298, B579

bashed, vpt/vpp, disconcerted, S163, 254, 366

baters, v, flaps (intransitive), S367

batled, vpp, furnished with battlements, F421

battell, n, = battalion, B466

bee, vpt, = was, B636

beginner, n, initial benefactor, L9

behappen, v, = happen, S17, 98

beehyte/behight, v, promise, L543, 1107

bekered, vpt, = see 'bicker'

belive, adv, with haste, S214, 225

bent, n, grassy place, F291

beronen, vpp (be-run), spattered, S31

beside/besides, p, from/off, L240, 431, 1286, F85&

bespeake, v, exclaim, F33&

beten, vpt (beet), kindled, S306

betide, vpt, occurred, S332

betime, adv, early, B472

bicker/beker/bycker, v, fight, L679, 1171, S27

blancked, vpt, non-plussed [OED first use 15481], S330

ble/blee, n, face, L2, 616

blythe, adj, = blithe, happy, L2

bode, vpt, = see 'byede'

boldeth, v, = emboldens, S366

bombards, n, cannon, B491

bone/boune, n, = boon, L256, F110
boot/booty, n, benefit, L236,1240
borrow, v, release from prison (MED), L520,638
bower, n, dwelling-place, F209,215
bowne/bowne/bowned, v/vpt/vpp (boun), prepare, L1093,1126, B121&, S115&
brade/braid, n, sudden onset, S191,334
brands, n, swords, B579
braste, vpt, = burste, S328
brayed, brayden, vpt (braid), burst into motion, S178,314
bred, vpt (brede), spread out, S24
brene, adj, rugged, B228
bren/brent, v/vpp, = burn/burnt, L168, S113
budgett, n, purse, L850
burne, n, man, S21&
buske, v, prepare, B113, S115,263,316
butts, n, shooting practice area, L903
byde/bode, v/vpt, = bide, wait, S131&

caitives/catiffes, n, wretches, S151,393
caled, vpp, = called (i.e. known to be), F41,50,306
can, aux, = did, L46&
capull, n, horse, S34
carpe, v, speak, S5&
catiffes, n, see 'caitives'
challeng, v, claim, B148,379
change, v, become ill, L351
cheare/cheere, n, facial expression/face, L74,1294, F237
checke, n, = check, sudden reversal, S332
cheefe, adj, = chief, first in sequence, S11
chevalrye, n, cavalry, F46,50,98
clean/clene, adv, completely, L159, S208, F38,292
clear, adv?, clearly?, B163
clemmed, vpp, starved [OED first usage], S260
cloes/clowes, n, = cloughs, hillsides, S25,393
cold, aux, did, L93&
commentie/comintye/comyntye, n, the common people, L122, B92, F234
commons, n, the common people, S152
convince, v, defeat, capture, L719,787
costen, vpt (coast), approached, S237
counter, v, engage in combat, S103
cownted, vpp, = counted, considered, F98
cracken, vpt, shot (transitive), B397
danger, n, risk of dying, B152,544
dayned, vpt, dawned, S176,308
dear/deere, adj, good, S10&
dearly, adv, dearly, S36
dearly, adv, at great expense, F66,126,143
deeem/doom to die, v, kill, L58, B21, F132&
deeem, v = deem B542
dents/dints, n, strokes with weapons, B587,622, S380
derffe, adj, courageous, S25
derffe, adj, violent, S33,331
devatlye, adv, = devoutly, B7
dehyred, vpt (dere), injured, S384

dight, vpt/vpp, prepared, L453, B235

dight, vpp, arranged, B483

dight, vpp, see 'dung', L1102,1266

dillfull, adj, = doleful, B603

ding/dight/dogen, v/vpp, strike, i.e. cause 'dints', L218,1111,1286

disgrace, n, state of being abandoned by God, L64

disweare, n, doubtful state, L179

dolven, vpp (delve), excavated around, S83

dogen, vpp (ding), see 'ding', S33

doughtie/doughtylye, adj/adv, courageous/lye, L1100,1103, B318&,

[132x3122]S10&, F136


doute, n, as in 'out of doute', = 'no doubt, L301

dowted, vpp, respected, B27

dread, adj/vpp, feared, B27, S25,50,64

dree, v, put up with hardship, L386, B588

dreed, n, object of fear, B69

dressed, vpt, went (MED 10), (reflexive), L1070, S64&

Droughten, n, God, S36

dung, vpt (ding), see 'ding'

dynned, vpt, resounded, S331

ECHON, pn, = each one, F253

endes, n, = end, place [as in 'West End'], S155

epe, adj, = yepe, bold, S222&

faile, n, without faile = certainly B323

faine, v, pretend (MED feinen) L262

faine/fayre, v, be glad, L43&, F102

fair, n, see 'fere', L935

fai/e/fayre, adv, courteously/prosperously, S17,52

fared, vpt, behaved, F243

faste, adv, closely behind, F277

fawchon, n, = falchion, broadsword, L425

faxe, n, hair, L239

fay, n, faith, L1209

fee, n, feudally held estate, L16,20,404

fee, n, cash, L124,888,1012, B36,356,360

feer/feere, n, see 'fere'

felle, n, battle, B183,529 S300,415, F73&

fell, adj, valiant, S40,348

fell, adj, destructive, B436,571 S95

fell, adv, with destructive effect, S123

ferden, vpt (fare), behaved, S153

fere/fair/feere, n, wife, L149&

fettle, v, vpt, prepare (reflexive), S185&

feyle, n, = field (i.e. not "battle"), F268

finde, n, = fiend, the Devil, S396

fleet, n, watercourse, L1224

forcast, v, plan, conspire, L278,318

formen, n, men in front line

forward, n, = front ward, front line, S337

fothir, n, large quantity, S95

fourthe, adv, = forth, F419

frain, v, ask, L855
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freak/freke, n, man, L867, S51
froward, adj, deceitful, B130
frythes, n, woods, B212
fuerse, adj, = fierce, S30

ganged, vpt, went, F419
gaping, va, open ready
gawres, v, stares [OED last usage 1529] L528
gay, adj, finely appareled, S35
geder, v, = gather, F326
gentle, adj, well-born, S13
girde, vpt (gird), fired (transitive), S94
glaring, va, dazzling, S104
gleed, n, burning coal, B477
glenten, vpt, moved quickly, S72
godly, adj, good, S56, 240
gome, n, man [OED last recorded usage], S127
graythes, v, = graith, prepare (reflexive), S56
gree, v, = agree, L36
grette, v, = great, F27, 359
grounded, vpp, established in authority, B10
growden, vpp (grow), involved, B578 (see note)
grythe, n, legal sanctuary, F266
gurding, vn (gird), firing, S325

halched, vpp, saluted, S99
harde, vpt, = heard, F379
harlotts, n, villains, S212
harme, n, distress, F203
harnes, n, brains, S328
harquebusyers, n, light artillery B494, 574
hattell, n, = hathel, man, S146, 239
head, v, = behead, L201
heete, v, = (be)hight, promise, S87
heigh, adj, = high, F116
hend/hynde, adj, pleasant, B263, S264, 347, F281
hent, vpt (hende), take, grasp, L354, B377
herriott/herrott, n, herald, L956, F8
hett, vpt (hight), promised, B566
hie, v, hurry, S239, F11
high, adj, of great consequence, L441
hight, vpp, called, B625
holpe, vpt (help), = helped, L174
holtes, n, wooded hills, B358, F214
houlde, n, place of refuge, F301
hove/hoved, v/vpt, ride/rode L1193, 1195, F281
hyed, vpt (hie), see 'hie', F11
hynde, adj, see 'hende'

indite, v (indite), dictate/edit, L295
in-same, adv, together, F134

jest, n, (geste) verse narrative, S418
jorney, n, expedition, B88
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kene, adj, bold, S103
kere/kyre, v (cair), go, S156, 194, 349
kettricks, n, highland soldiers, S133,137,353
kidde, vpt (kithe), made known, S29

ladds, n, men of valour, S14
lanke, adj, (long? - MED) see note to S271
lay, v, wager, F57,106,139
layden, vpt, struck out with vigour, S385
layke, n, battle [OED last usage], S382
layne, vpt (lie), = lay, F14
leames, n, rays of light, S311
leassing, vn, telling lies, F353
leaver, adv, rather, F333
lede/leede, n, man, S59&
lee, vpt (lie), lay, L48&
leman, n, darling, L148,156
lent, vpt, error for 'left'? see note B97
lett/letting, v/n/vn, hinder/hindrance/, L521,1300, B256,
lett, v, cease, F307
lewed, adj, uneducated, misguided, L914
liart, adj, ? see note L875
lie, vpt (lie), lay, L878
liege, n, overlord, master, F102,304
life, adj (lief), popular, L515
light, v, bow, B556,601
light, vpt, happened, S150
light, vpt, arrived at, S243
light, vpt, see note S141
like, adj, = likely, F348
like, v, please (impersonal), S109, F56&
list, vpt, wanted, L281
lite, adj, few, S9
long of, p, attributable to, S417
longe, adj, tall, F260
longed, vpt, = belonged, S9,299, F39
loose, v, = lose, B56&
lotte, n, see note S141
lowted, vpt, bowed, B441

machet, vpp (match), opposed, S199
make forth, v, send, S87
make, n, companion, L639
makeles, adj, without equal, S47,294
male, n (mail), bag, L849
man-dye, ?, see note B82
manratten, n, supply of men for fighting, F391
marche, n, border, S155,417
marketh, v, march, S148
marveill, n, amazement, B168
mase, n, = mace, F227
may, n, maiden, B177,501
mean, n, = main, power, L467
meany/meny, n, army, L368, B284, S13&, F290
meddell, v, concern oneself (not pejorative), S46,157,293
ment, vpt (min), see 'min', L19
mickle, adj, great, L549, B69,79
milners, n, = millers, S112
min, adv, less, B398 (see note)
min/myn/mynge, v (min), talk/mention, L19, S35, F231,295
mize, n, imposition, L697
moan, n/v, appeal, L5&
mold, n, world, S22
morespikes, n, = morris-pike [OED first use 1485], B493
mote, aux, might, S201
mountenance, n, distance, S175
myn/mynge, v, see 'min'
myrthes, n, musical entertainments, S323
nee, adv, = near, L766, 868,946
neighed, vpt (nigh), approached, B412
next, adj, nearest, S207
nicked, vpt, refused, S54
nigh/nye/neigh, v, approach, L844, B412
nome, vpt (nym), took, F15
of other, = of all S49&
on, adj, one, F273
one, p, on, S416
ones, adv, = once, F253
or/or that, p/c, before, L155, 159, 1206, S2,86
other, adj, next, S400, F353
other, c, either, F190
peare, n, = peer, equal, L641
peareles, adj, = peerless, without equal, S49
peer, n, thing of small worth, L1278 (see note)
perlous, adj, strong, dangerous, L428
pertly, adv, promptly, S128,200
picke, v, pitch, hurl, F316
piked, vpt, hurried, S100
pleasure, v, please, L100
power, n, army, S374
prest vpt, attacked, L425
prest, adv, quickly, L749, S188
privity, n, private thoughts, L266
proched, vpt, pricked, S327
proved, vpt, known as, S20,49,275
pryce, n, great worth, F259
pusance, n, armed force, S374
quitt, v, requite, B443
quod, vpt, = quoth, said, S201
radly, adv, quickly, S174&, F44
raked, vpt (raik), hurried, S23,246,298
rayled, vpp, adorned, S26
rayled, vpt, error for 'raked'? , S170
raught, vpt (reach), handed, B522
ray, n, = array, line of battle, B430&
reneth, v = runs, F218
rewarde, n = rearward, rear line, S278,298,301
rife, adj = great, plentiful, B205
rightwise, adj = legitimate, B8 (see note)
rinck, n = man, S145&
road, vpt (ride), = rode, L352
rocher, n = rocky bank, S414
ronge, vpt (ring), resounded, S380
rowdes, n = rods (about 7 yards), F387
rowte, n = rout, loud noise, S414
rudd, n = face, L342
ryall, adj = kingly, F285

sad, adj = sober, L3 (see note)
sad, adj = steadfast, B332&, S60,68
sad, adv = vigorously, B569
sarpent, n = a kind of cannon, B489
scaped, vpt, = escaped, S399
scathe, n = damage, S172,245
scatell/skatell, adj = harmful, S172,245
schunte, v (shunt), steal away, F154&
sedge, v/n = siege, S85,93,97
sedge, n = see 'sege',
seemlie, adj = pleasing, S4,73,235
sege, n = man, S12&
set, vpt = caused to go, S78
sett, vpp = seated, F305
sett store by, v = hold of value, F72
sett on sterre, v = stir up, F346
sett, n = place for hunting, L378
shalmes, n = shawms, S322
shawe, n = thicket, S322,324
shene/shine, adj = bright, L507,1316
shent, vpp = punished, L71
shoggeth, v = go, S120
shope, vpt (shape), shaped, B1,155,595
shott, vpt = hurried, S120
sith, c/p = since, B20,61, S18
skatell, adj = see 'scatell'
sketer, v = scatter, S353
skochen, vpt (scotch), wounded, S183
slake, v = diminish (intrans), L251
slow, vpt (slay), = slew, B604
small, adv = a little, B193
so that, c = as long as, L1186
sore, adv = much, L111,171,369
souhe, n = swampy place, S317
souleknell, n = death-song, S411
sowdan, n = sultan, B198
sowpe, v = become exhausted, S306
sowte, n = assault, B222,
speede, n/v = help, S168, F22,94,358
springalls, n = military catapults, B573
stalke, n = see note to S223
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states, n, senior people, B442
steede/stidde, n, = stead, place, S360,372
step, v?, see note to S223
sterre, n, see 'sette on sterre',
sticke, remain, S365
stidde, n, see 'steede'
still, adj?, motionless, F41
stirre, v, move (transitive), F320
stoute, adj, brave, S228,296
stray, n, see not to B49
streymer, n, triangular flag, S369
studye, state of astonishment, L526, B153, F41
sturne, adj, resolute, S228,296
stycked, vpt, stabbed to death, F244
styffe, adj, steadfast, S360
sure, adj, trustworthy, S55&, F163,178
sure, adv, securely, S78
swapped/swapping, vpp/vn, cut/cutting off, S329,339
swayne, n, young man, F150
swear, vpt (swear), = swore, L202
swee/swye, v, fall, L241, B575
swyer, n, = esquire, S347, F26
swyers, n, necks [after last OED usage], S339
syke, v, sigh, S291
sythen, adv, subsequently, F15
swyne, p+adv, = since then, F329
tair, vpt, = tore, L240
tale, n, addition [cp. 'tell' = add], S143,167,394
tane, vpp, = taken, L1017, B372
task, n, feudal payment, L697	
tax, n, money, L115
tempered, vpp, tuned, B414,426
tenden, vpt, served, S230,279
tene, v, vex, S53
tenyslye, adv, = teenfully i.e. angrily [cp. 'tene'], S53
then, c, = than, L1275, F334
theta, adv, = then, L597
thringe, v, embrace, L93
thringe, v, throw, B494,574,582
throwe, vpp, thrown down/?pierced, B607
tight, adv, see note to L314
toe, adv, = too, L599
tolde, vpp (tell), added up, S41
triden, vpt, tried, ?blew, S321
tried, vpp, selected, S143
trow, v, suppose, S370
tryed, vpp, tested and found to be, L1037
tunne, n, vat, L153
tythe, n, tax for support of clergy, F224

umclosed, vpt, surrounded, S208
umstrode, vpt, rode [OED last use 1400!], B68
unclose, v, open, F43
unfayne, adj, displeased, F58
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ungracious, adj, see note to S248
unmette, adj, unequal, S168
unsette, vpt, disturbed [OED first usage 16021], F292
unwittylye, adv, unwisely, B95
upbrayed, vpp, reproached, 308,347
utter, adj, = outer, F211
uttered, vpt, shot, S326

vaward, n, front line [cp. 'reward'], B420&, S69,90
venter, v, risk, F29
vewe, n, = yew, B572, F319

wale, adj, excellent, S289
Walles, n, = Wales, F289
wante, v, lack, F60
ward, v, guard, L365
ward, n, prison, B138
ward, n, line of battle [cp. 'reward', 'vaward'], S266,282
ware, vpt (wear), = wore, B48&
warr, adj, = aware, L663
way, n, manner, S270
wedde, n, pledge, F139
wee, n, = wye, man, S16&
weede, n, error for 'wee'? , S81
weedes, n, armour, S131,365
weene/wene, v, suppose, L661, S272,348
weld, v, rule, S88&
wend, v, suppose, B13
wend/wind/wynde, v, go, L490&, S69&
wheras, rel. adv, = where, F16
whore,, adj, = hoar, white, F214
wight/wyght, n, man, L323, S82, F181,287
wight/wightily, adj/adv, bold/boldly, B86&
wind, v, see 'wend'
winne, n, joy, B62
wise, n, manner, S77,102,105
wit/wist/witt/woot/wott, v (wit), know, L329,423 B120, S152,282, F152,156,164

with, p, at the hands of, B520
woode, adj, mad, L257,1288
woone, vpt/vpp (win), = won, S16,270
woote, v, see 'wit'
worthy, adj, valuable, S261
woun, n, home, F314
wreak/wreke/wrooken, v/vpp, avenge/avenged, L270,603,1226, S202
wrought, vpt (work), worked, S71
wyght, n/adj, see 'wight'
wynde, v, see 'wend'
wynge, n, flight, S333
wys, v, know (or 'iwys', adv, indeed), F343
wyte, v, blame, F216

yare, adj, quick, B279
yerved, vpp (erde), dwelled [OED last usage 1400!], S421
yike, adj, = ilk, same, S284
yorne, adj (yern), active, S383
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