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ABSTRACT

The application of nanoscience in various scientific fields is introduced in Chapter 1 by outlining some of the major drivers of this rapidly evolving field. Methods of nanoscale fabrication, utilizing both 'top-down' and 'bottom-up' approaches, are also introduced in this chapter. Nanoscale characterization techniques that allow the visualization of the 'nanoworld' are introduced in Chapter 2.

Chapter 3 is concerned with the modification of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates with self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTMS) via a vapour deposition method. This investigation was carried out by forming APTMS SAMs, from the solution phase, on both SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates and comparing them to provide a model with which to compare SAMs formed by a novel vapour phase methodology.

Chapter 4 further develops the work from Chapter 3 by chemically modifying Si$_3$N$_4$ resonators with APTMS SAMs via vapour deposition. The chemically modified resonators were then used for the mass detection of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles and the results were compared to AFM and XPS studies of the same system but on planar substrates.

Chapter 5 is concerned with the fabrication of a bioarray for the patterned immobilization of human spermatozoa cells. Such arrays would allow for the
investigation of specific individual sperm cells. This could have a use in the field of artificial insemination.

Chapter 6 utilizes citrate passivated Au nanoparticles to prepare composite PEO/Au nanoparticle solutions for the formation of sub-micron diameter electrospinning. Such fibres are electrospun from solutions of 4 different concentrations of PEO and then subsequently characterized by optical microscopy, AFM, TEM and DSC.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AET</td>
<td>Aminoethanethiol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Atomic Force Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTMS</td>
<td>3-Aminopropyltrimethoxysilane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>Dodecanethiol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPN</td>
<td>Dip Pen Nanolithography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSC</td>
<td>Differential Scanning Calorimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBSS</td>
<td>Earle’s Balanced Salt Solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDX</td>
<td>Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIB</td>
<td>Focused Ion Beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFM</td>
<td>Lateral Force Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMS</td>
<td>Microelectromechanical Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>Polydimethylsiloxane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEO</td>
<td>Polyethylene oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLL</td>
<td>Poly-l-lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA solution</td>
<td>Radio Corporation of America solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>Root Mean Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMs</td>
<td>Self Assembled Monolayers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning Electron Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Scanning Tunnelling Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sµcp</td>
<td>Submerged Microcontact Printing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>Transmission Electron Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHQ</td>
<td>Ultra High Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHV</td>
<td>Ultra High Vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µcp</td>
<td>Microcontact Printing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER 1

Nanotechnology: the appliance of nanoscience

The microcontact printing and DPN sections of Chapter 1 have been published as part of a book chapter entitled 'Integrating nanolithography with nanoassembly using soft lithographic methods' in the book entitled 'Bottom-up nanofabrication: Supramolecules, Self-Assemblies and Organized Films' (2007) edited by K. Ariga and H. S. Nalwa¹ and has also been published as part of the review: Diegoli et al Proc. IMechE. 221 589-629 (2007)²

ABSTRACT: Nanotechnology is the commercialisation of nanoscience, which is the study of systems in the sub 100 nm size regime. Nanotechnology is already beginning to revolutionise many aspects of our daily lives and this chapter introduces some of the main drivers of nanotechnology and some of the approaches which have been investigated to achieve this goal. The fabrication of nanoscale structures and devices can be achieved using two different approaches, namely the top-down and bottom-up methodologies, which will be introduced in this chapter.

1.1. What is nanotechnology?

Nanotechnology is the application and commercialisation of knowledge gleaned from nanoscientific research, which is the study of systems which have at least one dimension in the sub 100 nm regime. Nanoscience is highly interdisciplinary and represents the overlap of knowledge from many
(bio)scientific and engineering disciplines. In 1959 Richard Feynman delivered his famous speech, entitled 'There’s plenty of room at the bottom',\textsuperscript{3} in which he envisaged the entirety of the Encyclopaedia Britannica being written on a pin head using atomic manipulation. It is Feynman's speech that is widely regarded as providing the impetus and early insights into the possibility of nanoscale fabrication.

The potential importance of nanotechnology is massive and, as predicted by Merrill Lynch economist Norman Poire,\textsuperscript{4} will impact our daily lives to the same extent as the motor car or personal computer, a prediction illustrated in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** The predicted outlook for nanotechnology compared to other major technological evolutions by Norman Poire (Economist, Merrill Lynch)\textsuperscript{5}
1.2. Driving forces of nanotechnology

1.2.1. Moore's Law

The introduction and widespread use of the personal computer has revolutionized modern day life. The constant requirements of computers to perform ever more complex operations demands computer chips with increasingly better performance. To date, the rate of increase of the processing power of computer chips has followed 'Moore's Law' which is named after Gordon Moore (a chemist) who, in 1965, predicted the number of transistors present on a computer chip to double every 18 to 24 months. Figure 2 shows that the rate of growth of the number of transistors per computer chip has indeed followed the trend described by Moore's Law up to the present day. However, in order for computer chips to continue to follow Moore's Law, transistors must be made smaller and smaller. Therefore, fabrication methodologies must continually improve in order to allow the manufacture of increasingly smaller transistors. This need for the continuation of Moore's Law is a huge driving force behind the development of both nanofabrication techniques and nanostructured materials. Currently the smallest transistors have a gate length of 45 nm using Intel's 45 nm logic technology.
1.2.2. Nanomedicine

Medical science is another area in which nanotechnology is being extensively researched. For example, it is hoped that nanotechnology may provide improved diagnostic techniques, such as bioarrays. Bioarrays can be used for the detection of biological species, such as proteins, which will improve the early detection of diseases, such as cancers. Drug delivery is another area of medicine that may be revolutionised by nanotechnology. Gene therapy is one example of a site specific drug delivery method that is the subject of intense research and relies upon nanoparticulate carriers. It involves the delivery of genetic information to specific cells and transferring genetic information directly to the nucleus of cells. Research into the remote guidance of drugs to specific
sites in the body includes the use of magnetic fields to control a magnetic nanoparticle constituent of the drug delivery device.\textsuperscript{16,17}

1.2.3. Nanomaterials

The frontiers of materials science can be pushed forward by careful structural control on the nanoscale in a wide variety of ways. For example, the mechanical properties of materials can be tailored by control of atomic arrangement on the nanoscale. An example being that the reduction of the grain size of a given metal can result in the hardness of a material increasing.\textsuperscript{18-20} Similarly, the nanostructuring of materials, for example, by the control of surface morphology, can allow the manipulation of, for example, the optical\textsuperscript{21,22} or wetting\textsuperscript{23-25} properties of a material.

1.3. Fabrication on the nanoscale

Nanoscale fabrication processes can be divided into two distinct methodologies, these being 'top-down' and 'bottom-up' approaches. Top-down techniques involve the removal of material in order to form nanoscale architectures, whilst bottom-up approaches utilizing molecular and nanoscale 'building blocks' to build up nanostructures. Such nanoscale building blocks include particles\textsuperscript{26,27} and biological entities, such as DNA\textsuperscript{28,29} and proteins.\textsuperscript{30}
This section introduces an example of both types of nanoscale approach by discussing

(i) Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), and
(ii) Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs)

1.3.1. Top-down approaches

Top-down approaches, as mentioned above, are nanofabrication methods that involve the removal of material in order to form structures and devices. This section will introduce the field of microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) and two of the top-down fabrication techniques used in this field.

The field of microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) is concerned with the integration of mechanical components, in the micrometre regime, and microelectronic components with a view to the fabrication of functional devices on both the micron and sub-micron scale. The main material used in MEMS is monocrystalline Si due to its excellent mechanical and conductive properties and, hence, many fabrication techniques used in the microelectronic industry are exploited in the manufacture of MEMS devices. The favourable properties of monocrystalline Si as a material for MEMS fabrication are that it is flexible with good fatigue strength. Thus, it can be used as a material for moving parts and can perform such tasks over many cycles without significant degradation, whilst its semiconductive properties allows it's use in integrated circuits.
Much of the research into MEMS devices is directed towards the fabrication and integration of micron, and sub micron, scale functional devices, such as actuators and switches, and sensors, for both chemical and physical detection. Figure 3 shows a MEMS clutch system and is an example of the complex MEMS devices that have been fabricated.

Figure 3. Example of a MEMS clutch system (Scale bar added to 47)

MEMS devices are typically fabricated using ‘top-down’ processing techniques with two methods used to manufacture MEMS devices being photolithography and focused ion beam (FIB) milling.
Photolithography, as depicted in **Figure 4**, is a well-established fabrication technique in the microelectronics industry and is also used for the fabrication of MEMS devices.\(^4^8\) This process involves the application of a photoresist to the substrate (**Figure 4a**). The photoresist is a radiation-sensitive polymer whose solubility changes upon exposure to the light source used in the photolithographic process.\(^4^9\) In the presence of a photomask (**Figure 4b**) the resist-covered substrate is irradiated with light (**Figure 4c**). The resist can respond in one of two ways to the incident irradiation:

\((i)\) **Positive tone resist**

In this case the resist becomes more soluble upon photo irradiation, via fragmentation, to an organic developer (**Figure 4e**) and is removed leaving the un-irradiated areas of resist on the substrate. Application of a Si etchant then etches the exposed Si from where the resist was removed (**Figure 4f**).

\((ii)\) **Negative tone resist**

In this case the resist becomes less soluble upon photo irradiation, via cross linking, to an organic developer (**Figure 4g**) and is not removed. Instead
the un-irradiated areas of resist are removed by the developer and subsequent application of a Si etchant removes the exposed Si (Figure 4h).

**Figure 4.** A schematic representation of a photolithography process
Another fabrication technique used in MEMS is focused ion beam (FIB). The FIB uses a beam of Ga\(^+\) ions, which is focused to less than 10 nm in diameter, which can be used for precision milling under UHV conditions (Figure 5a) to create features with lateral resolutions of the order of 10 nm.\(^{50}\)

![Diagram of FIB milling and metal deposition](image)

**Figure 5.** The use of a FIB for a) milling and b) metal deposition (figure redrawn from \(^{51}\))

FIB can also be used for the deposition of metals (Figure 5b) and insulators by the injection of organometallic precursor molecules near the substrate surface to create features of the order of 10 nm in size.\(^{52}\) The precursor molecules adsorb to the substrate and decompose upon exposure to the gallium ion beam. The decomposition of the precursor molecules results in the volatile fragments of the molecule being removed by the UHV, leaving the desired
species, which are the non-volatile fragments, on the surface of the substrate. The deposited material is not pure due to the presence of organic contaminants that are present as a result of residual carbonaceous material from the precursor molecules.\textsuperscript{53} Ga\textsuperscript{+} ions from the ion beam are also present in the deposited material.\textsuperscript{53} Examples of species that are deposited using FIB include platinum, using (methylcyclopentadienyl)trimethyl platinum as the precursor molecule\textsuperscript{54}, and tungsten, the precursor molecule being W(CO)\textsubscript{6}.\textsuperscript{55} SiO\textsubscript{2} can also be deposited by the decomposition of 1,3,5,7-tetramethylcyclotetrasiloxane (TMCTS) in the presence of either O\textsubscript{2} or H\textsubscript{2}O.\textsuperscript{53}

\textit{1.3.2. Bottom up approaches}

The bottom-up approach to nanofabrication involves the assembly of nanoscale 'building blocks', which include nanoparticles and individual molecules, to form nanostructures. Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) represent an example of a bottom-up approach to the chemical functionalization of surfaces. This section will discuss the formation of SAMs on both metallic and Si surfaces and techniques used to create patterned SAMs will be introduced.

Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) are ultra-thin, quasi-crystalline layers of surfactant molecules that form when surfactant molecules (\textbf{Figure 6}) spontaneously adsorb to the surface of a substrate. The first report in the literature for SAMs was by Bigelow \textit{et al.} in 1946\textsuperscript{56} when it was found that polar
organic molecules form monolayers on clean metal surfaces. However, it was not until 1983 when Nuzzo et al.\textsuperscript{57} showed that monolayers of disulfides readily form on Au substrates, that research in this area of surface science gathered pace.

The head group of the surfactant molecules (\textbf{Figure 6}) must be of a specific chemical functionality to facilitate binding to the substrate. Such substrate, surfactant systems include surfactants with -SH head groups on metallic substrates, such as Au\textsuperscript{57-67}, Ag\textsuperscript{59, 61, 68-71} and molecules with silane headgroups forming SAMs on SiO\textsubscript{2} surfaces\textsuperscript{62, 72-84}.

![Figure 6. A cartoon representation of a surfactant molecule](image)

The tail group of the adsorbed surfactants governs the chemical nature of the surface after the SAM is formed as it represents the chemical functionality that the surface presents to the surrounding environment. The fact that SAMs can be used to alter the chemistry of a surface represents a route to tailor the behaviour of surfaces. For example, a hydrophilic surface (e.g. silica) can be made to be hydrophobic upon the formation of a SAM that presents a hydrophobic surface (e.g. -CH\textsubscript{3} groups) to the surrounding environment. The backbone of the surfactant molecule can help to promote long range order of the
SAM via van der Waals interactions between adjacent surfactant molecules within the SAM.\textsuperscript{85}

As previously mentioned SAMs can be formed from various surfactants on a range of substrates with two commonly utilised systems being surfactants with S containing head groups on metal substrates and alkyl silanes on hydroxylated surfaces. The mechanisms by which SAMs are formed on these different substrates are significantly different and therefore, will be discussed separately.

\textit{i) SAMs on metallic substrates}

Surfactants possessing a S-based head group, for example thiol (R-SH) and disulfide (R-S-S-R) groups, readily form SAMs on Au\textsuperscript{57-67}, Ag\textsuperscript{59, 61, 68-71} and other metal surfaces.\textsuperscript{59, 61, 86-93} The driving force of the adsorption of the alkanethiols to Au surfaces is the formation of the highly stable (~167 kJ.mol\textsuperscript{-1}) S-Au bond between the surfactant and the Au substrate.

The mechanism by which alkanethiolates form SAMs on Au is shown in \textbf{Figure 7}. The substrate is immersed in a solution of alkanethiolates which weakly physisorb onto the substrate\textsuperscript{94} (\textbf{Figure 7a}) allowing the S-containing head group to chemisorb on the substrate surface forming the Au-S bond (represented by the molecules standing perpendicular to the surface in \textbf{Figure 7b}). As more alkanethiolate molecules adsorb on the surface, islands of surfactant molecules
form as a result of attractive intermolecular van der Waals forces. These surfactant islands continue to grow until the substrate surface is covered by a layer of surfactants of monomolecular thickness (Figure 7c). In order to minimise the free energy of the system, and to maximise intramolecular van der Waal forces, the surfactant molecules tilt at an angle which is commonly ~30° to the surface of the substrate (Figure 7d).

The initial adsorption steps, both physisorption and chemisorption of the surfactants to the substrate occur relatively quickly, of the order of minutes. Conversely, the ordering of the chains and reorientation of the chains, in order to maximise intermolecular van der Waals forces, can take several hours. The chemistry of the backbone influences the rate of SAM formation which is highlighted by the fact that alkanethiols with a long chain alkyl backbone form SAMs at a faster rate than those consisting of a shorter alkyl backbone. This difference in the rate of SAM formation is due to the attractive van der Waals forces between adjacent molecules increasing as a function of chain length.
Figure 7. Cartoon representation of the formation of a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of alkanethiols on metal substrates showing a) physisorption of surfactants onto a substrate, b) the formation of islands of chemisorbed surfactants, c) full surface coverage of substrate by surfactants and d) the tilt of surfactants.
**ii) SAMs formed on hydroxylated Si substrates**

SAMs of organosilane derivatives, surfactants possessing -SiX₃ headgroups (where X = Cl or OR), can be formed on SiO₂ surfaces with the driving force being the formation of the Si-O covalent bond (∼360 kJ·mol⁻¹). Substrates such as glass and silica can be hydroxylated by wet chemical methods, such as immersion in piranha solution (7:3 solution of concentrated sulphuric acid and hydrogen peroxide) and an RCA solution (1:1:5 solution of ammonium hydroxide, hydrogen peroxide and water), or dry methods, such as exposure of the substrate to UV light in the presence of an O₃ plasma.

The mechanism of SAM formation from silane derivatives (Figure 8) proceeds when the surfactant molecules physisorb to the hydrophilic substrate in the presence of a physisorbed water layer, which is of the order of a few molecules thick (Figure 8a). This water layer provides a reaction medium for the hydrolysis of the headgroup (Figure 8b) which then covalently cross links to the exposed -OH groups on the surface via condensation reactions (Figure 8c). The headgroups of adjacent surfactant molecules can also cross-link, via condensation reactions, resulting in a highly stable monolayer.
One of the most important issues in producing high quality alkylsilane SAMs is the amount of water present in the system. It has been shown that the presence of some water is critical for SAM formation with the absence of water...
resulting in incomplete monolayers. However, if there is excess water in the system the silane groups of separate surfactant molecules have been shown to cross-link in solution, which leads to the formation of polysiloxane particles in solution, which then deposit on the surface. Other factors such as temperature and the concentration of the surfactant solution have been shown to influence the quality of the SAMs.

1.3.2.1. Patterned SAMs

Patterned SAMs can be employed as chemically selective templates on a substrate to allow further modification of the substrate at specific locations by several post-patterning processes (Figure 9). Such post-patterning techniques include the selective adsorption of particles, or other nanoscale 'building blocks' (Figure 9a), backfilling with a second surfactant, which presents a different chemical functionality to that of the original patterned SAM (Figure 9b), or by selective etching of the exposed substrate (Figure 9c).

Figure 9. A cartoon depiction of post pattern processing of a chemically-patterned substrate by a) preferential adsorption, b) backfilling and c) preferential etching
This section will introduce the formation of patterned SAMs by i) energetic irradiation, ii) soft lithography and iii) mechanical methods.

i) **Energetic irradiation**

The exposure to energetic irradiation, such as UV irradiation, X-ray photons and electron beams, can induce specific chemical reaction or degradation of the SAM. For example, it has been shown that SAMs presenting nitro (-NO$_2$) groups, formed on either Au or SiO$_2$ surfaces, have had their terminal group converted to an amino (-NH$_2$) functionality upon irradiation of electrons and X-rays. Mendes *et al.* utilised this conversion chemistry, termed ‘precision chemical engineering’, by using e-beam irradiation to fabricate patterned areas of -NH$_2$ terminal groups on -NO$_2$ terminated SAMs in order to selectively adsorb Au nanoparticles to the surface as shown in Figure 10.

![AFM image of citrate-passivated Au nanoparticles preferentially adsorbed to binary SAMs patterned by e-beam irradiation (image edited from )](image.png)
Sun *et al.*\textsuperscript{122-124} utilized scanning near field microscopy (SNOM), using light with a wavelength of 244 nm, to oxidise Au-S bonds in SAMs attached to Au surfaces. Areas of the SAM in which the Au-S bond was cleaved were then removed and feature sizes of 20 nm\textsuperscript{124} have been achieved using this method, which is significantly less than the diffraction limit, that is limiting to the minimum feature size attainable using other, more conventional, optical patterning methods.

\textit{ii) Soft lithographies}

As opposed to the modification of self-assembled monolayers with energetic irradiation, soft lithographies rely on diffusion of surfactants from a surfactant source to a substrate to form a chemical pattern. This section introduces two such soft lithographical techniques.

\textit{Microcontact printing (µcp)}

Microcontact printing\textsuperscript{125-128} utilizes an elastomeric stamp that is prepared by casting a pre-polymer against a patterned master, commonly prepared by conventional photolithographic techniques\textsuperscript{129}. This pre-polymer is subsequently cured allowing it to be peeled from the master\textsuperscript{130} as an elastomeric polymer with the 'negative' features of the master.
The stamp (Figure 11a) is then inked (Figure 11b) with a solution of a given surfactant and applied to a substrate of choice (Figure 11c). The surface of the inked stamp features deforms slightly to conform to the roughness of the substrate giving so-called 'conformal contact'.\textsuperscript{131, 132} Whilst in conformal contact with the substrate the ink molecules diffuse from the stamp to the substrate. It has been shown that the inked stamp can be used for multiple printing runs without the need for re-inking.\textsuperscript{133} After a given printing time the stamp is removed from the substrate resulting in a chemically patterned SAM on the substrate (Figure 11d).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{microcontact_printing_process.png}
\caption{An outline of the microcontact printing process showing a) patterned stamp, b) inked stamp, c) the inked stamp is placed on the substrate and d) the stamp is removed leaving a patterned SAM on the substrate.}
\end{figure}

Microcontact printing has been investigated for use in many applications. In the biological sciences, microcontact printing has been used for the formation of bioarrays\textsuperscript{134-136} (Figure 12a) and the controlled patterning of cells\textsuperscript{137-139}.
In the field of materials science, arrays of silica dots\textsuperscript{27} have been created (Figure 12c) which can be used for optical applications, whilst patterns of carbon nanotubes\textsuperscript{140}, that exhibit unique electrical properties, have been grown on templates defined by microcontact printing (Figure 12d).

\textbf{Figure 12.} Images showing both biological and materials science applications of microcontact printing applications: a) tethering of bacteria on a bioarray \textsuperscript{141} and b) controlled cell growth\textsuperscript{142} c) array of silica dots\textsuperscript{27, 143} and d) controlled, patterned growth of carbon nanotubes\textsuperscript{140} (inset: cross section of a carbon nanotube)
A more in depth description of microcontact printing will be presented in Chapter 5.

_Dip-Pen Nanolithography (DPN)_

Dip-Pen Nanolithography (DPN)\textsuperscript{144, 145} involves the inking of an AFM tip ([Figure 13a](#)), either by immersing the AFM cantilever in the ink or by bringing the AFM tip in contact with an inkpad impregnated with the desired ink. The inked AFM tip is then brought into contact with the substrate where, in most cases, a water meniscus spontaneously forms ([Figure 13b](#)) due to atmospheric water. The water meniscus presents a diffusion pathway from the tip to the substrate ([Figure 13c](#)). Upon contact with the substrate the AFM can either dwell on the surface before being removed, to form dots of ink on the substrate surface ([Figure 13d](#)), or scanned across the surface ([Figure 13f](#)) before being removed, to form line patterns of ink on the surface of the substrate ([Figure 13g](#)). The inked AFM tip is most commonly scanned across the substrate in contact mode\textsuperscript{144}, although there have been reports of the AFM tip being scanned in tapping mode\textsuperscript{146} to form chemical patterns.
Figure 13. An outline of the DPN process showing a) an AFM tip inked with surfactant molecules, b) the application of an inked tip to a substrate, the formation of dot structures, c) and d). e) represents a lateral force microscopy (LFM) image of a dot structure showing well ordered, densely packed surfactant molecules in the centre of the dot (dark areas) and surfactant molecules lying prone on the surface at the periphery of the dot (lighter areas) and the formation of line structures, f) and g)
The chemical pattern formed by DPN can then be developed by either etching or particle adsorption, while the exposed surface of the underlying substrate can be backfilled by immersion in a different surfactant to that of the pattern as shown in Figure 9. The use of a single AFM tip makes DPN an inherently serial technique, but arrays of AFM tips have been used to transform it into a highly parallel technique capable of producing nanostructures over areas on the square centimetre scale in a matter of minutes.\textsuperscript{148}

DPN is a very versatile technique because not only can it be used to form complex chemical patterns on surfaces (Figure 14a and b) it can also be used to deposit material in precise locations, such as at specific points along a single strand of DNA\textsuperscript{149} (Figure 14c). Figure 14d is an image of two electrodes connected by an indium wire deposited by using a DPN based technique called thermal DPN\textsuperscript{150, 151} in which the AFM tip is heated in order to melt a solid 'ink' which wets the AFM tip. The AFM tip then deposits the indium at a desired location which solidifies upon cooling, thus using the AFM tip is used as a 'nano-soldering iron'.\textsuperscript{151}
Figure 14. Images showing both the complex patterns which can be formed by DPN the precision deposition of material achievable DPN. The images are a) polypyrrole drawn on Si wafers,\textsuperscript{152} b) a kangaroo drawn using MHA on Au,\textsuperscript{153} c) dots of Cy3-antibody deposited on a stretched strand of DNA\textsuperscript{149} and d) Two Au electrodes connected by indium oxide deposited by thermal DPN.\textsuperscript{151}
iii) Mechanical methods

DPN is not the only instance where AFM tips can be used to create patterned SAMs. The AFM tip has been shown to alter SAMs using mechanical forces.\(^\text{154}\) An example of such a technique is nanoshaving,\(^\text{155, 156}\) in which shear forces, exerted by the AFM tip, displace the surfactant molecules from the SAM. Nanografting,\(^\text{157, 158}\) another mechanical method of patterning SAMs, involves performing a nanoshaving operation in the presence of a solution containing secondary surfactants which functionalise the bare substrate exposed by the nanoshaving process.

1.4. Conclusions

This chapter has introduced some of the main drivers of nanotechnology and the two main approaches to nanoscale fabrication, namely the top down and bottom up approaches. Top down approaches are employed in this thesis in the form of FIB, to fabricate the microresonators used in \textbf{Chapter 4}, and photolithography, to fabricate the master used for the microcontact printing process in \textbf{Chapter 5}. Bottom up approaches are employed in the form of SAMs on both Si (Chapters 3 and 4) and Au (Chapter 5) surfaces for the attachment of nanoparticulate and biological species respectively. \textbf{Chapter 5} also employs microcontact printing to fabricate patterned SAMs on Au and glass for use as bioarrays.
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ABSTRACT: There are many characterisation techniques that are employed in nanoscience to visualise the nanoworld by investigating various properties of a nanostructure. This chapter the various microscopies, spectroscopies and other characterization techniques used in this thesis will be introduced.

2.1. Nanoscale characterization techniques

This chapter gives a brief overview of atomic force microscopy (AFM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) which have been used, in this thesis, to visualise the micron and sub-micron regimes. The spectroscopic methods used in this thesis, namely UV-vis spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and spectroscopic ellipsometry, are outlined. The other techniques used, namely contact angle analysis and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), were used to investigate the wettability and structure of samples respectively and are also outlined in this chapter.
2.2. Microscopies

2.2.1. Atomic Force Microscopy

The Atomic Force Microscope (AFM), introduced by Binnig et al.\textsuperscript{1} in 1986, measures the attractive and repulsive forces between a sharp tip, mounted on a Si$_3$N$_4$ cantilever, and the surface of a sample. Unlike STM, the AFM can be used to study the surface of insulating materials\textsuperscript{2} in addition to conductive samples as it is not reliant on electrical conduction between the tip and sample surface. An AFM (\textbf{Figure 15}) uses a photodiode to detect the position of a reflected laser beam from the top side of the cantilever. The position of the laser on the photodiode is recorded by the controller electronics, via a feedback loop, which can then be converted into a map of interactions between the tip and the substrate surface.

\textbf{Figure 15.} Schematic diagram of the layout of an Atomic Force Microscope (based on a diagram from\textsuperscript{3})
AFM measurements can be performed in two different modes and these are contact and or tapping mode. Contact mode involves the tip and the substrate being kept in constant contact and the tip being rastered across the surface with the resultant deflection of the cantilever monitored by the position of the laser on the photodiode. During tapping mode AFM the cantilever is vibrated close to its resonant frequency and the change of oscillation of the cantilever is detected upon interaction between the tip and surface.¹

2.2.2. Electron microscopies

Electron microscopies rely on the interaction of electron beams with a sample. Two common electron microscopies, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), will be outlined in this section.

2.2.2.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) involves the irradiating a surface with electrons in a raster pattern.² These incident electrons result in the backscattering of electrons from the surface of the sample or induce secondary electrons to be emitted from the sample surface.⁴ The detection of backscattered or secondary electrons allows the topography of the surface to be mapped. The
SEM can also be used for chemical analysis of the sample by analysis of the energy of characteristic X-rays emitted from the sample.\(^4\)

2.2.2.2. Transmission Electron Microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) involves a beam of electrons being passed through a sample (less than \(\sim 30\) nm thick\(^5\)) onto a fluorescent screen.\(^6\) The scattering of the electron beam as it passes through the sample, due to the interaction with inhomogeneities such as defect or structural changes, produces contrasts in the acquired image.\(^6\)

2.3. Spectroscopies

Spectroscopies measure the interaction of a sample with a range of radiation. Spectroscopic methods used in this thesis are introduced in this section and other spectroscopies used for nanoscale characterisation are outlined.

2.3.1. UV-vis spectroscopy

UV-visible spectroscopy is a method of measuring the absorbance of electromagnetic radiation by visible and long wavelength UV light being passed through a solution of interest and measuring the extent to which the radiation is
absorbed at each wavelength. UV-vis spectroscopy can be used to give rapid assessment of the concentration and particle size of metallic nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{7} Metallic nanoparticles absorb the UV-vis radiation at specific energies due to the oscillations of the electron cloud of the nanoparticle at the surface of the particle, which is known as the Plasmon absorbance.\textsuperscript{8}

2.3.2. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is a method for determining the chemical composition of a materials’ surface and involves the irradiation of the sample with X-rays. The X-rays, with energy $h\nu$, interact with the surface atoms by exciting core electrons within the atoms.\textsuperscript{9} If the electrons are excited enough, to overcome the electron binding energy within their atomic orbital ($E_B$), they are ejected from the atom in the form of a photoelectron with energy ($E_K$) as shown in (Figure 16). The energy of the photoelectrons are measured and a spectrum of photoelectron energies is recorded.

Figure 16. A cartoon representation of photoelectron emission
The electron binding energy is dependant on the chemical environment of the electron, thus the electron binding energy is characteristic of the electronic orbital and element in which it exists. Knowledge of the kinetic energy of the ejected photoelectron and the energy of the incident X-ray radiation upon the sample allows the electron binding energy to be determined by use of Equation 1.\(^1\)

\[
E_K = h\nu - E_B
\]

(Equation 1)

2.3.3. Ellipsometry

Ellipsometry is a method of measuring the optical properties of thin films by measuring changes of an elliptically polarised light beam due to interaction with the sample. Elliptically polarised light occurs as a result of the combination of two or more polarised light beams (represented as linearly polarised light beams 1 and 2 in Figure 17a) having the same frequency and amplitude, as shown in Figure 17a.\(^1\) Upon transmission through a thin film, and subsequent reflection from the underlying substrate as shown in Figure 17b, the amplitudes of both the electric and magnetic vectors of the elliptical polarisation of the light are altered due to refraction through the thin film and this information can be used to calculate the thickness of the thin film.\(^12\)
Ellipsometry measures the phase shift (Δ) and the ratio of the magnitudes of the total reflection coefficients (tan Ψ) of the reflected light, as a result of interaction with the thin film. The experimental data is then compared to a computer model, which incorporates the refraction index and extinction.
coefficient of the thin film, and a 'best fit' thickness of the film can be elucidated.\textsuperscript{11} The spectroscopic element of ellipsometry is introduced by the use of a spectroscopic ellipsometer that measure the film thickness using light over a range of wavelengths.

\textbf{2.4. Other characterisation techniques}

\textbf{2.4.1. Contact angle analysis}

Contact angle analysis measures the wettability of a surface\textsuperscript{5, 13} by the application of a liquid drop and measuring the angle of the drop to the surface at the boundary of the surface, substrate and surrounding atmosphere (the three-phase point) as shown in Figure 18. This angle can be measured either by simply placing a liquid drop on the sample surface and measuring the angle (sessile drop method) or by measuring the angle by increasing and decreasing the volume of the drop to determine the advancing and receding contact angles, respectively.\textsuperscript{5} The difference between the advancing and receding angles (contact angle hysteresis) gives an indication of the smoothness and quality of the self-assembled monolayer. Rough surfaces yield higher hysteresis than smooth surfaces. The chemical composition of the surface is critical to the value of the contact angle. For example, the water contact angle of hydrophobic surfaces is much higher than that of hydrophilic surfaces.
2.4.2. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) is a method of measuring temperature dependant events, such as phase changes, and is achieved by increasing the temperature of both the sample and a reference in such a manner as to keep them both at the same temperature as each other. The reference sample is fabricated from a material which undergoes no thermal events in the temperature range over which the experiment will be conducted. The difference in energy required to heat the sample, compared to the reference, compensates for thermal events with extra heat required for endothermic events and less heat required for exothermic events.\textsuperscript{14}
2.5. References


CHAPTER 3

Formation of amino terminated self-assembled monolayers on silicon nitride from the vapour phase
CHAPTER 3

Formation of amino terminated self-assembled monolayers on silicon nitride from the vapour phase

The work presented in Chapter 3 has been published in the following research article: Hamlett et al. *Surface Science* 602 2724-2733 (2008).

**ABSTRACT:** Self-assembled monolayer (SAM) formation of silanes on SiO₂ surfaces is well known. However, SAMs formed on silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) surfaces are less well-known, but are of technological interest with a view to the chemical modification of microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) devices formed from this material. Therefore, this chapter presents the formation and characterisation of 3-aminopropyltrimethoxy silane (APTMS) SAMs on Si₃N₄ substrates from both the solution and vapour phase. As a comparison the well characterised APTMS SAM is formed on SiO₂ surfaces.

### 3.1. Introduction

SAMs, as introduced in Chapter 1 (section 1.3.2.), are ordered, quasi-crystalline structures which are formed when surfactant molecules, with specifically functionalised headgroups, adsorb onto substrates. The use of SAMs provides a facile method of chemically-modifying surfaces, and they are
commonly formed on a solid substrate via adsorption of surfactant molecules from the solution phase.

The formation of SAMs of surfactants containing silane headgroups on Si containing substrates has been widely studied\textsuperscript{3-8} and is presented in Chapter 1 (section 1.3.2.ii.). SiO\textsubscript{2} is the most widely researched Si based substrate for SAM formation.\textsuperscript{3-5,7,9-13} However, there are relatively fewer investigations into using SAMs to chemically modify Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} substrates.\textsuperscript{6,14-16} Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) devices are commonly fabricated from Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}, thus the ability to form SAMs on MEMS devices allows control of the surface chemical properties of MEMS devices. SAMs have been studied as coatings for MEMS devices for both their anti-stiction\textsuperscript{16,17} and chemical immobilization\textsuperscript{5} properties.

Formation from the solution phase is by far the most common method of preparing SAMs.\textsuperscript{4,5,7} However, there have been studies in which vapour phase methods have been employed to form SAMs on both Au\textsuperscript{18,19} and SiO\textsubscript{2} surfaces.\textsuperscript{3,20,21} The formation of SAMs from the vapour phase has been studied with a view to using a solvent free system\textsuperscript{20} which has environmental benefits due to a reduction of waste solvents. SAM formation from the vapour phase has also been studied in an attempt to reduce the formation of polymeric aggregates which can be problematic when forming SAMs from solution.\textsuperscript{22} The problem of aggregation occurs due to condensation reactions between organosilane
molecules in solution that can form inverse micelle structures, which then subsequently adsorb on the substrate.

### 3.2. Aims and objectives

The work in this chapter describes a technique to chemically modify Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates with a view to using the technique to chemically modify Si$_3$N$_4$ MEMS microresonators in order to create a mass sensitive detector for the adsorption of specific species. It was found that the MEMS devices were so delicate that the use of a sonic bath for the formation of SAMs from the solution phase destroyed the devices. Therefore, the objective of this chapter is to investigate a methodology, for the chemical modification of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates that does not involve a sonic bath. The approach will be to initially form APTMS SAMs on SiO$_2$ (a well studied system) and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates (a less well studied system) in order to establish a model system for the chemical modification of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates. A vapour phase methodology will then be used to chemically modify Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates with APTMS, the morphology of which will then be compared to that of the model system.

### 3.3. Results and discussion

This section investigates the modification of SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces with APTMS from the solution phase. These results will subsequently be used as a
reference for the characterisation of Si₃N₄ surface modified by APTMS via a vapour deposition method. The surface of the Si₃N₄ substrates is hydroxylated prior to SAM formation as described by Wei et al.²³ thus a -OH functionalised surface is formed on the Si₃N₄ substrates allowing similar surface reactions to that of the SiO₂ substrates. The hydroxylated surface of the Si₃N₄ substrates is made possible by the formation of a SiO₂ layer on the surface of the Si₃N₄ substrates during cleaning which can be seen in Figure 19. The Si₃N₄ substrates, prior to the cleaning procedure, exhibit a single Si2p peak (Figure 19b) however, upon cleaning using the method described by Wei et al.²³, this peak broadens (Figure 19d). This broadening is due to splitting of the Si2p peak (Figure 19e) upon cleaning of the substrate and the peaks are assigned as the Si2p peaks for Si present in Si₃N₄ (~103.0 eV²⁴) and SiO₂ (~104.5 eV²⁴) thus the hydroxylated of the Si₃N₄ surface is confirmed. Further evidence for the formation of a SiO₂ layer on the Si₃N₄ surface is due to an increase in the ratio of O1s to N1s peaks upon cleaning (Figure 19a and Figure 19c). The SiO₂ layer was estimated to be of the order of 2 nm thick as this value provided a good model with which to fit the ellipsometric data of the bare substrate.

Along with the Si2s, Si2p, O1s and N1s peaks, which are due to the elements which constitute both the Si₃N₄ substrate and the SiO₂ formed by the cleaning method, a C1s peak can be seen in the survey spectra of Si₃N₄ before (Figure 19a) and after (Figure 19c) cleaning. This C1s peak is due to the
adsorption of volatile organic species which rapidly adsorb on the substrate upon exposure to air.

![XPS spectra](image)

**Figure 19.** XPS spectra of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates a) before and c) after the cleaning process. b) and d) are high resolution spectra of the Si2p peaks of the Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates before and after cleaning respectively and e) shows the Si2p peak splitting as a result of the cleaning process.

**3.3.1. Comparison of APTMS SAMs formed from solution phase on SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces**

This section presents the characterisation of both SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces modified by the immersion of substrates in APTMS solution (0.5 mM in EtOH) for three different immersion times (30, 60 and 120 min).
3.3.1.1. Contact angle

A dynamic contact angle analysis method was used to measure the water contact angle of both SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces before and after immersion in ethanolic APTMS solution and the results are shown in Figure 20.

![Figure 20](image)

**Figure 20.** Contact angle data of Si/SiO$_2$ and Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates immersed in APTMS solution (0.5mM in EtOH) for various times

The bare hydroxylated SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates are both hydrophilic ($\theta_a$ and $\theta_r \sim 10^\circ$), whereas, after immersion in APTMS solution, the surface becomes significantly less hydrophilic ($\theta_a$ and $\theta_r \leq 60^\circ$). The literature value for APTMS SAMs on SiO$_2$ is $\sim 68^\circ$. Therefore, the contact angle data (Figure 20) suggests that APTMS SAMs are formed on both substrates. However, the degree of formation and structure of the SAMs needs to be probed, see sections 3.3.1.2. and 3.3.1.3.
3.3.1.2. AFM

AFM images of SiO$_2$ (Figure 21) and Si$_3$N$_4$ (Figure 22), surfaces modified by solution phase APTMS at different immersion times are presented in this section along with a comparison of roughness data of these systems (Figure 23).

**Figure 21.** AFM images of a) bare Si/SiO$_2$ substrate and b-d) Si/SiO$_2$ substrates immersed in APTMS solution (0.5 mM in EtOH)
Figure 22. AFM images of a) bare Si₃N₄ substrate and b-d) Si₃N₄ substrates immersed in APTMS solution (0.5 mM in EtOH)

Visual inspection of Figure 21 and Figure 22 shows that the SAMs formed on SiO₂ substrates are smoother than those formed on Si₃N₄, which is quantitatively born out by the RMS data recorded in Table 1 and represented by the graph in Figure 23. However, it should be noted that the bare Si₃N₄ substrate is rougher (RMS roughness = 0.770 nm) than the SiO₂ substrate (RMS roughness = 0.430 nm). The RMS roughness (whole image) roughly doubles after immersion of both substrates for 30 min, and after 60 min immersion the RMS roughness reduces and subsequently increases again after an immersion time of 120 min. It can be seen that the RMS roughness of the SAM on SiO₂ after
60 min immersion in APTMS solution is smoother than the original bare substrate. Such smoothing has been previously observed, and is rationalised in terms of a "carpet effect". This "carpet effect" is due to the SAM being bound to the substrate at relatively few points, and thus masks the roughness of the underlying substrate. At longer immersion times (120 min), in both cases, the roughness increases as polysiloxane particulates, formed in solution, are deposited.

**Table 1.** RMS roughness data of Si/SiO$_2$ and Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates immersed in APTMS solution at various immersion times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immersion time (min)</th>
<th>Si/SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Si/Si$_3$N$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMS roughness (nm)</td>
<td>Error (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.430 ±0.056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.916 ±0.329</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.297 ±0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.598 ±0.298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In summary, an immersion time of 60 min results in the minimum roughness of both Si/SiO$_2$ and Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates immersed in APTMS solution (Figure 23). The occurrence of a minimum roughness at 60 min immersion can be explained by the presence of fewer aggregates on the surface, when compared to immersion times of 30 min and 120 min of both types of substrate, which results in smoother surfaces.

3.3.1.3. Ellipsometry

Modelling the molecular structure of APTMS (Figure 24) reveals that the distance between the N atom, from the -NH$_2$ group, and the methoxy O atoms is
~0.56 nm. Thus, it is expected that a well-formed SAM would have a thickness of the order of 0.5 - 0.6 nm.

Figure 24. The structure of APTMS with the O-N distance being shown. (This distance was calculated using Chem3D Ultra 7.0 software.)

The ellipsometric thickness of both Si/SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates after immersion in APTMS solution is shown in Table 2 and Figure 25. Satisfyingly the ellipsometric thickness data for 60 min immersion time of both Si/SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates in APTMS solution are 0.546 nm and 0.557 nm, Table 2 and Figure 25 respectively, whilst at shorter and longer immersion times the thickness is greater. This increase of thickness at shorter and larger immersion times is in agreement with RMS roughness data (Table 1, Figure 23 and Figure 28).
Table 2. Ellipsometric thickness data of Si/SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces immersed in APTMS solution for various immersion times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immersion time (min)</th>
<th>Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Error (nm)</th>
<th>Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Error (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Si/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>Si/Si$_3$N$_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>± 0.288</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>± 0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>± 0.132</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>± 0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.769</td>
<td>± 0.383</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>± 0.476</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 25. Ellipsometric SAM thicknesses of Si/SiO$_2$ and Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates after immersion in APTMS solution (0.5 mM in EtOH)

3.3.1.4. XPS

XPS spectra were recorded for bare Si/SiO$_2$ before and after immersion in APTMS solution for 60 min (Figure 26) and for Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates before and after immersion in APTMS solution for 60 min (Figure 27). XPS spectra were obtained for 60 min immersion because the AFM data showed this immersion time resulted in the smoothest surfaces of the immersion times studied and
ellipsometric measurements suggested that at 60 min immersion time monolayer coverage of APTMS was achieved.

**Figure 26.** XPS spectra of Si/SiO$_2$ substrates before and after immersion in APTMS solution. 
- a) Survey spectrum of bare Si/SiO$_2$ substrate with inset b) being a high resolution spectrum of the energy range where N1s peak would be found. 
- c) survey spectrum of Si/SiO$_2$ after 60 min immersion in APTMS solution for 60 min with inset d) being a high resolution spectrum of N1s peak.
Figure 27. XPS spectra of a) bare Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ with inset b) being a high resolution spectrum of the N1s peak and c) Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ after immersion in APTMS solution for 60 min with inset d) being a high resolution spectrum of the N1s peak.

The XPS survey spectrum of bare SiO$_2$ (Figure 26a) reveals the presence of Si2p, Si2s and O1s peaks, which are due to the elemental composition of the SiO$_2$ substrate. A C1s peak occurs due to the presence of carbon on the surface of the clean substrate due to the adsorption of volatile organic compounds on the
surface upon exposure of the substrate to air. Immersion of the Si/SiO$_2$ substrate in APTMS solution results in the appearance of a N1s peak (Figure 26c and d). This peak represents the N1s photoelectron, thus confirming the presence of nitrogen which correspond to the adsorption of APTMS.$^{11}$

Bare Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates, as discussed in section 3.3., display Si2p, Si2s, C1s, N1s and O1s peaks (Figure 27). These peaks correspond to the presence of Si and N in Si$_3$N$_4$ (Si2p, Si2s and N1s peaks), the formation of a ~2 nm layer of SiO$_2$ during the cleaning procedure (O1s peak) and the adsorption of volatile organic compounds upon exposure to air (C1s peak). The Si2p, Si2s, C1s, N1s and O1s peaks, present in the XPS spectra of the bare substrate, are also the peaks that correspond to the adsorption of APTMS molecules. Therefore, XPS cannot be used to verify the formation of APTMS SAMs on Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates because the peaks expected for APTMS SAMs are already present in the XPS spectra of the bare substrate.

3.3.1.5. Comparison of APTMS SAMs formed from solution phase on both SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces

The results presented so far show that, upon exposure to APTMS solution, both Si/SiO$_2$ and Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exhibit contact angles similar to that found in the literature for fully formed APTMS SAMs. The AFM and ellipsometric data support the formation of fully formed APTMS SAMs at 60 min
immersion times (Figure 28b) due to a decrease in RMS roughness (carpet effect) and the thickness is consistent with that of a fully formed APTMS SAM. However, at 30 min and 120 min immersion times the formation of smooth APTMS SAMs does not occur due to both RMS roughness values and ellipsometric thicknesses being significantly different than those expected for a fully formed SAM. At 30 min immersion (Figure 28a) the APTMS molecules may form physisorbed bilayers due to the RMS roughness being greater than that of the bare substrate and the thickness being approximately twice the length of an APTMS molecule. At 120 min (Figure 28c) the RMS roughness is greater than that of both a fully formed SAM and the bare substrate due to the presence of polysiloxane aggregates adsorbed on the surface (Figure 21 and Figure 22). The presence of such aggregates also leads to a greater ellipsometric thickness than that of a fully formed APTMS SAM. XPS data (Figure 26 and Figure 27) confirm the adsorption of APTMS on SiO$_2$ substrates due to the appearance of the N1s peak upon immersion of the substrate in APTMS solution. However, a similar observation cannot be made for the adsorption of APTMS on the Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates because the XPS spectrum of bare Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates shows the same peaks that correspond to the constituent atoms of an APTMS molecule.
Figure 28. Models of APTMS formation on surface of Si/SiO₂ and Si/Si₃N₄ substrates at three different immersion times. (The RMS roughness and ellipsometric thickness are included for comparison to the models)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AFM: RMS roughness (nm)</th>
<th>ELLIPSOmetry: Thickness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>Si₃N₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>Si₃N₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) 30min immersion</td>
<td>0.916 ±0.329</td>
<td>1.405 ±0.022 ±0.297 ±0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) 60min immersion</td>
<td>0.297 ±0.022</td>
<td>1.270 ±0.223 ±0.132 ±0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) 120min immersion</td>
<td>0.598 ±0.298</td>
<td>1.312 ±0.383 ±0.383 ±0.476</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2. APTMS SAMs on Si$_3$N$_4$ from vapour phase

Vapour phase modification of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates is studied here with a view to using such a system for the surface modification of MEMS device. The vapour deposition process used for Si$_3$N$_4$ modification (Figure 29a-g) involves the use of a glass vapour chamber (Figure 29h). The vapour chamber consists of two parts (Figure 29a) with the top section consisting of a gas tap. The bottom section of the chamber consists of an Al gauze, on which the substrates are placed, and an inlet, in which a Subaseal$^\text{TM}$ stopper is placed allowing for the injection of APTMS. After the substrates have been placed in the chamber, and the top section attached, the chamber is purged with N$_2$(g) (Figure 29b) to displace atmospheric water from within the chamber. The chamber is then heated under vacuum, using a heat gun, to encourage desorption of water adsorbed from the inside of the chamber which is subsequently removed by the vacuum (Figure 29c). After the chamber has cooled to room temperature APTMS liquid (2 ml) is injected through the Subaseal$^\text{TM}$ (Figure 29d) whilst the chamber is continuously evacuated for a further 30 min (Figure 29e). The choice of vacuum pump used determines the pressure at which the deposition takes place and is referred to as the deposition pressure (P$_{dep}$). The gas tap is then closed leaving the substrates in an atmosphere of APTMS vapour (Figure 29f) and after a further 30 min the vacuum is released (Figure 29g) and the substrates removed from the chamber.
a) Setup of chamber

b) Purge with $\text{N}_2(\text{g})$

c) Heat under vacuum
d) Inject APTMS into chamber

e) Evacuate chamber to evaporate APTMS
f) Close tap and leave for 30min

g) Release vacuum and open chamber to remove substrate
h) Photograph of chamber

Figure 29. a-g) Cartoon representations of the vapour deposition process and h) a photograph of the vapour chamber
The following sections show the characterisation of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrate modified by the method described using four different deposition pressures ($P_{\text{dep}}$) which are 0.1 mbar, 1.15 mbar, 30 mbar and 168 mbar.

3.3.2.1. Contact angle

Figure 30 shows that the surfaces are significantly more hydrophobic than the bare, clean Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces. At $P_{\text{dep}} = 0.1$ mbar and 1.15 mbar the contact angles are larger than those obtained by solution deposition which could be due to the presence of a rough surface (see section 2.3.2.2.) as this can increase the contact angle.$^{26, 27}$ At $P_{\text{dep}} = 30$ mbar the contact angles are significantly less than those obtained from the solution phase suggesting that the hydrophilic substrate is not completely covered by APTMS molecules. At $P_{\text{dep}} = 168$ mbar the resultant surface exhibits contact angles comparable to that of fully formed APTMS SAMs on Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates modified by immersion in APTMS solution for 60 min (Figure 20) thus indicates -NH$_2$ functionality of the surface (section 3.3.1).
Contact angle data of Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour for 60 min at four different pressures.

**Figure 30.** Contact angle data of Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour for 60 min at four different pressures.

3.3.2.2. AFM

AFM images were taken for Si$_3$N$_4$ surfaces which were modified with APTMS at four different pressures ($P_{dep} = 0.1$ mbar, 1.15 mbar, 30 mbar and 168 mbar), as shown in Figure 31.
Figure 31. AFM images of Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour for 60 min at various deposition pressures ($P_{\text{dep}}$).

Figure 31 shows Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates modified at $P_{\text{dep}} = 0.1$ mbar exhibit a greater amount of adsorbed aggregates than those modified at 1.15 mbar, 30 mbar and 168 mbar.
At 0.1 mbar the boiling point of APTMS is \( \sim 25 \) °C thus, at room temperature, the vapour will be saturated with APTMS leading to a high deposition rate of the molecules on the substrate, which is born out in its high RMS roughness (Figure 32).

3.3.2.3. Ellipsometry

Ellipsometric data obtained for \( \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \) substrates modified with APTMS vapour at different pressures is presented in Figure 33, ellipsometric data is not presented for surfaces modified at \( P_{\text{dep}} = 0.1 \) mbar due to the large RMS roughness.
roughness (Figure 32) of the surfaces obtained by AFM leading to ellipsometric data yielding unreliable thickness measurements. In the case of ellipsometry unreliable thicknesses implies that the error obtained by the modelling is greater than the actual thickness value obtained.

![Figure 33. Ellipsometric thickness of Si/Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour for 60 min at three different pressures](image)

The ellipsometric thickness of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour at $P_{\text{dep}} = 1.15$ mbar, 30 mbar and 168 mbar is approximately twice that of monomolecular thickness. This increase of thickness (comparing Figure 33 to Figure 25) is probably due to the presence of aggregates on the surface (Figure 31) and the formation of multilayers (Figure 34b).
3.3.2.4. XPS

$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour (Figure 35b) show the presence of the constituent atomic species of an APTMS molecule, however, these peaks also appear in the spectrum for bare $\text{Si}/\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ (Figure 35a) due to reasons discussed in section 2.3.1.3.

Figure 35. XPS survey spectra of $\text{Si}/\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ substrates a) before and b) after exposure to APTMS vapour for 60 min. XPS spectrum 17b) is of a sample prepared at $P_{\text{dep}} = 168$ mbar and is representative of survey spectra of $\text{Si}/\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour at all pressures studied.
3.4. Conclusions

The formation of APTMS SAMs on SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates from both the solution (SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$) and vapour (Si$_3$N$_4$) phases have been studied. Contact angle data of SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ immersed in APTMS solution is consistent with that of a fully formed APTMS SAM found in the literature. However, upon investigation with AFM and ellipsometry immersion times of 30 min and 120 min for both substrates yielded surfaces of greater roughness and thickness than that expected of a fully formed SAM. The AFM and ellipsometric results for immersion times of 30 min and 120 min are consistent with either physisorbed bilayer structures (30 min immersion, Figure 28a) or surfaces on which polysiloxane aggregates adsorb (120 min immersion, Figure 28c). AFM and ellipsometric data do support the formation of fully formed APTMS SAMs on both SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates after 60 min immersion in APTMS solution (Figure 28b). XPS data confirmed the adsorption of APTMS on the SiO$_2$ substrates but could not be used to confirm the presence of APTMS on the Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates. Therefore, APTMS structures form on SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates but only 60 min immersion times result in the formation of fully formed SAMs.

The characterisation of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour show that the contact angle of substrates modified at 0.1 mbar and 1.15 mbar are significantly greater than that of a fully formed SAM formed in the solution phase due to an increase of surface roughness. The lower than expected contact angle
observed at a deposition pressure of 30 mbar is due to incomplete coverage of the underlying hydrophilic substrate by APTMS. After modification at 168 mbar the contact angle is comparable to that of a fully formed SAM thus confirming -NH$_2$ functionality of the surface. AFM data shows that deposition of APTMS at 0.1 mbar yields significantly rougher surfaces than at the other pressures studied. The greater roughness at $P_{dep} = 0.1$ mbar is due to the adsorption of a greater number of aggregates to the surface at 0.1 mbar compared to other deposition pressure due to the reduced boiling point of APTMS at 0.1 mbar being comparable to room temperature. Therefore, the boiling of APTMS at 0.1 mbar leads to a saturated atmosphere of APTMS molecules within the chamber increasing the chance of cross linking of APTMS molecules hence a greater chance of polysiloxane aggregate formation. The ellipsometric data for 1.15 mbar, 30 mbar and 168 mbar deposition pressure is greater than that of a fully formed SAM which suggests the formation of multilayered structures.

This work has shown that fully formed SAMs can be formed from solution on both SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates after 60 min immersion and that the vapour phase technique described in this chapter yields multilayer structures on Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates which present -NH$_2$ functionality.

The work presented in this chapter confirms that the vapour phase deposition technique described in this chapter can be used to successfully modify Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates with APTMS. The increase of contact angle data upon
exposure to APTMS vapour confirms that chemical modification of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates is successful. AFM images show that the modified substrates are much rougher at $P_{\text{dep}} = 0.1$ mbar than at greater pressures ($P_{\text{dep}} = 1.15$ mbar, 30 mbar and 168 mbar). Ellipsometric measurements suggest monolayer formation after exposure of Si$_3$N$_4$ to APTMS solution for 60 min but, upon exposure to APTMS vapour, the formation of multilayers of APTMS may occur.

3.5. Future work

This objective of this work was to chemically modify Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates with a view to the modification of MEMS devices. Thus, the next step of this work is to modify MEMS devices using this method and this will be described in Chapter 4.

3.6. Experimental

All chemicals were obtained from Aldrich unless stated.

3.6.1. Cleaning of substrates

3.6.1.1. Cleaning of SiO$_2$

SiO$_2$ coated Si substrates (Compart Technology LTD) were immersed in piranha solution (70% H$_2$SO$_4$ (98%, Fisher Scientific):30% H$_2$O$_2$ (30%, Fisher
Scientific) at 90 - 100 °C for 60 min, rinsed with UHQ water (resistivity = 18 MΩcm) and immersed in RCA solution (UHQ H₂O : H₂O₂ (30%) : NH₄OH in a ratio of 5:1:1) in a sonic bath for 60 min at room temperature. The substrates were rinsed and stored in UHQ water until use.

3.6.1.2. Cleaning of Si₃N₄

Si/Si₃N₄ (500 nm thick Si₃N₄, Silson Ltd, Northampton, UK) were cleaned and hydroxylated using a method described by Wei et al.²³ The substrates were rinsed well in EtOH then ultra high quality (UHQ) water (resistivity = 18MΩcm). The substrates were then immersed in Piranha solution (7 parts H₂SO₄ (98%): 3 parts H₂O₂ (30%)) at 90 - 100 °C for 30 min and then rinsed thoroughly with UHQ water.

The substrates were then immersed in NaOH (0.5 M) for 20 min, HCl (0.1 M) for 10 min and NaOH (0.5 M) for 10 min and rinsed with UHQ water between each immersion. The substrates were then rinsed with HCl (0.1 M), water and then purged with N₂(g) for 30 min.

3.6.2. Formation of SAMs

3.6.2.1. Formation of SAMs from solution phase

The first step in preparing SAMs on SiO₂ and Si₃N₄ substrates from the solution phase involved the exchange of surface water on the substrate with
anhydrous EtOH. This exchange process involves the immersion of the substrate in vials containing various ratios of EtOH and UHQ H$_2$O. Initially the substrate was immersed in pure UHQ water, then in water/EtOH ratios of 3:1, 1:1, 1:3, pure solvent and, finally, anhydrous EtOH.

The substrate is then immersed in a glass vial containing a 0.5 mM solution of APTMS in anhydrous EtOH under a N$_2$ atmosphere and placed in a water cooled sonic bath. After immersion for a given time the substrate was immediately rinsed in EtOH and then rinsed in toluene, EtOH, then placed in a clean vial containing fresh EtOH and placed in a sonic bath for 5 min. This rinsing and sonication process was repeated and then the substrate was rinsed sequentially in EtOH, chloroform and EtOH and cured in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 30 min.

3.6.2.2. Formation of SAMs from vapour phase

The vapour deposition steps used for the formation of APTMS SAMs on Si$_3$N$_4$ is described in section 3.2.2. After the vapour deposition the substrates were removed from the chamber and rinsed sequentially in EtOH, chloroform and EtOH then cured in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 30 min.
3.6.3. Characterisation of SAMs

3.6.3.1. Contact angle analysis

Contact angle measurements were carried out using a home built contact angle goniometer and the images processed using Camtel FTA200 software. UHQ water was applied to the surface using a 25 µl syringe. The volume of the drop was increased and decreased in order to obtain information about the advancing ($\theta_a$) and receding ($\theta_r$) contact angles, respectively.

3.6.3.2. AFM

AFM images were obtained using a Dimension D3100 Scanning Probe Microscope (Veeco) and the images analysed using Nanoscope III v5.12r software. All AFM images were obtained by operating the AFM in tapping mode with the use of RTESP – Tap300 Metrology Probes (Veeco) (nominal spring constant = 20 - 80 Nm$^{-1}$, nominal resonant frequency = 288 - 328 kHz).

3.6.3.3. Ellipsometry

Ellipsometry measurements were taken using a Jobin-Yvon UVISEL ellipsometer with a He-Ne laser light source at an angle of incidence of 70 °C.
using a wavelength range of 450 – 800 nm. SAMs were formed. DeltaPsi software was used to record and model the ellipsometric parameters $\Delta$ and $\psi$, for bare and modified substrates.

3.6.3.4. XPS

XPS measurements were performed using a VG ESCALab 250 equipped with an Al K$_\alpha$ x-ray source (1486.68 eV) which was operated at 15 kV. Peak fitting and analysis of the data was carried out using Avantage software.
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Mass sensing using a chemically-modified microresonator: SAMs meets MEMS

ABSTRACT: Micro-Electromechanical Systems (MEMS) are devices whereby mechanical and electrical components in the micrometre regime have been integrated. Such devices show promise in a wide variety of sensing applications. Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) can be used to functionalise the surface of MEMS devices in order to fabricate chemically specific mass sensing devices.

This work investigates the pH-dependent adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles on silicon nitride surfaces modified with 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTMS) SAMs using both atomic force microscopy (AFM) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis. The AFM and XPS results are used as a comparison for mass adsorption data of the citrate passivated Au nanoparticles adsorbed on MEMS resonators modified using SAMs.

4.1. Introduction

Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), as introduced in Chapter 1 (section 1.3.1.), are formed by the integration of electrical and mechanical components for production of functional micron-scale devices. Examples of the use of MEMS devices include actuators\textsuperscript{2,3} and sensors.\textsuperscript{4-16}
MEMS devices can be used as chemical sensors using two different approaches. One approach is by mass detection,\textsuperscript{7, 13, 17, 18} whereby the resonant frequency of a MEMS resonator is altered upon the adsorption of chemical species. The resultant change of resonant frequency is directly proportional to the mass adsorbed on its surface, hence the mass of the adsorbed species can be calculated using knowledge of the mass sensitivity of the device. Such devices operate on a similar principle to both quartz crystal microbalances (QCM)\textsuperscript{19, 20} and surface acoustic wave (SAW) devices.\textsuperscript{21, 22} The species adsorbed on the surface of MEMS mass detection devices is dictated by the surface chemistry of the resonators. SAMs have been used in an attempt to immobilize specific species to the surface of MEMS sensors.\textsuperscript{5, 9, 11, 12, 14}

Another approach to chemical detection, using MEMS devices, exploits the change of the electrical properties of the device upon adsorption of specific chemical species.\textsuperscript{8, 9, 11, 12, 16} Electronic properties, for example the electrical resistance, can be altered by the adsorption of analytes. Therefore, this allows MEMS sensors to be integrated into electrical circuits in order to monitor the change of electronic properties of the device over a period of time.

4.2. Aims and objectives

The aim of the research described in this chapter is to demonstrate that the vapour phase methodology described in chapter 3 can be used to modify
microresonators for their application as mass-sensing devices. Chemical functionalization of microresonators could have applications in determining the abundance of specific species in local environments, for example in local urban environments. Citrate passivated Au nanoparticles have been shown to exhibit pH dependent adsorption to -NH₂ terminated SAMs.⁴ Therefore, the work presented in this chapter is aimed at showing how the adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles on 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTMS) modified Si₃N₄ resonators changes as a function of the pH of the nanoparticle solution. Upon immersion in an acidic solution of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles the -NH₂ terminus of the monolayer becomes protonated. Therefore, a positive surface is presented to the local environment allowing the electrostatic attraction of the negatively charged Au nanoparticles (Figure 36).

**Figure 36.** Cartoon representations of a) the electrostatic adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles to a protonated APTMS SAM, and b) the negatively charged citrate passivated nanoparticle.
By using both AFM and XPS analysis to study the adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles to APTMS modified Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates over a range of pH values, the trend of nanoparticle adsorption for this particular system can be evaluated. Thus, providing both a reference of the pH dependent adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles to Si$_3$N$_4$ resonators modified with APTMS SAMs and a proof-of-principle experiment that modified microresonators can be used as mass sensors for nanoparticles.

4.3. Results and discussion

This section presents data on the adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles from solution to chemically modified Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates investigated by both AFM and XPS analysis. Resonance experiments were also performed in order to investigate the adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles on APTMS modified Si$_3$N$_4$ resonators with a view to using such a system as a mass sensitive detector.

4.3.1. Au nanoparticle adsorption studies

4.3.1.1. AFM

AFM images were obtained of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates, modified with APTMS SAMs, after immersion for 2 hr in aqueous solutions of citrate passivated Au
nanoparticles at five different values (3, 4, 5, 6, and 7) of pH (Figure 37a-e) and a graph of nanoparticle density vs the pH is shown in Figure 38.

After immersion of APTMS modified Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates in citrate passivated Au nanoparticles at pH values of 3 and 4 (Figure 37a and b) it can be seen that the occurrence of Au nanoparticle aggregates adsorbed on the surface is more pronounced than at higher values of pH (Figure 37c-e). Presumably the occurrence of a higher degree of nanoparticulate aggregates at pH values of 3 and 4, compared to pH values 5 - 7, is due to a higher degree of protonation of the passivating citrate anions thus, a lower degree of electrostatic repulsion between the particles. The maximum adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles occurs at pH 5 (Figure 37c and Figure 38) which is consistent with previous work in optimal adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles occurs at pH 4.5.$^{23}$
Figure 37. AFM images of pH dependent adsorption of citrate passivated nanoparticles on APTMS modified Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates at five different values of pH
It was observed that the maximum adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticle occurs at pH 5 (Figure 37 and Figure 38). The $pK_a$ of aliphatic amine groups is 8 - 11 in free solution,\textsuperscript{24} however when attached to a surface the $pK_a$ decreases to 6 - 8.\textsuperscript{25} The $pK_a$ of COOH groups in free solution is \~4.8.\textsuperscript{26} Therefore, over the range of pH values studied (pH 3 - 7) the APTMS SAM will be fully protonated in the pH range of 3 - 6 and partially protonated at pH 7. The citrate passivated Au nanoparticles display COOH moieties on their surfaces and therefore the majority of the particles will be deprotonated in the pH range of 5 - 7. At pH values of 3 and 4 the COOH groups will not be fully deprotonated hence, the repulsive electrostatic forces no longer exist between the particles, leading to aggregation of the nanoparticles as observed by AFM (Figure 37).
The protonated Au nanoparticles do not lend themselves to electrostatic attachment to the protonated APTMS SAMs, although hydrogen bonding will occur, and hence there is low nanoparticle adsorption at pH values of 3 and 4. The maximum adsorption of Au nanoparticles occurs at pH 5, which is due to the citrate passivated nanoparticles being negatively charged at this pH and therefore binding to the protonated $-\text{NH}_3$ surface. However, at pH 6 and 7 nanoparticle adsorption is not as high as that observed at pH 5. This observation is due to the Au nanoparticle becoming increasingly deprotonated as the pH increases, so nanoparticles adsorbed to the APTMS SAM could hinder other negatively charged particles from adsorbing to the surface due to electrostatic repulsion.

4.3.2.2. XPS

In this chapter the AFM is used to probe an area of 5 µm x 5 µm. XPS spectroscopy analyses a spot size of approximately 0.5 mm in size and hence, is a much more accurate method of determining the structure of a surface. However, AFM analysis yields images of the surface. Therefore, the XPS analysis presented in this chapter is used to corroborate the AFM data.

XPS spectra were recorded of APTMS modified Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates after immersion in solutions of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles over the pH range 3 - 7. The Au4f spectra (Figure 39) and Si2p spectra, shown in Appendix B2,
were used to calculate the Au/Si ratio in order to determine the relative change of nanoparticle adsorption. The Au/Si ratios were used to study the adsorption of Au nanoparticles using the Si substrate as an internal standard, assuming that this is constant.

Figure 39. Au4f XPS spectra of APTMS modified Si₃N₄ substrates with citrate passivated Au nanoparticles adsorbed at a) pH 3, b) pH 4, c) pH 5, d) pH 6 and e) pH 7. Insets in each image shows the peak fitting.
Table 3. Binding energies of \( \text{Au}^{4f_{5/2}} \) and \( \text{Au}^{4f_{7/2}} \) peaks for the adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles on APTMS SAMs at 5 different values of pH. (Also shown is the average binding energy for the \( \text{Au}^{4f_{5/2}} \) and \( \text{Au}^{4f_{7/2}} \) peaks of bulk Au from the NIST database\(^{27}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>( \text{Au}^{4f_{5/2}} )</th>
<th>( \text{Au}^{4f_{7/2}} )</th>
<th>Average binding energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>90.2 91.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>90.4 91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Au(^{27})</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 39 shows the Au4f spectra at each value of pH at which nanoparticle adsorption was carried out and shows a maximum Au intensity at pH 5 (Figure 39c). A splitting of the \( \text{Au}^{4f_{5/2}} \) and \( \text{Au}^{4f_{7/2}} \) peaks can be seen at pH values of 3 and 4 (Figure 39a and Figure 39b, respectively). However, this peak splitting is not evident at higher values of pH. Table 3 shows that both the \( \text{Au}^{4f_{5/2}} \) and \( \text{Au}^{4f_{7/2}} \) peaks show an increased binding energy compared to that of bulk Au which can be explained by surface charging during the XPS measurement due to the substrate, \( \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \), being a poor conductor. During the XPS measurements photoelectrons are ejected from the sample resulting in the sample becoming slightly positively charged. As the substrate is a poor conductor the surface charge cannot dissipate. Therefore, the surface becomes positively charged and leads to a shift in the binding energy towards higher values, as greater energy is required for a photoelectron to leave the surface\(^{28}\).
Peak splitting has been shown to occur due to charging of the surface.\textsuperscript{29} Therefore, the extra positive charge of the surfaces immersed in low pH solutions (pH 3 and 4) will have a more positively charged surface than those immersed in solutions of higher values of pH (i.e. 5, 6 and 7). Therefore, this extra charging may induce peak splitting. Another factor that could induce peak splitting is the presence of nanoparticulate aggregates. These aggregates can be seen adsorbed to the APTMS SAMs after the immersion was performed at pH 3 and 4 (Figure 37a and b) and concur with the splitting of the Au4f peaks in the XPS spectra (Figure 39a and b). A possible explanation for the formation of such aggregates is that at pH values below 5 the citrate passivants could become protonated, hence negating the repulsive force between the nanoparticles. The binding energy of discrete Au nanoparticles has been shown to be slightly higher (a few eV) than bulk Au.\textsuperscript{30} The formation of nanoparticulate aggregates may result in the presence of ‘bulk’ Au in the system. Thus, the splitting of the Au4f peaks reflects the presence of ‘bulk’ Au (aggregates) and nanoparticulate Au (discrete nanoparticles).

\textbf{Figure 40} shows that the maximum Au/Si ratio occurs at pH $\sim$ 5 which shows that the maximum nanoparticle adsorption occurs at pH $\sim$ 5. This result concurs with the AFM data (Figure 38).
4.3.2.3. Mass adsorption measurements

Initial mass adsorption measurements were made by measuring the frequency shift of simple 'flap' type resonators (Figure 41) at three different pH values. These devices were excited by mounting the device to a piezoelectric chip in an SEM which was connected to a vibrometer. Careful tuning of the drive frequency, coupled with real time observation under the SEM, of the piezoelectric crystal allowed the resonant frequency to be found once the edge of the flap became blurred.
Figure 41. a) An SEM image of the 'flap' type resonator and b) - d) the frequencies measured in order to calculate mass adsorption.

The devices were calculated to have a frequency shift of 590 Hz/10^{-8}g. Therefore, this value was used to calculate the masses adsorbed on the resonators once the frequency shift was determined. Figure 42 indicates maximum nanoparticle adsorption at pH 5, in agreement with the AFM and XPS data (Figure 38 and Figure 40 respectively) though the difference in absorbance between pH 5 and 6 is not as pronounced as shown in Figure 38 and Figure 40.
4.4. Conclusions

The aim of this chapter was to show that the pH dependent adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles can be used as a model system for the development of MEMS nanoparticulate mass sensors. The work presented in this chapter shows that the degree of adsorption of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles to APTMS SAMs, formed on Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates, changes as a function of pH. AFM investigations shows that maximum adsorption of nanoparticles occurs at pH 5 with nanoparticle aggregation common at pH 3 and 4 (Figure 37 and Figure 38). XPS investigations show that the Au 4f spectrum follows the aggregation of Au nanoparticles by the presence of two distinct peaks.
(pH 5, 6 and 7) which then split upon aggregation of Au nanoparticles at pH 3 and 4 (Figure 39). XPS analysis was also used to obtain a graph of the Au/Si ratio as a function of pH (Figure 40) which shows that maximum Au nanoparticle coverage of an APTMS SAM occurs at pH 5. This result is in agreement with the AFM data and provides a model with which to compare the results of mass adsorption experiments. The mass adsorption experiments (Figure 41) were carried out on chemically modified Si$_3$N$_4$ cantilevers by measuring the resonant frequencies of the devices before and after immersion in aqueous solutions of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles at different values of pH. The results of the mass adsorption experiments (Figure 42) confirmed maximum adsorption of the Au nanoparticles at pH 5 which is in good agreement with both the AFM and XPS data. Therefore, the nanoparticle / SAM system, studied in this chapter, shows potential for use as a proof-of-principle system for the development of a MEMS nanoparticle mass sensing device.

4.5. Future Work

The work presented in this chapter has shown that simple resonators (Figure 41), with a 'flap' type architecture, can be successfully functionalised with APTMS SAMs and shown to act as mass-sensing devices. However, the next stage of this project will be to use a similar methodology in order to modify resonators with a high Q-factor, in order to fabricate highly sensitive mass-detecting MEMS devices. The Q-factor is a measure of the damping of the
oscillation of a MEMS device and relates to energy loss due to friction, from both internal and external influences, upon resonance. Resonators with high Q-factors exhibit a clear resonance signal that is easily recognisable from the background noise.\textsuperscript{31} A paddle resonator (Figure 43a), fabricated using a FIB and comprising of a Si$_3$N$_4$ paddle resonator with a platinum wire around its periphery (Figure 43b), has been shown to exhibit a high Q-factor.\textsuperscript{32, 33} Therefore, such a device will be chemically modified with APTMS SAMs and used to detect citrate passivated Au nanoparticles and the results compared to those presented in this chapter.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure43.png}
\caption{a) an SEM image of the proposed device for mass detection and b) a cartoon representation of the architecture and dimensions of the proposed device}
\end{figure}
The use of the paddle resonators to detect gaseous species could be performed by modifying the resonators with SAMs other than APTMS. For example SAMs of phthalocyanines (Pc) derivatives could be investigated for the detection of gaseous NO$_2$, a common pollutant. Previous work by Simpson et al.$^{34, 35}$ has shown that Pc derivatives can be used to detect NO$_2$ by either surface plasmon resonance$^{34}$ or evanescent wave excited fluorescence.$^{35}$ Therefore, Pc derivatives could be attached to the paddle resonators in order to detect NO$_2$ by mass detection.

4.6. Experimental

All chemicals were obtained from Aldrich unless stated.

4.6.1. Preparation of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles

An aqueous solution of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles was prepared by the method described by Frens$^{36}$ to yield particles with a diameter of 16 nm.$^{36}$ Chloroauric acid (0.01 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in UHQ water (100 ml) and heated to reflux. Sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (0.023 g, 0.15 mol) was added to the chloroauric acid solution at reflux. The system was left at reflux until there was no further colour change. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature, centrifuged (at 3500 rpm) and the supernatant retained.
4.6.2. Adsorption studies

4.6.2.1. Changing the pH of the solutions

Aqueous solutions of NaOH (1 mM) (Fisher Scientific) and HCl (1 mM) (37\%, Fisher Scientific) were prepared and used as stock solutions for the pH adjustment of the citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solutions. The pH values of the solutions were adjusted by adding minimal amounts of the stock solutions to citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solution (4 ml) with the use of a micropipette. The pH values of the solutions were monitored using a digital pH meter (IQ Scientific Instruments).

4.6.2.2. Adsorption of Au nanoparticles

The APTMS modified silicon nitride surfaces were immersed in one of the pH altered citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solutions for 2 hr and rinsed well with UHQ water and dried under a stream of $N_2(g)$.

4.6.3. Characterisation

4.6.3.1. AFM

AFM images were obtained using a MultiMode Scanning Probe Microscope (Veeco) and the images analysed using Nanoscope III v5.12r software. All AFM images were obtained by operating the AFM in non contact
mode with the use of RTESP – Tap300 Metrology Probes (Veeco) (nominal spring constant = 20 - 80 Nm$^{-1}$, nominal resonant frequency = 288 - 328 kHz).

4.6.3.2. XPS

XPS measurements were performed using a VG ESCALab 250 equipped with an Al K$_\alpha$ x-ray source (1486.68 eV) which was operated at 15 kV. Peak fitting and analysis of the data was carried out using Avantage software.
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The microcontact printing section Chapter 5 (section 5.1) has been published as part of a book chapter entitled 'Integrating nanolithography with nanoassembly using soft lithographic methods' in the book entitled 'Bottom-up nanofabrication: Supramolecules, Self-Assemblies and Organized Films' (2007) edited by K. Ariga and H. S. Nalwa and has also been published as part of the review: Diegoli et al Proc. IMechE. 221 589-629 (2007).

ABSTRACT: Bioarrays are an approach to high throughput screening of various biological species and can be used to investigate the behaviour of a large number of individual cells in a parallel fashion. This work utilises microcontact printing for the fabrication of a bioarray in order to immobilize human spermatozoa cells allowing specific sperm cells to be individually addressed. Such an array could have an impact in the field of artificial insemination.

5.1. Introduction

Nanotechnology promises great advances in medicine, as outlined in Chapter 1 (section 1.2.2.). Bioarrays are one of the methods in which much research has been carried out to advance the understanding of biomolecular interactions. Bioarrays, often referred to as 'microarrays', are patterns of specific chemical functionality that allow the specific adsorption of biological species, such as proteins or cells, in order to analyse biological interactions. The patterned adsorption of cells also enables specific cells to be addressed and
investigated. A variety of patterning methodologies have been employed for the fabrication of bioarrays such as photolithography\textsuperscript{10} and patterning using electron beams.\textsuperscript{11} Soft lithographic methods,\textsuperscript{12} for example microcontact printing, have also been utilized for bioarray fabrication.\textsuperscript{7, 13} Microcontact printing, introduced in Chapter 1 (section 1.3.2.1.ii), will now be discussed in greater detail because it is utilized in this chapter for the fabrication of a bioarray.

\textit{5.1.1. Microcontact printing (µcp)}

Microcontact printing is the formation of chemical patterns from a pre-fabricated master on a substrate via an inked stamp. There are many factors that influence the definition and feature size of patterns created by microcontact printing and these will be discussed in this section. There are several ways in which the stamp itself can distort (\textbf{Figure 44}) all of which significantly affect the transferred pattern. Roof collapse (\textbf{Figure 44b}) occurs when the distance between features (r) is too large and the height of the features (h) is relatively small. This can result in the roof of the stamp collapsing and making conformal contact with the substrate that can result in masking of the desired pattern.

\textbf{Figure 44c} shows the pairing of features that can occur due to mechanical instabilities of adjacent features with high aspect ratios (h/w), and relatively narrow roofs (r) resulting in interfacial adhesion, due to capillary forces, between such features. This feature pairing results in inaccurate replication of the
desired pattern upon printing. PDMS has been shown to trap solvent molecules\textsuperscript{14} within the polymeric network which results in swelling of the stamp (\textbf{Figure 44d}). Therefore, the swelling of the stamp results in inaccurate replication of the desired pattern.

\textbf{Figure 44.} Cartoon representations of stamp distortions in microcontact printing, a) the dimensions of the original, undistorted stamp, b) roof collapse (inset: optical microscope image from\textsuperscript{15}), c) the pairing of features (inset from\textsuperscript{16}), and d) swelling of stamp

The process of inking the stamp is a fundamental step in microcontact printing, and can also affect the lateral resolution of features formed by microcontact printing. A widely used method of inking the stamp is wet inking which is the application of the ink solution to the entire patterned surface of the stamp and subsequent drying of the stamp to remove excess ink resulting in a uniform layer of ink over the entire patterned surface\textsuperscript{17} (\textbf{Figure 45a}). This method
results in the inking of not only the areas of the stamp that will be in contact with the substrate, but also of the surfaces of the sidewalls, raised features and roofs of the pattern on the stamp. Such indiscriminate inking of the stamp can have a deleterious effect on the printed pattern as ink molecules can be transferred from the sidewalls and roofs of the stamp to the substrate via vapour phase diffusion.\textsuperscript{18}

A permanently inked stamp\textsuperscript{19} can be obtained by either using a stamp with an inkwell on the back of the stamp (Figure 45b) or by immersing the entire stamp in ink solution in order for the entire stamp to be impregnated with ink,\textsuperscript{20} and then drying it prior to stamping. Both of these methods (to achieve permanent inking) rely on ink diffusion through the bulk of the stamp. As the entire stamp is inked the roofs and sidewalls of the raised features are inked and, therefore, similar problems to wet inking apply with regard to vapour phase diffusion from roofs and sidewalls to the substrate.

\textbf{Figure 45.} Cartoon representation of three different inking methods of stamps for microcontact printing, a) Wet inking, b) permanently inked stamp, and c) contact inking.
Contact inking\textsuperscript{21} involves placing the stamp patterned side down onto an ink pad. The ink pad is a featureless block of PDMS that is immersed in ink solution then dried. This method of inking allows only the areas in contact with the pad to be inked (\textbf{Figure 45c}). As only the areas of the stamp in contact with the ink pad are inked the problems of vapour phase diffusion of ink from the side walls and roofs to the substrate are negated.

In order to minimize stamp distortions, due to mechanical instabilities associated with soft PDMS, stiff polymers and composite stamps have been the subject of much research. When stiffer materials are used they must still be soft enough to ensure conformal contact between the stamp and the substrate because, if conformal contact is not achieved, poor transfer of the ink can result.\textsuperscript{19, 22, 23} Blends of vinyl-terminated polydimethylsiloxanes with both vinylmethylsiloxane-dimethylsiloxane and methylhydrosilane-dimethylsiloxane have been investigated by Schmidt \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{23} to produce stiffer stamps. A composite stamp was made using these siloxane blends impregnated with glass beads as the materials for the patterned face of the stamp, which was supported by a layer of 'soft' PDMS attached to a glass back plate. These composite stamps were used to produce patterns with sub 100 nm lateral resolution.\textsuperscript{23} A stiff composite PDMS, referred to in the literature as 'material C', is a custom synthesized PDMS which is 4 - 5 times harder than the Sylgard 184 due to a higher cross-link density. Delamarche \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22, 24} have used material C to print 120 nm wide lines as templates for the formation of sub-micron wires.\textsuperscript{22}
5.2. Aims and objectives

The aim of the research described in this chapter is to create a bioarray in order to immobilize individual human spermatozoa cells in such a way as to enable the cells to be individually addressed. This could allow removal of genetic material from individual sperm cells or the removal of specific sperm cells for the use in artificial insemination techniques.

The bioarray 'spots' will consist of an -NH$_2$ terminated SAM which, when protonated, will present a cationic surface to the surrounding environment. The sperm head consists of fatty acids,$^{25}$ which present anionic carboxylate terminal groups. The resultant electrostatic attraction between the sperm head and the -$^+\text{NH}_3$ terminated array will result in the immobilization of the sperm cells on the bioarray (Figure 46).

![Figure 46. Interaction between a sperm cell and -NH$_2$ terminated SAM](image)

The approach to forming the array is to microcontact print 2-aminoethanethiol (AET) in order to fabricate an array of -NH$_2$ terminated dots...
(Figure 47). The patterned array of dots will allow the preferential adsorption of sperm cells to the dots using the electrostatic interaction described in Figure 46.

5.3. Results and discussion

5.3.1. Array design

A typical sperm consists of a head and tail. The head is roughly elliptical with dimension 2 µm x 1 µm and the tail 30 µm long. Thus, to surface immobilize individual sperm cells an array of circular dots (2 µm in diameter) separated by 55 µm on a square grid was formulated (Figure 48). Therefore, in principle only one head group can be attached to one dot, which negates crowding of the tails.
5.3.2. Array formation

5.3.2.1 Pattern transfer from master to stamp

A photomask, Cr on glass (purchased from Delta Mask VOF, The Netherlands) was used in combination with standard photolithographic techniques in order to create a patterned master. The master consists of holes, etched into a resist, on a Si substrate (Figure 49).
Figure 49. a) Optical micrograph of master bearing the array pattern. b) an AFM image of 4 dots of the array pattern and c) cross section of two dots (as indicated by the dotted blue line on Figure 49b)

PDMS was cast against the master (depicted in Figure 49), cured and then peeled from the master in order to fabricate the stamp. The optical system of the AFM was used to investigate the surface of the stamp and it was found that the pattern consists of a square array of circular dots 55 µm apart (Figure 50). Therefore, the array pattern was successfully transferred from the master to the stamp.
5.3.2.2. Pattern transfer from stamp to substrate

Microcontact printing of AET on Au

In order to form the desired bioarray, AET was microcontact printed onto Au substrates. However, AFM imaging (Figure 51) reveals no transfer of the array pattern from the PDMS stamp to the Au substrate, which may have been the result of AET not transferring well from the stamp to the substrate. Poor transfer of AET from the stamp to the substrate may be due to AET being such a small molecule which could diffuse into the stamp rather than remaining on the surface of the stamp. Thus, a system was investigated in which transfer is known and this is the microcontact printing of long chain alkanethiols on Au.26-28
Figure 51. AFM image of AET microcontact printed onto Au

*Microcontact printing of dodecanethiol (DDT) on Au*

*Microcontact printing of DDT followed by etching*

Microcontact printing of the array pattern was carried out by applying dodecanethiol (DDT) ink (1 µM in EtOH) to a patterned PDMS stamp by wet inking using a cotton Q-tip. The inked PDMS stamp was then brought into conformal contact with the Au substrate and left for 1 min. Again, no pattern transfer was observed. However, this may have been due to the small height contrast of the patterned DDT SAM. Therefore, the printed substrate was immersed for 7 - 8 min in an etching solution in order to develop the pattern by the removal of the areas of bare Au. The etching solution used was a cyanide etchant developed by Xia et al.\textsuperscript{29}
As can be seen in Figure 52 the array pattern still could not be seen even after immersion in the cyanide etchant. This failure of pattern transfer could be due to roof collapse of the stamp (Figure 44b) upon printing\textsuperscript{30} given the large aspect ratio of the gap in between features compared to the feature size. The feature sizes are 2 µm diameter circular dots that are 55 µm apart.

![Figure 52. Optical micrograph of Au substrate after microcontact printing of the array pattern](image)

'Submerged' microcontact printing

Bessueille \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{15} have shown that the problem of roof collapse can be overcome by performing the microcontact printing operation under water. This variation of the basic microcontact printing technique is known as 'submerged' microcontact printing (sµCP)\textsuperscript{15} and overcomes the problem of roof collapse of the PDMS stamp due to the presence of an incompressible liquid (Figure 53). It has been shown that PDMS stamps displaying features with aspect ratios (r/w) up to 100:1 have been successfully used for microcontact printing.\textsuperscript{15}
The array pattern was printed onto Au substrates, again using DDT as ink and a contact time of 1 min with the printing operation performed under ~1 cm depth of UHQ H$_2$O. After subsequent etching, using a cyanide etch,$^{29}$ the array pattern was shown to be successfully transferred from the stamp to the Au substrate (Figure 54).

**Figure 53.** Cartoon of a) a PDMS stamp undergoing roof collapse during b) a ‘conventional’ microcontact printing process whilst being stable during c) a ‘submerged’ microcontact printing process.
Figure 54. a) Optical micrograph of the array pattern successfully transferred using submerged microcontact printing. b) AFM image of 4 dots in the array and c) cross section of 2 dots (as indicated by dotted blue line on Figure 54b)

5.3.3. The functionalization of Au islands

The sequential use of submerged microcontact printing and etching has been shown to fabricate an array of Au islands passivated with DDT SAMs (Figure 54). The removal/exchange of the DDT SAM could allow the modification of the resultant (unpassivated) Au islands to be modified with alkanethiol SAMs by self-assembly from the solution phase. Thus, such a methodology (Figure 55)
was investigated in order to form the bioarray for the patterned immobilization of sperm cells.

**Figure 55.** Schematic outline of the methodology for bioarray fabrication using Au islands.

5.3.3.1. Removal of DDT SAMs and AET functionalization of Au substrates

XPS analysis was used to investigate the removal of unpatterned DDT SAMs from Au substrates. These experiments were carried out by immersing clean Au/glass substrates into DDT solution (1 µM in EtOH) for 24 hr. After immersion the DDT SAMs were immersed in piranha solution, which was left to cool to room temperature after preparation, for 10 min. After removal from
piranha solution the substrates were rinsed well with UHQ H$_2$O then immersed in AET solution (1 µM in EtOH) for 24 hr.

XPS spectra indicate the removal of DDT from the Au/glass substrate upon immersion in piranha solution due to a decrease in the counts of both the doublet at ~159 eV and ~157 eV, in the S2p spectra (comparing Figure 56a(i) and Figure 56a(ii)), and the peak, at ~281 eV, in the C1s spectra (Figure 56b(i) and Figure 56b(ii)).

Upon immersion of substrates, from which DDT SAMs were removed, into AET solution the doublet peak at ~159 eV and ~157 eV reappears (Figure 56a) indicating the adsorption of a species containing S on the surface. The peak at ~280 eV in the C1s spectrum (Figure 56b) indicates the increase of the amount of C on the surface. The appearance of a peak at ~397 eV in the N1s spectrum (Figure 57(ii)) indicates the presence of a species containing N adsorbed on the surface. The presence of both groups containing S and N on the surface, coupled with an increase in the C1s peak, indicates the successful adsorption of AET on the substrate indicating successful functionalization of the Au substrate after removal of a DDT SAM using piranha solution.
Figure 56. a) S2p XPS spectra and b) C1s XPS spectra of DDT SAM, DDT SAM after immersion in piranha solution and Au substrates (after removal of DDT SAM) in AET solution.
5.3.3.2. Stability of Au islands

The submerged microcontact printing and etching process, described in section 5.3.2.2., was carried out and the pattern subsequently immersed in piranha solution at room temperature for 10 min. This process was carried out in order to investigate the stability of the Au islands in piranha solution which needed to be assessed in order to facilitate the subsequent functionalization of the islands with alkanethiols. Optical microscopy and AFM images were obtained of Au islands, passivated with DDT, before and after immersion in piranha solution (Figure 58). It can be seen that the Au islands are present both before and after immersion in piranha solution and this shows that the Au islands are stable in piranha solution. Thus, this observation allows the possible further functionalization of the Au islands with alkanethiols.
Figure 58. a) Optical and b) AFM images of DDT passivated Au islands before immersion in piranha solution. c) Optical and d) AFM images of Au islands after immersion in piranha solution

5.3.4. Characterisation of amino terminated SAMs on Au

In order to assess the stability of the sperm cells to -NH$_2$ terminated surfaces experiments were first carried out on non-patterned surfaces. Two -NH$_2$ terminated thiolated surfactants were investigated for their use as immobilization platforms for sperm adsorption. These surfactants were 2-aminoethanethiol (AET) (Figure 59a) and the polypeptide CL$_3$K$_4$ (Figure 59b).
The polypeptide consists of a cysteine residue, three leucine residue and four lysine residues ($\text{CL}_3\text{K}_4$). The rationale behind using this polypeptide was to terminate the molecule with a cysteine residue. Thus, providing a -SH group to facilitate binding to the Au substrate. The lysine residues provide -NH$_2$ groups to facilitate immobilization of the sperm cells to the modified surfaces. The leucine backbone provides a hydrocarbon chain to increase the van der Waals intermolecular interactions between adjacent polypeptide molecules on the surface,\textsuperscript{31} thus encouraging the formation of a dense SAM.
5.3.4.1. AET

Contact angle data shows that Au substrates, immersed in AET solution (1 µM in EtOH), become significantly more hydrophilic after an immersion time of 1200 min (Figure 60). This is due to the AET molecules displacing volatile organic compounds, which adsorb to the Au upon exposure of the clean substrate to air and replace them, forming a much more hydrophilic -NH$_2$ terminated surface. By comparing the contact angle data with the literature value of AET SAMs on Au, which is of the range 20 - 40 °, it can be seen that complete AET SAM formation occurs after 1200 min immersion.

![Figure 60. Contact angle analysis of immersion of Au substrates immersed in AET solution (1 µM in EtOH)]](image_url)
Ellipsometry

Ellipsometric data is not presented for AET because the error for each individual measurement was greater than ellipsometric thickness obtained for each reading. Therefore, the ellipsometric measurements were unreliable. The fact that unreliable ellipsometric data were obtained could be due to the fact that AET is a very short molecule (~0.4 nm) meaning that is could be 'masked' by the roughness of the underlying Au substrate (~1.8 nm).

XPS

XPS was used to track the N/Au ratio of Au surfaces immersed in AET solution (1 µM in EtOH) (Figure 61) and it can be seen that the N/Au ratio increases as a function of immersion time. Figure 61 indicates that the highest density of AET coverage of the substrate occurs after 1200 min immersion of the Au substrate in AET solution, which is consistent with the contact angle data (Figure 60).
In summary, the contact angle of Au substrates after immersion for 1200 mins \((23.3 \, ^\circ \pm 1.7 \, ^\circ)\) in ethanolic AET solution (1 \, \mu M) is comparable to that in the literature \((20 - 40 \, ^\circ)\)\(^{32}\) and the N/Au ratio, as determined by XPS, appears to level out at 1200 mins. These results indicate formation of AET SAMs on Au after 1200 min immersion in ethanolic AET solution (1 \, \mu M). However, it was not possible to obtain ellipsometric data for this system given that the AET molecule is shorter than the RMS roughness of the underlying Au. Therefore, it is not possible to determine whether monomolecular coverage of AET on Au substrates has been obtained.
5.3.4.2. CL$_3$K$_4$

Contact angle

Contact angle data of Au substrates immersed in CL$_3$K$_4$ solutions (1 µM in EtOH) for various immersion times (Figure 62) shows that the contact angle increases to an advancing angle of $102.3 \pm 1.1$ ° after an immersion time of 1200 min. The literature value for the contact angle of poly-l-lysine, supported on a metallic substrate, varies from $51 - 72$ °. Therefore, a contact angle of $102.3 \pm 1.1$ ° of the CL$_3$K$_4$ modified Au substrate indicates a significantly higher contact angle than that reported in the literature. Such an observation may be due to the exposure of hydrophobic leucine groups at the surface, rather than hydrophilic lysine groups.

Figure 62. Contact angle analysis of Au substrates after immersion in CL$_3$K$_4$ solution (1 µM in EtOH)
Ellipsometry

The ellipsometric thicknesses of CL$_3$K$_4$ modified Au substrates was measured for different immersion times of Au substrates immersed in CL$_3$K$_4$ solution (1 µM in EtOH) as shown in Figure 63. It can be seen that the ellipsometric thickness increases for immersion times up to 1200 min (Figure 63). The length of a fully extended CL$_3$K$_4$ molecule is ~2.7 nm from the S atom to the N atom of the -NH$_2$ group furthest from the S atom. Therefore, after 1200 min immersion the ellipsometric thickness is significantly less than that of a fully extended CL$_3$K$_4$ molecule. Thus, this thickness measurement indicates that either i) the CL$_3$K$_4$ molecules on the Au surface are not fully elongated, ii) the Au substrate is not fully covered by CL$_3$K$_4$ molecules or iii) the immobilized CL$_3$K$_4$ molecules are tilted.

Figure 63. Graph showing the ellipsometric thickness of CL$_3$K$_4$ SAMs after immersion of Au substrates in CL$_3$K$_4$ solutions (1 µM in EtOH) for various immersion times
XPS spectra confirm that C, N, O and S are present on the Au substrate after an immersion time of 1200 min.

Figure 64. XPS survey spectrum of Au substrate immersed in CL₃K₄ solution (1µM in EtOH) for 1200 min

In summary, the contact angle of Au substrates immersed in ethanolic CL₃K₄ solution (1µM) for 1200 min is $102.3 \pm 1.1 ^\circ$ with an ellipsometric thickness of $1.9 \pm 0.3$ nm. XPS analysis of Au substrates immersed in ethanolic CL₃K₄ solution for 1200 min confirms the presence of sulphur which indicates the attachment of CL₃K₄ to the Au substrate. A combination of a high contact angle and a low ellipsometric thickness, in comparison to a fully extended CL₃K₄ molecule, suggests that the CL₃K₄ molecules on the surface may be bent, thus exposing hydrophobic leucine groups to the surrounding environment.
5.3.4.3. Sperm adsorption experiments on unpatterned SAMs

Sperm adsorption experiments were carried out on unpatterned SAMs of either AET or Cl$_3$K$_4$ by placing the modified Au/glass substrate in a flow cell (Figure 65a and b). Earle's balanced salt solution (EBSS), containing sperm cells, was injected into the flow cell and the flow cell was then incubated at 37 °C for 25 min under a 5 % CO$_2$ atmosphere (Figure 65c). The flow cell was then placed under an optical microscope (Figure 65a) and EBSS was pumped through the flow cell in order to remove unattached sperm cells from the SAM (Figure 65d).

Figure 65. a) Photograph showing the setup of the flow cell and microscope and cartoon representation of sperm adsorption experiments. b) SAM placed in flow cell, c) sperm injected into flow cell and incubated, d) flow cell flushed with EBSS.
Immobilization of sperm cells on Au substrates modified with either AET or $\text{CL}_3\text{K}_4$ was investigated by placing the substrates in a flow cell containing sperm cells. EBS solution was then passed through the flow cell in order to wash off any sperm that were unattached to the substrate. This method is described in section 5.6.3. and optical microscope images from these experiments are shown in Figure 66. Sperm adsorption experiments were also performed on bare Au substrates and optical microscope images of these can be seen in Appendix C.

Figure 66. Optical images showing the degree of sperm adhesion on Au substrates modified by immersion in solutions of a) AET, b) $\text{CL}_3\text{K}_4$ and, c) bare Au after flowing EBS through the flow cell.
Sperm adsorption studies (Figure 66) indicate that there is little, or no, sperm adsorption to either AET or CL$_3$K$_4$ SAMs. These results show that neither AET nor CL$_3$K$_4$ can be used to chemically functionalise the Au islands (see Figure 55) in order to create a functional bioarray for the immobilization of sperm cells. Therefore, a different methodology to forming the array was utilised by using poly-l-lysine and the results are described in the next section.

5.3.5. Pattern formation using poly-l-lysine

Poly-l-lysine has previously been used to facilitate sperm adsorption. Therefore, the submerged microcontact printing of poly-l-lysine onto glass microscope slides was investigated as a method of fabricating the bioarrays in order to facilitate the sperm array (Figure 67). The submerged microcontact printing of poly-l-lysine was performed under heptane due to poly-l-lysine being soluble in H$_2$O.

Figure 67. Microcontact printing of poly-l-lysine for formation of bioarray
Sperm adsorption experiments (Figure 65) were performed on both bare glass slides and on unpatterned poly-l-lysine modified glass slides (Figure 68). The experiment show that the sperm adsorption to bare glass slides (Figure 68a and b) is poor due to most of the sperm cells removed upon washing EBS through the flow cell. Sperm adsorption experiments were also performed on glass slides modified by applying drops of poly-l-lysine solution to the glass slide and allowing it to air dry. These experiments show that the glass slides modified with, poly-l-lysine, exhibit a greater capacity to immobilise sperm cells than both bare glass slides (Figure 68a and b) and the two thiols studied in this chapter (Figure 66). Videos taken from these experiments can be found on the CD attached to this thesis (see Appendix C1).

![Figure 68. Optical microscope images of sperm adsorption experiments performed on bare glass substrates, a) and b), and glass substrates modified with poly-l-lysine (unpatterned), c) and d) before and after washing with EBS](image)
Optical microscope images of sperm adsorption experiments carried out on glass slides after the submerged microcontact printing of poly-l-lysine are shown in Figure 69. The PDMS stamp used for the submerged microcontact printing was peeled from a silicon master exhibiting an array pattern of 2 µm diameter dots which are 55 µm apart (see Figure 49).

Figure 69 suggests that the array pattern may have been successfully transferred to the glass slide given that the 'square' array of sperm cells (as indicated by the red square in Figure 69a) is still evident after washing the surface with either 1.5 ml (Figure 69b) or 4 ml (Figure 69c) of EBSS. Individual
sperm cells can be seen rotating around a single spot on the surface as if tethered to a single point which could be a poly-l-lysine dot. This observation can be seen in the file ‘Movie 3 (PLLdots).avi’ located on the CD attached to this thesis. However, the microcontact printing of poly-l-lysine does result in a surface which facilitates the non-specific binding of discrete sperm cells (Figure 69). This observation may be due to the sperm cells attaching to secondary species, such as antibodies, which may be present in the donated sperm sample. Such secondary species may attach to the unpatterned areas of the glass slide and mask the printed array pattern. The microcontact printing poly-l-lysine surface (Figure 69) also shows much better sperm immobilisation than glass slides modified with unpatterned poly-l-lysine (Figure 68c and d). This observation may indicate that the unpatterned poly-l-lysine glass slide, prepared by simply dropping poly-l-lysine onto the surface and allowing it to dry, may not be the ideal control to use for this system. A better control would have been to use a featureless PDMS stamp to print an unpatterned surface of poly-l-lysine onto the glass slide.

5.4. Conclusions

The aim of the work presented in this chapter was to form a bioarray on a Au coated microscope slide via the microcontact printing of an array pattern of AET onto a Au glass substrate. No AET pattern transfer of the array pattern from the PDMS stamp could be seen on the Au/glass substrate by AFM imaging (Figure 51). Therefore, the pattern transfer was investigated by microcontact
printing DDT onto Au/glass substrates, a well studied system. AFM indicated no pattern transfer of the array pattern using DDT. Therefore, the Au/glass substrate, on which the pattern was printed, was immersed in a cyanide etchant, in order to remove the unprotected Au, and still no pattern could be seen by AFM (Figure 52). This observation was shown to be due to roof collapse of the stamp due to the pattern being visible by AFM (Figure 54) after using submerged microcontact printing of DDT on the Au/glass substrate and subsequent etching of the substrate to develop the pattern. The formation of DDT passivated Au 'islands', formed by printing the array pattern using DDT and then subsequent etching, then opened up the possibility of an alternative methodology for fabricating the bioarray. This alternative approach was to form the DDT passivated Au islands and then remove the DDT passivating layer, from the islands, and forming AET SAMs on the exposed Au (Figure 55). This method was shown to be viable by XPS analysis confirming the removal of unpatterned SAMs of DDT formed on Au/glass substrates (Figure 56) upon immersion of DDT SAMs in piranha solution. XPS was also used to confirm the subsequent adsorption of AET on the Au surface exposed by the removal of DDT SAMs (Figure 57). The Au islands were also been shown to be stable upon their immersion in piranha solution (Figure 58). Therefore, such a methodology looked promising for the construction of a bioarray by forming AET SAMs on unpassivated Au islands.
SAMs of both AET and $\text{CL}_3K_4$ (a polypeptide) were characterised by contact angle, XPS and, in the case of $\text{CL}_3K_4$, ellipsometry. The characterisation confirmed the formation of SAMs of both AET and $\text{CL}_3K_4$ on Au surfaces after immersion of Au substrates in solution of the respective thiol for 20 hr. However, sperm adsorption experiments, performed on SAMs of both AET and $\text{CL}_3K_4$, showed that neither of these SAMs were suitable to immobilise sperm cells (Figure 66). Therefore, neither AET nor $\text{CL}_3K_4$ are suitable for the passivation of Au islands in order to form the bioarray for sperm immobilization.

Therefore, poly-l-lysine was used to modify glass substrates in order to form the bioarray. Sperm adsorption experiments showed that unpatterned poly-l-lysine modified glass substrates immobilized sperm cells (Figure 68). Poly-l-lysine was patterned onto glass substrates using submerged microcontact printing and subsequent sperm adsorption experiments indicated that the array pattern was successfully transferred to the glass substrate. The sperm adsorption experiments showed areas of sperm cells which replicated the array pattern (Figure 69). However, non-specific adsorption of the sperm cells to the glass substrate masked the pattern. The work presented in this chapter has shown that the microcontact printing of poly-l-lysine on glass microscope slides can be used to fabricate a surface to which sperm cells can be immobilised in such a way that individual sperm cells can be individually addressed which could have applications in the area of artificial insemination.
5.5. Future work

The work carried presented in this chapter has shown that Au islands, defined by the submerged microcontact printing of DDT on Au/glass substrates and subsequent etching, are stable to the removal of the DDT passivant upon immersion in piranha solution. Therefore, the immersion of these islands in a thiol solution could provide an interesting route in to fabricate Au islands of a desired functionality using thiols with specifically functionalised head groups. This approach could provide templates for further bottom-up nanofabrication methodologies.

This work has shown the ability to capture individual sperm cells with the use of a bioarray. The next step will be to successfully release the desired sperm cell from the array without damaging it. One approach might be to briefly, and locally, change the pH of the environment surrounding the desired cell which would cause the protonated -NH$_3^+$ surface, immobilising the sperm cell, to deprotonate thus, releasing the sperm cell.

5.6. Experimental

All chemicals were obtained from Aldrich unless stated.
5.6.1. SAMs

5.6.1.1. Preparation of Au substrates

Glass coverslips (22 x 50 mm, BDH - Cat. No: 406/0188/44) were cleaned by immersion in piranha solution (70 % H$_2$SO$_4$ (98 %):30 % H$_2$O$_2$ (30 %)) for 30 min at room temperature. The coverslips were then removed from the piranha solution then rinsed well with UHQ H$_2$O (resistivity = 18 MΩcm) and stored in UHQ H$_2$O until use.

A thermal evaporator (Edwards Auto 306) was used to evaporate Cr, ~ 5 nm thickness onto clean glass coverslips (22 x 50 mm, BDH - Cat. No: 406/0188/44) in order to facilitate subsequent Au adhesion to the glass. Au was subsequently evaporated onto the Cr layer. The thickness of the Au layer was either ~20 nm thick, in order to allow light to pass through the film for optical microscopy for sperm adsorption experiments, or ~100 nm, in order to fabricate films reflective to light in the wavelength range 280 - 800 nm allowing ellipsometric measurements to be performed.

5.6.1.2. Cleaning of Au substrates

Au substrates were immersed in piranha solution (70 % H$_2$SO$_4$ (98 %, Fisher Scientific):30 % H$_2$O$_2$ (30 %, Fisher Scientific) for 10 min at room
temperature. The samples were then rinsed with UHQ water and EtOH (Fisher Scientific, HPLC grade) and used ‘wet’, for immersion in surfactant solution, or dried under a stream of \( \text{N}_2(\text{g}) \), for microcontact printing.

5.6.1.3. Preparation of surfactant solutions

Surfactant solutions were prepared by diluting the respective surfactant in EtOH (HPLC grade) in a 250 ml Duran flask and swirled by hand before immersion of the flask in a sonic bath for 10 min. The solutions were stored at ambient temperature in the Duran flask until use.

5.6.1.4. Preparation of SAMs

SAMs of thiols on Au were prepared by immersing a clean Au substrate in a specified surfactant solution (~25 ml) in a glass Petri dish which was then covered and left for the desired immersion time. After removal from the solution the substrate was rinsed in EtOH (~100 ml, HPLC grade) and dried under a stream of \( \text{N}_2(\text{g}) \). 2-aminoethanethiol (AET) was used as received and \( \text{CL}_3\text{K}_4 \) (Alta Bioscience) was purified by a colleague using preparative RP-HPLC (Phenomenex), C\(_{18}\) with 250 mm × 21.2 mm ID and 10 \( \mu \text{m} \) pore size. Crude \( \text{CL}_3\text{K}_4 \) (20 mg) was dissolved with 700 \( \mu \text{l} \) water and injected onto a column using MeCN/H\(_2\text{O} \) (in a ratio of 3:7) plus 0.05% TFA as an elution solvent at a flow rate of 10 ml/min. Pure \( \text{CL}_3\text{K}_4 \) was obtained after a retention time of 4.9 min.
5.6.1.5. Removal of DDT SAMs from Au substrates

DDT SAMs were removed from Au substrates by the immersion of DDT SAMs, formed on Au/glass substrates, in piranha solution (70 % H₂SO₄ (98 %, Fisher Scientific): 30 % H₂O₂ (30 %, Fisher Scientific) for 10 min at room temperature. The Au/glass substrates were then rinsed with UHQ water and dried under a stream of N₂(g).

5.6.1.6. Preparation of glass slides coated with poly-l-lysine

Glass microscope slides were cleaned by rinsing with acetone and then immersed in EtOH in a sonic bath for 10 min. The slide was then dried using N₂(g) and then poly-l-lysine solution (~4 ml, 0.01 wt%) was applied to the slide in order as to just cover the surface. The slide was then allowed to dry under ambient laboratory conditions.

5.6.2. Microcontact printing

5.6.2.1. Stamp preparation

A photolithographically defined master, of a resist on a Si wafer, was cleaned by rinsing with copious amount of UHQ water then drying under a stream of N₂(g).
Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer (Dow Corning) was prepared by vigorously stirring, by hand, Sylgard 184 parts A and B (in a 10:1 ratio) with a wooden stirring stick in a plastic cup for 10 min. The liquid polymer was poured over the clean master in a plastic Petri dish and left to stand under ambient conditions for 90 min in order for the liquid polymer to degas. The Petri dish, containing the master and liquid polymer mixture, was then put into an oven and cured at 65 °C for 90 min. The cured polymer was then peeled away from the master and trimmed, using a scalpel, to form stamps of about 1 cm x 1 cm in size. The stamp was then cleaned by rinsing with EtOH (~100 ml, HPLC grade), heptane (~100 ml, HPLC grade) then, again, with EtOH (~100 ml, HPLC grade) and dried under a stream of \( N_2(g) \).

5.6.2.2. Printing - 'conventional' microcontact printing

A clean silicone stamp, fabricated as described in section 3.6.2.1., was inked by soaking a cotton bud (or Q-tip) in a surfactant ink solution then brushed over the patterned surface of the stamp several times. The stamp was then dried under a stream of \( N_2(g) \) and placed, inked side down, on a clean Au substrate, cleaned as described in section 3.6.1.2. Light hand pressure was used to ensure that the stamp made conformal contact with the substrate. After the desired printing time, the inked stamp was then removed from the Au substrate which was then rinsed with EtOH (~100 ml, HPLC grade) then dried under a stream of \( N_2(g) \).
5.6.2.3. Printing - 'submerged' µcp

The protocol for submerged printing technique was the same as that described in section 5.6.2.2. for 'conventional' µcp, with the exception that the clean Au substrate was placed in a glass Petri dish containing enough UHQ water to completely submerge the stamp when on top of the substrate. The inked stamp was then placed on the submerged Au substrate using light hand pressure to ensure conformal contact between the stamp and the Au substrate. The stamp was left in contact with the substrate for the desired printing time before being removed from the substrate which was then rinsed with EtOH (~100 ml, HPLC grade) and dried under a stream of N\textsubscript{2}(g). For the submerged contact printing of poly-l-lysine arrays heptane, rather than UHQ H\textsubscript{2}O, as a printing medium due to the poly-l-lysine being soluble in H\textsubscript{2}O.

5.6.2.4. Etching of microcontact printed pattern

The cyanide etchant, as described in reference\textsuperscript{29}, consisted of KOH (5.6 g, 1.0 M, Fisher Scientific), K\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (1.9 g, 0.1 M), K\textsubscript{3}Fe\textsuperscript{III}(CN)\textsubscript{6} (0.34 g, 10 mM) and K\textsubscript{4}Fe\textsuperscript{IV}(CN)\textsubscript{6} (0.038 g, 1 mM) which were weighed out into glass vials and transferred to a 400 ml glass crystallization basin and the vials rinsed with 100 ml UHQ H\textsubscript{2}O (resistivity = 18 M\textOmega cm) and the washing placed in the crystallization basin. The solution was then placed in a sonic bath and sonicated for 20 min to ensure complete mixing of the components. The Au substrates were then placed
in the etchant about 8 min with the basin being swirled by hand approximately every 2 min. The substrates were then rinsed with UHQ H$_2$O and blown dry under a stream of N$_2$(g).

5.6.3. Sperm adhesion experiments

Au substrates, modified with a given surfactant solution, were placed in a flow cell and incubated in a suspension of sperm cells in Earle’s balanced salt (EBS) solution at 37 °C for 20 - 25 min in a 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere. Earle’s balanced salt solution is made up of NaCl (116.4 mM), KCl (5.4 mM), CaCl$_2$ (1.8 mM), MgCl$_2$ (1 mM), glucose (5.5 mM), NaHCO$_3$ (25 mm), Na pyruvate (2.5 mM), Na lactate (19 mm), MgSO$_4$ (0.81 mM); also BSA at 0.3 % w/v. pH: 7.4. Each flow cell was connected to syringe filled with EBS soltuion, and EBS solution was then pumped through the cell at about 0.5 ml.min$^{-1}$. This was used to move the sperm cells which were not bound to the surface of the slides. The flow cell experiments were conducted at a temperature of 26 - 27 °C and at a relative humidity at 44 - 46 %.
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CHAPTER 6

Formation of fibres from aqueous PEO/Au nanoparticle composite solutions via electrospinning
CHAPTER 6

Formation of sub-micron fibres from aqueous PEO/Au nanoparticle composite solutions via electrospinning

The work presented in Chapter 6 has been published in the following research article: Hamlett et al. *Tetrahedron* **64** 8476-8483 (2008).

**ABSTRACT:** Electrospinning is a method used for the formation of polymeric fibres and relies on repulsive electrostatic forces on the surfaces of a polymeric solution held in a metallic syringe (spinneret). The use of polymeric solutions containing nanoparticles is an interesting route to the fabrication of composite nanofibres. This work investigates the electrospinning of composite sub-micron fibres from aqueous solutions of polyethylene oxide (PEO) containing citrate passivated Au nanoparticles. The organisation of such composite nanofibres could provide templates for subsequent self-assembled nanostructures.

6.1. Introduction

Electrospinning is a method of fabricating fibres from a wide range of polymeric solutions\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^5\) and relies on the electrostatic repulsion of charges on the surface of the electrospinning solution. The first patent concerning electrospinning was filed in 1934 by Anton Formhals.\(^6\) *Figure 70a* represents the basic setup of an electrospinning procedure and shows a metallic syringe (spinneret) in electrical contact with a collecting plate. The spinneret is filled with
a solution of the desired polymer, from which the fibres will be fabricated. Upon application of a direct electrical current (Figure 70b) the surface of the polymeric solution, exposed to the atmosphere, becomes positively charged. It is the repulsion of the positive charges that imposes a stretching force on the polymeric solution and results in an increase of the area of the interface between the exposed polymeric solution and the atmosphere (Figure 70c). When the repulsive electrostatic forces overcome the surface tension of the polymeric solution, jetting of the polymeric solution occurs (Figure 70d). Instabilities cause the jet (Figure 70e) to whip and 'spin'\textsuperscript{7-9} which further expands the interface between the polymeric solution and the atmosphere. Stretching of the polymer solution results in both the thinning of the fibres and evaporation of the solvent from the polymeric solution. The polymer fibres are then deposited onto a collecting plate (Figure 70d).
Figure 70. Schematic representation of the electrospinning process showing a) the basic setup of an electrospinning process, b) application of an electric current and resultant charging of solution/air interface, c) increase of surface area of solution/air interface and d) jetting of the polymeric solution. Figure e) shows a high-speed camera image of the jet formed during an electrospinning process.8

Electrospinning is not exclusively used to form fibres from polymeric solutions. Composites10-13 and melts14 have also been used for electrospinning. Polymeric composite fibres have been fabricated by the electrospinning of polymeric solutions containing a wide variety of inclusions such as nanoparticles12 and nanotubes.10, 11, 13 The formation of composite nanofibres has been studied with a view for applications such as catalysis,15, 16 electronics17, 18 and scaffolds for tissue engineering19-21.
One of the most important properties of the electrospinning solution affecting the morphology of the electrospun fibres is its viscosity. Viscosity affects the manner by which the jet is stretched by the repulsive forces induced by the applied current. Lower viscosities provide less resistance to stretching forces than solutions exhibiting higher viscosity, with solutions of lower viscosity allowing finer fibres to be electrospun.\textsuperscript{22} Entanglement of the polymeric chains is the major influence on the viscosity of polymeric solutions. Chain entanglement is primarily determined by molecular weight, branching and the concentration of the polymeric solution. Temperature is another factor that affects the viscosity of the solution with high temperatures acting as to lower the viscosity of polymeric solutions.\textsuperscript{23}

The conductivity of the solution influences the amount of charge that can be sustained on the surface. Solutions of higher conductivity result in more charges on the surface of the solution, and therefore increases the repulsive forces which, upon jetting, leads to the formation of smoother, finer fibres.\textsuperscript{24} The applied voltage dictates the charging of the surface of the electrospinning solution with higher applied voltages resulting in greater charging, hence greater repulsive forces.\textsuperscript{25, 26} Thus, finer, straighter wires result upon increasing the applied voltage.\textsuperscript{25, 26} The feed rate of the polymer solution through the spinneret also influences the final fibres, with higher feed rates resulting in thicker fibres simply due to more material being drawn through the tip of the spinneret.\textsuperscript{24}
The distance between the tip and collector affects both the length of time between the jet leaving the tip and contacting the collecting plate and the electric field strength. By decreasing the distance between the tip and collecting plate the time available for the solvent to evaporate from the solution decreases, thus thicker fibres, or even meshes, result due to more solvent being retained by the polymeric solution. The electric field strength decreases upon increasing the distance between the tip and collecting plate which leads to less of a stretching force upon the polymeric solution, thus thicker fibres can occur as a result of increasing this distance. Therefore, increasing the tip and collecting plate distance either results in finer fibres, due to more time being available for evaporation of the solvent, or thicker fibres, due to lower electric field strength. Therefore, the optimal distance between the tip and collecting plate differs from system to system.

### 6.2. Aims and objectives

The aim of the research described in this chapter is to characterise the morphology of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of PEO at different concentrations, both with and without the inclusion of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles. Optical micrographs and AFM will be used to characterise the morphology of the fibres, and TEM will be used to determine the arrangement of Au nanoparticles within the electrospun fibres. DSC will also be used to investigate the crystallinity of the fibres. The inclusion of Au nanoparticles within
the fibres, coupled with the subsequent removal of the PEO matrix after electrospinning, could allow the formation of Au patterns. Such Au patterns could then be used as templates for the formation of alkanethiol SAMs.

6.3. Results and Discussion

6.3.1. Characterization of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles

Citrate passivated Au nanoparticles were synthesised using the Frens method,\textsuperscript{27} described in section 6.6.1.1., and were characterised by UV-vis spectroscopy and TEM. In order to obtain a sufficient volume of Au nanoparticle solution, from which to make four separate batches of PEO solutions, 100 ml of nanoparticle solution was required. However, when 100 ml of solution was made in a single synthesis the resultant nanoparticle solution was blue in colour, an indication of aggregation of Au nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{28} Therefore, in order to obtain 100 ml of Au nanoparticle solution, the nanoparticles were synthesized in two batches of 50 ml and then subsequently combined. This method allowed the use of identical solutions of Au nanoparticles for all batches of PEO/Au nanoparticle solution. The UV-vis spectra of the citrate passivated Au nanoparticles before and after mixing the two batches of solution is shown in Figure 71. The maximum absorbance of the nanoparticle solution, at 524 nm wavelength, is consistent with that in the literature for a solution of discrete citrate passivated Au nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{29}
Figure 71. UV-vis spectra of the two separate batches of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles and of a sample when the two batches are mixed.

TEM images were obtained of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles by placing a drop of the aqueous nanoparticle solution on TEM grids and allowing them to air dry under ambient conditions. A histogram showing the size distribution of the citrate passivated Au nanoparticles (Figure 72a) was obtained from the TEM images (an example of which is shown in Figure 72b).
The citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solution contains nanoparticles of 7.8 nm ± 0.1 nm in diameter (Figure 72a and b). Knowledge of the volume of the nanoparticle solution, and the size of both the TEM images and the TEM grid, allow a nanoparticle concentration of $1.2 \times 10^9$ particles.ml$^{-1}$ to be calculated. The calculation for this approximate nanoparticle concentration is shown in Appendix D1.

Figure 72. a) Histogram showing the size distribution of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles and b) TEM image of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles.
PEO solutions, of varying concentrations, were prepared by the vigorous stirring of PEO with either UHQ H\textsubscript{2}O or aqueous dispersions of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles (see section 6.6.1.2.). The viscosities of the solutions (Table 4) were measured using a rotary viscosity meter. The viscosity, as expected, increases as the PEO concentration of the solutions is increased. The PEO solutions were then electrospun onto glass collecting plates. The resultant fibres were then characterised by optical microscopy, AFM, TEM and DSC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt% PEO</th>
<th>Viscosity (mPa.s)</th>
<th>Aqueous PEO solution</th>
<th>Aqueous PEO/Au nanoparticle solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td></td>
<td>1894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5132</td>
<td></td>
<td>4966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7328</td>
<td></td>
<td>7210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10230</td>
<td></td>
<td>9534</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3.2.1. Fibre morphology

Fibres were electrospun from aqueous PEO solutions onto glass collecting plates. The fibres consisted of three predominant structures, straight fibres and fibres with either beaded (Figure 73a and b) or branched (Figure 73c and d) morphologies were observed.
Branched fibres (Figure 73a and b and Figure 74a-d) form when localised fluctuations of charge density (Figure 74b), on a primary jet, result in the repulsive forces of the surface charges overcoming the surface tension of the jet. As a result of the localised repulsive force of the surface charges (Figure 74b) overcoming the local surface tension of the solution, the primary jet can either split (Figure 74c) or emit a smaller, secondary jet (Figure 74d) resulting in fibre morphologies depicted in Figure 73a and Figure 73b respectively.
Beaded fibres (Figure 73c and Figure 74e-g) form as a result of the surface tension (Figure 74f) of the electrospinning jet causing beads favouring the formation of spherical structures (Figure 74g) in order to reduce the surface area of the polymer solution. However, unlike fibres which consist of many beads (straight) fibres were found protruding from a single, large bead (Figure 73d and Figure 74h-k). Such structures are due to the surface tension forces causing large spherical beads to form at the tip of the spinneret, (Figure 74i) which subsequently detach from the needle tip and deposit on the collecting plate. When the repulsive force, of the surface charges on the surface of the bead, overcome the surface tension forces jetting occurs from the large beads. This jetting either occurs during the dwell time for the bead on the needle tip (Figure 74j) or during its travel from the needle tip to the collecting plate (Figure 74k) after its detachment from the spinneret.
6.3.2.2. Fibre dimensions

Optical microscopy and AFM were used to measure the widths of fibres electrospun from 2 wt%, 3 wt%, 4 wt% and 5 wt% aqueous solutions of PEO and PEO/Au nanoparticle composite. Histograms of the distribution of fibre widths are shown separately for fibre widths determined by either optical microscopy or AFM in Figure 75 (2 wt% PEO), Figure 76 (3 wt% PEO), Figure 77 (4 wt% PEO) and Figure 78 (5 wt% PEO). The optical micrographs and AFM images, recorded for the histograms concerning the width ranges 0 - 200+ µm and 0 - 2 µm respectively, are shown in appendix D2.
Figure 75. Histograms showing the widths of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 2 wt% PEO and b) 2 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticle solutions (Inserts in both histograms show the fibre width distribution of sub 2 µm fibres).
Figure 76. Histograms showing the widths of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 3 wt% PEO and b) 3 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticle solutions (Inserts in both histograms show the fibre width distribution of sub 2 µm fibres).
Figure 77. Histograms showing the widths of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 4 wt% PEO and b) 4 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticle solutions (Inserts in both histograms show the fibre width distribution of sub 2 µm fibres).
Figure 78. Histograms showing the widths of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 5 wt% PEO and b) 5 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticle solutions (Inserts in both histograms show the fibre width distribution of sub 2 µm fibres).
The histograms obtained from optical micrographs (Figure 75a, Figure 76a, Figure 77a and Figure 78a) exhibit a bimodal distribution for fibres electrospun from aqueous PEO solutions. Upon the inclusion of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles in the PEO solution the distribution changes from bimodal to a unimodal distribution (Figure 75b, Figure 76b, Figure 77b and Figure 78b). The histograms obtained from AFM images for both aqueous solutions of PEO (insets in Figure 75a, Figure 76a, Figure 77a and Figure 78a) and PEO/Au nanoparticles (insets in Figure 75b, Figure 76b, Figure 77b and Figure 78b) show a unimodal distribution.

Upon plotting the fibre widths, obtained from both optical micrographs and AFM images, against wt% PEO of the solutions it can be seen that the standard error bars are too large to determine a conclusive trend of fibre widths as a function of wt% PEO of the electrospinning solutions (Figure 79a and b). Therefore, further fibre diameter measurements are required in order to reduce the error bars and provide a conclusive trend of the fibre widths of fibres electrospun from PEO solutions.
Figure 79. Graphs showing average width of fibres electrospun from all wt% PEO solutions studied both with and without nanoparticles a) represents fibre widths from all fibre widths and b) represents fibre widths in the sub 2 µm regime (at 2 wt% PEO the average fibre widths for fibres electrospun from PEO and PEO/Au solutions are 0.601 µm and 0.603 µm, respectively)
6.3.2.3. Nanoparticles within fibres

In order to investigate the organisation of Au nanoparticles within the electrospun fibres TEM images (Figure 80) were obtained of fibres electrospun directly onto TEM Cu slot grids.

**Figure 80.** TEM images of fibres electrospun from 5 wt% PEO solutions containing Au nanoparticles. a) and b) show the presence of Au nanoparticles in 'beads' and c) the presence of Au nanoparticles within 'threads'. d) shows the EDX spectra of both fibres electrospun from solutions of PEO either with or without the presence of Au nanoparticles.
EDX spectra (Figure 80a) confirmed both the presence and absence of Au within fibres electrospun from PEO solutions in which the PEO is dissolved in Au nanoparticle solution and UHQ H$_2$O, respectively. TEM images (Figure 80b-d) show that nanoparticles can be found in both the beads and threads of the electrospun fibres. However, the nanoparticles are more concentrated in the beads ($\sim$4.3x10$^{13}$ particles.ml$^{-1}$) than in the threads ($\sim$3.5x10$^{12}$ particles.ml$^{-1}$) and the concentration calculations can be found in Appendix D3. This observation may be due to turbulence within the PEO solution during bead formation with PEO solution flowing faster in the areas while the solution is thinning (i.e. at the threads). Therefore, the flow of PEO solution will be slower in the beads. Thus, allowing for the build up of nanoparticles within the beads. However, the difference in nanoparticle concentration within the threads, compared to within the beads, may also be due to a possible inhomogeneous distribution of Au nanoparticles within the polymeric solution.

6.3.2.4. Crystallization of electrospun fibres

The electrospinning process has been shown to have an effect on the crystallinity of electrospun fibres with areas of crystallinity being visible in the AFM phase images as lamellar structures.$^{12}$ Such lamellar structures cannot be seen in AFM height images but are clearly visible in the phase images (Figure 81).
AFM phase imaging gives only a local view of the degree of crystallinity of the fibres, therefore differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used to determine the enthalpy of fusion of fibres spun from each solution. The DSC curves can be found in Appendix D4. The enthalpy of fusion for each wt% PEO solution (Table 5) was compared to the enthalpy of fusion of 100 % crystalline PEO, which is 205 J.g⁻¹,⁰ in order to determine the degree of crystallinity of the fibres (Table 5 and Figure 82).
Table 5. Enthalpy of fusion ($\Delta H_f$) and % crystallinity of fibres electrospun from PEO solutions either with or without the presence of Au nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt% PEO</th>
<th>Without nanoparticles</th>
<th></th>
<th>With nanoparticles</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta H_f$ (J.g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>% crystallinity</td>
<td>$\Delta H_f$ (J.g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>% crystallinity$^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.51</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>55.88</td>
<td>27.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70.66</td>
<td>34.47</td>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>44.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>86.02</td>
<td>41.96</td>
<td>111.29</td>
<td>54.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>89.23</td>
<td>43.53</td>
<td>111.37</td>
<td>54.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$ % crystallinity is calculated by dividing $\Delta H_f$ (measured) by $\Delta H_f$ (from literature for 100 % crystalline PEO) then multiplying by 100. The literature value for 100% crystalline PEO is 205 J.g$^{-1}$.  

Table 5 shows that both the enthalpy of fusion and the %crystallinity, increase as the wt% PEO of the solutions is increased. The %crystallinity also appears to increase upon the introduction of Au nanoparticles into the solution (Figure 82). The increase of crystallinity, upon the inclusion of Au nanoparticles,
could be due to the nanoparticles acting as heterogeneous nucleation sites for polymer crystallisation.\textsuperscript{31, 32}

6.4. Conclusions

The aim of this chapter was to characterise aqueous solutions of different concentrations of both PEO and PEO/citrate passivated Au nanoparticles in order to investigate the effect of the inclusion of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles on the electrospun fibres. The work presented in this chapter shows that aqueous solutions of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles were prepared by using the Frens method\textsuperscript{27} and characterised using both UV-vis spectroscopy and TEM. UV-vis spectroscopy was used to show that the nanoparticle solution consisted of discrete Au nanoparticles (Figure 71) and TEM was used to evaluate both the size of the nanoparticles (Figure 72) and the approximate concentration of nanoparticles in the solution.

AFM was used to investigate the morphology of the fibres and it could be seen that the fibres were present in three different morphologies, these being straight fibres and fibres with both beaded and branched structures (Figure 73). The fibre width distribution of the fibres showed that, upon the inclusion of Au nanoparticles in the solution, the fibre width distribution changes from a bimodal distribution to one displaying a unimodal distribution at all concentrations of PEO (Figure 75, Figure 76, Figure 77 and Figure 78). The distribution of citrate
passivated Au nanoparticles, within the fibres, was studied by TEM (Figure 80) and if could be seen that, in beaded fibres, the concentration of nanoparticles was greater in the beads compared to the threads. The presence of Au nanoparticles was also found to affect the crystallinity of the fibres electrospun from PEO solutions as shown by DSC analysis (Figure 82). This observation could be due to the Au nanoparticles acting as nucleation sites for PEO crystallization.

### 6.5. Future work

The work presented in this chapter shows that Au nanoparticles can be successfully incorporated into PEO fibres. The next stage of this project will be to form Au structures using the methodology outlined in Figure 83. Patterned arrays of electrospun fibres (Figure 83b) could be produced by electrospinning onto a rotating collection plate in order to obtain aligned fibres, which has previously been shown.\textsuperscript{33-35} The PEO matrix could be removed using selective etching methods which will result in an Au pattern. This Au pattern could be used as a template on which to assemble nanostructures using alkanethiol self assembly techniques.
6.6. Experimental

All chemicals were obtained from Aldrich unless stated.

6.6.1. Fabrication of fibres

6.6.1.1. Preparation of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles

An aqueous solution of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles were prepared by the method described by Frens. Chloroauric acid (0.01 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in UHQ water (100 ml) and heated to reflux. Sodium citrate tribasic
dihydrate (0.023 g, 0.15 mol) was added to the refluxing chloroauric acid solution and heated under reflux continued until there was no further colour change. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and centrifuged (at 3500 rpm) and the supernatant retained.

6.6.1.2. Preparation of PEO solutions

Polyethylene oxide (PEO) solutions were prepared by adding PEO (2 000 000 MWt) to either UHQ H₂O (resistivity = 18 MΩ.cm) or a solution of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles. The PEO was added slowly to either the UHQ H₂O or nanoparticle solution under vigorous stirring in a 100 ml glass Duran flask and continuously stirred for 24 hr. The mass of PEO and the volume of either UHQ H₂O or Au nanoparticle solution used for each wt% solution is shown in **Table 6**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt% PEO solution</th>
<th>Mass of PEO added (g)</th>
<th>Volume of UHQ H₂O OR citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solution (ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.6.1.3. Electrospinning of fibres

The fibres were electrospun at a voltage of 12 kV between the tip of a metallic syringe and a glass collecting plate which was at a distance of 10 cm from the tip of the syringe at a flow rate of $10^{-9}$ m$^3$s$^{-1}$. The electrospinning process was performed for 10 min per sample.

6.6.2. Characterisation

UV-vis spectroscopy and TEM was used for the characterisation of the citrate passivated Au nanoparticles whilst AFM, DSC and TEM were used to characterise the electrospun fibres and the parameters used for each technique are described in this section.

6.6.2.1. UV-visible absorption spectroscopy

UV-vis spectra were obtained using a Hewlett-Packard 8452A spectrometer operated at wavelengths between 350 nm and 850 nm with a 2 nm band width at 240 nm.min$^{-1}$. 
6.6.2.2. Atomic Force Microscopy

AFM images were obtained by using either a Nanoscope 3100 Dimension AFM (Veeco) or a PicoScan AFM (Molecular Imaging) and these images were analysed using either Nanoscope III software (version 5.12r3) or PicoScan 5 software, respectively. AFM images were obtained in tapping mode with the use of an etched Si tip (Veeco model: RTESP). Before engaging the AFM tip the surface of the sample was observed using the optical system of the microscope in order to find areas on the surface where PEO had been deposited. The AFM tip was engaged with the surface and the images were obtained in tapping mode at a frequency of 1 Hz and the images were made up of 512 lines with 512 samples per line.

6.6.2.3. Differential Scanning Calorimetry

DSC measurements were recorded by placing ~1 mg of PEO fibres into an Al pan onto which a lid was placed, crimped closed and placed into a differential scanning calorimeter (Perkins Elmer Pyris with Pyris control software) along with an empty pan which was used as a reference. The pan was held at 25 °C for 1 min then heated to 120 °C at 5 °C.min$^{-1}$ then held at 120 °C for 1 min before being cooled to 25 °C at -5 °C.min$^{-1}$. 
6.6.2.4. TEM and EDX

TEM specimens of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles were prepared by placing a drop of aqueous citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solution (~3 ml) on a Formvar coated Cu TEM grid (Agar) and allowed to air-dry. TEM characterisation of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles was performed using a JEOL 1200ex TEM operated at 80 kV.

Electrospun fibres were prepared for characterisation by TEM by electrospinning the fibres directly onto copper slot TEM grids (Agar Scientific). TEM characterisation of the electrospun fibres was carried out using a Technai F20 FEG TEM (Philips) operated at 200 kV. EDX spectra were obtained in situ in the Technai F20 FEG TEM and analysed by using ISIS 300 EDX software (Oxford Instruments).
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APPENDICIES
Appendix

Appendix A: Formation of amino terminated self-assembled monolayers on silicon nitride from the vapour phase

Appendix A1 - Reduced boiling point of APTMS

The boiling point of APTMS is 91-92 °C at 15 mmHg.¹ At reduced pressure the boiling point decreases and an online conversion program was used to calculate the boiling point of APTMS at the pressures used for SAM formation.² The reduced boiling points at each pressure are as follows (by taking the boiling point as 91.5 °C at 15 mmHg):

Table 7. Reduced boiling points of 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTMS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_{dep}$ / mbar</th>
<th>Boiling point / °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>141.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 84. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra XPS spectra of Si/SiO\textsubscript{2} substrates immersed in APTMS solution (0.5 mmol in EtOH) for 1 hr.
Appendix A3 - SAMs of APTMS on Si$_3$N$_4$ by solution deposition (XPS)

XPS spectra were recorded after immersion of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates in APTMS solution (0.5 mM in EtOH) for three different immersion times:

Figure 85. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra XPS spectra of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates immersed in APTMS solution (0.5 mmol in EtOH) for 30 min.
Figure 86. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra XPS spectra of Si₃N₄ substrates immersed in APTMS solution (0.5 mmol in EtOH) for 1 hr.
Figure 87. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra XPS spectra of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates immersed in APTMS solution (0.5 mmol in EtOH) for 2 hr.
Appendix A4 - ‘SAMs’ of APTMS on Si$_3$N$_4$ by vapour deposition (XPS)

XPS spectra (both a survey spectrum and C1s, Si2p, O1s and N1s high resolution spectra) are shown of APTMS SAMs formed on Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates via vapour deposition at four different deposition pressures ($P_{dep}$).

**Figure 88.** XPS spectra of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour at 0.1 mbar. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra.
Figure 89. XPS spectra of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour at 1.15 mbar. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra
Figure 90. XPS spectra of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour at 30 mbar. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra
Figure 91. XPS spectra of Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates exposed to APTMS vapour at 168 mbar. a) survey spectrum and b-e) high resolution spectra
Appendix B - Mass sensing using a chemically modified microresonator: SAMs meets MEMS

Appendix B1: UV-vis spectrum of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles used for adsorption studies

Figure 92. UV-vis spectrum of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles used for pH dependent adsorption experiments
Appendix B2: - XPS spectra of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles on APTMS SAMs at 5 different values of pH

The survey and Si2p spectra for APTMS SAMs formed on Si3N4 and subsequently immersed in citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solutions at five different values of pH (3, 4, 5, 6 and 7) are shown in Figure 93 and Figure 94.

Figure 93. XPS survey spectra of APTMS SAMs on Si3N4 substrates after immersion in citrate passivated Au nanoparticles at five different values of pH
Figure 94. High resolution XPS spectra of Si2p peaks of APTMS SAMs on Si$_3$N$_4$ substrates after immersion in citrate passivated Au nanoparticles at five different values of pH
Appendix C - Sticky SAMs for sperm arrays

Appendix C1 - Sperm adsorption to glass and poly-l-lysine

The videos of sperm adsorption to glass, unpatterned poly-l-lysine and the poly-l-lysine dot array pattern can be found on the CD attached to this thesis. The videos can be found in the files as outlined in Table 8.

Table 8. Video file names of videos showing sperm adsorption to three different surfaces. Files can be found in the folder named 'Appendix C - videos' on the CD attached to this thesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video file name</th>
<th>Surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Movie1(Glass).avi</td>
<td>Bare glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie2(UnpatternedPLL).avi</td>
<td>Unpatterned poly-l-lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie3(PLLdots).avi</td>
<td>Poly-l-lysine dot array</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D - Formation of sub-micron fibres from PEO/Au nanoparticle composite solutions via electrospinning

Appendix D1 - TEM characterisation of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles

TEM images were obtained of the citrate passivated Au nanoparticles prepared using the Frens method\textsuperscript{3} by placing a drop of solution onto a TEM grid. The TEM images shown were used to calculate both the mean nanoparticle diameter and the concentration of Au nanoparticles.
Figure 95. TEM images of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles (Lower of the two magnifications used)
Figure 96. TEM images of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles (Higher of the two magnifications used)

Table 9 summarises the diameters of the citrate passivated Au nanoparticles shown in Figure 95 and Figure 96.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Number of particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 9.* Citrate passivated Au nanoparticle diameters extrapolated from TEM images

*Calculation of nanoparticle concentration:*

The concentration of citrate passivated Au nanoparticles were calculated from the above TEM images as follows:

*Area of TEM image:*

- Low mag: 5.69 x 10^{-13} m^2
- High mag: 4.97 x 10^{-14} m^2

*Area of TEM grid: 1.41 x 10^{-5} m^2*

*Total area of TEM images: 4.13 x 10^{-12} m^2*

*Number of particles in TEM images: 1069*

*Estimated number of particles on grid: 3.66 x 10^9*

*Volume of citrate passivated Au nanoparticle solution on grid: 3 ml*

*Approximate concentration or particles: 1.22 x 10^9 particles ml^{-1}
Appendix D2: - Fibre widths

All optical and AFM images recorded of fibres electrospun from 2 wt%, 3 wt%, 4 wt% and 5 wt% of aqueous solutions of both PEO and PEO/Au nanoparticle solutions can be found in the folder entitled ‘Appendix D2’ on the CD attached to this thesis.
Appendix D3: - Nanoparticle concentration within electrospun fibres

The average nanoparticle concentration within fibres electrospun from 5 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticle solutions were calculated by modelling the threads as cylinders and the beads as spheres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thread 1</th>
<th>Thread 2</th>
<th>Bead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (nm)</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (ml)</td>
<td>2.0x10^{-13}</td>
<td>3.9x10^{-15}</td>
<td>5.9x10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of particles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conc. of particles (particles.ml^{-1})</td>
<td>9.7x10^{-12}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.9x10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thread 1</th>
<th>Thread 2</th>
<th>Bead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (nm)</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (ml)</td>
<td>4.9x10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.4x10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.5x10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of particles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conc. of particles (particles.ml^{-1})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.7x10^{-12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thread</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (nm)</td>
<td>3440</td>
<td>Length (nm)</td>
<td>3300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (ml)</td>
<td>9.7x10^{-13}</td>
<td>Volume (ml)</td>
<td>2.3x10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of particles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of particles</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conc. of particles</td>
<td>2.1x10^{12}</td>
<td>Conc. of particles</td>
<td>1.3x10^{13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(particles.ml^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>(particles.ml^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The concentrations within individual fibres are outlined above and the overall concentrations (4.3x10^{13} particles.ml^{-1} in beads; 3.5x10^{12} particles.ml^{-1} for threads) were calculated by taking an average of the concentrations outlined above.
Appendix D4: DSC curves of PEO fibres:

Figure 97. DSC curves of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 2 wt% PEO and b) 2 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticles
Figure 98. DSC curves of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 3 wt% PEO and b) 3 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticles
Figure 99. DSC curves of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 4 wt% PEO and b) 4 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticles
Figure 100. DSC curves of fibres electrospun from aqueous solutions of a) 5 wt% PEO and b) 5 wt% PEO/Au nanoparticles.
Table 10. Data obtained from DSC curves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt%</th>
<th>Particles?</th>
<th>ENOTHERMIC PEAK</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>EXOTHERMIC PEAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>Area of peak (mJ)</td>
<td>ΔH (J/g)</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>59.436</td>
<td>33.514</td>
<td>33.514</td>
<td>44.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>58.967</td>
<td>50.288</td>
<td>55.876</td>
<td>44.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>59.825</td>
<td>77.722</td>
<td>70.656</td>
<td>44.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>59.444</td>
<td>82.082</td>
<td>91.202</td>
<td>44.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>60.164</td>
<td>103.222</td>
<td>86.019</td>
<td>43.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>59.691</td>
<td>100.158</td>
<td>111.287</td>
<td>45.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>59.649</td>
<td>89.229</td>
<td>89.229</td>
<td>43.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>59.685</td>
<td>111.365</td>
<td>111.365</td>
<td>45.651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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